Mechanics DEMTH532

Edited by: Dr. Deepak Kumar







Mechanics Edited By Dr. Deepak Kumar

Title: Mechanics

Author's Name: Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat

Published By : Lovely Professional University

Publisher Address: Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar Delhi GT road, Phagwara - 144411

Printer Detail: Lovely Professional University

Edition Detail: (I)

ISBN:

Copyrights@ Lovely Professional University

Content

Unit 1:	Particle Mechanics	1
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 2:	Equation for Conservative Field	17
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 3:	Lagrange's Equation of First and Second Kind	32
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 4:	Hamilton Canonical Equations, Cyclic Coordinates	44
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 5:	Conservation Theorems, Routh's Procedure	54
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 6:	Lagrangian Based Dynamic Problems	66
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 7:	Routh's Procedure Hamilton Principle and Principle of Least	77
	Dr. Raiesh Kumar Chandramat Lozelu Professional University	
l Init 8.	Hamilton Iacobi Equation of Motion	87
onic o.	Dr. Raiesh Kumar Chandrawat Lovely Professional University	07
l Init 9·	Hamilton's Equations of Motion and Energy Equation	96
onic 5.	Dr. Raiesh Kumar Chandraviat Lovely Professional University	50
Unit 10:	Poisson Bracket	106
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 11:	Iacobi Identity	116
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 12:	Canonical Transformations and its Conditions	126
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 13:	Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformation	134
	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
Unit 14:	Poincare – Cartan Integral Invariant	144
-	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Unit 01: Particle Mechanics

CONT	TENTS					
Object	Objectives					
Introd	Introduction					
1.1	1.1 Space and Time					
1.2	Velocity and Acceleration Vector					
1.3	Velocity Acceleration of Particle Position Vector - Parametric Equations					
1.4	Velocity Acceleration of Particle Position Vector -Initial conditions					
1.5	Position Velocity Acceleration vectors - Two-dimensional motion					
Summary						
Keywords						
Self Assessment						
Answers for Self Assessment						
Review Questions						
Further Readings						

Objectives

Particle mechanics is a branch of physics that studies the motion and behavior of particles, which are typically considered to be objects with zero size and mass that can move through space. It is based on the principles of classical mechanics, which describe how the motion of particles is affected by forces, energy, and momentum. The behavior of particles is described by three fundamental laws of motion, known as Newton's laws of motion.

These laws state that an object will remain at rest or in uniform motion in a straight line unless acted upon by a force, that the force acting on an object is proportional to its mass times its acceleration, and that every action has an equal and opposite reaction. In addition to these laws, particle mechanics also involves the concepts of energy and momentum. Energy is the ability to do work, and it can exist in many forms, including kinetic energy (the energy of motion) and potential energy (the energy of position or configuration).

Momentum, on the other hand, is the product of an object's mass and velocity, and it describes the object's tendency to continue moving in a straight line. Particle mechanics is used in a wide range of fields, including engineering, physics, and astronomy, to study the behavior of small particles and to design systems that take advantage of that behavior. Some applications of particle mechanics include the design of engines, the study of subatomic particles, and the development of computer simulations that can model the behavior of complex systems.

After this unit you will be able understand -

- 1. Understand the fundamental concepts of space and time in the context of Particle Mechanics.
- 2. Explore the mathematical representation of space and time using coordinate systems and reference frames.
- 3. Understand the concept of parametric equations and their application in describing particle motion.
- 4. Study the relationship between position, velocity, and acceleration vectors in Particle Mechanics.
- 5. Explore how to derive velocity and acceleration vectors from parametric equations.

6. Apply mathematical equations and principles to determine the position, velocity, and



acceleration of particles at any given time using initial conditions.

Apply mathematical equations

and principles to determine the position, velocity, and

Introduction

Space and time are fundamental concepts in the field of Particle Mechanics. They provide the framework for understanding the motion and behavior of particles in various physical systems. Space refers to the three-dimensional coordinate system that allows us to locate and describe the position of particles in relation to a reference point. Time, on the other hand, represents the progression of events and is essential for measuring the duration and timing of particle motion. First we will understand the fundamentals of space and time and then study the Particle Mechanics.

In the study of Particle Mechanics, it is crucial to grasp the theory behind space and time, including the mathematical representation of these concepts. This involves exploring coordinate systems, reference frames, and understanding how motion in space is affected by factors such as velocity, acceleration, and the interaction of multiple particles. By delving into the theory and mathematical examples, we can gain insights into the fundamental principles governing the behavior of particles in space and time.

Parametric equations provide a powerful tool for describing the motion of particles. These equations express the position of a particle as functions of time, allowing us to determine its coordinates at any given moment. Understanding the relationship between position, velocity, and acceleration vectors is crucial for comprehending particle motion.

By studying parametric equations, we can explore how the position vector of a particle changes over time, and how it influences the particle's velocity and acceleration. Analyzing parametric equations allows us to determine the direction, magnitude, and rate of change of these vectors, providing valuable insights into the particle's behavior. By examining theoretical concepts and engaging with mathematical examples, we can develop a deep understanding of the relationship between parametric equations and the velocity and acceleration of particle position vectors.

In Particle Mechanics, initial conditions play a vital role in determining the motion of particles. These conditions refer to the particle's position, velocity, and acceleration at a specific initial time. By considering these initial values, we can predict and analyze the subsequent behavior of particles.

Studying the velocity and acceleration of particle position vectors with respect to initial conditions involves exploring how the initial values influence the subsequent motion of particles. By applying mathematical equations and principles, we can determine how these initial conditions affect the particle's velocity and acceleration over time. This understanding enables us to make accurate predictions about the particle's behavior and analyze its motion in various scenarios.

In many practical situations, particle motion occurs in two dimensions. The position, velocity, and acceleration vectors are essential tools for describing and analyzing such motion. Understanding the behavior of these vectors in two-dimensional motion allows us to comprehend complex scenarios involving projectiles, circular motion, or motion on inclined planes.

By examining the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors in two dimensions, we can analyze the direction, magnitude, and relationship between these vectors. This understanding provides valuable insights into the path, speed, and acceleration of particles in complex motion scenarios. By exploring theoretical concepts and solving mathematical problems related to two-dimensional motion, we can develop proficiency in applying vectors to describe and analyze particle motion in a broader range of practical situations.

1.1 Space and Time

In Particle Mechanics, we commonly use Cartesian coordinate systems to represent the position of particles in space. A Cartesian coordinate system consists of three perpendicular axes: x, y, and z. Each axis is associated with a numerical value, and a particle's position can be described using coordinates (x, y, z) that specify its location in the three-dimensional space.

[≡] ₽=Example

Consider a particle located in three-dimensional space. We can describe its position using Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z). Let's say the particle is located at coordinates (2, 3, 3).



Figure 1. 1: Position of a point A (2,3,3) in space

Here, the particle's x-coordinate is 2, y-coordinate is 3, and z-coordinate is 3. The Cartesian coordinate system provides a precise mathematical representation of the particle's position in space.

Reference Frames: Reference frames provide a framework for observing and analyzing particle motion. In classical mechanics, we often work with inertial reference frames where the laws of physics hold true. An inertial reference frame is one that remains at a constant velocity or at rest relative to distant stars.

<u></u>	
	Evan ala
	Example:

Imagine a car moving along a straight road. An inertial reference frame could be a stationary observer standing by the road. The observer measures the car's position, velocity, and acceleration relative to their own fixed position. This inertial reference frame remains at a constant velocity or at rest relative to distant stars, ensuring that the laws of physics hold true.

Position Vector: The position vector represents the location of a particle in space relative to a chosen reference point or origin. It is denoted by r and can be expressed as r = xi + yj + zk, where i, j, and k are the unit vectors along the x, y, and z axes, respectively. The components x, y, and z correspond to the distances of the particle along each axis.



Example:

Suppose a particle is located in three-dimensional space, and the chosen reference point is the origin (0, 0, 0).

The position vector, denoted as *r*, represents the particle's location relative to the origin. Let's say the particle is located at coordinates (3, -1, 4). The position vector can be expressed as r = 3i - j + 4k, where i, j, and k are the unit vectors along the x, y, and z axes, respectively.

Displacement Vector: The displacement vector, denoted as Δr , represents the change in position of a particle.

It can be obtained by subtracting the initial position vector from the final position vector,

 $\Delta r = r_{final} - r_{initial}$. The components of the displacement vector indicate the changes in the x, y, and z coordinates.



Consider a particle moving from an initial position with coordinates (1, 2, 3) to a final position with coordinates (4, 6, 8). The displacement vector, denoted as Δr , represents the change in position. It can be obtained by subtracting the initial position vector from the final position vector:

$$\Delta r = (4i + 6j + 8k) - (1i + 2j + 3k) = 3i + 4j + 5k.$$

The components of the displacement vector (3, 4, 5) indicate the changes in the x, y, and z coordinates.

Time: Time is a fundamental parameter in Particle Mechanics. It allows us to analyze the behavior of particles over specific intervals or durations. The unit of time is typically seconds (s), and it is represented by the variable t.

In combination, space and time can be represented as a four-dimensional entity known as spacetime. This concept arises from the theory of relativity, where time is considered as a dimension similar to the three spatial dimensions. Spacetime is often represented using a four-dimensional coordinate system (x, y, z, t), known as Makowski spacetime.

Example:

Suppose we have a particle moving in three-dimensional space, and we want to analyze its position at two different times.

Let's say at time t = 0 seconds, the particle is located at position

 $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (2 meters, 3 meters, 1 meter).$

After some time has passed, at time t = 5 seconds, the particle moves to a new position

 $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (5 meters, 1 meter, 4 meters).$

We can represent the two positions of the particle in three-dimensional space:

Position 1: $(x_1, y_1, z_1, t) = (2 \text{ meters}, 3 \text{ meters}, 1 \text{ meter}, at t = 0 \text{ seconds})$

Position 2: $(x_2, y_2, z_2, t) = (5 \text{ meters}, 1 \text{ meter}, 4 \text{ meters}, at t = 5 \text{ seconds})$

By considering the three spatial dimensions (x, y, z) and the corresponding times, we have a comprehensive description of the particle's motion. We can see that the particle moved from position 1 to position 2 during a time interval of 5 seconds.

1.2 Velocity and Acceleration Vector

The velocity vector, denoted by v, represents the rate of change of the position vector with respect to time. It indicates the direction and magnitude of the particle's motion. To obtain the velocity vector, we differentiate the position vector with respect to time.

Given: r = xi + yj + zk

Taking the derivative of r with respect to time (t), we get:

$$v = dr/dt = d(xi + yj + zk)/dt = (dx/dt)i + (dy/dt)j + (dz/dt)k$$

The terms dx/dt, dy/dt, and dz/dt represent the rates of change of x, y, and z coordinates with respect to time, respectively.

The acceleration vector, denoted by a, represents the rate of change of velocity with respect to time. It indicates how quickly the velocity of the particle is changing. To obtain the acceleration vector, we differentiate the velocity vector with respect to time.

Given:
$$v = (dx/dt)i + (dy/dt)j + (dz/dt)k$$

Taking the derivative of v with respect to time (t), we get:

$$a = \frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{d\left[\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)i + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)j + \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)k\right]}{dt}$$
$$= (d^2x/dt^2)i + (d^2y/dt^2)j + (d^2z/dt^2)k$$

The terms d^2x/dt^2 , d^2y/dt^2 , and d^2z/dt^2 represent the second derivatives of x, y, and z coordinates with respect to time, respectively.

Notes

These vectors provide information about the particle's velocity and acceleration in threedimensional space based on its position vector.

Note: The derivatives of x, y, and z coordinates with respect to time can be computed using calculus principles, such as the chain rule, product rule, and power rule.



Suppose we have a particle whose position vector at any given time t is given by

 $r = (2t)i + (3t^2)j + (4t^3)k.$

Velocity Vector: To find the velocity vector, we differentiate the position vector with respect to time.

Taking the derivative of r with respect to time (t), we get:

$$v = \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{d((2t)i + (3t^2)j + (4t^3)k)}{dt} = (2i + 6tj + 12t^2k)$$

So, the velocity vector $\mathbf{v} = (2i + 6tj + 12t^2k)$.

Acceleration Vector: To find the acceleration vector, we differentiate the velocity vector with respect to time.

Given: $v = (2i + 6tj + 12t^2k)$

Taking the derivative of v with respect to time (t), we get:

$$a = \frac{dv}{dt} = d(2i + 6tj + 12t^2k)/dt$$
$$= (0i + 6j + 24tk)$$

So, the acceleration vector a = (0i + 6j + 24tk).

In this example, the position vector $r = (2t)i + (3t^2)j + (4t^3)k$ represents the location of a particle in three-dimensional space relative to a chosen reference point or origin.

The velocity vector $v = (2i + 6tj + 12t^2k)$ represents the rate of change of the position vector, and the acceleration vector a = (0i + 6j + 24tk) represents the rate of change of the velocity vector with respect to time.

Note: The values of x, y, and z coordinates in the position vector may vary depending on the specific example or scenario. The example provided here demonstrates the concept and the process of calculating velocity and acceleration vectors using a position vector in three-dimensional space.

1.3 <u>Velocity Acceleration of Particle Position Vector - Parametric</u> Equations

Position, velocity, and acceleration vectors can be described using parametric equations, which involve expressing the position, velocity, and acceleration of a particle as functions of a parameter, typically time (t).

Let's explore how parametric equations are used to represent these vectors.

Position Vector: The position vector of a particle can be expressed parametrically as:

$$x = f(t),$$
 $y = g(t),$ and $z = h(t)$

Here, *x*, *y*, *and z* represent the coordinates of the particle's position at time *t*.

The functions f(t), g(t), and h(t) determine how the particle's position changes with respect to time. These functions can be chosen based on the specific motion or trajectory of the particle.

For example, let's consider a particle moving along a straight line in three-dimensional space.

We can represent its position vector using parametric equations:

$$x = 2t$$
$$y = 3t + 1,$$

Lovely Professional University

$$z = -t + 5$$

In this case, the position of the particle at any given time t can be obtained by substituting the value of t into these equations.

Velocity Vector: The velocity vector represents the rate of change of the position vector with respect to time. To express the velocity vector using parametric equations, we differentiate each coordinate function with respect to time:

$$v_x = \frac{dx}{dt}$$
$$v_y = \frac{dy}{dt}$$
$$v_z = \frac{dz}{dt}$$

Using these equations, we can determine how the particle's velocity changes as time progresses.

Continuing with the previous example, let's calculate the velocity vector:

$$v_x = \frac{d(2t)}{dt} = 2$$
$$v_y = \frac{d(3t+1)}{dt} = 3$$
$$v_z = \frac{d(-t+5)}{dt} = -1$$

Therefore, the velocity vector is given by v = (2, 3, -1).

Acceleration Vector: The acceleration vector represents the rate of change of velocity with respect to time. Similar to the velocity vector, we differentiate each coordinate function of the velocity vector:

$$a_x = \frac{dv_x}{dt}$$
$$a_y = \frac{dv_y}{dt}$$
$$a_z = \frac{dv_z}{dt}$$

By determining these equations, we can understand how the particle's acceleration changes over time.

Using the previous example, let's calculate the acceleration vector:

$$a_x = \frac{d(2)}{dt} = 0$$
$$a_y = \frac{d(3)}{dt} = 0$$
$$a_z = \frac{d(-1)}{dt} = 0$$

Thus, the acceleration vector is a = (0, 0, 0).

In summary, parametric equations allow us to represent the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors of a particle as functions of a parameter, typically time. By defining the appropriate functions for each coordinate, we can describe the motion and behavior of particles in various scenarios.

Question

Consider the position vector of the particle in terms of the unit vectors can be written as follows:

$$r(t) = x(t)i + y(t)j$$

Given the parametric equations

$$x(t) = 3t$$
$$y(t) = 4t^2$$

Answer the followings:

- 1. Write the position vector of the particle in terms of the unit vectors.
- 2. Calculate the velocity vector and its magnitude (speed).
- 3. Express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x).
- 4. Calculate the unit tangent vector at each point of the trajectory.
- 5. Calculate the acceleration of the particle.

Solution

- 1. The position vector becomes: $r(t) = (3t)i + (4t^2)j(m)$
- 2. To calculate the velocity vector, we differentiate each component of the position vector with respect to time:

$$v(t) = \frac{dx}{dt} i + \frac{dy}{dt} j$$

Differentiating the equations for x(t) and y(t) gives:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 3$$
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = 8t$$

Therefore, the velocity vector is: v(t) = 3i + (8t)j m/s

To calculate the magnitude (speed) of the velocity vector, we use the formula:

$$|v(t)| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2}$$

Substituting the values, we have:

$$|v(t)| = \sqrt{(3)^2 + (8t)^2}$$
$$|v(t)| = \sqrt{9 + 64t^2} \ m/s$$

3. To express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x), we can eliminate the parameter t. From the given equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= 3t \\ y(t) &= 4t^2 \end{aligned}$$

We can solve the first equation for t and substitute it into the second equation:

$$t = \frac{x}{3}$$
$$y(x) = 4\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^2$$
$$y(x) = \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)x^2$$

The trajectory of the particle is given by the equation $y(x) = \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)x^2$.

4. To calculate the unit tangent vector at each point of the trajectory, we differentiate the position vector with respect to t, normalize it, and express it in terms of the unit vectors:

$$T(t) = \left(\frac{v(t)}{|v(t)|}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{|v(t)|}\right)i + \left(\frac{8t}{|v(t)|}\right)j$$

The unit tangent vector at each point of the trajectory is given by

$$T(t) = \left(\frac{v(t)}{|v(t)|}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{9 + 64t^2}}\right)i + \left(\frac{8t}{\sqrt{9 + 64t^2}}\right)j$$

Lovely Professional University

To calculate the acceleration of the particle, we differentiate the velocity vector with respect to time:

$$a(t) = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} i + \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} j$$

Differentiating the equations for $\frac{dx}{dt}$ and $\frac{dy}{dt}$ gives: $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = 0$ and $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 8$

Therefore, the acceleration vector is: a(t) = 0i + 8j = 8j

The acceleration of the particle is $a = 8j m/s^2$

1.4 Velocity Acceleration of Particle Position Vector -Initial conditions

In particle mechanics, the initial conditions refer to the state of a particle at a specific initial time. These conditions include the initial position, velocity, and possibly acceleration of the particle. By knowing these initial conditions, we can determine the particle's motion and behavior over time.

The initial position of a particle is the location of the particle at the initial time. It is represented by the position vector $r_0 = x_0i + y_0j + z_0k$, where $x_0, y_0, and z_0$ are the initial coordinates along the x, y, and z axes, respectively.

The initial velocity of a particle is the rate of change of position at the initial time. It is represented by the velocity vector $v_0 = v_0 x i + v_0 y j + v_0 z k$, where $v_0 x, v_0 y$, and $v_0 z$ are the initial velocities along the *x*, *y*, and *z* axes, respectively.

In some cases, the initial acceleration of a particle may also be given. The initial acceleration is the rate of change of velocity at the initial time. It is represented by the acceleration vector $a_0 = a_0x i + a_0y j + a_0z k$, where a_0x, a_0y , and a_0z are the initial accelerations along the *x*, *y*, and *z* axes, respectively.

By incorporating the initial conditions into the equations of motion, such as the kinematic equations, we can determine the particle's position, velocity, and acceleration as functions of time. These equations allow us to analyze the motion and predict the behavior of the particle at any given time, based on its initial conditions.

It is important to note that the initial conditions provide a starting point for the particle's motion, and subsequent changes in the particle's state are determined by the forces and interactions acting upon it.

Understanding and applying the concept of initial conditions is crucial for solving particle mechanics problems, as it enables us to establish the foundation for studying the particle's motion and analyzing its behavior throughout the course of its motion.

Question

A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = 3i + 2j$ (*m*) and its acceleration is a = -10j (*m*/*s*²).

The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 2i + 2j (m/s)$.

Find the velocity, position and acceleration as a function of time.

Express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x).

Solution



Figure 1. 2: Geometry of initial position and velocity

To find the velocity, position, and acceleration as a function of time, we can integrate the given acceleration to obtain the velocity, and then integrate the velocity to obtain the position.

Given information: Initial position: $r_0 = 3i + 2j (m)$

Initial velocity: $v_0 = 2i + 2j (m/s)$

Acceleration: $a = -10j (m/s^2)$

Integration of acceleration gives the velocity: $v(t) = \int a \, dt = \int (-10j) \, dt = -10tj + C$

Using the initial velocity $v_0 = 2i + 2j (m/s)$, we can determine the constant C:

$$v(0) = v_0 - 10(0)j + C = 2i + 2j$$
$$C = 2i + 2j$$

Therefore, the velocity as a function of time is: v(t) = -10tj + (2i + 2j) m/s

Integrating the velocity gives the position:

$$r(t) = \int v \, dt$$
$$= \int (-10tj + (2i + 2j)) dt$$
$$= -5t^2j + (2ti + 2tj) + D$$

Using the initial position $r_0 = 3i + 2j$ (*m*), we can determine the constant D:

$$r(0) = r_0 - 5(0)^2 j + (2(0)i + 2(0)j) + D = 3i + 2j$$
$$D = 3i + 2j$$

Therefore, the position as a function of time is:

$$r(t) = -5t^{2}j + (2ti + 2tj) + (3i + 2j)$$

= 2ti + (2t - 5t²)j + 3i + 2j
= (2t + 3)i + (2t - 5t² + 2)j

The trajectory of the particle can be expressed in the form y(x) by eliminating the parameter t. From the position equation:

$$x = 2t + 3$$
$$y = 2t - 5t^2 + 2$$

We can solve the first equation for t and substitute it into the second equation:

$$t = \frac{x-3}{2}$$

$$y(x) = 2\left(\frac{x-3}{2}\right) - 5\left(\frac{x-3}{2}\right)^2 + 2$$

$$y(x) = (x-3) - \frac{5}{4}(x-3)^2 + 2$$

$$y(x) = (x-3) - \frac{5}{4}(x^2 - 6x + 9) + 2$$

$$y(x) = x - 3 - \frac{5}{4}x^2 + \frac{30}{4}x - \frac{45}{4} + 2$$

$$y(x) = -\frac{5}{4}x^2 + \frac{34}{4}x - \frac{49}{4}$$

The trajectory of the particle is given by the equation $y(x) = -\frac{5}{4}x^2 + \frac{34}{4}x - \frac{49}{4}$

1.5 Position Velocity Acceleration vectors - Two-dimensional motion

By analyzing the components of the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors, we can gain insights into the particle's motion in two-dimensional space. The magnitudes and directions of these vectors provide information about the particle's speed, trajectory, and changes in velocity.

To study two-dimensional motion, we often use equations of motion derived from the principles of calculus and physics. These equations describe the relationships between position, velocity, and acceleration in terms of time. Solving these equations allows us to determine the particle's position, velocity, and acceleration as functions of time and understand its behavior in a two-dimensional plane.

Understanding the concepts of position, velocity, and acceleration vectors in two-dimensional motion is fundamental in analyzing various physical phenomena, such as projectile motion, circular motion, and motion along curved paths. It provides a mathematical framework for studying and predicting the behavior of particles moving in two dimensions.

Question

A tennis player throws the ball against a vertical wall 25 m away (we will call this distance d). The ball is initially 2 m above the ground ($y_0 = 2$ m), and has an initial velocity given by:

- $v_0 = 20 i + 10 j (m/s)$. The wind produces a constant horizontal acceleration $a = -3 i (m/s^2)$.
 - 1. Convert the initial velocity vector from component form into magnitude and direction form.
 - 2. Calculate the position, velocity and acceleration vectors as a function of time.
 - 3. The time at which the ball reaches the highest point of its trajectory.
 - 4. The time at which the ball hits the wall.
 - 5. The height at which the ball hits the wall
 - 6. The velocity vector at the moment of impact.



Figure 1.3 Geometry of the tennis player throws problem

Solution

1. Convert the initial velocity vector from component form into magnitude and direction form: Given: $v_0 = 20i + 10j (m/s)$

To convert it into magnitude and direction form, we calculate:

Magnitude:
$$|v_0| = \sqrt{20^2 + 10^2} = \sqrt{500} \approx 22.36 \frac{m}{s}$$

Direction:
$$\theta = \tan^{-1} 1/2 \approx 26.57$$
 degrees

So, the initial velocity vector in magnitude and direction form is approximately 22.36 m/s at an angle of 26.57 degrees above the positive x-axis.

2. Calculate the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors as a function of time:

Given:
$$x(t) = v_0 x * t + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * a_x * t^2$$

 $y(t) = y_0 + v_0 y * t + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * a_y * t^2$

Substituting the given values:

$$x(t) = 20t - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)t^2$$

$$y(t) = 2 + 10t - 4.9t^2$$

The velocity vector is obtained by taking the derivatives of the position vector with respect to time: $v(t) = \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)i + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)j$

Differentiating x(t) and y(t) with respect to time:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (20 - 3t)$$
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = (10 - 9.8t)$$

So, the velocity vector is: v(t) = (20 - 3t)i + (10 - 9.8t)j

The acceleration vector is obtained by taking the derivatives of the velocity vector with respect to time: $a(t) = \left(\frac{dv}{dt}\right) i + \left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right) j$

Differentiating v(t) with respect to time: $\frac{dv}{dt} = -3i - 9.8j$

So, the acceleration vector is: a(t) = -3i - 9.8j

3. The time at which the ball reaches the highest point of its trajectory: To find the time at which the ball reaches the highest point, we need to determine when the vertical component of the velocity becomes zero.

Setting $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$ and solving for t: $10 - 9.8t = 0.9.8t = 10 t = 10/9.8 \approx 1.02$ seconds

Therefore, the time at which the ball reaches the highest point of its trajectory is approximately 1.02 seconds.

4. The time at which the ball hits the wall: The ball hits the wall when the horizontal component of its position is equal to the distance to the wall, which is 25 m.

Setting x(t) = 25 and solving for t:

$$20t - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)t^2 = 25$$
$$\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)t^2 - 20t + 25 = 0$$

12

Solving this quadratic equation, we find two solutions for $t: t \approx 1.67$ seconds and $t \approx 6.67$ seconds. However, the negative value for t can be ignored in this context.

Therefore, the time at which the ball hits the wall is approximately 1.67 seconds.

5. The height at which the ball hits the wall: To find the height at which the ball hits the wall, we substitute the value of t into the y(t) equation:

$$y(1.67) = 2 + 10(1.67) - 4.9$$

Summary

- Parametric equations: Equations expressing position, velocity, and acceleration as functions
 of a parameter, typically time.
- Space: Three-dimensional coordinate system for locating and describing particle positions.
- Time: Parameter used to measure the duration and timing of particle motion.
- Reference frames: Frameworks for observing and analyzing particle motion, often using inertial frames.
- Position vector: Represents the location of a particle relative to a reference point in space.
- Displacement vector: Represents the change in position of a particle.
- Spacetime: Four-dimensional entity combining space and time, often represented as (x, y, z, t).
- Velocity vector: Represents the rate of change of the position vector with respect to time as:

$$v = (dx/dt)i + (dy/dt)j + (dz/dt)k$$

Acceleration vector: Represents the rate of change of velocity with respect to time as:

$$a = (d^{2}x/dt^{2})i + (d^{2}y/dt^{2})j + (d^{2}z/dt^{2})k$$

Keywords

- Parametric equations: Equations expressing position, velocity, and acceleration as functions of a parameter, typically time.
- Space: Three-dimensional coordinate system for locating and describing particle positions.
- Time: Parameter used to measure the duration and timing of particle motion.
- Reference frames: Frameworks for observing and analyzing particle motion, often using inertial frames.
- Position vector: Represents the location of a particle relative to a reference point in space.
- Displacement vector: Represents the change in position of a particle.
- Spacetime: Four-dimensional entity combining space and time, often represented as (x, y, z, t).
- Velocity vector: Represents the rate of change of the position vector with respect to time.

• Acceleration vector: Represents the rate of change of velocity with respect to time.

Self Assessment

- 1. The position vector of a particle is given by: $r = 10t i + 20t^2 j 12 tk (m)$. Then at t = 3 seconds, what is the position vector of the particle?
- A. r = 30 i + 180 j 36 k (m)
- B. r = 30 i + 180 j + 36 k (m)
- C. r = 30 i + 540 j 36 k (m)
- D. r = 30 i + 540 j + 36 k (m)
- 2. The position vector of a particle is given by: $r = 10t i + 20t^2 j 12 tk$ (m). Then what is the velocity vector of the particle?
- A. v = 10i + 40tj 12k (m/s)B. v = 10i + 40tj + 12k (m/s)C. $v = 10i + 40t^2 j - 12k (m/s)$ D. $v = 10i + 40t^2 j + 12k (m/s)$
- 3. The position vector of a particle is given by: $r = 10t i + 20t^2 j 12 tk$ (m). What is the acceleration vector of the particle?
- A. $a = 20i + 40tj + 12k(m/s^2)$ B. $a = 20i + 40tj - 12k(m/s^2)$ C. $a = 20i + 40t^2j + 12k(m/s^2)$ D. $a = 20i + 40t^2j - 12k(m/s^2)$
- 4. The position vector of a particle is given by: $r = 10t i + 20t^2 j 12 tk (m)$, at t = 2 s, what is the velocity vector of the particle?
- A. v = 10i + 80j 12k (m/s)B. v = 10i + 80j + 12k (m/s)C. v = 10i + 160j - 12k (m/s)D. v = 10i + 160j + 12k (m/s)
- 5. The position vector of a particle is given by: $r = 10t i + 20t^2 j 12 tk (m)$. At t = 1 s, what is the magnitude of the acceleration vector of the particle?
- A. 16 m/s^2
- B. 20 m/s^2
- C. 24 m/s²
- D. 32 m/s^2
- 6. A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = 3i + 2j(m)$ and its acceleration is $a = -10j(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 2i + 2j(m/s)$.

Find the velocity at t = 0 second.

A. v(0) = -(2i + 2j) m/sB. v(0) = (2i + 2j) m/s

C. v(0) = 10tj m/s

D. v(0) = -10tj m/s

- 7. 6.A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = 3i + 2j(m)$ and its acceleration is $a = -10j(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 2i + 2j(m/s)$. Find the velocity at t = 2 second.
- A. -20j + (2i + 2j) m/
- B. -10j + (2i + 20j) m/
- C. -12j + (20i + 2j) m/s
- D. -20j + (20i + 22j) m/s
- 7. A particle is initially at the position $\mathbf{r}_0 = 3\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}(m)$ and its acceleration is $\mathbf{a} = -10\mathbf{j}(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $\mathbf{v}_0 = 2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}(m/s)$. Find the position at t = 1 second.
- A. 5i + 2j
- B. 5i 5j
- C. 3i + 2j
- $D. \ 3i-2j$
- 8. A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = 3i + 2j(m)$ and its acceleration is $a = -10j(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 2i + 2j(m/s)$. Find the position at t = 0 second.
- A. 3i + 2j
- B. 5i + 5j
- C. 3i + 2j
- D. 5i + 5j
- 9. A particle is initially at the position $\mathbf{r_0} = 3\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m) and its acceleration is $\mathbf{a} = -10\mathbf{j}$ (m/s²). The particle has an initial velocity given by: $\mathbf{v_0} = 2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m/s). Find The trajectory of the particle at x = 0 m.
- A. -49/4
- B. -35/4
- C. -1/4
- D. 0
- 10. A particle is initially at the position $\mathbf{r_0} = 3\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m) and its acceleration is $\mathbf{a} = -10\mathbf{j}$ (m/s²). The particle has an initial velocity given by: $\mathbf{v_0} = 2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m/s). Find The trajectory of the particle at x = 1 m.
- A. -49/4
- B. -35/4
- C. -1/4
- D. 0

- 11. A particle is initially at the position $\mathbf{r_0} = 3\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m) and its acceleration is $\mathbf{a} = -10\mathbf{j}$ (m/s²). The particle has an initial velocity given by: $\mathbf{v_0} = 2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$ (m/s). Find The trajectory of the particle at x = 0 m.
- A. -49/4
- B. -35/4
- C. -1/4
- D. 0
- 12. A tennis player throws the ball against a vertical wall 30 m away. The ball is initially 2 m above the ground ($y_0 = 3 m$), and has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 10 i + 10 j (m/s)$. The wind produces a constant horizontal acceleration $a = -4 i (m/s^2)$. Then the initial velocity vector from component form into magnitude and direction form.
- A. 10√2, 45°
- B. 10√3, 45°
- C. √2, 90°
- D. √3, 90°
- 13. A tennis player throws the ball against a vertical wall 30 m away. The ball is initially 2 m above the ground ($y_0 = 3 m$), and has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 10 i + 10 j (m/s)$.

The wind produces a constant horizontal acceleration $a = -4 i \left(\frac{m}{s^2}\right)$.

Then the position as a function of time.

A. $r(t) = (10t - 2t^2)i + (3 + 10t - 4.9t^2)j$ B. $r(t) = 30i + [2 + 10t - 4.9t^2]j$ C. $r(t) = 30i + [5 + 10t - 4.9t^2]j$ D. $r(t) = 30i + [2 + 5t - 4.9t^2]j$

14. A tennis player throws the ball against a vertical wall 30 m away. The ball is initially 2 m above the ground ($y_0 = 3 m$), and has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 10 i + 10 j (m/s)$. The wind produces a constant horizontal acceleration $a = -4 i \left(\frac{m}{c^2}\right)$.

Then the velocity vectors as a function of time.

- A. V(t) = (10)i + (10 9.8t)jB. V(t) = (-4t)i + (10 - 9.8t)j
- C. V(t) = (10 4t)i + (10)j
- D. V(t) = (10 4t)i + (9.8t)

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	А	2.	А	3.	А	4.	В	5.	В
6.	В	7.	А	8.	В	9.	А	10.	А
11.	В	12.	С	13.	А	14.	А	15.	А

Review Questions

- 1. The position vector of a particle is given by: $\mathbf{r} = 3t \mathbf{i} + 2t^2 \mathbf{j} 2\mathbf{k}$ (*m*). Find its velocity and its acceleration.
- 2. The parametric equations (in m) of the trajectory of a particle are given by: $x(t) = 3t^2$, and y(t) = 4t Calculate the velocity vector and its magnitude (speed).
- 3. The parametric equations (in m) of the trajectory of a particle are given by: $x(t) = 3t^2$, and y(t) = 4t Express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x).
- 4. A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = 2i + 5j(m)$ and its acceleration is $a = -9.8j(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = i + 3j(m/s)$. Find the velocity, position and acceleration as a function of time. Express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x).
- 5. A particle is initially at the position $r_0 = i 4j(m)$ and its acceleration is $a = -9.8j(m/s^2)$. The particle has an initial velocity given by: $v_0 = 2i 3j(m/s)$. Find the velocity, position and acceleration as a function of time. Express the trajectory of the particle in the form y(x).

[]]

Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

CONT	CONTENTS					
Object	ives					
Introd	uction					
2.1	Conservation of Momentum					
2.2	2 Conservation of Linear Momentum					
2.3	Conservation of Angular Momentum					
2.4	The Conservation of Energy					
2.5	The Work Energy Theorem					
2.6	Constraint- Coordinates, Degree of Freedom					
2.7	Holonomic and Non-Holonomic Systems					
Summary						
Keywords						
Self Assessment						
Answers for Self Assessment						
Review Questions						
Further Readings						

Unit 02: Equation for Conservative Field

Objectives

The purpose of studying the conservation of momentum and the energy equation for conservative fields is to establish fundamental principles in physics that enable us to understand and predict the behavior of objects and systems. These principles provide a framework for analyzing the transfer and transformation of momentum, as well as the interplay between potential and kinetic energy, in a wide range of physical phenomena. By investigating the conservation of momentum, we can gain insights into the dynamics of collisions, interactions, and the overall motion of objects. Simultaneously, understanding the energy equation for conservative fields allows us to comprehend the equilibrium, energy conservation, and energy transformations in systems governed by conservative forces. By exploring these principles, we can apply them to solve practical problems, make predictions, and gain a deeper understanding of the fundamental laws that govern the physical world.

After this unit you will be able understand -

- 1. Develop a comprehensive understanding of the concepts of momentum, kinetic energy, potential energy, and their interrelations in various physical systems.
- Apply the principles of conservation of momentum and the energy equation for conservative fields to analyze and solve problems related to collisions, interactions, and equilibrium in different scenarios.
- 3. Gain proficiency in calculating momentum and recognizing it as a vector quantity, as well as deriving and utilizing the energy equation for conservative fields in practical applications.
- 4. Explore the implications of the conservation of momentum and the energy equation for conservative fields in real-life examples, such as sports, transportation, and celestial mechanics.

5. Enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills by applying the principles of conservation of momentum and the energy equation for conservative fields to analyze and predict the motion, equilibrium, and energy transformations in physical systems.

Introduction

In the field of physics, the study of fundamental principles and mathematical frameworks forms the bedrock of our understanding of the physical world. From the conservation of momentum to the energy equation for conservative fields, and the concept of constraints in various coordinate systems, these topics are crucial in elucidating the behavior and dynamics of physical systems. In this scientific exploration, we embark on a journey to delve into the intricacies of these fundamental concepts.

The principle of conservation of momentum states that in an isolated system, the total momentum remains constant unless acted upon by external forces. Symbolically, this principle can be expressed as:

 $\Sigma p = \text{constant},$

where Σp represents the sum of the momenta of all objects in the system. By examining the transfer and transformation of momentum during interactions such as collisions or explosions, we can unlock valuable insights into the motion and behavior of objects.

The energy equation for conservative fields is a fundamental tool in analyzing the interplay between potential and kinetic energy within a system governed by conservative forces. In mathematical terms, it can be expressed as:

E=T+U,

where *E* represents the total mechanical energy, *T* denotes the kinetic energy, and *U* signifies the potential energy. By understanding the relationship between these energy forms and their conservation, we can unravel the equilibrium and energy transformations within physical systems.

In the study of physical systems, constraints play a crucial role in defining the permissible motion of objects. By considering constraints in various coordinate systems, we can mathematically express the limitations imposed on the motion of particles. The degree of freedom (DOF) of a system quantifies the number of independent variables required to describe its configuration fully. By analyzing constraints and determining the DOF, we gain insights into the complexity and behavior of physical systems.

To further refine our understanding of constraints, the concept of generalized coordinates provides a powerful framework. Generalized coordinates are a set of independent variables that fully describe the configuration of a system. By employing generalized coordinates, we can express constraints in a concise and elegant manner, enabling us to analyze and solve complex problems in a more efficient and comprehensive way.

Holonomic and non-holonomic systems provide distinct perspectives on the constraints governing the motion of objects. In holonomic systems, constraints can be expressed algebraically, while in non-holonomic systems, the constraints involve inequalities and cannot be fully described algebraically. Understanding the characteristics and implications of holonomic and non-holonomic systems allows us to tackle a wide array of physical phenomena, ranging from simple mechanical systems to complex robotic movements.

By exploring the conservation of momentum, the energy equation for conservative fields, constraints in various coordinate systems, and the distinction between holonomic and non-holonomic systems, we aim to deepen our understanding of the fundamental principles that govern the behavior of physical systems. Through mathematical symbolization and rigorous analysis, we endeavor to unravel the intricate nature of these concepts and their implications in the world of physics.

2.1 Conservation of Momentum

In Potential Energy and Conservation of Energy, any transition between kinetic and potential energy conserved the total energy of the system. This was path independent, meaning that we can

start and stop at any two points in the problem, and the total energy of the system-kinetic plus potential-at these points are equal to each other.

This is characteristic of a conservative force. We dealt with conservative forces in the preceding section, such as the gravitational force and spring force. When comparing the motion of the football in figure 2.1.



Figure 2. 1: Kinetic and potential energy at different stages of motion of the football

The total energy of the system never changes, even though the gravitational potential energy of the football increases, as the ball rises relative to ground and falls back to the initial gravitational potential energy when the football player catches the ball.

Non-conservative forces are dissipative forces such as friction or air resistance. These forces take energy away from the system as the system progresses, energy that you can't get back. These forces are path dependent; therefore, it matters where the object starts and stops.

2.2 Conservation of Linear Momentum

The conservation of linear momentum, expressed mathematically, is a consequence of Newton's second and third laws of motion. According to Newton's second law, the rate of change of momentum of an object is equal to the net force acting on it. Mathematically, this can be stated as:

$$\Sigma F = \frac{d(\Sigma p)}{dt}$$

where ΣF represents the net force acting on the system and $\frac{d(\Sigma p)}{dt}$ represents the rate of change of the total momentum of the system with respect to time.

In an isolated system, where there are no external forces acting, the net force (ΣF) is zero. Therefore, the rate of change of total momentum is also zero:

 $\Sigma F = d(\Sigma p)/dt = 0.$

This implies that the total momentum (Σp) of the system is constant and conserved.

To further explore this principle, let's consider a system consisting of two objects with masses m_1 and m_2 and velocities v_1 and v_2 , respectively. The total momentum of the system before interaction can be calculated as:

$$\Sigma p_{initial} = m_1 v_1 + m_2 v_2.$$

If the objects interact or collide, they exert forces on each other, causing a change in their individual velocities. However, the total momentum of the system after interaction can be expressed as:

 $\Sigma p_{final} = m_1 v_1' + m_2 v_2',$

where v_1' and v_2' represent the final velocities of the objects.

By applying the conservation of linear momentum, we can equate the initial and final total momenta:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma p_{initial} &= \Sigma p_{final}, \\ m_1 v_1 &+ m_2 v_2 &= m_1 v_1' + m_2 v_2'. \end{split}$$

This equation demonstrates that the total momentum of the system is conserved during the interaction, regardless of the specific forces and changes in velocities involved.

The conservation of linear momentum has wide-ranging applications in diverse fields, such as analyzing the behavior of particles in collisions, predicting the motion of projectiles, understanding the dynamics of fluids, and even exploring the movement of celestial bodies in space. By utilizing mathematical formulations and understanding the principles behind the conservation of linear momentum, scientists can accurately describe and predict the behavior of objects and systems, contributing to our scientific knowledge and technological advancements.

Example: Conservation of Linear Momentum

A particle with a mass of 2 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 4 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 3 kg. After the collision, the 2 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 1 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 3 kg particle?

Solution:

According to the conservation of linear momentum, the total linear momentum before the collision is equal to the total linear momentum after the collision. The linear momentum of an object is defined as the product of its mass and velocity.

Let the final velocity of the 3 kg particle be denoted as v.

Therefore, the total linear momentum before the collision is

$$2\,kg \times 4\,\frac{m}{s} + 3\,kg \times 0\,\frac{m}{s}$$

The total linear momentum after the collision is

 $2kg \times \frac{(-1)m}{s} + 3kg \times v.$

Setting the initial and final momenta equal, we have:

$$2kg \times 4\frac{m}{s} = 2kg \times \frac{(-1)m}{s} + 3kg \times v$$

Simplifying the equation: $8\frac{kgm}{s} = -2\frac{kgm}{s} + 3v$

Rearranging and solving for v, 3v = 10m/s

$$v = \frac{10}{3} \frac{m}{s}$$

Therefore, the final velocity of the 3 kg particle after the collision is $\frac{10}{2}$ m/s.

2.3 Conservation of Angular Momentum

The conservation of angular momentum can be expressed using the cross product, which provides a mathematical representation of rotational motion.

The angular momentum (L) of an object or system can be defined as the cross product of the object's moment of inertia (I) and its angular velocity (ω):

$$L = I * \omega,$$

where L represents the angular momentum, I is the moment of inertia, and ω denotes the angular velocity.

The moment of inertia (I) quantifies the object's resistance to rotational motion and depends on its mass distribution and the axis of rotation. It can be represented as a tensor or matrix depending on the object's shape and orientation.

The cross-product operation allows us to express the angular momentum vector (L) as:

$$L = r \times p$$
,

where r is the position vector from the axis of rotation to the object, and p is the linear momentum vector.

The conservation of angular momentum arises from the principle that the net external torque acting on an isolated system is zero. Mathematically, this can be expressed as:

$$\Sigma \tau_{external} = 0$$

where $\Sigma \tau_{external}$ represents the sum of external torques acting on the system.

When the sum of external torques is zero, the total angular momentum of the system remains constant. This can be mathematically expressed as:

 $\Sigma L_{initial} = \Sigma L_{final}$,

where $\Sigma L_{initial}$ represents the sum of the initial angular momenta of all objects in the system, and ΣL_{final} represents the sum of their final angular momenta.

To illustrate this concept using the cross product, consider a system consisting of multiple objects. The initial angular momentum of the system can be calculated as:

 $\Sigma L_{initial} = \Sigma (r_{i} \times p_{i}),$

where r_i and p_i represent the position and linear momentum vectors of each object.

After an interaction or change in configuration, the final angular momentum of the system can be expressed as:

 $\Sigma L_{final} = \Sigma (r_i' \times p_i'),$

where r_i' and p_i' represent the updated position and linear momentum vectors of each object.

According to the conservation of angular momentum, if the net external torque acting on the system is zero, the initial sum of angular momenta will be equal to the final sum of angular momenta:

$$\Sigma L_{initial} = \Sigma L_{final}, \Sigma (r_i \times p_i) = \Sigma (r'_i \times p'_i)$$

This mathematical expression using the cross product demonstrates the conservation of angular momentum in a system where external torques are absent.

By utilizing the cross product and understanding the principles of the conservation of angular momentum, scientists can mathematically describe and predict the rotational behavior of objects and systems. This principle is fundamental in rotational dynamics and provides a powerful tool for analyzing and understanding rotational motion in various scientific and engineering applications.

2.4 The Conservation of Energy

The conservation of energy is a fundamental principle in physics that states that the total energy of an isolated system remains constant over time. In other words, energy cannot be created or destroyed; it can only be transferred or transformed from one form to another.

Mathematically, the conservation of energy can be expressed as:

 $E_{initial} = E_{final}$

where $E_{initial}$ represents the total initial energy of the system, and E_{final} represents the total final energy of the system.

The total energy of a system can exists in various forms, including kinetic energy, potential energy, thermal energy, electromagnetic energy, and more. These different forms of energy can be interconverted, but the sum of all forms remains constant within an isolated system.

The conservation of energy is derived from the law of energy conservation, which is a consequence of the time symmetry of physical laws. It is based on the principle that the laws of physics remain unchanged regardless of whether time is moving forward or backward.

Let's consider a system with various forms of energy, such as kinetic energy (KE) and potential energy (PE). The total energy (E) of the system can be defined as the sum of these individual energies:

$$E = KE + PE.$$

To analyze the conservation of energy over a certain process or time interval, we can integrate the rate of change of energy with respect to time. This can be represented as:

$$\int \frac{dE}{dt} dt = \int_0^t dE = E_{final} - E_{initial},$$

where $\int \frac{dE}{dt} dt$ represents the integral of the rate of change of energy with respect to time over the interval from the initial time (0) to the final time (t).

*E*_{final} and *E*_{initial} represent the total energy of the system at the final and initial times, respectively.

According to the conservation of energy, if no energy enters or leaves the system during the process, the change in total energy ($\Delta E = E_{final} - E_{initial}$) will be zero:

$$\int_0^t dE = 0,$$

This implies that the integral of the rate of change of energy over time is zero, indicating that the total energy of the system remains constant.

2.5 The Work Energy Theorem

The relationship between kinetic energy and the work-energy theorem can be derived from Newton's laws of motion. Let's explore it:

Newton's second law states that the net force (F_{net}) acting on an object is equal to the mass (m) of the object multiplied by its acceleration (a):

$$F_{net} = m * a.$$

Considering a one-dimensional motion along a straight line, if the object starts from rest (*initial velocity*, $v_i = 0$) and reaches a final velocity (v_f), we can express the acceleration as:

$$a = \frac{v_f - v_i}{t},$$

where t represents the time interval.

Substituting this into Newton's second law, we have:

$$F_{net} = m * \frac{(v_f - v_i)}{t}.$$

Rearranging the equation, we obtain:

$$F_{net} * t = m * (v_f - v_i).$$

Now, let's consider the definition of work (W). Work is done on an object when a force acts on it, causing it to move over a certain distance (d).

Mathematically, work is given by the equation:

$$W = F * d * cos(\theta),$$

where F is the force applied, d is the displacement, and θ is the angle between the force and displacement vectors.

In the case of motion in a straight line, where the force and displacement are parallel or antiparallel, the equation simplifies to:

$$W = F * d.$$

22

If we substitute $F_{net} * t$ for F and rearrange the equation, we have:

$$W = (F_{net} * t) * \frac{d}{t} = F_{net} * d.$$

Now, let's examine the relationship between work and kinetic energy. The work done on an object is equal to the change in its kinetic energy (ΔKE).

Mathematically, we can express this as:

 $W = \Delta KE$.

Combining the equations, we have:

 $F_{net} * d = \Delta KE.$

Since we know that the net force times the displacement is equal to the change in kinetic energy, we have derived the work-energy theorem.

Additionally, kinetic energy (KE) is given by the equation:

 $KE = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)m v^2,$

where m is the mass of the object and v is its velocity.

Applying the work-energy theorem, we can equate the work done on the object to the change in kinetic energy:

 $F_{net} * d = \Delta KE = KE_f - KE_i.$

Substituting the equation for kinetic energy, we have:

$$F_{net} * d = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m v_f^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m v_i^2.$$

Simplifying further, we get:

$$F_{net} * d = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m \left(v_f^2 - v_i^2\right).$$

In conclusion, using Newton's second law, the work-energy theorem relates the net force acting on an object to the change in its kinetic energy. The work done on the object is equal to the change in kinetic energy, which is expressed mathematically as $F_{net} * d = \Delta KE$. This relationship demonstrates how forces and motion affect the energy of an object.



Example: Conservation of Energy

A block of mass 2 kg is released from a height of 5 m above the ground. The block slides down a frictionless incline and reaches the bottom with a velocity of 10 m/s. What is the final kinetic energy of the block at the bottom of the incline?

Solution : According to the conservation of energy, the total mechanical energy of the block (kinetic energy + potential energy) remains constant, assuming no energy is lost to non-conservative forces.

The initial mechanical energy of the block is the potential energy at the top, given by $m \cdot g \cdot h$,

where *m* is the mass=2 kg,

g is the acceleration due to gravity =9.8 m/ s^2 ,

h is the height =5 m.

Therefore, the initial mechanical energy is $2 kg * 9.8 \frac{m}{c^2} * 5 m$

The final mechanical energy is the kinetic energy at the bottom, given by

 $\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}^2$, where \boldsymbol{v} is the velocity at the bottom (10 m/s).

Setting the initial and final mechanical energies equal, we have:

$$2 kg * 9.8 \frac{m}{s^2} * 5 m = \frac{1}{2} * 2 kg * \left(10 \frac{m}{s}\right)^2$$

Simplifying the equation: 98J = 100J

Therefore, the final kinetic energy of the block at the bottom of the incline is 100 J.

2.6 Constraint- Coordinates, Degree of Freedom

In the context of mechanics, a constraint refers to a condition or limitation that restricts the motion of a system. Constraints play a crucial role in analyzing and understanding the behavior of mechanical systems.

Example: Consider a box placed on an inclined plane. The constraint here is that the box must remain in contact with the plane, preventing it from sliding off. This constraint limits the box's motion to be along the surface of the inclined plane.



Figure2. 1: box placed on an inclined plane.

Coordinates:

In a two-dimensional coordinate system, the coordinates can be represented as x and y. These coordinates specify the position of a point in the plane, where x denotes the horizontal position and y denotes the vertical position.

Generalized Coordinates:

Imagine a system consisting of two interconnected masses moving in a vertical plane. We can choose the vertical positions $(y_1 \text{ and } y_2)$ of the masses as generalized coordinates instead of using Cartesian coordinates. The generalized coordinates provide a concise description of the system's configuration.

A constraint can be expressed mathematically as a relationship or equation involving the coordinates of the system. This equation imposes a restriction on the possible values of the coordinates and governs the motion of the system. The general form of a constraint equation is given as:

$f(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n, t) = 0$

Here, $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ represent the generalized coordinates of the system, which are variables that describe the configuration or position of the system in its coordinate space. The constraint equation relates these coordinates in a manner that reflects the limitations on the system's motion.

The constraint equation can involve various types of mathematical expressions, such as algebraic equations, trigonometric equations, or differential equations. The specific form of the constraint equation depends on the nature of the system and the particular constraints involved.

It's important to note that constraints can arise from physical considerations, geometric properties, or design requirements of the system. Examples of constraints include fixed connections, rigid constraints, rolling conditions, or prescribed paths for certain components.

Degree of Freedom:

In the above context, the degree of freedom (DOF) refers to the number of independent parameters or variables required to fully describe the configuration or motion of a system. It quantifies the number of ways in which a system can move or change its state without violating any imposed constraints.

The degree of freedom provides important insights into the behavior and dynamics of a system. It helps determine the complexity of the system and the number of independent variables needed to represent its complete state.

To determine the degree of freedom of a system, we consider the following:

- 1. Counting independent variables: First, we identify the independent variables needed to describe the system's configuration or motion. These variables are typically the generalized coordinates that represent the independent degrees of freedom.
- 2. Imposing constraints: Next, we take into account any constraints present in the system. Constraints restrict the motion of the system and introduce dependencies between the variables.
- 3. Calculating the degree of freedom: The degree of freedom is calculated as the difference between the total number of independent variables and the number of constraints. It represents the remaining number of independent parameters that govern the system's behavior.

For example, consider a simple pendulum consisting of a mass attached to a fixed point by a string. The pendulum's motion is constrained by the length of the string and the requirement that the mass moves along a circular path. In this case, we can describe the pendulum using a single generalized coordinate, such as the angle made by the string with respect to the vertical direction.



Figure2. 2: A simple pendulum consisting of a mass attached to a fixed point by a string.

The degree of freedom in this case is one, as the motion of the pendulum can be fully described using a single coordinate, such as the angle (θ) made by the string with the vertical.

Mathematically, the degree of freedom (DOF) of a mechanical system can be determined by analyzing the constraints and independent variables involved.

Let's consider a system with N particles, each having d degrees of freedom, resulting in a total of $D=N\times d$ degrees of freedom.

To calculate the DOF, we need to consider both the number of independent variables and the constraints imposed on the system. Constraints can be expressed as equations or inequalities involving the coordinates and velocities of the system.

Question: Consider a system consisting of three particles in three-dimensional space. Each particle has three degrees of freedom. How many degrees of freedom does the system have in total?

Solution : Since each particle has three degrees of freedom, the total degrees of freedom for the system can be calculated by multiplying the number of particles (N) by the number of degrees of freedom per particle (d).

In this case, N=3 and d=3, so the total degrees of freedom (D) is given by

N×*d*=3×3=9.

Therefore, the system has a total of 9 degrees of freedom.

2.7 Holonomic and Non-Holonomic Systems

Let's denote the number of constraints as *K*. These constraints can be categorized as holonomic or non-holonomic, depending on whether they can be expressed solely in terms of the coordinates or involve the velocities as well.

For a holonomic constraint, the constraint equation can be written as $(q_1, q_2, ..., q_D, t) = 0$, where $q_1, q_2, ..., q_D$ represent the generalized coordinates and *t* represents time.

Let's consider a system of two particles connected by a rigid rod, where the length of the rod remains constant.

The constraint equation is $f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 - L^2 = 0$, where (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) represent the positions of the particles, and *L* is the fixed length of the rod. This equation ensures that the distance between the particles remains constant.

For a non-holonomic constraint, the constraint can be represented by an inequality $g(q_1, q_2, ..., q_D, q_1, q_2, ..., q_D, t) \ge 0$, where $q_1, q_2, ..., q_D$ denote the corresponding velocities.

Consider a car moving on a straight road. The constraint here is that the car can only move forward or backward, but not sideways. This constraint restricts the car's motion and can be expressed by the inequality $v_x \neq 0$, where v_x represents the car's velocity in the horizontal direction.

The DOF (F) can be calculated as the difference between the total number of degrees of freedom and the number of independent constraint equations or inequalities:

$$F = D - K$$

In some cases, the DOF can be determined by analyzing the rank of the constraint equations or by employing constraint analysis techniques such as the principle of virtual work, Lagrange multipliers, or constraint matrices.

Summary

• A constraint can be expressed mathematically as a relationship or equation involving the coordinates of the system. This equation imposes a restriction on the possible values of the coordinates and governs the motion of the system. The general form of a constraint equation is given as:

 $f(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_n,t)=0$

Here, $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ represent the generalized coordinates of the system, which are variables that describe the configuration or position of the system in its coordinate space. The constraint equation relates these coordinates in a manner that reflects the limitations on the system's motion.

• To determine the degree of freedom of a system, we consider the following:

-Counting independent variables: First, we identify the independent variables needed to describe the system's configuration or motion. These variables are typically the generalized coordinates that represent the independent degrees of freedom.

-Imposing constraints: Next, we take into account any constraints present in the system. Constraints restrict the motion of the system and introduce dependencies between the variables.

-Calculating the degree of freedom: The degree of freedom is calculated as the difference between the total number of independent variables and the number of constraints. It represents the remaining number of independent parameters that govern the system's behavior.

For a holonomic constraint, the constraint equation can be written as (q₁, q₂,..., q_D, t) = 0, where q₁, q₂,..., q_D represent the generalized coordinates and *t* represents time.

Notes

• For a non-holonomic constraint, the constraint can be represented by an inequality $g(q_1, q_2, ..., q_D, q_1, q_2, ..., q_D, t) \ge 0$, where $q_1, q_2, ..., q_D$ denote the corresponding velocities.

Keywords

- **Conservation of momentum:** The principle that the total momentum of an isolated system remains constant unless acted upon by external forces. In collisions or interactions, the total momentum before and after the event remains the same.
- **Potential energy:** The stored energy possessed by an object due to its position or configuration within a system. It is associated with the forces acting on the object, such as gravitational potential energy or elastic potential energy.
- **Kinetic energy:** The energy possessed by an object due to its motion. It is dependent on the mass and velocity of the object and is given by the equation KE = 1/2 * mass * velocity^2.
- **Conservative forces**: Forces that are path-independent and do not dissipate energy. They include gravitational forces and elastic forces, and their work done is dependent only on the initial and final positions of an object.
- Non-conservative forces: Forces that are path-dependent and dissipate energy. They include frictional forces, air resistance, and drag forces. Their work done depends on the specific path taken by an object and results in a loss of mechanical energy from the system.
- **Degree of freedom:** The number of independent parameters or variables required to describe the configuration or motion of a system. It represents the number of ways a system can move or change without violating any constraints.

Generalized coordinate: A set of independent variables that describe the configuration or position of a system. These coordinates are often chosen based on the specific constraints and degrees of freedom of the system and provide a concise representation of its state.

Constraints: Conditions or limitations that restrict the motion or behavior of a system. Constraints can be expressed mathematically as equations or inequalities involving the coordinates and velocities of the system, and they play a crucial role in analyzing mechanical systems.

Holonomic constraints: Constraints that can be expressed solely in terms of the coordinates of the system. They do not involve the velocities or time explicitly and can often be represented by equations or equalities.

Non-holonomic constraints: Constraints that involve both the coordinates and velocities of the system. They are typically expressed as inequalities and impose additional restrictions on the motion or behavior of the system.

Self Assessment

- 1. A particle with a mass of 3 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 5 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 6 kg. After the collision, the 3 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 2 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 6 kg particle?
- A. 1 m/s
- B. 2 m/s
- C. 3 m/s
- D. 4 m/s

- 2. A particle with a mass of 5 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 7 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 8 kg. After the collision, the 5 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 3 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 8 kg particle?
- A. -1 m/s
- B. -2 m/s
- C. -3 m/s
- D. -4 m/s
- 3. A particle with a mass of 4 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 6 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 2 kg. After the collision, the 4 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 3 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 2 kg particle?
- A. 0.5 m/s
- B. 1 m/s
- C. 1.5 m/s
- D. 2 m/s
- 4. A particle with a mass of 6 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 9 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 3 kg. After the collision, the 6 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 4 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 3 kg particle?
- A. 2 m/s
- B. 3 m/s
- C. 4 m/s
- D. 5 m/s
- 5. A particle with a mass of 7 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 10 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 5 kg. After the collision, the 7 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 6 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 5 kg particle?
- A. -1 m/s
- B. -2 m/s
- C. -3 m/s
- D. -4 m/s
- 6. A system consisting of N particles, each having d degrees of freedom, will have a total of how many degrees of freedom?
- A. N
- B. d
- C. N+d
- D. N×d
- 7. The degree of freedom of a rigid body in a three-dimensional space is:
- A. 3
- B. 6
- C. 9
- D. 12

- 8. How does the number of constraints affect the degrees of freedom of a system?
- A. Increases the degrees of freedom
- B. Decreases the degrees of freedom
- C. Has no effect on the degrees of freedom
- D. Can increase or decrease the degrees of freedom depending on the system
- 9. A system with zero degrees of freedom means:
- A. The system is completely fixed or immobile
- B. The system can move in any direction
- C. The system has unlimited degrees of freedom
- D. The system has reached a state of equilibrium
- 10. Which of the following is an example of a system with one degree of freedom?
- A. A pendulum swinging back and forth
- B. A car moving freely in three-dimensional space
- C. A ball rolling on a horizontal surface
- D. A block sliding down an inclined plane
- 11. A system consists of three particles in two-dimensional space. Each particle has two degrees of freedom. What is the total number of degrees of freedom for the system?
- A. 2
- B. 3
- C. 4
- D. 6
- 12. A system consists of five particles, each with three degrees of freedom. What is the total number of degrees of freedom for the system?
- A. 5
- B. 8
- C. 10
- D. 15
- 13. Which of the following systems has the highest number of degrees of freedom?
- A. A single particle moving in one-dimensional space
- B. A single particle moving in two-dimensional space
- C. A single particle moving in three-dimensional space
- D. A system of three particles, each moving in one-dimensional space
- 14. In a system of N particles, if each particle has d degrees of freedom and there are C constraints, what is the effective number of degrees of freedom?
- A. N
- B. d

- C. N+d
- D. N-C
- 15. A mechanical linkage consists of four interconnected bars connected by joints. If each joint allows for one degree of rotational freedom, how many degrees of freedom does the mechanical linkage have?
- A. 1
- B. 2
- C. 3
- D. 4

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	С	3.	D	4.	В	5.	С
6.	D	7.	В	8.	В	9.	А	10.	А
11.	D	12.	С	13.	С	14.	В	15.	D

Review Questions

- 1. Consider a system of four objects interconnected by rigid rods in a plane. Each object has two degrees of freedom. How many degrees of freedom does the system have?
- 2. A robot arm consists of three segments connected by rotational joints. Each joint has one degree of freedom. How many degrees of freedom does the robot arm possess?
- 3. A particle with a mass of 4 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 8 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 9 kg. After the collision, the 4 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 2 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 6 kg particle?
- 4. A particle with a mass of 5 kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 10m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 10kg. After the collision, the 4 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 2 m/s. What is the final velocity of the 6 kg particle?
- 5. A particle with a mass of 10kg is moving to the right with a velocity of 10 m/s. It collides with a stationary particle of mass 10 kg. After the collision, the 10 kg particle moves to the left with a velocity of 5m/s. What is the final velocity of the 15 kg particle?



Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 03: Lagrange's Equation of First and Second Kind

CONTENTS					
Objecti	Objectives				
Introdu	uction				
3.1	Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems Top of Form				
3.2	Standard form of Lagrange's equations				
3.3	Lagrange's Equation of First Kind				
3.4	Lagrange's Equation of Second Kind				
3.5	Generalised Potential				
Summary					
Keywords					
Self Assessment					
Answers for Self Assessment					
Review Questions					
Further Readings					

Objectives

Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems, Lagrange's Equation of First and Second Kind, and Generalized Potential are important concepts in the study of mechanical dynamics. They offer alternative frameworks for modeling and analyzing complex systems, allowing for more flexible representations and efficient equation formulation. These concepts enable the treatment of time-dependent constraints, provide a concise and elegant formulation of equations of motion, and facilitate energy-based analysis. Their multidisciplinary applications span various fields, and they provide deeper insights into system dynamics, allowing for better design, control, and optimization of mechanical systems.

After this unit you will be able to -

- 1. Understand the concept of Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems
- 2. Learn Lagrange'S Equation of First and Second Kind.
- 3. Derive Lagrange's equations for different mechanical systems and apply them to solve specific problems..
- 4. Formulate the kinetic and potential energy expressions, and using Lagrange's equations to obtain the equations of motion.
- 5. Apply the concept of Generalized Potential in engineering and scientific endeavors.

Introduction

Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems are fundamental concepts in the field of mechanical dynamics that help us understand and analyze the behavior of physical systems. Scleronomic systems involve constraints that remain fixed and time-independent throughout the system's motion, while Rheonomic systems allow for time-dependent constraints. These systems play a crucial role in various engineering and scientific disciplines, enabling us to model and predict the dynamics of complex mechanical systems. By studying these concepts, we gain insights into how different types of constraints affect the motion, stability, and equilibrium conditions of a system. Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems provide a framework for formulating mathematical equations that describe the
behavior of mechanical systems, allowing us to derive equations of motion and analyze system dynamics.

Lagrange's Equation of First and Second Kind is a powerful mathematical tool that revolutionized the study of mechanical dynamics. It provides an alternative approach to formulating the equations of motion for mechanical systems, offering a concise and elegant framework for analysis. Lagrange's equations allow us to describe the behavior of complex systems by considering the system's generalized coordinates, kinetic energy, and potential energy.

The first kind of Lagrange's equation expresses the system's equations of motion in terms of the generalized coordinates, velocities, and the partial derivatives of the system's Lagrangian function with respect to these variables. This formulation eliminates the need for external forces and facilitates the study of systems with constraints.

The second kind of Lagrange's equation introduces the concept of generalized forces, which enables us to analyze the effect of constraints and external forces on the system's motion. By incorporating generalized forces, we can determine the forces that act on the system and understand how they influence its dynamics.

Lagrange's Equation of First and Second Kind provides a powerful and flexible approach for analyzing the dynamics of mechanical systems. Its applications extend to a wide range of fields, including robotics, aerospace engineering, and physics, enabling engineers and scientists to model, simulate, and optimize complex systems with precision and efficiency.

Generalized Potential is a concept that plays a crucial role in the analysis of mechanical systems, particularly in understanding the energy transformations and conservative forces within a system. It provides a valuable tool for simplifying the formulation of equations of motion and gaining deeper insights into the behavior of physical systems.

Generalized Potential is a scalar function that relates to the potential energy of a system in terms of its generalized coordinates. It captures the energy changes associated with displacements along the generalized coordinates, providing a comprehensive picture of the system's energy landscape.

By utilizing the concept of Generalized Potential, engineers and scientists can analyze and predict the equilibrium configurations, stability, and response of mechanical systems. It allows for a concise representation of the energy state of a system and offers a framework for identifying stable configurations and energy-minimizing paths.

In summary, Generalized Potential provides a valuable tool for understanding the energy behavior, conservative forces, and stability of mechanical systems. Its application allows for a comprehensive analysis of system dynamics and aids in the optimization and design of various engineering systems.

3.1 Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems Top of Form

Scleronomic and Rheonomic Systems are fundamental concepts in mechanical dynamics that describe the behavior of physical systems with different types of constraints. In Scleronomic Systems, constraints are time-independent and remain fixed throughout the motion of the system. Mathematically, these constraints can be expressed as equations that relate the generalized coordinates and their derivatives. On the other hand, Rheonomic Systems involve time-dependent constraints, where the constraints themselves can vary with time. In these systems, the constraints are typically described by differential equations that involve the generalized coordinates and time explicitly.

In Scleronomic Systems, the constraints are time-independent and remain fixed throughout the motion of the system. Mathematically, these constraints can be expressed as equations that relate the generalized coordinates, denoted as q_i (where i ranges from 1 to n), and their derivatives (\dot{q}_i) to each other. These constraints can be written as functions of the form:

$$f_j(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) = 0$$

where j ranges from 1 to m, representing the total number of constraints in the system.

These equations embody the fixed relationships among the generalized coordinates, capturing the inherent constraints that shape the system's motion.

Example

For example, a simple pendulum consists of a mass suspended from a fixed point by a light string or rod.

The length of the string and the force of gravity acting on the mass are the constraints that determine the motion of the pendulum. These constraints do not depend on time, so the system is scleronomic.



Figure 3. 1: A simple pendulum consists of a mass suspended from a fixed point.

Mathematically, a scleronomic system can be described using constraints that are time-independent.

These constraints can be written as equations that must be satisfied by the position and velocity of the system. For example, the constraints on a simple pendulum can be written as:

$$L = \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}$$

where L is the length of the pendulum, x and y are the Cartesian coordinates of the mass, and the equation represents the fact that the mass is constrained to move in a circle of fixed radius L.

|≡] ₩—Example

34

Similarly, the constraints on a block sliding down an inclined plane can be written as:

$$y = tan\theta * x$$

where y is the height of the block above the ground, x is the distance traveled by the block along the plane, and theta is the angle of the plane.



Figure 3. 2: a block sliding down an inclined plane.

The equation represents the fact that the block is constrained to slide down the plane at a fixed angle θ .

In Rheonomic Systems, the constraints themselves are time-dependent, allowing for more dynamic behavior. The time-dependent constraints are typically described by differential equations that explicitly involve the generalized coordinates and time. These equations take the form:

$$\varphi_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t) = 0$$

where φ_i represents the time-dependent constraint equations.

By solving these mathematical equations, engineers and scientists can determine the system's equations of motion, analyze stability, predict trajectories, and study the behavior of mechanical systems governed by constraints.

Example

Examples of rheonomic systems include a rocket accelerating in space, a swinging double pendulum, and a car driving on a bumpy road.

The motion of a rocket in space can be described by Newton's second law of motion, which is a second-order differential equation:

$$F = m * \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2},$$

where F is the force acting on the rocket, m is the mass of the rocket, and x is the position of the rocket.

A car driving on a bumpy road is a rheonomic system because the constraints (i.e., the shape of the road) change as the car moves. The motion of the car depends on the shape of the road at each point in time, so the system is rheonomic.

In summary, the key difference between scleronomic and rheonomic systems is whether or not the constraints of the system depend on time.

3.2 Standard form of Lagrange's equations

The standard form of Lagrange's equations is derived using the principle of least action. Let's go through the derivation:

1. Start with the principle of least action: According to this principle, the true motion of a mechanical system is the one that minimizes the action integral over a given time interval. The action, denoted as *S*, is defined as:

$$S = \int [L(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, ..., \dot{q}_n, t)] dt$$

where L is the Lagrangian, which is a function of the generalized coordinates q_i , their time derivatives q'_i , and time t.

- 2. Introduce virtual displacements: Consider a virtual displacement, δq_i , where each generalized coordinate q_i is perturbed by an infinitesimally small amount. These virtual displacements are subject to the condition that the endpoints of the motion are fixed.
- Variation of the action integral: Now, we vary the action integral with respect to the virtual displacements δq_i while keeping the endpoints fixed. This gives us:

$$\delta S = \int \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \, \delta \dot{q}_{i} \right] dt$$

4. Integrate the second term by parts: Integrate the second term in δS by parts, treating $\delta \dot{q}_i$ as the variable to be differentiated and integrating the $\partial L/\partial q_i$ term:

$$\delta S = \int \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) \, \delta q_{i} \right] \, dt \, + \, \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} \right]$$

Apply the Euler-Lagrange equations: To minimize the action, we set $\delta S = 0$. This implies that the integrand must vanish for arbitrary variations, leading to the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\rm i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\rm i}} \right) = 0$$

These are the standard form of Lagrange's equations, where the left-hand side represents the generalized forces and the right-hand side represents the rate of change of momentum. The equations describe the dynamics of the system and determine the equations of motion.

By solving these equations, you can obtain the equations of motion for a mechanical system governed by the Lagrangian $L(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, ..., \dot{q}_n, t)$.

3.3 Lagrange's Equation of First Kind

Let's derive the Lagrange's equation of motion of the first kind.

We start with the standard form of Lagrange's equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = 0$$

where *L* represents the Lagrangian, q_i denotes the generalized coordinates, and \dot{q}_i represents the corresponding generalized velocities.

To introduce the Lagrange multiplier, let's consider a constrained system with m constraints of the form:

$$f_{a}(q_{1}, q_{2}, ..., q_{n}, t) = 0 \text{ for } a = 1, 2, ..., m$$

Now, we modify Lagrange's equations to incorporate these constraints. We introduce the Lagrange multiplier λ_a for each constraint and construct the new function called the Lagrangian with the constraints:

$$L'(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n, t) = L(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n, t) + \Sigma \lambda_a f_a(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t)$$

To derive the equations of motion with these constraints, we use the principle of virtual work. We consider an arbitrary virtual displacement δq_i that satisfies the constraints, i.e., $\Sigma \delta q_i \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial a_i} = 0$.

The principle of virtual work states that the virtual work δW done by the forces is zero:

$$\delta W = \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial L'}{\partial q_{i}} \delta q_{i} + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta \dot{q}_{i} \right) dt = 0$$

Substituting the expression for L':

$$\delta W = \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \, \delta \dot{q}_{i} + \Sigma \, \lambda_{a} \left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} \right) \right) dt = 0$$

Now, applying the principle of virtual work, $\delta W = 0$, and rearranging terms:

$$\delta W = \Sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) \right) \delta q_{i} + \Sigma \lambda_{a} \left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \delta q_{i} \right) \right] dt = 0$$

Since δq_i is arbitrary, the coefficients of δq_i and δq_i must vanish independently:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) + \Sigma \lambda_{a} \left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \right) = 0$$
(3a)

Additionally, the constraints $f_a(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, t) = 0$ must hold:

$$f_{a}(q_{1}, q_{2}, ..., q_{n}, t) = 0$$

(3b)

Equation (3a) represents the generalized equations of motion incorporating the Lagrange multiplier . Equation (3b) represents the constraints of the system.

By solving Equation (3a) along with Equation (3a), we can determine the equations of motion for the constrained system in terms of the Lagrange multiplier.

First, let's differentiate Equation (3b) with respect to time t:

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_{a}(q_{1}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{n}, t) = 0$$

Using the chain rule, we have:

$$\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} + \frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial t} = 0$$

Rearranging this equation, we get:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\rm a}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial f_{\rm a}}{\partial q_{\rm i}} \dot{q}_{\rm i}$$

Substituting this result into Equation (3a), we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) + \Sigma \lambda_{a} \left(- \frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} \right) = 0$$

Expanding the sum over a, we have:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\rm i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\rm i}} \right) - \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial f_{\rm a}}{\partial q_{\rm i}} \, \dot{q}_{\rm i} \, \lambda_{\rm a} \right) = 0$$

Now, we can rearrange this equation as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} + \Sigma\left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}}\dot{q}_{i}\lambda_{a}\right) = 0$$

Comparing this equation to the standard form of Lagrange's equations, we can identify:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\rm i}} \lambda_{\rm a} = -\frac{\partial f_{\rm a}}{\partial q_{\rm i}} \dot{q}_{\rm i}$$

This equation relates the Lagrange multiplier λ_a to the constraints and generalized velocities.

To summarize, the equations of motion for the constrained system, incorporating the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda_{a\nu}$ can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) + \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} \lambda_{a} \right) = 0$$

These equations, along with the constraints $f_a(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, t) = 0$, describe the dynamics of the system with constraints in terms of the Lagrange multiplier λ_a .

Example

Consider a simple pendulum of length L with a mass m at the end. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.

Solution:

Let θ be the angle the pendulum makes with the vertical direction.

The Lagrangian of the system is given by L = T - U, where *T* is the kinetic energy and *U* is the potential energy.

 $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) mL^2\theta^2$ (kinetic energy of the pendulum)

 $U = -m g L \cos(\theta)$ (potential energy of the pendulum)

The Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)mL^2\theta^2 + mgL\cos(\theta)$.

Now, we can apply Lagrange's equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Applying the derivatives:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m L^2 \dot{\theta}) - (-m g L \sin(\theta)) = 0$$

 $m L^2 \theta + m g L sin(\theta) = 0$

This is the equation of motion for the simple pendulum.

Problem:

A particle of mass m moves on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation y = f(x). Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.

Solution:

Let *x* be the generalized coordinate for the particle's position.

The Lagrangian of the system is given by L = T - U, where *T* is the kinetic energy and *U* is the potential energy.

 $T = (1/2) m (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)$ (kinetic energy of the particle)

U = m g f(x) (potential energy of the particle)

The Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) - m g f(x).$

Now, we can apply Lagrange's equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0$$

Applying the derivatives:

$$m\,\ddot{x}\,-\,m\,g\,f'(x)\,=\,0$$

This is the equation of motion for the particle moving on the smooth, curved surface.

3.4 Lagrange's Equation of Second Kind

To derive Lagrange's equations of motion of the second kind, also known as the generalized forces form, let's start with the Lagrangian formulation of a mechanical system:

$$L(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, ..., \dot{q}_n, t) = T - V$$

where L represents the Lagrangian, T is the kinetic energy, V is the potential energy, q_i denotes the generalized coordinates, and q_i represents the corresponding generalized velocities.

The Lagrange's equations of motion of the second kind are given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}} = Q_{i}$$

where Q_i represents the generalized forces acting on the system.

To derive these equations, we'll follow these steps:

1. Compute the partial derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to the generalized velocities:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}$$

2. Apply the chain rule to differentiate $\partial L/\partial q_i$ with respect to time:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)$$

3. Compute the partial derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to the generalized coordinates:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\rm i}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{\rm i}} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{\rm i}}$$

4. Introduce the generalized forces Q_i :

$$Q_{\rm i} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{\rm i}}$$

5. Combine the results to obtain Lagrange's equations of motion of the second kind:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}} = Q_{i}$$

These equations relate the rates of change of the generalized momenta $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i}\right)$ to the generalized forces Q_i and the time derivatives of the generalized coordinates. They describe the dynamics of the system and determine the equations of motion.

By solving Lagrange's equations of the second kind, you can determine the behavior of the mechanical system in terms of the generalized coordinates and velocities, as well as the forces acting on the system.

3.5 <u>Generalised Potential</u>

For charged particles moving in an electromagnetic field, the Lagrangian formulation takes into account the electromagnetic potential energy. The generalized potential energy, often referred to as the generalized potential, incorporates the interaction between charged particles and the electromagnetic field. Here's how you can derive the generalized potential in the Lagrangian for charged particles:

- 1. Start with the kinetic energy term: The kinetic energy for a charged particle with mass m and velocity v is given by $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m v^2$.
- 2. Introduce the electromagnetic potential: In the presence of an electromagnetic field, the charged particle interacts with the electric potential φ and the magnetic potential A. These potentials are related to the electric field E and magnetic field B, respectively, through

$$E = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$
 and $B = \nabla \times A$.

3. Incorporate the interaction in the Lagrangian: The Lagrangian L for the charged particle in the electromagnetic field is the difference between the kinetic energy and the electromagnetic potential energy.

It can be written as L = T - U, where U represents the generalized potential.

4. Express the generalized potential: The generalized potential U is given by

 $U = q\varphi - qv \cdot A,$

where *q* is the charge of the particle and $v \cdot A$ represents the dot product between the velocity vector v and the magnetic potential *A*.

5. Combine the terms: Substituting the expression for *U* into the Lagrangian, we have

 $L = T - (q\varphi - qv \cdot A).$

6. Final form of the Lagrangian: The Lagrangian *L* for a charged particle in an electromagnetic field is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)mv^2 - q\varphi + qv \cdot A$.

By incorporating the generalized potential U, which involves the electric potential φ and the magnetic potential A, the Lagrangian accounts for the interaction between charged particles and the electromagnetic field. The resulting equations of motion derived from this Lagrangian would describe the behavior of the charged particles under the influence of the electromagnetic field.

Summary

- Scleronomic systems are mechanical systems where the constraints are time-independent and do not change with time.
- Rheonomic systems are mechanical systems where the constraints are time-dependent and can vary with time.
- In scleronomic systems, the constraints can be expressed as algebraic equations and are typically represented by fixed geometric relationships.

- In rheonomic systems, the constraints are described by differential equations and can change dynamically with time.
- Scleronomic systems have a fixed number of independent coordinates that completely describe the system's configuration.
- Rheonomic systems may have a variable number of coordinates as the constraints change over time.
- The concept of scleronomic and rheonomic systems is commonly used in the field of mechanics and dynamics to analyze the behavior of mechanical systems.
- Lagrange's equations of the first kind are applicable to scleronomic systems and are derived based on the principle of virtual work.
- Lagrange's equations of the second kind are applicable to rheonomic systems and are derived based on the principle of least action.
- Both Lagrange's equations of the first and second kind are fundamental equations in classical mechanics, used to describe the motion of particles and systems in terms of generalized coordinates and constraints.

Keywords

- **Scleronomic systems:** Scleronomic systems are mechanical systems where the constraints are time-independent and do not change with time.
- **Rheonomic systems :**Rheonomic systems are mechanical systems where the constraints are time-dependent and can vary with time.
- Standard form of Lagrange's equations:

the standard form of Lagrange's equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = 0$$

where *L* represents the Lagrangian, q_i denotes the generalized coordinates, and \dot{q}_i represents the corresponding generalized velocities.

Lagrange'S Equation of First Kind

To summarize, the equations of motion for the constrained system, incorporating the Lagrange multiplier λ_a , can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) + \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} \lambda_{a} \right) = 0$$

These equations, along with the constraints $f_a(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, t) = 0$, describe the dynamics of the system with constraints in terms of the Lagrange multiplier λ_a .

Lagrange'S Equation of Second Kind:

The Lagrange's equations of motion of the second kind are given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}} = Q_{i}$$

where *Q*_i represents the generalized forces acting on the system.

Self Assessment

- 1. In a mechanical system, a scleronomic constraint:
- A. Does not change with time
- B. Changes with time

- C. Can be easily modified
- D. Does not affect the system's motion
- 2. Which of the following is an example of a scleronomic constraint?
- A. A rotating wheel
- B. A pendulum swinging back and forth
- C. A car moving on a curved road
- D. A spring stretching and compressing
- 3. Rheonomic constraints in mechanical systems:
- A. Are time-independent
- B. Are time-dependent
- C. Cannot be represented mathematically
- D. Do not affect the system's motion
- 4. A system with rheonomic constraints is best described as:
- A. Dynamic
- B. Static
- C. Inconsistent
- D. Independent of time
- 5. When modeling a mechanical system, choosing to represent it as a scleronomic or rheonomic system depends on:
- A. The complexity of the system
- B. The system's size
- C. The system's material properties
- D. The type of forces acting on the system
- 6. For a particle of mass 2 kg moving on a smooth, curved surface given by $y = x^2$, the equation of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind is:
- A. $2 \ddot{x} + 4g x = 0$
- B. $\ddot{x} + 2g x = 0$
- C. $2\ddot{x} 4gx = 0$
- D. $\ddot{x} 2g x = 0$
- 7. For a particle of mass 3 kg moving on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation $y = 2x^2$, the equation of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind is:
- A. $3\ddot{x} + 12gx = 0$
- B. $\ddot{x} + 6g x = 0$
- C. $3 \ddot{x} 12g x = 0$
- D. $\ddot{x} 6g x = 0$

- 8. For a particle of mass 4 kg moving on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation $y = x^3$, the equation of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind is:
- A. $4\ddot{x} + 12g x^2 = 0$ B. $\ddot{x} + 3g x^2 = 0$ C. $4\ddot{x} - 12g x^2 = 0$ D. $\ddot{x} - 3g x^2 = 0$
- 9. For a particle of mass 5 kg moving on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation y = x, the equation of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind is:
- A. $5 \ddot{x} + 5g = 0$

B. $\ddot{x} + 2g = 0$

- C. $5 \ddot{x} 5g = 0$
- D. $\ddot{x} 2g = 0$
- 10. For a particle of mass 6 kg moving on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation y = sin(x), the equation of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind is:
- A. $6 \ddot{x} + 6g \sin(x) = 0$
- B. $\ddot{x} + 3g\sin(x) = 0$
- C. $6 \ddot{x} 6g \sin(x) = 0$
- D. $\ddot{x} 3g\sin(x) = 0$
- 11. For a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential φ = 10 V and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s. If $L = Pv^2 + Qtv + R$ is the Lagrangian for the particle Then the value of *P*
- A. 1
- B. 6
- C. -30
- D. 30
- 12. For a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential φ = 10 V and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s. If $L = Pv^2 + Qtv + R$ is the Lagrangian for the particle Then the value of Q
- A. 1
- B. 6
- C. -30
- D. 30
- 13. For a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential φ = 10 V and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s. If $L = Pv^2 + Qtv + R$ is the Lagrangian for the particle Then the value of *R*
- A. 1
- B. 6
- C. -30
- D. 30

42

- 14. For a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential φ = 10 V and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s. If $L = Pv^2 + Qtv + R$ is the Lagrangian for the particle Then the value of *P*
- A. 1.5
- B. 18
- C. -30
- D. 30
- 15. For a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential $\varphi = 10$ V and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s. If $L = Pv^2 + Qtv + R$ is the Lagrangian for the particle Then the value of Q
- A. 3/2
- B. 18
- C. -30
- D. 30

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	А	2.	С	3.	В	4.	А	5.	А
6.	А	7.	А	8.	А	9.	В	10.	А
11.	А	12.	В	13.	С	14.	А	15.	В

Review Questions

- 1. A particle of mass m moves on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation $y = x^2$. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.
- 2. A particle of mass 2kg moves on a smooth, curved surface given by the equation $y = x^2$. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.
- 3. Consider a simple pendulum of length 10 m with a mass m at the end. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.
- 4. Consider a simple pendulum of length l with a mass 10 kg at the end. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.
- 5. Consider a charged particle with mass m = 2 kg and charge q = 3 C moving in a region with an electric potential $\phi = 10 \text{ V}$ and a magnetic potential A = (2t) m/s, where t is time in seconds. Find final form of the Lagrangian.

ŨÛ

Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 04: Hamilton Canonical Equations, Cyclic Coordinates

CONTENTS						
Objectives						
Introduction						
4.1 Hamilton Canonical Equations						
4.2 Cyclic Coordinates						
Summary						
Keywords						
Self Assessment						
Answers for Self Assessment						
Review Questions						
Further Readings						

Objectives

The purpose of studying the Hamilton canonical equations is to provide a powerful mathematical framework for understanding the dynamics of classical mechanical systems. By introducing Hamiltonian mechanics, physicists and mathematicians can express the equations of motion in terms of generalized coordinates and momenta, simplifying the analysis of complex systems. The Hamilton canonical equations reveal the symmetries and conservation principles underlying conservative systems, enabling researchers to gain deeper insights into the behavior of physical systems and uncover fundamental relationships between position and momentum variables. The purpose of studying cyclic coordinates is to simplify the analysis of mechanical systems by identifying specific generalized coordinates that do not appear explicitly in the Hamiltonian function. These cyclic coordinates lead to conserved quantities known as cyclic or ignorable momenta, making it easier to solve the equations of motion and gain deeper insights into the system's behavior. By understanding and utilizing cyclic coordinates, researchers can significantly simplify the mathematical complexity of mechanical problems and identify important conservation principles that govern the dynamics of the system.

After this unit you will be able to -

- 1. To derive the Hamilton canonical equations
- 2. To solve complex mechanical problems by using Hamiltonian mechanics.
- 3. To explore the implications of cyclic motion:
- 4. To apply cyclic coordinates to real-world problems

Introduction

Classical mechanics provides us with a framework to describe and predict the behavior of physical systems. Traditionally, Newton's laws of motion have served as the cornerstone of this discipline. However, alternative formalisms have been developed to provide a deeper understanding of mechanics and simplify complex problems. Hamilton's Canonical Equations and cyclic coordinates are two such tools that offer powerful insights into the dynamics of mechanical systems.

Hamilton's Canonical Equations introduce a different mathematical formalism based on generalized coordinates and momenta. These equations provide an elegant and systematic approach to describing the motion of particles and systems. By incorporating the concept of the Hamiltonian function, which encapsulates the total energy of the system, Hamilton's equations offer a comprehensive view of the system's dynamics. Through the application of canonical transformations, which preserve the form of the equations, we can simplify the analysis and uncover hidden symmetries.

On the other hand, cyclic coordinates provide a valuable shortcut in solving the equations of motion. These are generalized coordinates that do not appear explicitly in the Lagrangian or Hamiltonian functions. Cyclic coordinates simplify the equations of motion by decoupling them from the rest of the system's dynamics. They correspond to conserved quantities such as energy, momentum, or angular momentum, allowing us to directly infer important physical properties of the system.

Understanding and utilizing Hamilton's Canonical Equations and cyclic coordinates provide us with a deeper insight into the behavior of mechanical systems. They enable us to uncover conservation laws, identify symmetries, and simplify the mathematical analysis of complex problems.

Throughout this lecture, we will explore the purpose and objectives of Hamilton's Canonical Equations and cyclic coordinates in more detail. We will discuss their applications, derivations, and implications for understanding the dynamics of mechanical systems. By the end of this lecture, you will have a solid foundation in these concepts, equipping you with the tools to analyze and solve a wide range of mechanical problems.

So, let's delve into the fascinating world of Hamilton's Canonical Equations and cyclic coordinates and unlock the secrets of classical mechanics!

4.1 Hamilton Canonical Equations

. To understand Hamilton's Canonical Equations, let's start by introducing the necessary mathematical concepts and notation. We will then derive the equations and discuss their significance.

Generalized Coordinates and Momenta: In classical mechanics, we often describe a system 1. using generalized coordinates, denoted by q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n , which represent the configuration of the system. These coordinates may not necessarily be Cartesian coordinates but can be any set of coordinates that uniquely determine the system's state.

Conjugate momenta, denoted by p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n are associated with the generalized coordinates and provide information about the system's momentum. The conjugate momentum corresponding to a generalized coordinate q_i is defined as

 $p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq_i}{d}\right)}$, where *L* is the Lagrangian of the system.

- Hamiltonian Function: The Hamiltonian function, denoted by H, is defined as the 2. Legendre transformation of the Lagrangian function *L*.
- It is given by $H = \Sigma \left(p_i \left(\frac{dq_i}{dt} \right) \right) L$, where Σ represents the sum over all generalized 3. coordinates and momenta. The Hamiltonian represents the total energy of the system and provides an alternative description of the system's dynamics.
- 4. Hamilton's Canonical Equations: Hamilton's Canonical Equations express the equations of motion in terms of the generalized coordinates and momenta. They are derived from the Hamiltonian function and have the following form:

$$\frac{dq_{i}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}$$

$$\frac{dp_{i}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}$$

$$(4a)$$

$$(4b)$$

These equations describe how the generalized coordinates and momenta evolve with time and provide a complete set of equations to determine the dynamics of the system.

Let's derive Hamilton's Canonical Equations from the Hamiltonian function.

Starting with Equation (4a): $\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i}$

dt

To derive this equation, we'll consider the time derivative of the generalized coordinate q_i . We use the chain rule to express $\frac{dq_i}{dt}$ in terms of the Hamiltonian *H*.

Starting with $\frac{dq_i}{dt}$, we can write it as:

$$\frac{dq_{i}}{dt} = \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{1} * dq_{1}/dt + \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{2} * dq_{2}/dt + \ldots + \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{n} * dq_{n}/dt$$

Since $\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$ (from the definition of conjugate momenta), we can substitute this expression into the above equation:

$$\frac{dq_{i}}{dt} = \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{1} * (\partial H/\partial p_{1}) + \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{2} * (\partial H/\partial p_{2}) + \ldots + \partial q_{i}/\partial q_{n} * (\partial H/\partial p_{n})$$

Now, let's consider the partial derivatives of the generalized coordinates with respect to themselves. Since q_j is independent of q_i for $j \neq i$, the partial derivative $\partial q_i / \partial q_j$ is equal to 0.

Therefore, the only non-zero term in the above equation is when j = i. Thus, we have:

$$\frac{dq_{\rm i}}{dt} = \partial q_{\rm i}/\partial q_{\rm i} * (\partial H/\partial p_{\rm i})$$

Simplifying further, we have:

$$\frac{dq_{\rm i}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\rm i}}$$

This gives us Equation (4a): $\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$.

Now let's move on to Equation (4b): $\frac{dp_1}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$

To derive this equation, we'll again consider the time derivative, but this time for the conjugate momentum p_i .

Starting with $\frac{dp_i}{dt}$, we can write it as:

$$\frac{dp_{\rm i}}{dt} = \partial p_{\rm i}/\partial q_1 * dq_1/dt + \partial p_{\rm i}/\partial q_2 * dq_2/dt + \ldots + \partial p_{\rm i}/\partial q_{\rm n} * dq_{\rm n}/dt$$

Since $\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$, we can substitute this expression into the above equation:

 $dp_i/dt = \partial p_i/\partial q_1 * (\partial H/\partial p_1) + \partial p_i/\partial q_2 * (\partial H/\partial p_2) + ... + \partial p_i/\partial q_n * (\partial H/\partial p_n)$

Now, let's consider the partial derivatives of the conjugate momenta with respect to the generalized coordinates. By definition, $p_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq_j}{dt}\right)}$. Thus, we can write:

$$\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} = \frac{\partial \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq_{i}}{dt}\right)}\right)}{\partial q_{j}} = \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq_{i}}{dt}\right)\partial q_{j}}$$

Using the chain rule, $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq_i}{dt}\right)\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q_i \partial q_i}$

Applying this to the equation above, we have:

$$\frac{dp_{i}}{dt} = \partial^{2}L/\partial q_{i}\partial q_{1} * (\partial H/\partial p_{1}) + \partial^{2}L/\partial q_{i}\partial q_{2} * (\partial H/\partial p_{2}) + \ldots + \partial^{2}L/\partial q_{i}\partial q_{n} * (\partial H/\partial p_{n})$$

Now, recall the Euler-Lagrange equation: $d\frac{\partial (\frac{dq}{dt})}{dt} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$. Rearranging this equation, we get:

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\frac{dq_{i}}{dt}\right)}\right)}{dt} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}}$$

Substituting this equation into the above expression for $\frac{dp_i}{dt}$, we have:

 $\frac{dp_{\rm i}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\rm i}}$

Since $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}$ is the negative of $\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$ (from the definition of the Hamiltonian), we finally obtain:

$$\frac{dp_{\rm i}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\rm i}}$$

This gives us Equation (4b): $\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$.

Example:

A particle of mass m moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by

 $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}m\right)p^2 + U(x)$, where x is the position coordinate and p is the momentum.

We can use Hamilton's Canonical Equations to determine the equations of motion for this system.

- 1. Equation (4a): $\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$ Taking the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to momentum p, we have: $\frac{dq}{dt} = \partial \left(\frac{1}{2}m\right)\frac{p^2}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial U(x)}{\partial p} = \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)p$
- 2. Equation (4b): $dp/dt = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$ Taking the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to position q(x), we have: $\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial (\frac{1}{2}m)p^2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U(x)}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial U(x)}{\partial x}$

These equations represent the equations of motion for the particle in terms of its position and momentum.

Let's consider a specific potential energy function $U(x) = \frac{kx^2}{2}$, where k is a constant.

Substituting this potential into the equations of motion, we have: $\frac{dq}{dt} = \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)p\frac{dp}{dt} = -kx$

Now, we have a system of coupled first-order ordinary differential equations. To solve them, we can apply standard techniques such as separation of variables or numerical methods.

For instance, if we assume initial conditions $q(0) = q_0$ and $p(0) = p_0$, we can solve these equations to obtain the position and momentum as functions of time.

Integrating Equation (4a) with respect to time, we get: $q(t) = \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)p_0t + q_0$

Integrating Equation (4b) with respect to time, we get: $p(t) = -kx_0t + p_0$

These solutions describe the motion of the particle under the influence of the potential energy function $U(x) = kx^2/2$.

4.2 Cyclic Coordinates

To understand cyclic coordinates and their significance in simplifying the equations of motion, let's explore the mathematical framework involved.

1. Generalized Coordinates and Lagrangian: In classical mechanics, we often describe the configuration of a system using generalized coordinates, denoted by $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$. These coordinates may not necessarily be Cartesian coordinates but can be any set of coordinates that uniquely determine the system's state.

The Lagrangian function, denoted by *L*, describes the dynamics of the system in terms of the generalized coordinates and their time derivatives.

It is typically defined as L = T - U, where T represents the kinetic energy and U represents the potential energy of the system.

2. Cyclic Coordinates: A cyclic coordinate q_i is a generalized coordinate for which the Lagrangian L does not explicitly depend on q_i . In other words, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$.

Cyclic coordinates have a crucial property: their conjugate momenta p_i are conserved throughout the motion. This means that the momentum associated with the cyclic coordinate does not change as the system evolves.

3. Equations of Motion for Cyclic Coordinates: When a coordinate q_i is cyclic, we can simplify the equations of motion by utilizing the fact that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation for a generalized coordinate q_i is given by:

 $\frac{d(\partial L}{\partial (dq_i/dt)})}{dt} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$

Since $\partial L/\partial q_i = 0$ for cyclic coordinates, the above equation simplifies to: $d(\partial L/\partial (dq_i/dt))/dt = 0$

Integrating this equation with respect to time, we obtain: $\partial L/\partial (dq_i/dt) = p_i = constant$

This result demonstrates that the conjugate momentum p_i associated with a cyclic coordinate q_i remains constant throughout the motion.

4. Conservation Laws: The constancy of the conjugate momentum p_i associated with a cyclic coordinate q_i corresponds to a conservation law. It implies that there exists a conserved quantity associated with the cyclic coordinate.

For example, if q_i represents an angle coordinate, the constant conjugate momentum p_i corresponds to the angular momentum of the system, which remains conserved.

By identifying cyclic coordinates in a mechanical system, we can directly determine the conserved quantities and simplify the analysis of the system's dynamics.

Example:

To see an example of how cyclic coordinates are used, let's consider a simple system: a particle moving in a plane under the influence of a central force. The coordinates of the particle can be described by its radial distance r from the origin and its angular position theta. The Lagrangian for this system is:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m r^2 \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 - U(r),$$

where *m* is the mass of the particle and U(r) is the potential energy due to the central force.

Now, let's consider the angular coordinate theta. Since the potential energy U depends only on the radial coordinate r, the force acting on the particle is always directed towards the origin, and does not depend on the angular position. Therefore, the angular coordinate theta is cyclic.

Using the fact that theta is a cyclic coordinate, we can simplify the equations of motion for the system. The equation of motion for the radial coordinate r is:

$$m\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = -\frac{dU}{dr}.$$

The equation of motion for the angular coordinate theta is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(mr^2\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right) = 0.$$

Notice that the time derivative of theta does not appear in this equation, since theta is cyclic. Therefore, we can solve for the angular position theta simply by setting:

$$mr^2\frac{d\theta}{dt} = h,$$

where h is a constant of motion. This equation states that the angular momentum of the particle is conserved, which is a consequence of the fact that theta is a cyclic coordinate.

In conclusion, cyclic coordinates are important in classical mechanics because they allow us to simplify the equations of motion for a system. By identifying cyclic coordinates, we can reduce the complexity of the equations and make it easier to solve for the coordinates of the system

<u>Summary</u>

- Hamilton's Canonical Equations are a set of equations used in classical mechanics to describe the motion of a system with generalized coordinates and momenta.
- The equations are derived from the Hamiltonian function, which represents the total energy of the system.
- The equations of motion derived from Hamilton's Canonical Equations are $dq/dt = \partial H/\partial p$ and $dp/dt = -\partial H/\partial q$, where q represents the generalized coordinates and p represents the corresponding momenta.
- These equations provide a systematic way to determine the time evolution of the coordinates and momenta of a system, based on the potential and kinetic energies described by the Hamiltonian.
- Hamilton's Canonical Equations are widely used in various areas of physics, including classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and statistical mechanics.
- They offer a powerful framework to study complex systems and derive the equations of motion, even for systems with non-trivial potentials or constraints.
- Cyclic coordinates refer to the coordinates in a physical system for which the Lagrangian does not explicitly depend on them.
- Cyclic coordinates play a crucial role in simplifying the equations of motion and finding conserved quantities in a system.
- When a coordinate is cyclic, its conjugate momentum remains constant throughout the motion.
- Cyclic coordinates often arise in systems with specific symmetries or conservation laws, allowing for the simplification of the equations of motion and revealing hidden conservation principles.
- The presence of cyclic coordinates can simplify the analysis of a system, leading to the discovery of important physical quantities such as angular momentum or energy conservation.
- Cyclic coordinates are valuable in the study of many physical systems, including classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and field theories.

Keywords

Hamilton's Canonical Equations

Hamilton's Canonical Equations express the equations of motion in terms of the generalized coordinates and momenta. They are derived from the Hamiltonian function and have the following form:

$$\frac{dq_{i}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}$$

$$\frac{dq_{i}}{\partial H} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial H}$$

$$(4a)$$

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \tag{4b}$$

Cyclic Coordinates: A cyclic coordinate q_i is a generalized coordinate for which the Lagrangian L does not explicitly depend on q_i . In other words, $\partial L/\partial q_i = 0$. A cyclic coordinate is a coordinate in a

system that does not appear in the equations of motion. In other words, if a coordinate is cyclic, then its derivative with respect to time does not appear in the equations of motion.

Self Assessment

1. A particle of mass 5 kg moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(5)p^2 + U(x)$. What are the equations of motion for this system according to Hamilton's Canonical Equations

A.
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{p}{5}, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$

B.
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{p}{10}, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{2dU(x)}{dx}$$

C.
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = 5p, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{2dU(x)}{dx}$$

D.
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = 2p, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$

- 2. A particle of mass 5 kg moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(5)p^2 + U(x)$. If the potential energy function U(x) is quadratic, which of the following describes the equations of motion for this system?
- A. dq/dt = p/5, dp/dt = -kx
- B. dq/dt = p/5, dp/dt = -2kx
- C. dq/dt = 2p, dp/dt = -kx
- D. dq/dt = 2p, dp/dt = -2kx
- 3. A particle of mass 10 kg moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(5)p^2 + U(x)$. What are the equations of motion for this system according to Hamilton's Canonical Equations

$$A \cdot \frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{p}{10}, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$
$$B \cdot \frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{p}{10}, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{2dU(x)}{dx}$$
$$C \cdot \frac{dq}{dt} = 10p, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{2dU(x)}{dx}$$
$$D \cdot \frac{dq}{dt} = 20p, \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{dU(x)}{dx}$$

4. A particle of mass 5 kg moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(10)p^2 + U(x)$. If the potential energy function U(x) is quadratic, which of the following describes the equations of motion for this system?

A.
$$dq/dt = p/10, dp/dt = -kx$$

B. $dq/dt = p/10, dp/dt = -2kx$
C. $\frac{dq}{dt} = 20p, dp/dt = -kx$
D. $dq/dt = 20p, dp/dt = -2kx$

50

5. A particle moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = p^2 + U(x)$. What are the equations of motion for this system according to Hamilton's Canonical Equations?

A. dq/dt = 2p, dp/dt = -2dU(x)/dxB. $dq/dt = p^2, dp/dt = -dU(x)/dx$ C. $dq/dt = p^2, dp/dt = -2dU(x)/dx$ D. dq/dt = p, dp/dt = -dU(x)/dx

6. A particle moves in one dimension under the influence of a conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = p^2 + U(x)$. If the potential energy function U(x) is linear, which of the following describes the equations of motion for this system?

A. dq/dt = p, dp/dt = -kxB. dq/dt = p, dp/dt = -2kxC. dq/dt = 2p, dp/dt = -kxD. dq/dt = 2p, dp/dt = -2kx

- 7. For a particle moving in a central force field, which of the following coordinates is a cyclic coordinate?
- A. x
- B. y
- C. z
- D. θ
- 8. For a particle moving in a two-dimensional system with polar coordinates (r, θ), which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?
- A. r
- Β. θ
- C. Both r and θ
- D. None of the above

9. In a system with spherical coordinates (r, θ , ϕ), which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?

- A. r
- Β. θ
- C. φ
- D. Both θ and ϕ

10. In a double pendulum system, which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?

- A. θ₁
- B. θ_2
- C. Both θ_1 and θ_2
- D. None of the above

11. For a particle moving in a uniform magnetic field, which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?

A. x

- B. y
- C. z
- D. None of the above
- 12. For a particle moving in a two-dimensional potential energy surface with Cartesian coordinates (x, y), which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?
- A. x
- B. y
- C. Both x and y
- D. None of the above
- 13. For a particle moving in a system with cylindrical coordinates (ρ , ϕ , z), which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?
- Α. ρ
- Β. φ
- C. z
- D. Both ρ and z
- 14. For a particle moving in a system with curvilinear coordinates (u, v, w), which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?
- A. u
- B. v
- C. w
- D. All of the above
- 15. For a particle moving in a system with generalized coordinates (q_1, q_2, q_3) , which coordinate(s) is/are cyclic?
- A. q1
- B. q2
- C. q3
- D. None of the above

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	А	2.	А	3.	А	4.	А	5.	D
6.	А	7.	D	8.	В	9.	D	10.	D
11.	D	12.	D	13.	С	14.	D	15.	D

Review Questions

- 1. Consider a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with the Hamiltonian given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)m\omega^2 x^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}m\right)p^2$, where m is the mass, ω is the angular frequency, x is the position, and p is the momentum of the particle. Using Hamilton's Canonical Equations, find the equations of motion for this system.
- 2. A particle of mass m is subject to a time-independent conservative force described by a potential energy function U(x). The Hamiltonian function for this system is given by $H = (\frac{1}{2}m)p^2 + U(x)$, where x is the position coordinate and p is the momentum. Derive Hamilton's Canonical Equations for this system.
- 3. Consider a charged particle of mass m moving in a uniform magnetic field B along the zaxis. The Hamiltonian for this system is given by $H = (\frac{1}{2}m)(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) + qBz$, where q is the charge of the particle and (p_x, p_y, p_z) are the momentum components. Apply Hamilton's Canonical Equations to determine the equations of motion for this system.
- 4. For a particle moving in a central force field, the Lagrangian is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)m(r^2\theta^2 + r^2) U(r)$, where m is the mass, r is the distance from the origin, θ is the angle coordinate, and U(r) is the potential energy function. Identify the cyclic coordinate and the associated conserved quantity for this system.
- 5. Consider a double pendulum consisting of two rods of lengths L1 and L2, each with a mass m. The Lagrangian for this system is given by L =

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)m(L_{1}^{2}\theta_{1}^{2} + L_{2}^{2}\theta_{2}^{2} + 2L_{1}L_{2}\theta_{1}\theta_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{2})) - mg(L_{1}\sin\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})$$

 $L_2 sin \theta_2$), where θ_1 and θ_2 are the angles of the rods with respect to the vertical direction. Identify the cyclic coordinates, if any, for this system.

6. A particle of mass m moves in a three-dimensional central force field described by a potential energy function U(r), where r represents the distance from the origin. The Lagrangian for this system is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)m\left(r^2\theta^2 + r^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta\dot{\varphi}^2\right) - U(r)$, where θ and φ are the spherical coordinates. Determine the cyclic coordinates, if any, for this system.

<u>Further Readings</u>

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 05: Conservation Theorems, Routh's Procedure

CONTENTS						
Objectives						
Introduction						
5.1 Conservation Theorems						
5.2 LaGrange's Equations motion						
Summary						
Keywords						
Self Assessment						
Answers for Self Assessment						
Review Questions						
Further Readings						

Objectives

The purpose of studying the conservation theorems in terms of linear and angular momentum is to understand and analyze the fundamental principles governing the motion of objects and systems in classical mechanics. These theorems reveal the underlying symmetries and invariances in physical laws and provide essential insights into how momentum is conserved during various processes, such as collisions, interactions, and rotations. By studying these conservation principles, scientists and engineers can predict and explain the behavior of mechanical systems, making it possible to design efficient and safe engineering solutions and better comprehend the dynamics of natural phenomena. Studying Lagrange's equations of motion is to provide a powerful and systematic approach for describing the dynamics of mechanical systems in terms of generalized coordinates and their corresponding generalized forces. Lagrange's equations simplify the analysis of complex mechanical systems by reducing the number of variables and avoiding the need to deal with explicit forces. By understanding and applying Lagrange's equations, researchers can describe and predict the motion of diverse mechanical systems, ranging from simple particles to intricate multibody systems, and gain a deeper understanding of the underlying principles governing their behavior.

After this unit you will be able to -

- 1. To learn the concept of linear momentum conservation.
- 2. To grasp the concept of angular momentum conservation.
- 3. To apply the principles of linear and angular momentum conservation to practical problems encountered in engineering, physics, and everyday life.
- 4. To learn how to derive Lagrange's equations of motion from the Lagrangian function.
- 5. To apply Lagrange's equations to constrained systems.

Introduction

In classical mechanics, linear momentum conservation is a fundamental principle that states the total linear momentum of an isolated system remains constant in the absence of external forces. Linear momentum is a vector quantity representing the product of an object's mass and its velocity. According to Newton's third law of motion, every action has an equal and opposite reaction, resulting in the overall conservation of momentum. This principle is of paramount importance in understanding the motion of objects during collisions, interactions, and other mechanical processes.

Mechanics

By analyzing linear momentum conservation, scientists and engineers can predict the outcomes of such events and gain insights into the underlying symmetries and conservation laws in physical systems.

Angular momentum conservation is another fundamental principle in classical mechanics, governing the rotational motion of objects and systems. Similar to linear momentum, angular momentum is a vector quantity defined as the product of an object's moment of inertia and its angular velocity. When no external torques act on an isolated system, the total angular momentum remains constant. This conservation principle is vital for understanding the rotational behavior of celestial bodies, gyroscopic systems, and other rotating objects. Angular momentum conservation also plays a key role in predicting the outcomes of collisions and interactions involving spinning bodies. By studying angular momentum conservation, scientists can unveil the symmetries and invariance present in rotational motion and its profound implications in various physical phenomena.

Lagrange's equations of motion provide an elegant and powerful alternative to Newton's laws for describing the dynamics of mechanical systems. Developed by the mathematician and physicist Joseph-Louis Lagrange in the 18th century, these equations offer a systematic approach to expressing the equations of motion in terms of generalized coordinates and their corresponding generalized forces. By introducing a scalar function called the Lagrangian, which is the difference between the kinetic and potential energies of the system, Lagrange's equations reduce the number of variables required to describe the system's motion. This reduction not only simplifies the mathematical analysis but also reveals deep connections between the system's symmetries and its conserved quantities. Lagrange's equations find applications in various branches of physics and engineering, offering a unifying framework for studying the motion of particles, rigid bodies, and complex multibody systems with constraints.

5.1 <u>Conservation Theorems</u>

The Law of Conservation of Linear Momentum:

In classical mechanics, linear momentum is a fundamental physical quantity that characterizes the motion of an object. It is defined as the product of an object's mass (m) and its velocity (v) and is represented by the vector p:

$$p = m * v$$

The concept of linear momentum conservation is based on Newton's third law of motion, which states that for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction. This principle implies that the total momentum of an isolated system remains constant in the absence of external forces.

Mathematically, the law of linear momentum conservation can be expressed as follows:

For an isolated system of N particles with individual masses (m_i) and velocities (v_i) at an initial time $t = t_i$, the total initial momentum (P_i) is the sum of the momenta of all the particles:

$$P_i = \Sigma m_i * v_i, \quad for \ i = 1 \ to \ N$$

At a later time $t = t_f$, the particles may interact with each other, resulting in changes in their velocities. However, in the absence of external forces acting on the system, the total momentum remains constant:

$$P_f = \Sigma m_i * v_i, \quad for i = 1 to N$$
$$P_f = P_i$$

This principle holds true for both one-dimensional and three-dimensional systems, and it is a result of the conservation of linear momentum. The conservation of linear momentum is a powerful tool in analyzing collisions, interactions, and motion in classical mechanics. In real-world scenarios, external forces can often be neglected if the system is considered to be isolated or if the influence of external forces is negligible compared to the internal forces within the system. Linear momentum conservation has wide applications in various fields, including engineering, physics, and astronomy. By applying this principle, scientists and engineers can predict the outcomes of collisions between objects, study the motion of celestial bodies, and design efficient transportation systems. The conservation of linear momentum is a fundamental law of nature, deeply rooted in the symmetries and invariances present in physical systems. Certainly! Let's look at some examples that illustrate the concept of linear momentum conservation:

1. Elastic Collision of Billiard Balls: Consider two billiard balls of equal mass colliding with each other on a frictionless table. Before the collision, one ball is moving with a velocity v and the other is stationary. After the collision, they bounce off each other, conserving linear momentum. Due to the conservation of linear momentum, the total momentum of the system before the collision is equal to the total momentum after the collision.

Initial momentum: $P_{initial} = m * v + 0$ (where m is the mass of each ball)

Final momentum: $P_{final} = m * (-v) + m * v = 0$

Since $P_{initial} = P_{final}$, the linear momentum is conserved during the collision.

- 2. Rocket Propulsion: Consider a rocket in space, where there are no external forces acting on it. The rocket expels exhaust gases with a certain velocity in one direction, generating thrust and causing it to move in the opposite direction. In this case, the momentum of the rocket and the expelled gases is conserved. As the gases move backward with high velocity, the rocket moves forward with an equal and opposite velocity to maintain momentum conservation.
- 3. Recoil of a Gun: When a gun is fired, the bullet moves forward with a certain velocity, and the gun recoils backward due to the conservation of linear momentum. The momentum of the bullet and the gun are equal and opposite, leading to the backward motion of the gun.
- 4. Collisions in Particle Physics: In high-energy particle collisions, such as those occurring in particle accelerators, conservation of linear momentum plays a crucial role in analyzing the interactions. By measuring the momenta of all the particles before and after the collision, physicists can infer the properties and characteristics of new particles produced during the collision.

In all these examples, the total linear momentum of the system remains constant, demonstrating the conservation of linear momentum. This principle is applicable to various scenarios, from everyday situations like billiard ball collisions to advanced applications in particle physics and space exploration. Linear momentum conservation is a fundamental concept that helps explain and predict the behavior of objects and systems in motion.

Example: Colliding Cars

Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 1000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 20 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit.

Calculate the final velocity and direction of the cars after the collision.

Solution: Before the collision, the total momentum of the system is the sum of the individual momenta of each car:

Initial momentum (before collision) = (mass of Car A * velocity of Car A) + (mass of Car B * velocity of Car B)

$$P_{initial} = \left(1000 \ kg \ * \ 20 \frac{m}{s}\right) + \left(1000 \ kg \ * \ \left(-15 \frac{m}{s}\right)\right)$$

 $P_{initial} = 20,000 \, kgm/s - 15,000 \, kgm/s$

$$P_{initial} = 5,000 \, kg * m/s$$

After the collision, the two cars stick together and move as a single unit with a combined mass of 2000 kg (sum of their individual masses).

Final momentum (after collision) = (combined mass * final velocity)

P

$$p_{final} = 2000 \, kg \, * \, v_{final}$$

Since momentum is conserved, the initial momentum is equal to the final momentum:

$$P_{initial} = P_{final}$$

5,000 kg * m/s = 2000 kg * v_{final}

Mechanics

So

Solving for v_{final} :

$$v_{final} = \frac{5,000 \ kg \ast \frac{m}{s}}{2000 \ kg}$$
$$v_{final} = 2.5 \ m/s$$
$$P_{final} = 2000 \ kg \ast \frac{5}{2} \ m/s$$
$$P_{final} = 5000 \ kg \ m/s$$

Therefore, after the collision, the cars move together with a final velocity of 2.5 m/s to the right.

Example: Recoil of a Cannon

A cannon with a mass of 500 kg is mounted on wheels. It fires a cannonball with a mass of 10 kg at a velocity of 200 m/s to the right. Calculate the recoil velocity of the cannon after firing.

Solution: Before firing the cannon, the initial momentum of the system is zero because the cannon and the cannonball are at rest:

Initial momentum (before firing) = 0

After firing, the cannonball moves to the right with a velocity of 200 m/s. According to the conservation of linear momentum, the total momentum after firing must be zero as well.

Final momentum (after firing) = (mass of cannon * recoil velocity) + (mass of cannonball * velocity of cannonball)

$$P_{final} = 500 \, kg \, * \, v_{recoil} \, + \, 10 \, kg \, * \, 200 \, m/s$$

Since momentum is conserved, the final momentum is zero:

$$P_{final} = 0$$

Setting the expression for P_{final} equal to zero:

$$0 = 500 kg * v_{recoil} + 10 kg * 200 m/s$$

Solving for *v*_{recoil}:

$$v_{recoil} = -(10 \ kg \ * \ 200 \ m/s) \ / \ 500 \ kg \ v_{recoil} = -4 \ m/s$$

Therefore, after firing the cannon, it recoils backward with a velocity of 4 m/s. The negative sign indicates that the direction of the recoil is opposite to the direction in which the cannonball was fired.

The Law of Conservation of Angular Momentum:

The total linear momentum of a system is conserved if the Lagrangian is invariant under a translation of the generalized coordinates.

Let *q* be the set of generalized coordinates for the system, and let $L(q, \dot{q})$ be the Lagrangian of the system. If the Lagrangian is invariant under a translation of the generalized coordinates, then it follows that the Lagrangian is also invariant under a transformation of the form $q \rightarrow q + a$, where *a* is a constant.

By the principle of least action, the equations of motion for the system can be derived from the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Taking the time derivative of the total linear momentum p of the system, we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} p = \frac{d}{dt}(m_1\dot{q}_1 + m_2\dot{q}_2 + \ldots + m_n\dot{q}_n) = m_1\ddot{q}1 + m_2\ddot{q}2 + \ldots + m_n\ddot{q}n$$

where \ddot{q}_i is the second derivative of qi with respect to time.

From the Euler-Lagrange equations, we know that $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right)$, so we can rewrite the equation for the time derivative of the total linear momentum as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(\ddot{q}) + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right)(\dot{q}) = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)(\ddot{q})$$

Since the Lagrangian is invariant under a translation of the generalized coordinates, it follows that $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right)$ is a constant of motion. Therefore, $\frac{d}{dt}p = 0$, which proves that the total linear momentum of the system is conserved.

Proof of Law of Conservation of Angular Momentum:

Let *q* be the set of generalized coordinates for the system, and let $L(q, \dot{q})$ be the Lagrangian of the system. If the Lagrangian is invariant under a rotation of the generalized coordinates, then it follows that the Lagrangian is also invariant under a transformation of the form $q_i \rightarrow R_i \cdot q$, where *R* is a rotation matrix.

Using the same approach as in the proof for the Law of Conservation of Linear Momentum, we can take the time derivative of the total angular momentum L of the system, given by:

$$L = \Sigma(m_i R_i^2 \omega_i)$$

where m_i is the mass of the ith object, R_i is its position vector relative to the origin, and ω_i is its angular velocity vector.

Taking the time derivative of *L*, we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} L = \Sigma \left(m_i R_i^2 \alpha_i + 2m_i R_i (\omega_i \times (m_i R_i \cdot \omega_i)) \right)$$

where α_i is the angular acceleration of the ith object, and "×" denotes the vector cross product.

From the Euler-Lagrange equations, we know that $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)$, so we can rewrite the equation for the time derivative of the total angular momentum as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}L = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)(\alpha)$$

5.2 LaGrange's Equations motion

The standard form of Lagrange's equations is derived using the principle of least action. Let's go through the derivation:

1. Start with the principle of least action: According to this principle, the true motion of a mechanical system is the one that minimizes the action integral over a given time interval. The action, denoted as *S*, is defined as:

$$S = \int [L(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n, t)] dt$$

where L is the Lagrangian, which is a function of the generalized coordinates $q_{i\nu}$ their time derivatives $q_{i\nu}$ and time t.

- 2. Introduce virtual displacements: Consider a virtual displacement, δq_i , where each generalized coordinate q_i is perturbed by an infinitesimally small amount. These virtual displacements are subject to the condition that the endpoints of the motion are fixed.
- Variation of the action integral: Now, we vary the action integral with respect to the virtual displacements δq_i while keeping the endpoints fixed. This gives us:

$$\delta S = \int \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \, \delta \dot{q}_{i} \right] dt$$

4. Integrate the second term by parts: Integrate the second term in δS by parts, treating $\delta \dot{q}_i$ as the variable to be differentiated and integrating the $\partial L/\partial \dot{q}_i$ term:

Mechanics

$$\delta S = \int \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \right) \, \delta q_{i} \right] \, dt + \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \, \delta q_{i} \right]$$

Apply the Euler-Lagrange equations: To minimize the action, we set $\delta S = 0$. This implies that the integrand must vanish for arbitrary variations, leading to the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\rm i}} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{\rm i}} \right) = 0$$

These are the standard form of Lagrange's equations, where the left-hand side represents the generalized forces and the right-hand side represents the rate of change of momentum. The equations describe the dynamics of the system and determine the equations of motion.

By solving these equations, you can obtain the equations of motion for a mechanical system governed by the Lagrangian $L(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, ..., \dot{q}_n, t)$.

Question

If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2) - V(r)$$

then write Lagrangian equation of motion. Find the Lagrangian equation of motion and then also show that the areal velocity $r^2\dot{\theta}/2$ is constant.

Solution

To write the Lagrangian equations of motion for the revolution of the Earth around the Sun using the given Lagrangian function L, we need to determine the generalized coordinates and their corresponding generalized velocities. The Lagrangian function is given by:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2) - V(r)$$

where: m is the mass of the Earth, *r* is the radial distance of the Earth from the Sun, $\dot{r}\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)$ is the radial velocity of the Earth, θ is the angle of the Earth's position with respect to a reference direction (e.g., the x-axis), $\dot{\theta}\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)$ is the angular velocity of the Earth, V(r) is the potential energy of the Earth-Sun system as a function of radial distance r.

Now, we can proceed to derive the Lagrange's equations of motion.

Step 1: Generalized Coordinates and Velocities

The generalized coordinates for this system are r and θ . The corresponding generalized velocities are \dot{r} and $\dot{\theta}$.

Step 2: Kinetic and Potential Energies

The kinetic energy (T) of the system is given by the first term in the Lagrangian:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2\right)$$

The potential energy (V) of the system is given by the second term in the Lagrangian:

$$V = V(r)$$

Step 3: Lagrange's Equations of Motion

Using Lagrange's equations, we can now derive the equations of motion for *r* and θ . For $r: \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} = 0$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = m * \dot{r}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(m * \dot{r}) - \frac{\partial \left(\frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{r}^{2} + r^{2}\dot{\theta}^{2}\right) - V(r)\right)}{\partial r} = 0$$

$$m * \ddot{r} - \frac{dV(r)}{dr} = 0$$

This is the equation of motion for the radial distance r.

For
$$\theta: \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = m * r^2 \dot{\theta}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(m * r^2 \dot{\theta} \right) - 0 = 0$$
$$m * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$$

This is the equation of motion for the angular coordinate θ .

The equation for θ shows that there are no torques or forces acting to change the angular velocity of the Earth (no angular acceleration), which is consistent with the Earth's approximately constant angular velocity in its orbit around the Sun.

The system's equations of motion are a set of second-order differential equations for r and θ , which describe the motion of the Earth in its orbit around the Sun under the influence of gravitational forces and the potential energy function V(r). Solving these equations will provide the time evolution of the Earth's radial distance and angular position as it orbits the Sun.

To show that the areal velocity $\frac{r^2\dot{\theta}}{2}$ is constant, we need to take the time derivative of $\frac{r^2\dot{\theta}}{2}$ and demonstrate that it equals zero.

Given: Areal velocity, $V = \frac{r^2 \theta}{2}$

Step 1: Take the time derivative of *V* with respect to time (*t*):

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{r^2 \dot{\theta}}{2} \right)$$

Step 2: Apply the product rule and chain rule for derivatives:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \left(\frac{d}{dt}(r^2)\right)\frac{\dot{\theta}}{2} + \frac{r^2\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\theta})\right)}{2}$$

Step 3: Use the chain rule to find $\frac{d(\dot{\theta})}{dt}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\theta}) = \ddot{\theta}$$

where $\ddot{\theta}$ represents the angular acceleration of the Earth's motion.

Step 4: Simplify the expression:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (2r * \dot{r}) * \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2} + r^2 * \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2}$$

Step 5: Notice that the first term in the above expression simplifies to:

$$(2r * \dot{r}) * \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2} = r * \dot{r} * \dot{\theta}$$

Step 6: Substitute the simplified expression back into the original derivative:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = r * \dot{r} * \dot{\theta} + r^2 * \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2}$$

Step 7: Observe that the term $r * \dot{r} * \dot{\theta}$ is the angular momentum (L) of the Earth-Sun system:

$$L = r * \dot{r} * \dot{\theta}$$

Step 8: Substitute the angular momentum term into the derivative expression:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = L + r^2 * \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2}$$

Lovely Professional University

Mechanics

Step 9: Since there are no external torques acting on the Earth-Sun system (no external forces changing the angular momentum), the angular momentum (L) is constant. Therefore, dV/dt becomes:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = constant + r^2 * \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2}$$

Step 10: If the angular momentum (L) is constant, the derivative $\frac{dV}{dt}$ equals zero:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 0 + r^2 * \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2} = 0$$

Step 11: Finally, rearrange the equation to isolate the term $\frac{r^2\theta}{2}$:

$$r^2\frac{\ddot{\theta}}{2} = 0$$

Conclusion: The areal velocity $\frac{r^2\dot{\theta}}{2}$ is constant because its time derivative $\left(\frac{r^2\ddot{\theta}}{2}\right)$ equals zero. This result is a consequence of the conservation of angular momentum in the Earth-Sun system, where the Earth's orbiting motion leads to a constant areal velocity, regardless of its position in its orbit.

<u>Summary</u>

- Linear momentum is a fundamental concept in physics that describes the motion of an object with mass and velocity.
- The Law of Conservation of Linear Momentum states that the total linear momentum of an isolated system remains constant if no external forces act on it.
- In simple terms, if there are no external forces, the total momentum before a collision or interaction is equal to the total momentum after the collision.
- This principle is derived from Newton's third law of motion, which states that for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction.
- Angular momentum is the rotational counterpart of linear momentum, describing the rotational motion of an object.
- The Law of Conservation of Angular Momentum states that the total angular momentum of an isolated system remains constant when no external torques act on it.
- Angular momentum is a vector quantity, and its direction is perpendicular to the plane of rotation.
- An essential example of this principle is the spinning of a figure skater. As they pull their arms closer to their body, their rotational speed increases due to the conservation of angular momentum.
- Lagrange's equations of motion provide an alternative formalism to describe the dynamics of a mechanical system.
- They are based on the principle of least action and formulated using generalized coordinates and a function called the Lagrangian.
- The Lagrangian is the difference between the kinetic and potential energies of the system and is minimized to determine the motion of the system.
- The equations are independent of the choice of coordinates and are more general and convenient than the traditional Newtonian equations of motion.
- Lagrange's equations are widely used in classical mechanics, celestial mechanics, and other fields to describe the motion of complex systems with multiple degrees of freedom.

Keywords

Linear Momentum:

Linear momentum is a fundamental concept in classical mechanics, representing the quantity of motion possessed by an object with mass and velocity. It is a vector quantity, meaning it has both magnitude and direction. The linear momentum of an object is given by the product of its mass and velocity. In an isolated system where no external forces act, the total linear momentum remains constant, according to the Law of Conservation of Linear Momentum. This principle finds applications in various physical phenomena, such as collisions, explosions, and the motion of objects under the influence of forces.

Angular Momentum:

Angular momentum is the rotational counterpart of linear momentum and describes the rotational motion of an object. It is also a vector quantity and depends on the object's mass, velocity, and the distance from the axis of rotation. The Law of Conservation of Angular Momentum states that the total angular momentum of an isolated system remains constant when no external torques act on it. This conservation principle is essential in understanding the behavior of rotating systems, such as spinning tops, planets' orbits, and the dynamics of celestial bodies.

Euler-Lagrange equations:

Let q be the set of generalized coordinates for the system, and let $L(q, \dot{q})$ be the Lagrangian of the system. If the Lagrangian is invariant under a translation of the generalized coordinates, then it follows that the Lagrangian is also invariant under a transformation of the form $q \rightarrow q + a$, where a is a constant.

By the principle of least action, the equations of motion for the system can be derived from the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Self Assessment

- 1. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 1000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 20 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 0 kg m/s
- B. 5000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 2. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 10000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 20 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 0 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 3. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 500 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 50 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 55000 kg m/s
- 4. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 5000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 50 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 25 m/s to the

Mechanics

left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?

- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 125000 kg m/s
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 5. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 1000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 2 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 2 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 0 kg m/s
- D. 5000 kg m/s

6. A rotating bicycle wheel is initially at rest. When the rider applies the brakes, the wheel slows down and eventually comes to a stop. What principle explains this phenomenon?

- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of angular velocity
- 7. A spinning ice skater starts with her arms outstretched. As she pulls her arms closer to her body, her rotational speed increases. This change in rotational speed demonstrates:
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of potential energy
- 8. A gymnast performs a mid-air somersault. During the somersault, her body is tightly tucked, and her angular velocity increases. What is responsible for this increase in angular velocity?
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of rotational inertia

9. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 10(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - V(r)$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.

- A. $10 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$
- B. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$
- C. $3 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$
- D. $r^2\ddot{\theta} = r$

10. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 10(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 10$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.

- A. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$
- B. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$
- C. $3 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$

D. $r^2\ddot{\theta} = r$

11. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is $L = 100(\dot{r}^{2} + r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}) - V(r)$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is. A. $100 * r^{2}\ddot{\theta} = r$ B. $50 * r^{2}\ddot{\theta} = 0$ C. $30 * r^{2}\ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^{2}\ddot{\theta} = 100r$

12. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is $L = 700(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 700$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is. A. $350 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

13. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 1000(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 100 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $1000 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

14. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 60(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 60 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $60 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = R$ B. $60 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

15. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 100(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - V(r) \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	А	3.	А	4.	С	5.	В
6.	А	7.	А	8.	А	9.	А	10.	А

11. B 12. A 13. A 14. B 15. A

Review Questions

- 1. Consider a simple pendulum consisting of a mass (m) attached to a string of length (l) and fixed at a pivot point. The Lagrangian for the pendulum is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m l^2 \dot{\theta}^2 mgl(1 cos\theta)$, where θ is the angle the pendulum makes with the vertical, the angular velocity $\dot{\theta}$, and g is the acceleration due to gravity. Derive the equation of motion for the angle $\theta(t)$ using Lagrange's equations.
- 2. For a simple harmonic oscillator with a mass (m) attached to a spring with spring constant (k), the Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m \dot{x}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) k x^2$, where x is the displacement of the mass from its equilibrium position and x is the velocity. Find the equation of motion for x(t) using Lagrange's equations.
- 3. For a rigid body rotating about a fixed axis, the Lagrangian is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) I \dot{\theta}^2$, where I is the moment of inertia and θ is the angular displacement. Show that the angular momentum is conserved, and derive the equation of motion for $\theta(t)$ using Lagrange's equations.
- 4. Consider a particle of mass (*m*) moving under the influence of a central force, directed towards the origin and dependent only on the radial distance r. The Lagrangian for the particle is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m \left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2\right) V(r)$, where V(r) is the potential energy as a function of r. Derive the equations of motion for r(t) and θ (t) using Lagrange's equations and show how the conservation of angular momentum arises in this system.
- 5. For a simple harmonic oscillator with a mass (5kg) attached to a spring with spring constant (k), the Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) 5 \dot{x}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) k x^2$, where x is the displacement of the mass from its equilibrium position and x is the velocity. Find the equation of motion for x(t) using Lagrange's equations.

<u>Further Readings</u>

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 06: Lagrangian Based Dynamic Problems

CONTENTS							
Objectives							
Introduction							
6.1 Langrangian for Simple Pendulum							
6.2 Lagrangian Equation and the Equation of Motion for the Particle Projected at an Angle θ with the Horizontal, using Cartesian Coordinates (x, y)							
6.3 Motion of Two Particles under Gravitational Acceleration							
Summary							
Keywords							
Self Assessment							
Answers for Self Assessment							
Review Questions							
Further Readings							

Objectives

After this unit you will be able -

- to derive the Lagrangian equation of motion for a simple pendulum.
- to apply the Lagrangian approach to study the motion of two particles under gravitational acceleration.

The motivation for studying dynamic problems using the Lagrangian approach arises from its powerful and elegant formulation that provides a unified framework to analyze complex mechanical systems. While traditional methods can become cumbersome for systems with multiple degrees of freedom, constraints, and non-conservative forces, the Lagrangian approach simplifies the problem by focusing on the system's energy and constraints. This approach allows us to derive equations of motion using the principle of least action, making it a versatile tool to study various physical phenomena.

Introduction

In the classical mechanics, the study of dynamic systems has long been a cornerstone of understanding the fundamental laws governing the motion of objects. While traditional Newtonian methods have provided invaluable insights, there exist systems with intricate complexities that demand a more elegant and versatile approach. Lagrangian mechanics, a branch of classical physics, offers a powerful and unified framework for analyzing such dynamic problems, unraveling the intricate dance of forces, energies, and motions.

Lagrangian mechanics, formulated by Joseph Louis Lagrange in the 18th century, introduces a fresh perspective by focusing on the concept of action - a quantity that encapsulates the history of a system's motion. The cornerstone of this approach is the principle of least action, which dictates that the true path taken by a system between two points in space and time is the one that minimizes the action integral. This principle provides a profound insight: nature "chooses" paths that are not only physically permissible but also economize energy and time.

In this exploration, we delve into the Lagrangian formulation's prowess by tackling two intriguing dynamic problems. Our journey begins with the analysis of a simple pendulum - a seemingly elementary system that uncovers the beauty of Lagrangian mechanics. By treating the pendulum's motion as a harmonious interplay of kinetic and potential energies, we unveil a succinct equation of motion that elegantly describes its oscillatory behavior.

As we venture deeper, we confront the motion of two particles interacting under the influence of gravitational acceleration. With the Lagrangian approach, the seemingly intricate gravitational forces become mere threads in a tapestry of energy and motion. By deriving the equations of motion for each particle, we paint a comprehensive picture of their trajectories, shedding light on the profound impact of gravitational interactions on their movements.

In both cases, the Lagrangian methodology showcases its prowess in simplifying complex dynamic problems. By embracing the principle of least action and encapsulating energies and constraints, we uncover a realm where equations transform into insights, and movements into eloquent equations. As we embark on this journey, we invite you to witness the elegance and efficiency with which Lagrangian mechanics unveils the hidden symphonies of motion in these captivating dynamic systems.

6.1 Langrangian for Simple Pendulum

A simple pendulum is a mass (m) attached to a string or rod of length (L), swinging under the influence of gravity (g).

Kinetic Energy (T):

The kinetic energy of the pendulum depends on the motion of the mass. In this case, the mass is rotating around a fixed point. The formula for rotational kinetic energy is

 $T = (1/2) * m * v^2$, where v is the tangential velocity of the mass at a distance L from the pivot point.

Since the tangential velocity (v) can be related to the angular velocity (θ) by $v = L * \theta$, where θ is the time derivative of the angular displacement θ , we can write the kinetic energy as

$$T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(L * \dot{\theta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * L^2 * \dot{\theta}^2.$$

Potential Energy (V):

The potential energy of the pendulum is due to its height above the lowest point of its swing. The potential energy (V) at any given angle (θ) can be calculated by multiplying the mass (m) by the acceleration due to gravity (g) and the vertical distance (h) that the mass has been raised above the lowest point.

The vertical distance h can be found using trigonometry. It is the difference between the string length (*L*) and the vertical position of the mass, which is $L * cos(\theta)$. Therefore,

$$h = L - L * \cos(\theta)$$
$$= L * (1 - \cos(\theta))$$

Thus, the potential energy is given by

$$V = m * g * h = m * g * L * (1 - cos(\theta)).$$

Lagrangian (L):

The Lagrangian (L) for the system is the difference between the kinetic energy (T) and the potential energy

$$(V): L = T - V.$$

Substituting the expressions for T and V, we have:

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * L^{2} * \dot{\theta}^{2} - m * g * L * (1 - \cos(\theta))$$

Equation of Motion:

To find the equation of motion, we apply the principle of least action from Hamilton's principle using the Lagrangian. The equation of motion is derived by applying the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

Taking the time derivative of the term $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}}\right)$ gives us $m * L^2 * \ddot{\theta}$. Taking the derivative of $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}\right)$ with respect to θ gives us $-m * g * L * sin(\theta)$.

Substituting these into the Euler-Lagrange equation, we get:

$$m * L^2 * \ddot{\theta} - m * g * L * sin(\theta) = 0.$$

Dividing by m * L and rearranging, we arrive at the final equation of motion: $\ddot{\theta} = -\left(\frac{g}{L}\right) * sin(\theta)$.

This equation describes the angular acceleration ($\ddot{\theta}$ of the pendulum as a function of the angle θ it makes with the vertical. It's a second-order ordinary differential equation that governs the motion of the simple pendulum.

In summary, the equation of motion for a simple pendulum is $\ddot{\theta} = -\left(\frac{g}{L}\right) * sin(\theta)$, where $\ddot{\theta}$ represents the angular acceleration, g is the acceleration due to gravity, L is the length of the pendulum, and $sin(\theta)$ describes the angle of displacement.

6.2 <u>Lagrangian Equation and the Equation of Motion for the Particle</u> <u>Projected at an Angle θ with the Horizontal, using Cartesian</u> <u>Coordinates (x, y)</u>

Solution:

Given:

- Mass of the particle: *M*
- Initial velocity: U
- Angle of projection with the horizontal: θ
- Acceleration due to gravity: *g*

The particle's initial velocity components are:

- Initial horizontal velocity: $U_x = U * cos(\theta)$
- Initial vertical velocity: $V_v = U * sin(\theta)$

The *Lagrangian* (*L*) is defined as the *kinetic energy* (*T*) minus the *potential energy* (*V*):

$$L = T - V$$

The kinetic energy T of the particle is given by: $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * M * \left(V_x^2 + V_y^2\right)$

where V_x is the horizontal velocity and V_y is the vertical velocity.

The potential energy *V* of the particle is due to gravity: V = M * g * y

where y is the vertical displacement of the particle from its initial position.
Substituting the expressions for kinetic and potential energies into the Lagrangian:

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * M * (V_x^2 + V_y^2) - M * g * y$$

We need to express V_x and V_y in terms of the generalized coordinates of the system. Let's use the coordinates x and y.

$$V_x = \frac{dx}{dt}, V_y = \frac{dy}{dt}$$

The Lagrangian in terms of these coordinates becomes:

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * M * \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + (1/2) * M * \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 - M * g * y$$

Now, we can apply the Euler-Lagrange equation to find the equation of motion. The Euler-Lagrange equation for a single generalized coordinate q is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\frac{dq}{dt}\right)}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

For the coordinate x:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0$$

This simplifies to:

$$M * \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = 0$$

For the coordinate y:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dy}{dt} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = 0$$

This simplifies to the equation of motion:

$$M * \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = -M * g$$

Integrating once with respect to time gives:

$$M * \frac{dy}{dt} = -M * g * t + C1$$

Integrating again with respect to time gives:

$$y = -g * \frac{t^2}{2} + C1 * t + C2$$

We can use the initial conditions to determine the constants C1 and C2. Initial conditions:

- t = 0, y = 0 (particle starts at the origin)
- Initial vertical velocity: $V_y = U * sin(\theta)$

Using these conditions, we find:

$$C1 = U * sin(\theta) C2 = 0$$

Therefore, the equation of the trajectory of the particle is:

$$y = -g * \frac{t^2}{2} + U * sin(\theta) * t$$

This is the equation of a parabolic trajectory, which describes the motion of the particle in terms of time t and the angle of projection θ .

The horizontal component of velocity (V_x) remains constant throughout the motion and is given by: $V_x = U * cos(\theta)$

The vertical component of velocity (V_y) changes due to the acceleration due to gravity (g) and is given by: $V_y = U * sin(\theta) - g * t$

The time of flight (t) is given by: $t = \frac{2 * U * sin(\theta)}{g}$

The horizontal distance (x) is given by: $x = U * cos(\theta) * t$

Hence : $t = \frac{x}{U\cos(\theta)}$

Therefore, the equation of the trajectory of the particle is:

$$y = -g * \frac{\left(\frac{x}{U\cos(\theta)}\right)^2}{2} + U * \sin(\theta) * \frac{x}{U\cos(\theta)}$$

6.3 Motion of Two Particles under Gravitational Acceleration

Kinetic Energy (T): The kinetic energy of each particle is given by the formula $T = \frac{1}{2}m * v^2$, where "*m*" is the mass of the particle and "*v*" is its velocity.

For particle P (mass m1):
$$T1 = \frac{1}{2} * m1 * v_1^2$$

For particle Q (mass m2): $T2 = \frac{1}{2} * m2 * v_2^2$

Potential Energy (V): The potential energy due to gravitational interaction between the two particles is given by V = -(G * m1 * m2)/r, where "G" is the gravitational constant, "m1" and "m2" are the masses of the particles, and "r" is the distance between them.

The Lagrangian for particle P is:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} * m_1 * \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} * m_2 * \left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{(G * m_1 * m_2)}{r}$$

Apply the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1}\right) = 0$$

Partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)} = m_1 * \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)}\right) = m_1 * \left(\frac{d_1^{2x}}{dt^2}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{(G * m_1 * m_2)}{r^2}$$

Substitute:

$$m_1 * \left(\frac{d_1^2 x}{dt^2}\right) - \frac{(G * m_1 * m_2)}{r^2} = 0$$

Lovely Professional University

$$m_1 * \left(\frac{d_1^2 x}{dt^2}\right) = \frac{(G * m_1 * m_2)}{r^2}$$
$$\left(\frac{d_1^2 x}{dt^2}\right) = \frac{(G * m_2)}{r^2}$$

Equations of Motion for Particle Q (mass

The Lagrangian for particle Q is:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} * m_1 * \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} * m_2 * \left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{(G * m_1 * m_2)}{r}$$

Apply the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2}\right) = 0$$

Partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)} = m_2 * \left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dx_2}{dt}\right)}\right) = m_2 2 * \left(\frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = -(G * m_1 * m_2) r^2$$

Substitute:

$$m_{2} * \left(\frac{d^{2}x_{2}}{dt^{2}}\right) + \frac{(G * m_{1} * m_{2})}{r^{2}} = 0$$
$$m_{2} * \left(\frac{d^{2}x_{2}}{dt^{2}}\right) = -\frac{(G * m_{1} * m_{2})}{r^{2}}$$
$$\frac{d^{2}x_{2}}{dt^{2}} = -\frac{(G * m_{1})}{r^{2}}$$

Summary

The equations of motion for particle P and Q under gravitational interaction are:

$$\left(\frac{d^2 x_1}{dt^2}\right) = \frac{(G * m_2)}{r^2}$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2 x_2}{dt^2}\right) = -\frac{(G * m_1)}{r^2}$$

These equations describe how the positions of the particles change over time due to the gravitational force between them.

Summary

In the classical mechanics, Lagrangian mechanics emerges as a powerful tool for unraveling the intricate dynamics of physical systems. Rooted in the principle of least action, Lagrangian mechanics offers a unified framework that elegantly describes the motion of objects while accounting for forces, energies, and constraints. In this exploration, we delve into Lagrangian-based solutions for two dynamic problems: the motion of a simple pendulum and the interaction of two particles under gravitational acceleration.

The simple pendulum, a quintessential example of harmonic motion, reveals its underlying elegance when examined through the lens of Lagrangian mechanics. By skillfully combining kinetic and potential energies, the pendulum's oscillatory behavior is distilled into a concise equation of motion. This showcases the power of Lagrangian mechanics in transforming complex systems into elegant formulations.

Moving forward, the gravitational interaction between two particles becomes a playground for Lagrangian analysis. Deriving the equations of motion for each particle unveils the intricate interplay between gravitational forces, energies, and trajectories. Through this approach, the complexity of gravitational interactions is untangled, providing a deep understanding of the particles' motions.

Lagrangian mechanics, with its emphasis on energy conservation and minimization of action, proves to be a versatile and insightful tool for tackling dynamic problems. By embracing Lagrangian formulations, we transcend the boundaries of traditional mechanics and journey into a realm where equations become narratives of motion, and complexities yield to elegant solutions.

<u>Keywords</u>

Lagrangian Mechanics: Lagrangian mechanics is a formalism in classical mechanics that describes the motion of a system using the Lagrangian function, which is the difference between the system's kinetic and potential energies. It provides a powerful and elegant way to formulate and solve equations of motion.

Principle of Least Action: The principle of least action states that the path taken by a system between two points in space and time is the one that minimizes the action, which is the integral of the Lagrangian along the path. This principle underlies Lagrangian mechanics and leads to the equations of motion.

Dynamic Problems: Dynamic problems involve understanding and predicting the motion of objects and systems in response to various forces and interactions.

Simple Pendulum: A simple pendulum is a weight (called a pendulum bob) suspended from a fixed point and free to swing back and forth under the influence of gravity. It is a classic example of periodic motion.

Harmonic Motion: Harmonic motion refers to the repetitive back-and-forth movement of an object around an equilibrium position. It is characterized by a sinusoidal pattern and is commonly observed in systems with restoring forces.

Equations of Motion: Equations that describe how the position, velocity, and acceleration of an object change over time in response to forces or interactions.

Gravitational Interaction: Gravitational interaction is the force of attraction between two masses due to their mass and the distance between them. It is described by Isaac Newton's law of universal gravitation.

Energy Conservation: The principle that the total energy of a closed system remains constant over time, with energy changing between different forms but the total amount remaining constant.

Oscillatory Behavior: Oscillatory behavior refers to repetitive and periodic motion around a central point or equilibrium position. It is characterized by alternating between two extreme points.

Constraints: Constraints are limitations or conditions that restrict the motion or behavior of a system.

Trajectories: Trajectories are the paths traced by objects as they move through space and time.

Elegance: Elegance in physics refers to the simplicity, efficiency, and aesthetic beauty of a theory or solution. An elegant solution is one that captures the essence of a problem using minimal complexity.

Self Assessment

- 1. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 1000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 20 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 0 kg m/s
- B. 5000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 2. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 10000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 20 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 0 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 3. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 500 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 50 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 15 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 5000 kg m/s to the left
- D. 55000 kg m/s
- 4. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 5000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 50 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 25 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 125000 kg m/s
- D. 5000 kg m/s
- 5. Two cars, Car A and Car B, each with a mass of 1000 kg, are moving towards each other on a straight road. Car A is traveling at 2 m/s to the right, and Car B is moving at 2 m/s to the left. When they collide head-on, they stick together and move as a single unit. What is the total momentum of Car A and Car B before the collision?
- A. 17500 kg m/s
- B. 50000 kg m/s to the right
- C. 0 kg m/s
- D. 5000 kg m/s

6. A rotating bicycle wheel is initially at rest. When the rider applies the brakes, the wheel slows down and eventually comes to a stop. What principle explains this phenomenon?

- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of angular velocity
- 7. A spinning ice skater starts with her arms outstretched. As she pulls her arms closer to her body, her rotational speed increases. This change in rotational speed demonstrates:
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of potential energy
- 8. A gymnast performs a mid-air somersault. During the somersault, her body is tightly tucked, and her angular velocity increases. What is responsible for this increase in angular velocity?
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of linear momentum
- C. Conservation of kinetic energy
- D. Conservation of rotational inertia

9. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 10(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - V(r)$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.

A. $10 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $3 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$

- D. $r^2\ddot{\theta} = r$
- 10. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 10(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 10 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $5 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $3 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = r$

11. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 100(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - V(r) \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $100 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = r$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

12. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 700(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 700 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $350 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$ 13. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 1000(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 100 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $1000 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ B. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$

D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

14. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = \frac{1}{2} 60(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - 60 \text{ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.}$ A. $60 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = R$ B. $60 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$ C. $30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$ D. $r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 100r$

15. If Lagrangian for the revolution earth around the son is

 $L = 100(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - V(r)$ then write Lagrangian equation of motion for theta is.

- A. $50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$
- $B. \quad 50 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$
- $C. \quad 30 * r^2 \ddot{\theta} + r = 0$
- D. $r^2\ddot{\theta} = 100r$

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	А	3.	А	4.	С	5.	В
6.	А	7.	А	8.	А	9.	А	10.	А
11.	В	12.	А	13.	А	14.	В	15.	А

Review Questions

- 1. Consider a simple pendulum consisting of a mass (m) attached to a string of length (l) and fixed at a pivot point. The Lagrangian for the pendulum is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m l^2 \dot{\theta}^2 mgl(1 cos\theta)$, where θ is the angle the pendulum makes with the vertical, the angular velocity $\dot{\theta}$, and g is the acceleration due to gravity. Derive the equation of motion for the angle $\theta(t)$ using Lagrange's equations.
- 2. For a simple harmonic oscillator with a mass (m) attached to a spring with spring constant (k), the Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m \dot{x}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) k x^2$, where x is the displacement of the mass from its equilibrium position and x is the velocity. Find the equation of motion for x(t) using Lagrange's equations.
- 3. For a rigid body rotating about a fixed axis, the Lagrangian is given by $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) I \dot{\theta}^2$, where I is the moment of inertia and θ is the angular displacement. Show that the angular

momentum is conserved, and derive the equation of motion for $\theta(t)$ using Lagrange's equations.

- 4. Consider a particle of mass (m) moving under the influence of a central force, directed towards the origin and dependent only on the radial distance r. The Lagrangian for the particle is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) m (\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) V(r)$, where V(r) is the potential energy as a function of r. Derive the equations of motion for r(t) and $\theta(t)$ using Lagrange's equations and show how the conservation of angular momentum arises in this system.
- 5. For a simple harmonic oscillator with a mass (5kg) attached to a spring with spring constant (k), the Lagrangian is $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) 5 \dot{x}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) k x^2$, where x is the displacement of the mass from its equilibrium position and x is the velocity. Find the equation of motion for x(t) using Lagrange's equations.

[Y] <u>Further Readings</u>

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 07: Routh's Procedure Hamilton Principle and Principle of Least Action

CONT	CONTENTS							
Objecti	ives							
Introdu	Introduction							
7.1	Routh's Procedure							
7.2	Hamilton's Principle							
7.3	Principle of Least Action							
Summ	ary							
Keywc	Keywords							
Self As	Self Assessment							
Answers for Self Assessment								
Review	Review Questions							
Furthe	Further Readings							

Objectives

Routh's Procedure: Routh's Procedure is a method in classical mechanics to simplify equations of motion for systems with cyclic coordinates. These coordinates, like q_i , have corresponding momenta p_i that are constants. Here's how the procedure works. Mastering this mathematical technique allows you to approach complex physical systems with cyclic coordinates in a more systematic and efficient manner. As you delve into this subject, you'll not only enhance your problem-solving skills but also deepen your understanding of advanced mechanics concepts. This knowledge will empower you to tackle intricate real-world problems, contributing to your intellectual empowerment and adaptability in a changing world.

Hamilton's Principle and Principle of Least Action: Embracing the study of Hamilton's Principle and the Principle of Least Action can open doors to numerous opportunities. These principles provide a profound insight into the fundamental nature of physical systems, from classical to quantum mechanics. By delving into these principles, you're equipping yourself with the tools to approach complex dynamics in a systematic and elegant manner. The skills you gain, such as critical thinking, mathematical proficiency, and a deep understanding of fundamental principles, can greatly enhance your problem-solving abilities and contribute to your personal growth.

Furthermore, as you unravel the intricacies of these principles, you're fostering a lifelong curiosity that extends beyond theoretical physics. This curiosity fuels your desire to explore the unknown, ask profound questions, and contribute to a deeper understanding of the universe. By studying these principles, you're preparing yourself to make meaningful contributions to scientific advancements, technological innovations, and even philosophical discussions about the nature of reality. After this unit you will be able to

- Understand the Routh's Procedure for equations of motion.
- Learn Hamilton's Principle and Principle of Least Action.

Introduction

Routh's Procedure:

Imagine you're studying the movement of objects, like a spinning top. Sometimes, these objects have particular motions, like the way a top spins around a certain axis. These special motions are

called "cyclic" motions. Routh's Procedure is a technique that helps us simplify the equations of motion when we're dealing with these kinds of motions.

When we use Routh's Procedure, we work with variables like q_i and their corresponding momenta p_i , which describe the positions and velocities of the object. If one of these variables, let's call it q_c , behaves in a cyclic manner, meaning its momentum p_c remains constant, we can apply Routh's Procedure. It involves adjusting the equations of motion by introducing a modified Lagrangian that helps us eliminate the cyclic coordinate q_c and its conjugate momentum p_c . This simplification makes it easier to analyze and understand the object's motion without getting tangled in complex calculations.

Hamilton's Principle:

Think about how an object moves from one point to another, like a ball rolling down a hill. The path it takes seems to follow a natural course. Hamilton's Principle is like a fundamental rule that guides objects in finding the smoothest path between two points.

When objects move in the real world, they tend to follow paths that minimize a special quantity known as "action." This action, symbolized as S, comes from considering the energies involved, such as kinetic energy (T) and potential energy (V), as the object moves. The Lagrangian, L = T - V, helps us describe the energies and motion. Hamilton's Principle states that the actual path an object takes is the one that makes the action S as small as possible. To find this path, we use the calculus of variations, a mathematical tool that helps us pinpoint the precise trajectory that minimizes the action. This path, governed by the Euler-Lagrange equation, reveals the elegant way objects naturally move and interact.

Principle of Least Action:

Imagine a system undergoing a transformation from one state to another, like a swinging pendulum moving from rest to motion. The Principle of Least Action is like a guiding principle that dictates the system's behavior during this transformation.

In this context, we work with variables like q_i , which represent the generalized coordinates describing the system's configuration, and t for time. The principle introduces a quantity called "action," symbolized as S, which is the integral of a function called the Lagrangian (L) over time. This Lagrangian accounts for both kinetic and potential energies involved in the system's motion. The Principle of Least Action asserts that the actual path the system takes between its initial and final states is the one that makes the action S as small as possible. In other words, the system chooses the path that requires the least "effort" in terms of energy and motion. This principle provides a concise and elegant way to derive the equations of motion and understand how systems evolve from one state to another.

In essence, these concepts introduce mathematical frameworks that allow us to analyze and comprehend the behaviors of physical systems in a more organized and insightful manner, taking into account various aspects of motion and energy.

7.1 <u>Routh's Procedure</u>

Routh's Procedure is a method in classical mechanics to simplify equations of motion for systems with cyclic coordinates. These coordinates, like q_i , have corresponding momenta p_i that are constants. Here's how the procedure works:

Given Equations of Motion: Start with equations of motion derived from L = T - V, where T is kinetic energy and V is potential energy, for a system with coordinates q_i and momenta p_i :

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}\right)\right] - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}\right) = 0$$

Identify Cyclic Coordinates: Identify coordinates q_c with constant momenta p_c = constant, which are cyclic.

Introduce Routhian: Define $R = p_c * \partial q_c - L$, and replace ∂q_c with p_c in the original equations.

Simplify Equations: Substitute p_c for ∂q_c in R, then plug R back into equations of motion. New equations look like:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial q_i}\right)\right] - \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial q_i}\right) = 0$$

Justification:

Routh's Procedure streamlines equations by replacing cyclic coordinates with their momenta. This simplifies math while maintaining physical behavior. It's a helpful tool to analyze complex problems in classical mechanics, making it easier to study how systems move.

Problem:

Consider a particle of mass "m" moving on a frictionless, vertical, circular track of radius "R". The track rotates about its center with a constant angular velocity "w". The particle is constrained to move along the track. Determine the equations of motion using the Routhian approach.

Solution:

The motion of the particle is constrained to the circular track, so we need to consider the constraint equation that relates the coordinates of the particle on the track. Let "r" be the radial distance of the particle from the center of the track. The constraint equation is "r - R = 0".

The Lagrangian "L" for the system can be written as the kinetic energy "T" minus the potential energy "U":

L = T - U.

Since the particle is constrained to move along the track, the Lagrangian becomes:

L = T - U - multiplier * (r - R),"

where the "multiplier" is a constant associated with the constraint.

The kinetic energy "T" of the particle is given by:

$$T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * r^2 * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)^2$$

where "dr/dt" is the radial velocity of the particle and "d(theta)/dt" is the angular velocity of the rotating track.

The potential energy "U" due to gravity is:

 $"U = -m * g * r * \cos(\theta),"$

where "theta" is the angle between the radial line connecting the center of the track to the particle and the vertical axis.

Substituting the expressions for "T" and "U" into the Lagrangian, we get:

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * r^{2} * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)^{2} + m * g * r * \cos(\theta) - multiplier * (r - R)$$

The generalized coordinates for this problem are "r" and " θ ". We can now compute the partial derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to "(dr/dt)" and " $(d(\theta)/dt)$ " to find the conjugate momenta " p_r and p_{θ}

$$p_r = m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)$$
$$p_\theta = m * r^2 * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)$$

The Routhian "R" is defined as the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian with respect to the generalized velocities:

$$R = L - (dr/dt) * \left(\frac{dL}{d\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)}\right) - \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right) * \left(\frac{dL}{d\left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)}\right)$$

Substituting the expressions for *L*, *p*_{*r*}, and *p*_{θ} into the Routhian, we obtain:

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * r^2 * \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 + m * g * r * \cos(\theta) - multiplier * (r - R)$$
$$- m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 - m * r^2 * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)^2$$

Simplifying the expression, we have:

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * r^{2} * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)^{2} + m * g * r * \cos(\theta) - multiplier$$
$$* (r - R) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * r^{2} * \left(\frac{d(\theta)}{dt}\right)^{2}$$

Finally, the Routhian for this system is:

$$"R = m * g * r * cos(\theta) - multiplier * (r - R)."$$

The equations of motion are obtained by applying the Euler-Lagrange equation to the Routhian with respect to the generalized coordinates "r" and "theta". This yields the equations that describe the motion of the particle along the rotating circular track.

7.2 Hamilton's Principle

Hamilton's Principle, also known as the Principle of Least Action, is a foundational concept in physics for understanding object motion between two points. It follows these steps:

Action Calculation: $S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q, q', t) dt$

Least Action Principle: $\delta S = 0$

Calculus of Variations: $\delta S = 0$ leads to

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q,q',t) dt = 0$$

Euler-Lagrange Equation: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - d/dt \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q'}\right) = 0$

By minimizing the action, nature chooses a path that makes motion efficient and smooth. Solving the Euler-Lagrange equation reveals how systems evolve over time.

This principle is foundational in classical mechanics, uncovering natural paths of motion by minimizing action.

7.3 Principle of Least Action

The Principle of Least Action is a fundamental concept in physics. It states that the actual path taken by a system between two points in time is the one that minimizes the "action" integral, denoted by S. This action integral is a mathematical expression involving the Lagrangian, which captures the system's kinetic and potential energies. By varying the path of the system and setting the resulting variation of the action to zero, the principle yields the Euler-Lagrange equations, governing the system's motion. This principle elegantly summarizes the dynamics of a wide range of physical phenomena, from classical mechanics to quantum field theory.

Statement: The Principle of Least Action states that the path a physical system follows between two points in time is the one minimizing the action integral

Proof:

Action Integral:
$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q, q', t) dt$$

Variation of Action:
$$\delta S = \int_{t_1} \left(\frac{1}{\partial q_i} + \frac{1}{\partial q'_i} \right) dt$$

earation by Parts: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{\delta q_i \partial L}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{\partial q} \left(\delta a'_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \right) \right) dt + \left[\delta a'_i \right]_{t_1}$

Integration by Parts: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{\delta q_i \partial L}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta q'_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'_i} \right) \right) dt + \left[\delta q'_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'_i} \right]_{t_1}^{t_2}$

Euler – Lagrange Equation Derivation: Assuming $\delta q_i = \delta q'_i = 0$ at t_1 and t_2 ,

we have:
$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{\delta q_i \partial L}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta q'_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'_i} \right) \right) dt$$

Euler – Lagrange Equation:

For stationary action ($\delta S = 0$), the integrand must vanish: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q'_i} \right) = 0$

Least Action Principle:

This leads to the Euler-Lagrange equation which ensures that the path minimizing the action is taken by the system.

Problem:

A particle moves with potential energy $V(x) = kx^2$ and kinetic energy $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2$. Apply Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion.

Solution:

Lagrangian (L): $L = T - V = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2 - k * x^2$ Action Integral: $S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2 - k * x^2\right] dt$ Variation of Action: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [m * x' * \delta x' - 2 * k * x * \delta x] dt$ Integration by Parts: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{d}{dt}(m * x' * \delta x) - 2 * k * x * \delta x\right] dt - [m * x' * \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2}$ Euler-Lagrange Equation: $\frac{d}{dt}(m * x') - 2 * k * x = 0$ (Equation of motion).

Problem :

A particle moves with potential energy $V(x) = 10x^2$ and kinetic energy $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2$. Apply Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion.

Solution:

Lagrangian (L):
$$L = T - V = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2 - 10 * x^2$$

Action Integral: $S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) * m * x'^2 - 10 * x^2 \right] dt$ Variation of Action: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [m * x' * \delta x' - 20 * x * \delta x] dt$ Integration by Parts: $\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{d}{dt} (m * x' * \delta x) - 20 * x * \delta x \right] dt - [m * x' * \delta x]_{t_1}^{t_2}$ Euler-Lagrange Equation: $\frac{d}{dt} (m * x') - 20 * x = 0$ (Equation of motion)

<u>Summary</u>

- Hamilton's Canonical Equations are a set of equations used in classical mechanics to describe the motion of a system with generalized coordinates and momenta.
- The equations are derived from the Hamiltonian function, which represents the total energy of the system.
- The equations of motion derived from Hamilton's Canonical Equations are $dq/dt = \partial H/\partial p$ and $dp/dt = -\partial H/\partial q$, where q represents the generalized coordinates and p represents the corresponding momenta.
- These equations provide a systematic way to determine the time evolution of the coordinates and momenta of a system, based on the potential and kinetic energies described by the Hamiltonian.
- Hamilton's Canonical Equations are widely used in various areas of physics, including classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and statistical mechanics.
- They offer a powerful framework to study complex systems and derive the equations of motion, even for systems with non-trivial potentials or constraints.
- Cyclic coordinates refer to the coordinates in a physical system for which the Lagrangian does not explicitly depend on them.
- Cyclic coordinates play a crucial role in simplifying the equations of motion and finding conserved quantities in a system.
- When a coordinate is cyclic, its conjugate momentum remains constant throughout the motion.
- Cyclic coordinates often arise in systems with specific symmetries or conservation laws, allowing for the simplification of the equations of motion and revealing hidden conservation principles.
- The presence of cyclic coordinates can simplify the analysis of a system, leading to the discovery of important physical quantities such as angular momentum or energy conservation.
- Cyclic coordinates are valuable in the study of many physical systems, including classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and field theories.

Keywords

Hamilton's Canonical Equations

Hamilton's Canonical Equations express the equations of motion in terms of the generalized coordinates and momenta. They are derived from the Hamiltonian function and have the following form:

$\frac{dq_i}{dq_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial H}$	(4a)
$dt = \partial p_i$	(14)
$dp_{i} = \partial H$	(<i>4</i> b)
$\frac{\partial dt}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial dq_{i}}{\partial q_{i}}$	(40)

Cyclic Coordinates: A cyclic coordinate q_i is a generalized coordinate for which the Lagrangian L does not explicitly depend on q_i . In other words, $\partial L/\partial q_i = 0$. A cyclic coordinate is a coordinate in a system that does not appear in the equations of motion. In other words, if a coordinate is cyclic, then its derivative with respect to time does not appear in the equations of motion.

Self Assessment

1. The Principle of Least Action is based on the minimization of:

- A. Energy
- B. Force
- C. Action
- D. Momentum
- 2. In the context of the Principle of Least Action, the Lagrangian (L) is defined as:
- A. $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^{2}$ B. $m * x'^{2}$ C. $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x^{2}$ D. $m * x^{2}$
- 3. Which equation arises from applying the Principle of Least Action to a system with generalized coordinates (q) and Lagrangian (L)?
- A. Newton's second law
- B. Euler-Lagrange equation
- C. Hamilton's equation
- D. Schrödinger equation

4. Hamilton's Principle is also known as the principle of:

- A. Least Energy
- B. Least Momentum
- C. Least Force
- D. Least Action

5. The Hamiltonian (H) is defined as:

- A. T V
- B. T + V
- С. Т
- D. T / V

6. Which formulation of mechanics introduces generalized momenta p?

A. Newtonian mechanics

Notes

- B. Lagrangian mechanics
- C. Hamiltonian mechanics
- D. Quantum mechanics

7. Hamilton's equations of motion describe the evolution of:

- A. Generalized coordinates q
- B. Generalized momenta p
- C. Kinetic energy T
- D. Potential energy V
- 8. The Principle of Least Action provides a unified framework for understanding which of the following?
- A. Classical mechanics and optics
- B. Thermodynamics and relativity
- C. Quantum mechanics and electromagnetism
- D. Gravitation and particle physics
- 9. Which equation describes the conservation of energy in Hamiltonian mechanics?
- A. $\dot{H} = 0$
- B. $\dot{L} = 0$
- 10. The Euler-Lagrange equation is derived by minimizing which quantity in the action integral?
- A. Kinetic energy
- B. Potential energy
- C. Action
- D. Momentum

11. Hamilton's Principle is a fundamental principle in which branch of physics?

- A. Classical mechanics
- B. Thermodynamics
- C. Electromagnetism
- D. Quantum mechanics
- 12. In the context of Hamiltonian mechanics, the equations of motion are obtained by minimizing:
- A. Momentum
- B. Energy
- C. Hamiltonian
- D. Action
- 13. The Principle of Least Action provides a basis for understanding the behavior of systems in terms of optimizing which fundamental quantity?

- A. Force
- B. Velocity
- C. Action
- D. Momentum
- 14. Hamilton's Principle and the Principle of Least Action are central concepts in which theoretical framework?
- A. Quantum mechanics
- B. Classical mechanics
- C. Special relativity
- D. Thermodynamics
- 15. The Lagrangian L of a system is the difference between which two quantities?
- A. Kinetic energy and potential energy
- B. Momentum and velocity
- C. Action and energy
- D. Force and mass

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	А	2.	А	3.	А	4.	А	5.	D
6.	А	7.	D	8.	В	9.	D	10.	D
11.	D	12.	D	13.	С	14.	D	15.	D

Review Questions

- 1. A particle moves with potential energy $V(x) = kx^3$ and kinetic energy
 - $T = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * x'^2$. Apply Hamilton's Principle to derive the equation of motion.
- 2. An object slides along a wire described by y = f(x). The object is subjected to a conservative force $F = -k * \nabla U(x)$, where U(x) represents potential energy. Determine the equation of motion using Hamilton's Principle.
- 3. Consider a simple pendulum of length L and mass m released at an angle θ_0 to the vertical. Use Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion for θ .
- 4. A particle is restricted to move on a curve y = f(x) and experiences a conservative force $F = -k * \nabla U(x)$, with U(x) as potential energy. Derive the equation of motion for the particle using Hamilton's Principle.
- 5. A particle moves in a central force field given by $F = -\frac{k}{r^2}$, where k is constant and r is the radial distance. Apply Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion for r.
- 6. An object slides frictionlessly and enters a region with potential energy $U(x) = kx^4$. Find the object's motion using Hamilton's Principle.
- 7. A particle moves along a curved path described by $r = a * \theta^3$ in polar coordinates. Given a central force $F = -\frac{k}{r^{2'}}$ use Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion for θ .

- 8. A particle restricted to a frictionless hoop of radius R is affected by a gravitational field. Use Hamilton's Principle to find the equation of motion for the angle θ with the vertical.
- 9. A particle moves in a potential field U(x, y, z). Apply Hamilton's Principle to derive the equations of motion for x, y, and z.
- 10. A bead slides on a wire y = f(x) under the influence of gravity. Use the Principle of Least Action to find the equation of motion for the bead.



Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 08: Hamilton Jacobi Equation of Motion

CONTENTS							
Objectives							
Introduction							
1 Hamilton Jacobi Equation of Motion							
8.2 Hamilton Jacobi Equation and Verification							
Summary							
Keywords							
Self Assessment							
Answers for Self Assessment							
Review Questions							
Further Readings							

Objectives

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation of motion is a fundamental concept in classical mechanics and Hamiltonian dynamics. Its primary purpose is to provide a mathematical framework for solving certain types of problems involving conservative systems and canonical transformations. It offers a powerful method for finding solutions to the equations of motion in classical mechanics and has applications in various areas of physics, including quantum mechanics.

The key purposes of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation of motion are:

Canonical Transformations: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation allows for the identification and generation of canonical transformations that preserve the form of Hamilton's equations of motion. Canonical transformations are changes of variables that maintain the structure of Hamiltonian dynamics and are essential in simplifying and analyzing complex systems.

Separation of Variables: In certain coordinate systems, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation can be separated into partial differential equations that each depend on only a subset of the coordinates and momenta. This separation simplifies the problem of solving the equations of motion, especially for systems with separable Hamiltonians.

Action-Angle Variables: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a key tool in the introduction of actionangle variables. These variables provide a particularly useful description of the motion in integrable systems, where motion occurs on tori in phase space. Action-angle variables allow for a clear separation of the periodic motion of a system from its slower secular variations.

Quantum Mechanics: In quantum mechanics, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation plays a crucial role in the semiclassical approximation. It serves as a starting point for deriving the wave function of a quantum system from its classical Hamiltonian. This connection between classical and quantum mechanics is essential for understanding the correspondence principle.

Conservation Laws: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is intimately linked to the conservation laws of classical mechanics. It provides insight into the constants of motion associated with a system, such as energy, angular momentum, and linear momentum.

Characterizing Trajectories: The solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation represent a family of trajectories in phase space, which can provide valuable information about the behavior of a dynamical system. This includes determining stable and unstable orbits, analyzing the structure of phase space, and predicting long-term behavior. After this unit you will be able to

- understand the concept of Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
- verify Hamilton Jacobi Equation

Introduction

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation of motion stands as a cornerstone in classical mechanics, providing a powerful mathematical tool for unraveling the dynamics of conservative systems. Rooted in the pioneering works of William Rowan Hamilton and Carl Gustav Jacobi, this equation serves as a key bridge between the elegant formalism of Hamiltonian mechanics and the intricate behavior of physical systems. By enabling canonical transformations, offering insights into conservation laws, and facilitating the transition to quantum mechanics, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation plays a pivotal role in our understanding of motion and its underlying principles. This introduction offers a glimpse into the significance of an equation that continues to shape the way we perceive and analyze the fundamental laws governing the natural world.

8.1 Hamilton Jacobi Equation of Motion

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a fundamental concept in classical mechanics and Hamiltonian dynamics. It provides a way to find the solution to the equations of motion for a physical system in terms of a certain type of function called the Hamilton-Jacobi function. This approach simplifies the process of solving complex systems by transforming the problem into a set of simpler partial differential equations.

Let's break down the components and significance of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

Hamiltonian (H): In classical mechanics, the Hamiltonian is a function that encapsulates the total energy of a physical system. It is typically expressed as the sum of the system's kinetic energy (associated with motion) and potential energy (associated with interactions between particles or fields). For a system with generalized coordinates q and momenta p, the Hamiltonian is denoted as H(q, p).

Action (S): The action of a system is a fundamental quantity in physics that characterizes the trajectory or path of the system through time. It is defined as the integral of the Lagrangian (a function describing the difference between kinetic and potential energies) over a certain time interval. The action is often denoted as S.

Canonical Transformation: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is derived from the concept of a canonical transformation, which is a change of variables in the phase space (space of generalized coordinates and momenta) that preserves the equations of motion. This transformation can simplify the description of a system and lead to more elegant solutions.

Hamilton-Jacobi Function (S(q, J)): The Hamilton-Jacobi function, often denoted as S(q, J), is a function of the generalized coordinates q and an arbitrary constant J, which is often interpreted as a type of conserved quantity. The Hamilton-Jacobi function is used to transform the original coordinates and momenta of a system into new coordinates and momenta that simplify the equations of motion.

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a partial differential equation that relates the Hamiltonian, the Hamilton-Jacobi function, and the partial derivatives of the Hamilton-Jacobi function with respect to the generalized coordinates q:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

This equation represents a conservation law, where the total derivative of the Hamilton-Jacobi function with respect to time plus the Hamiltonian evaluated at the coordinates q and the derivative of the Hamilton-Jacobi function with respect to q is equal to zero. Let's derive the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. We need to go though with the followings:

1. **Canonical Transformation and Generating Function**: As before, consider a canonical transformation generated by a function S(q, J, t), where J is an arbitrary constant. This generating function transforms the old coordinates q and momenta p to new coordinates Q and momenta P.

2. **Change of Variables**: Express the old variables (q, p) in terms of the new variables (Q, P) using the generating function S:

$$q = q(Q,J,t)p = p(Q,J,t)$$

3. **Hamiltonian in New Variables**: Express the Hamiltonian H(q, p) in terms of the new variables (Q, P) using the transformation:

$$H(q,p) = H(q(Q,J,t),p(Q,J,t))$$

4. **Partial Derivatives of the Generating Function**: Calculate the partial derivatives of the generating function S with respect to the old coordinates q:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q} * \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q}$$

5. Total Derivative of S: Calculate the total derivative of S(q, J, t) with respect to time:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt}$$

6. **Hamilton's Equations in New Variables**: Express the new momenta P in terms of the generating function:

 $P = \partial S / \partial Q$

Now, use the chain rule to express the time derivative of Q in terms of the partial derivatives of S:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt}$$

7. Equating Expressions: Equate the expressions for dS/dt from steps 5 and 6:

 $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt} = -H(q, p)$

8. **Substituting for Momenta**: Substitute the expression for momenta $P = \partial S / \partial Q$ into the equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt} = -H(q(Q,J,t), p(Q,J,t))$$

9. Simplify and Rearrange: Simplify the expression and rearrange the terms:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt} = -H(q, p)$$

10. **Hamilton-Jacobi Equation**: Finally, recognizing that $dq/dt = \partial H/\partial p$ (by Hamilton's equations), we arrive at the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in terms of S(q, J):

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\right) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial J} * \frac{dJ}{dt} = 0$$

This is the Hamilton-Jacobi equation expressed in terms of the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J), where J is a conserved quantity associated with the system. It describes the conservation of the action along trajectories of a mechanical system.

8.2 Hamilton Jacobi Equation and Verification

Let's go through the verification process of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation step by step:

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation:

Recall the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

This equation relates the Hamiltonian function H, the Hamilton-Jacobi function S, and the partial derivatives of S with respect to the generalized coordinates q and time t.

Hamilton's Equations:

Hamilton's equations describe the equations of motion in terms of the Hamiltonian function H(q, p):

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$
$$dp/dt = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

Here, q represents the generalized coordinates and p represents the corresponding conjugate momenta.

Verification Process:

To verify that the solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation indeed correspond to trajectories that satisfy Hamilton's equations of motion, follow these steps:

Assume you have a solution for the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J). This function should satisfy the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Compute the partial derivatives of S with respect to q and J:

 $\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial J}$

Using Hamilton's equations, replace the time derivatives of q and p in terms of the partial derivatives of the Hamiltonian:

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$
$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

Substitute the expressions for dq/dt and dp/dt into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Replace $\partial S/\partial q$ with its expression involving $\partial S/\partial J$ and show that the equation simplifies to zero:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial J}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}\right)^{-1} * \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = 0$$

This step involves algebraic manipulation and the use of the chain rule.

If the equation simplifies to zero, it means that the solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are consistent with the equations of motion described by Hamilton's equations. In other words, the trajectories derived from the Hamilton-Jacobi function indeed satisfy the dynamics of the system.

Interpretation:

Verifying the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is an essential step in understanding its significance. The verification process demonstrates that the solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation provide a description of the motion of a system that is consistent with the underlying principles of

Hamiltonian dynamics. It confirms that the Hamilton-Jacobi function captures important information about the trajectories and dynamics of the system.

Question: For a system described by the Hamiltonian $H(q, p) = p^2/2m + kq^2/2$, use the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to find the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J), where J is the conserved momentum.

Solution:

We'll start by applying the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\partial S/\partial t + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Given the Hamiltonian $H(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2}$, we have:

$$H(q,p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2}$$

Next, we'll assume that the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J) can be separated into two parts: one that depends on q and another that depends on the constant of motion J:

$$S(q,J) = W(q) + Jt$$

Now, let's calculate the partial derivatives needed for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = J \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q}$$

Using Hamilton's equations: $\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial}p = \frac{p}{m}\frac{dp}{dt} = -\partial H/\partial q = -kq$

Now, we'll substitute these derivatives and the Hamiltonian into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$J + \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Simplify the equation: $J + \left(\frac{p^2}{2m}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) + \left(\frac{kq^2}{2}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$

We know that $p = \partial S / \partial q$, so substitute this in:

$$J + \left(\frac{\frac{\partial S}{\partial q} * \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}}{2m}\right) + \left(\frac{kq^2}{2}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Now, let's separate the terms involving q from the terms involving

p:
$$J + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right)^2 / 2m + \left(\frac{kq^2}{2}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

Since we want to find the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J), we need to match the terms involving q and the constant J. To achieve this, we can set the term involving q to zero:

$$\left(\frac{kq^2}{2}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$$

This implies that $\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\right) = 0$, which means that W(q) is a constant. We can denote this constant as $-J^2 / 2m$:

$$W(q) = \frac{-J^2}{2m}$$

Finally, the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J) is given by: $S(q, J) = -\frac{J^2}{2m} + Jt$

This solution represents the Hamilton-Jacobi function for the given Hamiltonian, where J is the conserved momentum associated with the system.

Summary

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a cornerstone of classical mechanics, introducing the Hamilton-Jacobi function to simplify the solution of equations of motion. Derived from canonical transformations, it expresses conservation of action along trajectories, often indicating conserved momenta. The equation establishes a vital link between the Hamiltonian, Hamilton-Jacobi function,

91

and their derivatives, facilitating elegant solutions to complex systems. Separation of variables aids in simplifying the equation, while its verification ensures solutions adhere to the system's dynamics. In quantum mechanics, the Schrödinger equation serves as its analogous counterpart, highlighting its significance in bridging classical and quantum realms.

Keywords

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation: This is a fundamental equation in classical mechanics that introduces the Hamilton-Jacobi function as a tool for simplifying the solution of equations of motion.

Classical Mechanics: The branch of physics that deals with the motion of macroscopic objects based on classical principles, such as Newton's laws.

Hamilton-Jacobi Function: A function introduced by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, often used to find solutions to complex dynamical systems.

Canonical Transformations: Mathematical techniques that preserve the form of Hamilton's equations while changing the variables in a system, providing insight into alternative representations.

Conservation of Action: The concept that the action integral along a trajectory in a mechanical system remains constant, reflecting a fundamental symmetry.

Conserved Momenta: Quantities such as momentum that remain constant during motion, often indicated by conserved terms in the Hamilton-Jacobi function.

Hamiltonian: A function representing the total energy of a system, expressed in terms of coordinates and momenta.

Derivatives: The rates of change of quantities with respect to other variables, crucial for understanding how a system evolves over time.

Separation of Variables: A technique used to simplify complex equations by assuming a specific functional form, making the equation more manageable.

Verification: The process of confirming that the solutions derived from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation accurately match the dynamics described by the system's equations of motion.

Equations of Motion: Equations that describe how a system's coordinates and momenta change over time, crucial for understanding its behavior.

Schrödinger Equation: A fundamental equation in quantum mechanics, analogous to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in classical mechanics, describing how quantum states evolve over time.

Quantum Mechanics: The branch of physics that deals with the behavior of particles on a very small scale, governed by principles that differ from classical mechanics.

Self Assessment

- 1. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation provides a method for solving the equations of motion in classical mechanics by introducing a function known as the:
- A. Lagrangian
- B. Potential
- C. Hamilton-Jacobi function
- D. Action
- 2. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is derived from the concept of:
- A. Kinetic energy
- B. Canonical transformation
- C. Potential energy
- D. Lagrangian mechanics

- 3. Which equation describes the conservation of the action along trajectories of a mechanical system?
- A. Hamilton-Jacobi equation
- B. Newton's second law
- C. Euler-Lagrange equation
- D. Hamilton's equations
- 4. The Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J) introduces an arbitrary constant J, which often corresponds to a conserved:
- A. Energy
- B. Momentum
- C. Force
- D. Velocity
- 5. In the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $\partial S/\partial t + H(q, \partial S/\partial q) = 0$, H represents the:
- A. Hamiltonian function
- B. Momentum
- C. Potential energy
- D. Kinetic energy
- 6. The process of separating the Hamilton-Jacobi equation into simpler equations by assuming S(q, J) = W(q) + Jt is called:
- A. Canonical transformation
- B. Separation of variables
- C. Conservation law
- D. Symmetry transformation
- 7. Hamilton's equations of motion are given by:
- A. $\partial q/\partial t = \partial H/\partial p$, $\partial p/\partial t = -\partial H/\partial q$
- B. $\partial q/\partial t = -\partial H/\partial p$, $\partial p/\partial t = \partial H/\partial q$
- C. $\partial q/\partial t = \partial H/\partial q$, $\partial p/\partial t = -\partial H/\partial p$
- D. $\partial q/\partial t = -\partial H/\partial q$, $\partial p/\partial t = -\partial H/\partial p$
- 8. The verification process of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation involves demonstrating that its solutions satisfy:
- A. Newton's laws of motion
- B. Kepler's laws of planetary motion
- C. Hamilton's equations of motion
- D. Coulomb's law
- 9. In the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, the term $\partial S/\partial q$ represents the rate of change of the Hamilton-Jacobi function with respect to:

A. Time

- B. Momentum
- C. Position
- D. Energy
- 10. The Hamiltonian for a one-dimensional system with kinetic energy T(p) and potential energy V(q) is given by:
- A. H(q, p) = T(p) + V(q)
- B. H(q, p) = T(q) + V(p)
- C. H(q, p) = T(p) V(q)
- D. H(q, p) = T(q) V(p)
- 11. The Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J) is related to the generating function of canonical transformations by:
- A. $S(q, J) = \partial F(q, P) / \partial J$
- B. $S(q, J) = \partial F(q, Q) / \partial J$
- C. S(q, J) = $\partial F(Q, P) / \partial J$
- D. S(q, J) = $\partial F(P, Q) / \partial J$
- 12. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is often used to solve systems with:
- A. Simple potentials
- B. Linear velocities
- C. Complex trajectories
- D. Conserved quantities

13. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation can be derived from which fundamental principle of physics?

- A. Principle of least action
- B. Law of conservation of energy
- C. Newton's second law
- D. Uncertainty principle
- 14. Separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation leads to a simpler set of equations by assuming that the Hamilton-Jacobi function depends on:
- A. Both position and momentum
- B. Only position
- C. Only momentum
- D. Neither position nor momentum
- 15. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation has an analogue in quantum mechanics, known as the:
- A. Schrödinger equation
- B. Uncertainty principle
- C. Dirac equation
- D. Planck equation

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	С	2.	В	3.	А	4.	В	5.	А
6.	В	7.	А	8.	С	9.	С	10.	А
11.	А	12.	D	13.	А	14.	В	15.	А

Review Questions

- 1. Start with the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H\left(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = 0$. Derive the expression for $\partial S/\partial q$ and explain how it is related to the momentum.
- 2. Given the Hamiltonian $H(q,p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q)$ for a one-dimensional system, apply the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to find an expression for the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J).
- 3. Show the step-by-step verification process of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Begin with the expression for dS/dt, substitute Hamilton's equations, and demonstrate that the equation simplifies to zero.
- 4. Consider a conservative system with a Hamiltonian $H(q,p) = \frac{3p^2}{2m} + V(q)$. Apply the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to verify that the action variable I = $\int p \, dq$ is conserved.
- 5. For a system described by the Hamiltonian $H(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2}$, use the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to find the Hamilton-Jacobi function S(q, J), where J is the conserved momentum.



Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

Unit 09: Hamilton's Equations of Motion and Energy Equation

CONTENTS								
Objectives								
Introdu	action							
9.1	The Hamiltonian							
9.2	Hamilton's Equations of Motion							
9.3	Application of Hamilton's Equations							
9.4	Phase Space and Generalized Coordinates							
9.5	The Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Connection							
9.6	Energy Equation							
9.7	Practical Examples							
Summa	ary							
Keywords								
Self Assessment								
Answers for Self Assessment								
Review	Questions							
Further	Further Readings							

Objectives

After this unit you will be able to

- Understanding Fundamental Principles:
- Learn how to describe and analyze the motion of objects in various scenarios, such as linear motion, projectile motion, circular motion, and simple harmonic motion.
- Understand concepts like displacement, velocity, acceleration, and angular motion.
- Develop strong problem-solving skills by applying mathematical techniques and physical principles to solve a wide range of mechanical problem

Introduction

In the realm of classical mechanics, the study of the dynamics of physical systems has been shaped by various mathematical formalisms. Among these, Hamiltonian mechanics, developed by the Irish mathematician and physicist Sir William Rowan Hamilton in the 19th century, offers a powerful and elegant alternative to Newtonian mechanics. Hamilton's equations of motion lie at the core of this formalism, providing a sophisticated way to describe the evolution of dynamical systems. This chapter delves into the fundamental concepts and mathematical foundations of Hamilton's equations.

9.1 The Hamiltonian

Central to Hamiltonian mechanics is the concept of the Hamiltonian, denoted as H. The Hamiltonian is a function that encapsulates the total energy of a physical system. Unlike the Lagrangian approach, where one typically deals with generalized coordinates (q) and their derivatives, Hamiltonian mechanics introduces a new set of variables known as conjugate momenta

(p). The Hamiltonian is a function of both the generalized coordinates and their conjugate momenta:

$$H(q,p)=T(q,p)+V(q)$$

Here, T(q, p) represents the kinetic energy of the system in terms of q and p, while V(q) denotes the potential energy as a function of the generalized coordinates only.

9.2 <u>Hamilton's Equations of Motion</u>

Hamilton's equations of motion are a set of first-order differential equations that govern the evolution of a dynamical system. There are two equations for each pair of conjugate variables (q, p). The equations are as follows:

Hamilton's First Equation:

This equation describes how the generalized coordinates q evolve with time. It's akin to the rate of change of position with respect to time.

dq_i	∂H	
dt	$=\overline{\partial p_i}$	

Hamilton's Second Equation:



This equation details how the conjugate momenta p change over time. It's analogous to the rate of change of momentum with respect to time.

These equations provide a comprehensive description of the system's dynamics, revealing how the generalized coordinates and their conjugate momenta evolve over time.

9.3 Application of Hamilton's Equations

To apply Hamilton's equations to a specific physical system, you need to know the system's Hamiltonian, H(q, p), which is often derived from the Lagrangian of the system. Additionally, initial conditions for the generalized coordinates (q) and conjugate momenta (p) at a particular time (t₀) are required. Solving these equations numerically or analytically allows you to predict the behavior of the system over time.

Advantages of Hamiltonian Mechanics

Hamiltonian mechanics offers several advantages over other formalisms:

Symplectic Geometry: Hamiltonian mechanics is deeply connected to symplectic geometry, which provides a rich mathematical framework for understanding the geometry of phase space.

Conservation Laws: Hamilton's equations naturally lead to the conservation of energy, momentum, and angular momentum, making it a powerful tool for studying systems with conserved quantities.

Canonical Transformations: Hamiltonian mechanics can handle coordinate transformations that preserve the form of Hamilton's equations, known as canonical transformations. This property is crucial in simplifying complex problems.

9.4 Phase Space and Generalized Coordinates

In Hamiltonian mechanics, it's essential to introduce the concept of phase space. Phase space is a mathematical space where each point represents a unique state of the system. It is spanned by the generalized coordinates (q) and their conjugate momenta (p). For a system with N degrees of freedom, phase space is a 2N-dimensional space.

The coordinates q_i represent the positions and orientations of the system's components.

The conjugate momenta p_i correspond to the generalized momenta associated with each coordinate. They are defined as:



where L is the Lagrangian of the system. In most cases, the Lagrangian is a function of q, and time t.

9.5 The Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Connection

One of the remarkable aspects of Hamiltonian mechanics is its connection to the Lagrangian formulation. Given a Lagrangian L(q, t), you can derive the Hamiltonian H(q, p) as follows:



This transformation between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions allows you to interchangeably use either formalism depending on the problem's convenience.

9.6 Energy Equation

The energy equation in the context of classical mechanics describes how the total mechanical energy of a system changes over time. It is a fundamental concept in physics and is expressed as:

E=T+U

Where:

E represents the total mechanical energy of the system.

T is the kinetic energy of the system, which depends on the velocities of its components.

U is the potential energy of the system, which depends on the positions of its components and the forces acting on them.

This equation states that the total mechanical energy of a closed system, which is the sum of kinetic and potential energies, remains constant as long as there are no external non-conservative forces (such as friction or air resistance) acting on the system. In other words, if there are no energy losses due to non-conservative forces, the total mechanical energy of the system is conserved.

The energy equation is a powerful tool in classical mechanics and is used to analyze and predict the behavior of physical systems. It is commonly applied in various scenarios, such as the motion of particles, the behavior of springs, pendulum motion, and planetary motion, among others.

Components of the Energy Equation

Kinetic Energy (T)

Kinetic energy is the energy associated with the motion of an object. In classical mechanics, it is calculated as:



Where:

- T is the kinetic energy.
- m is the mass of the object.
- v is the velocity of the object.

Kinetic energy depends on the square of the velocity and is a measure of how fast an object is moving.

Potential Energy (U)

Potential energy is the energy associated with the position of an object within a force field. It depends on the forces acting on an object and the object's position relative to some reference point. The formula for potential energy varies depending on the type of force field:

Gravitational Potential Energy (U gravity): When gravity is the dominant force, the potential energy is given by:



Where :

- U gravity is the gravitational potential energy.
- m is the mass of the object.
- g is the acceleration due to gravity.
- h is the height above a reference point.

Spring Potential Energy (U spring): In the case of a spring or elastic potential energy, it's given by:

$$U_{
m spring} = rac{1}{2} k x^2$$

Where:

- U spring is the spring potential energy.
- k is the spring constant.
- x is the displacement from the spring's equilibrium position.

The Total Mechanical Energy (E)

The total mechanical energy of a system is the sum of its kinetic and potential energies:

E=T+U

This equation represents the principle of conservation of mechanical energy, which states that in the absence of non-conservative forces (like friction or air resistance), the total mechanical energy of a closed system remains constant. In other words, as long as energy isn't added to or taken away from the system by non-conservative forces, the total energy of the system remains unchanged.

Applications of the Energy Equation

Simple Harmonic Motion

The energy equation is useful in analyzing systems undergoing simple harmonic motion, such as a mass attached to a spring. In this case, as the object oscillates back and forth, its kinetic and potential energies continually trade places, but the total mechanical energy remains constant.

Planetary Motion

In celestial mechanics, the energy equation is used to describe the motion of planets and satellites. It helps determine their orbits and velocities by considering the interplay between gravitational potential energy and kinetic energy.

Conservation of Mechanical Energy

In a wide range of classical mechanics problems, the energy equation is employed to analyze the behavior of systems. It allows for predictions about the motion of objects without needing to solve complex differential equations directly. It's particularly useful when friction and other dissipative forces can be neglected.

9.7 <u>Practical Examples</u>

Pendulum Motion

In the context of pendulum motion, the energy equation plays a crucial role. As a pendulum swings back and forth, it oscillates between kinetic and potential energy, but the total mechanical energy remains constant. This property is exploited in various timekeeping devices, such as pendulum clocks.

Projectile Motion

For a projectile launched into the air, the energy equation can help determine its maximum height and range. The initial kinetic energy is converted into gravitational potential energy at the peak of its trajectory, and back into kinetic energy as it falls.

Conservation of Energy in Roller Coasters

Roller coasters are designed with the conservation of mechanical energy in mind. The initial potential energy at the top of a hill is converted into kinetic energy as the coaster descends. Skilled engineering ensures that energy losses due to friction are minimized so that the coaster maintains an exciting and safe ride.

Limitations and Real-World Considerations

While the conservation of mechanical energy is a valuable concept, it is important to recognize its limitations in real-world scenarios. Energy losses due to friction, air resistance, and other non-conservative forces can't be ignored in many practical situations. In such cases, the energy equation is a useful approximation, but it may not precisely describe the behavior of a system.

In summary, the energy equation in classical mechanics is a fundamental principle that allows for the analysis of mechanical systems by considering the interplay between kinetic and potential energy. Its applications range from simple harmonic motion to complex dynamics in fields like engineering, physics, and astronomy. However, it's essential to account for non-conservative forces when applying this principle to real-world scenarios.

Summary

• the energy equation in classical mechanics is a fundamental principle that allows for the analysis of mechanical systems by considering the interplay between kinetic and potential

energy. Its applications range from simple harmonic motion to complex dynamics in fields like engineering, physics, and astronomy.

- The energy equation in classical mechanics, which comprises kinetic and potential energy, is a fundamental concept that underlies the conservation of mechanical energy. It's a powerful tool for understanding the motion of objects and systems, helping to predict their behavior and identify whether energy is conserved in a given scenario. Whether applied to simple harmonic motion, planetary orbits, or everyday mechanical systems, the energy equation is a cornerstone of classical mechanics.
- the total mechanical energy of a closed system, which is the sum of kinetic and potential energies, remains constant as long as there are no external non-conservative forces (such as friction or air resistance) acting on the system. In other words, if there are no energy losses due to non-conservative forces, the total mechanical energy of the system is conserved.
- The conservation of energy is a fundamental principle in classical mechanics and is widely used to analyze the behavior of physical systems, such as in problems involving pendulums, springs, and planetary motion, among others. It is a powerful tool for understanding and predicting the motion of objects in the absence of dissipative forces

Keywords

- Energy: Energy is a fundamental physical quantity that measures the capacity to do work or produce heat. It comes in various forms, including kinetic energy (energy of motion) and potential energy (energy associated with position).
- **Kinetic Energy**: Kinetic energy is the energy possessed by an object due to its motion. It is calculated as **♦**=12**♦♦**2*T*=21*mv*2, where **♦***m* is the mass of the object and **♦***v* is its velocity.
- **Potential Energy**: Potential energy is the energy associated with the position or configuration of an object within a force field. Common types include gravitational potential energy and elastic potential energy (spring potential energy).
- **Conservation of Energy**: The principle that states that in a closed system (where no external non-conservative forces are acting), the total mechanical energy (kinetic energy plus potential energy) remains constant over time.
- **Phase Space**: A mathematical space where each point represents a unique state of a system, spanning both generalized coordinates (q) and their conjugate momenta (p).
- Hamiltonian: In Hamiltonian mechanics, the Hamiltonian (H) is a function that represents the total energy of a system in terms of generalized coordinates (q) and conjugate momenta (p).
- Hamilton's Equations of Motion: A set of differential equations that describe how the generalized coordinates and their conjugate momenta change over time in Hamiltonian mechanics. There are two equations for each pair of conjugate variables, and they are used to model the dynamics of the system.
- **Poisson Bracket**: In Hamiltonian mechanics, the Poisson bracket is a mathematical operation used to describe the evolution of any function of the phase space variables. It has properties similar to commutators in quantum mechanics.
- Liouville's Theorem: A theorem in Hamiltonian mechanics that states that the phase-space volume occupied by a set of trajectories remains constant as the system evolves, provided that no external forces are acting on the system.
- **Reference Point**: In the context of potential energy, the choice of a reference point or level for potential energy calculations. It affects the absolute value of potential energy but not the energy differences between points.
- Non-Conservative Forces: Forces that do work on a system and cause a loss of mechanical energy. Examples include friction and air resistance.

- Simple Harmonic Motion: A type of periodic motion in which an object oscillates back and
- forth about an equilibrium position, such as a mass attached to a spring.
- **Projectile Motion**: The motion of an object projected into the air, typically under the influence of gravity, where it follows a curved path.
- **Closed System**: A physical system that does not exchange matter with its surroundings. In the context of energy conservation, a closed system doesn't exchange energy with its surroundings except through conservative forces.

Self Assessment

- 1. What is the formula for kinetic energy (KE)?
- A. KE = mgh
- B. KE = $1/2mv^2$
- C. KE = Fd
- D. KE = GmM/r

2. In the context of potential energy, what does "h" represent in the formula U = mgh?

- A. Height above the ground
- B. Horizontal distance
- C. Speed
- D. Mass

3. Which of the following types of energy is associated with an object's motion?

- A. Gravitational potential energy
- B. Elastic potential energy
- C. Kinetic energy
- D. Thermal energy

4. Which principle states that the total mechanical energy of a closed system remains constant?

- A. Newton's First Law
- B. Newton's Second Law
- C. The Law of Conservation of Energy
- D. The Law of Inertia

5. Which of the following is a non-conservative force?

- A. Gravity
- B. Tension
- C. Friction
- D. Elasticity

6. What is the unit of energy in the International System of Units (SI)?

- A. Joules (J)
- B. Watts (W)
- C. Newtons (N)

D. Volts (V)

7. Which equation represents the conservation of mechanical energy?

- A. E = Fd
- B. $E = mc^2$
- C. E = T + U
- D. $E = P \times V$
- 1. In the absence of air resistance, what can be said about the total mechanical energy of a projectile launched into the air?
- A. It decreases continuously.
- B. It increases continuously.
- C. It remains constant.
- D. It depends on the mass of the projectile.

9. What type of motion is characterized by an oscillation about an equilibrium position?

- A. Uniform motion
- B. Simple harmonic motion
- C. Circular motion
- D. Linear motion
- 10. Which physical quantity is conserved in Hamiltonian mechanics?
- A. Force
- B. Momentum
- C. Temperature
- D. Energy
- 11. What mathematical concept in Hamiltonian mechanics describes how quantities evolve over time?
- A. Derivative
- B. Vector
- C. Poisson bracket
- D. Integral

12. In planetary motion, what type of energy does an orbiting object primarily possess?

- A. Gravitational potential energy
- B. Kinetic energy
- C. Elastic potential energy
- D. Thermal energy
- 13. What theorem in Hamiltonian mechanics states that phase-space volume remains constant as the system evolves?
- A. Archimedes' Theorem

- B. Liouville's Theorem
- C. Pythagoras' Theorem
- D. Newton's Theorem

14. Which term describes the mathematical space representing all possible states of a system?

- A. Phase space
- B. Force field
- C. Momentum space
- D. Kinetic space

15. What kind of forces dissipate energy and do work on a system?

- A. Conservative forces
- B. Non-conservative forces
- C. Internal forces
- D. Gravitational forces

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	А	3.	С	4.	С	5.	С
6.	А	7.	С	8.	С	9.	В	10.	D
11.	С	12.	А	13.	В	14.	А	15.	В

Review Questions

- 1. Explain the concept of conservation of mechanical energy. Provide an example to illustrate this principle.
- 2. Compare and contrast kinetic and potential energy. How do these forms of energy interplay in the motion of objects?
- 3. Describe the significance of Hamiltonian mechanics in classical physics. How does it offer an alternative to Newtonian mechanics?
- 4. Discuss the concept of phase space and its relevance in classical mechanics. Provide an example of how phase space is used in analyzing a physical system.
- 5. Explain the role of non-conservative forces in relation to the conservation of energy. Provide examples of non-conservative forces and how they affect the motion of objects.
- 6. Discuss the applications of Hamilton's equations of motion in various fields of physics. Provide specific examples to illustrate their use.
- Describe a real-world scenario where the conservation of mechanical energy is applicable. Explain how you would analyze and solve the problem using energy principles.
- Explain the concept of simple harmonic motion and provide an example of a physical system that exhibits this type of motion. Discuss the role of energy in simple harmonic oscillations.
\mathfrak{M}

Further Readings

1. "Classical Mechanics" by Herbert Goldstein - A comprehensive and widely-used textbook on classical mechanics, covering topics from Newton's laws to Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics.

2. "Introduction to Classical Mechanics: With Problems and Solutions" by David Morin - A modern introduction to classical mechanics that emphasizes problem-solving and understanding of fundamental principles.

MIT OpenCourseWare (OCW) - MIT OCW provides free access to lecture notes, assignments, and video lectures from actual MIT courses on classical mechanics and related subjects

Unit 10: Poisson Bracket

CONT	ENTS				
Objectiv	ves				
Introdu	iction				
10.1	Poisson's Bracket				
10.2	Hamiltonian Problem on Poisson's Bracket of some Canonical Terms				
10.3	Properties of Poisson Brackets				
10.4	Problem based on the Poisson Bracket's Properties				
Summary					
Keywords					
Self Assessment					
Answers for Self Assessment					
Review Questions					
Further	Further Readings				

Objectives

The study of Poisson's bracket is a fundamental pursuit in classical mechanics and Hamiltonian dynamics, imbued with far-reaching implications for understanding the behavior of physical systems. At its core, Poisson's bracket provides a powerful mathematical framework that elegantly captures the dynamics and symmetries of a system, ultimately enabling the prediction of its evolution over time. In this comprehensive exploration, we delve into the multifaceted purpose of studying Poisson's bracket, unearthing its essential role in formulating equations of motion, analyzing symmetries, facilitating canonical transformations, bridging classical and quantum mechanics, and elucidating algebraic properties.

At the heart of its significance, Poisson's bracket serves as the cornerstone for deriving equations of motion within the framework of Hamiltonian mechanics. Traditionally, the evolution of physical systems has been characterized by Newton's laws, encapsulating the interplay between forces and motion. However, Poisson's bracket offers a more sophisticated approach by encoding this evolution in terms of the Hamiltonian function—a function that encapsulates the total energy of a system in terms of its coordinates and momenta. By utilizing the Poisson bracket, one can succinctly express the rates of change of observables with respect to time, seamlessly transitioning from a description grounded in forces to one rooted in energy. This transition is pivotal, as it transforms the study of dynamics into a realm where energy conservation, symmetries, and conserved quantities take center stage.

Symmetries lie at the core of many physical theories, revealing underlying structures that govern the behavior of systems. Poisson's bracket emerges as a powerful tool in this context, facilitating the analysis of symmetries and conservation laws. Symmetry transformations that leave the Poisson bracket invariant correspond to conserved quantities, such as angular momentum or energy. This correspondence between symmetries and conservation laws is a hallmark of Poisson's bracket and offers an elegant insight into the deep interplay between the mathematical and physical aspects of classical mechanics. After this unit we will be able to

- understand and apply the Poisson bracket as a fundamental tool for formulating equations of motion and predicting the evolution of physical systems in Hamiltonian mechanics.
- analyze the relationship between the Hamiltonian and Poisson's bracket for canonical terms, revealing the interplay between energy and dynamic behavior within classical systems.

Mechanics

- explore the algebraic properties of Poisson brackets, including linearity, anti-symmetry, the Leibniz rule, and the Jacobi identity, to deepen the comprehension of their role in describing symmetries and conservation laws.
- demonstrate proficiency in manipulating the properties of Poisson brackets by solving a specific problem, showcasing the ability to apply these properties to real-world scenarios and mathematical challenges.

Introduction

Poisson's bracket, a mathematical construct deeply rooted in the formalism of Hamiltonian mechanics, emerges as a pivotal tool in unraveling the intricate dynamics of physical systems. Named after the esteemed mathematician Siméon-Denis Poisson, this concept embodies the essence of classical mechanics, serving as a linchpin between the abstract realm of mathematical formalism and the tangible realm of physical phenomena. Through Poisson's bracket, the subtle interplay between canonical variables and their conjugate momenta is illuminated, allowing us to dissect the evolution of systems with precision and insight. The exploration of Poisson's bracket amidst canonical terms unveils a profound synergy between the Hamiltonian function and the equations of motion. Within this domain, the bracket transforms into a symphony conductor, orchestrating the harmonious interaction between energy landscapes and dynamical trajectories. Canonical transformations, akin to elegant choreographic maneuvers, are guided by the dictates of the Poisson bracket. This mathematical entity acts as a compass, directing us towards the symmetries inherent in a system, illuminating hidden patterns in its behavior, and affording us a glimpse into the complex dance of particles governed by fundamental laws.

Embedded within the Poisson bracket's mathematical fabric lie a series of essential properties, akin to the laws governing a cosmic ballet. Linearity, resembling the superposition principle, allows for the composition of intricate motions from elemental constituents. The bracket's anti-symmetry mirrors the delicate interplay of particle interactions, encapsulating the fundamental commutative nature of classical observables. The Leibniz rule enforces a harmonious interaction between differentiation and the bracket, ensuring the coherence of the mathematical edifice.

Yet, perhaps the most captivating jewel in this mathematical crown is the Jacobi identity, a testament to the bracket's algebraic integrity. As three functions engage in an intricate pas de trois, their interplay harmoniously complies with the Jacobi identity, a fundamental condition that preserves the symphonic coherence of the mathematical framework.

In conclusion, the study of Poisson's bracket offers a profound lens through which the intricate choreography of the physical universe becomes discernible. As we delve into its intricacies, we gain access to the harmonious interplay of variables, symmetries, and transformations, granting us the privilege to decipher the secrets underlying the profound dynamics of nature.

10.1 <u>Poisson's Bracket</u>

Poisson's bracket is a mathematical operation in classical mechanics that helps us analyze how two physical quantities interact within a dynamic system. It tells us how the change in one quantity affects the change in another quantity over time.

Mathematical Formulation:

For two functions A(q, p) and B(q, p), where q represents position and p represents momentum, the Poisson bracket {A, B} is calculated as:

$$\{A,B\} = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial p}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial q}\right).$$

L≡ ►Example:

Let's consider a simple system described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) * p^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \omega^{2} * q^{2}.$$

Now, let's find the Poisson bracket between position q and momentum p:

$$\{q,p\} = \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial q}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial p}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial q}\right) = 1 * 1 - 0 = 1.$$

In this case, $\{q, p\} = 1$, which means changes in position have a direct and complete impact on momentum, and vice versa.

Beyond this example, Poisson's bracket is a valuable tool for studying the relationships between quantities in different systems, revealing symmetries, and understanding how things move and change over time.

10.2 <u>Hamiltonian Problem on Poisson's Bracket of some Canonical</u> <u>Terms</u>

Problem: Using the Posisson bracket, for the Hamiltonian $H = \left(\frac{p^2}{2m}\right) + \frac{mq^2 \cdot \omega^2}{2}$ then show that $F = ln(p + im\omega q) - i\omega t$ is constant of motion.

Solution:

Let's imagine we're observing a system, and its behavior is described by a special mathematical expression known as the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) * p^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \omega^2 * q^2.$$

Now, we want to explore a quantity called F, which is given by the formula $F = ln(p + im\omega q) - i\omega t$.

The big question is whether F remains unchanged as time goes on, even as the system evolves.

To investigate this, we're going to use a mathematical tool called the Poisson bracket. This tool helps us understand how things change in a system. In particular, we'll calculate the Poisson bracket between F and the Hamiltonian H:

$$\{F,H\} = 0.$$

Breaking it down further, we have these expressions:

$$F = ln(p + im\omega q) - i\omega t,$$

$$H = \left(\frac{1}{2m}\right) * p^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) * m * \omega^2 * q^2$$

Now, we'll use a formula that involves taking some special kinds of differences:

$$\{A,B\} = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial p}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial q}\right).$$

Let's calculate the changes in F and H with respect to position q and momentum p:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{im\omega}{p + im\omega q}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{p + im\omega q}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{p}{m}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \end{pmatrix} = m\omega^2 * q.$$

Now, we'll put these changes into the formula for the Poisson bracket:

$$\{F, H\} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p}\right) * \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{im\omega}{p + im\omega q}\right) * \left(\frac{p}{m}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{p + im\omega q}\right) * (m\omega^2 * q).$$

As we simplify further, we notice that certain terms that appear in both F and H cancel out, resulting in a numerator of zero:

Mechanics

$$\{F,H\} = 0.$$

This significant outcome tells us that the Poisson bracket of F and H is indeed zero. As a result, we conclude that F remains constant as the system evolves. This means that F doesn't change even when other things in the system are changing.

10.3 Properties of Poisson Brackets

The Poisson bracket is a fundamental concept in classical mechanics and is denoted by { }. It describes the evolution of two functions of phase space through the Hamiltonian equations of motion. One of the key properties of the Poisson bracket is linearity, which can be stated and proved as follows:

Property 1: Linearity of the Poisson Bracket

The Poisson bracket satisfies the property of linearity, which can be expressed as follows:

For any functions *f*, *g*, *and h* defined on the phase space, and any constants a and b, the linearity property holds:

$$\{af + bg, h\} = a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\}.$$

Proof:

Let's start by considering the left-hand side of the equation:

 $\{af + bg, h\}.$

Using the definition of the Poisson bracket, this can be expanded as:

$$\{af,h\} + \{bg,h\}.$$

Now, we will apply the definition of the Poisson bracket again to expand the terms $\{af, h\}$ and $\{bg, h\}$:

$$\{af,h\} = a\{f,h\} + f \partial h/\partial q \partial (ag)/\partial p - \partial (af)/\partial p \partial g/\partial q, \{bg,h\} = b\{g,h\} + g \partial h/\partial q \partial (bf)/\partial p - \partial (bg)/\partial p \partial f/\partial q .$$

Now, let's add these two equations:

$$\{af,h\} + \{bg,h\} = a\{f,h\} + b\{g,h\} + (f \partial h/\partial q \partial (ag)/\partial p - \partial (af)/\partial p \partial g/\partial q) + (g \partial h/\partial q \partial (bf)/\partial p - \partial (bg)/\partial p \partial f/\partial q).$$

Now, let's simplify this expression:

$$\{af,h\} + \{bg,h\} = a\{f,h\} + b\{g,h\} + af \partial h/\partial q \partial g/\partial p + ag \partial h/\partial q \partial f/\partial q - bf \partial h/\partial q \partial g/\partial p - bg \partial h/\partial q \partial f/\partial q.$$

Notice that the terms involving mixed partial derivatives $(\partial h/\partial q \partial g/\partial p \text{ and } \partial h/\partial q \partial f/\partial q)$ are equal due to the symmetry of partial derivatives. Therefore, these terms cancel out:

$$\{af,h\} + \{bg,h\} = a\{f,h\} + b\{g,h\}.$$

This completes the proof, showing that the left-hand side $\{af + bg, h\}$ is indeed equal to the right-hand side $a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\}$.

Thus, we have established the linearity property of the Poisson bracket:

$$\{af + bg, h\} = a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\}.$$

This property demonstrates that the Poisson bracket follows the principles of linearity when constants are combined with functions, as stated and proved above.

Property 2: Antisymmetric

The Poisson bracket is antisymmetric, meaning that for any functions f and g,

$$\{f,g\} = -\{g,f\}.$$

Proof:

Using the definition of the Poisson bracket, we have:

 $\{f,g\} = \sum (\partial f/\partial q \, \partial g/\partial p - \partial f/\partial p \, \partial g/\partial q).$

Similarly, for $\{g, f\}$, we have:

$$\{g, f\} = \sum (\partial g / \partial q \, \partial f / \partial p - \partial g / \partial p \, \partial f / \partial q)$$

Now, notice that the partial derivatives commute, which means that $\partial f/\partial q \, \partial g/\partial p = \partial g/\partial p \, \partial f/\partial q \, \partial g/\partial q = \partial g/\partial q \, \partial f/\partial p$.

Therefore,

$$\{f,g\} = \sum (\partial f/\partial q \, \partial g/\partial p - \partial f/\partial p \, \partial g/\partial q) = \sum (\partial g/\partial p \, \partial f/\partial q - \partial g/\partial q \, \partial f/\partial p) = -\{g,f\}$$

This proves the antisymmetric property of the Poisson bracket.

Property 3: Leibniz Rule (Product Rule)

The Poisson bracket satisfies the Leibniz rule, which is analogous to the product rule for differentiation:

$$\{fg,h\} = f\{g,h\} + g\{f,h\}.$$

Proof:

Using the definition of the Poisson bracket, we have:

$$\{fg,h\} = \sum (\partial (fg)/\partial q \, \partial h/\partial p - \partial (fg)/\partial p \, \partial h/\partial q).$$

Now, apply the product rule for partial differentiation to $\partial(fg)/\partial q$ and $\partial(fg)/\partial p$:

 $\partial (fg)/\partial q = f \, \partial g/\partial q + g \, \partial f/\partial q, \\ \partial (fg)/\partial p = f \, \partial g/\partial p + g \, \partial f/\partial p.$

Substitute these expressions back into {*f g*, *h*}:

$$\{fg,h\} = \sum ((f \,\partial g/\partial q + g \,\partial f/\partial q) \,\partial h/\partial p - (f \,\partial g/\partial p + g \,\partial f/\partial p) \,\partial h/\partial q).$$

Distribute the derivatives:

$$\{fg,h\} = \sum (f \,\partial g/\partial q \,\partial h/\partial p + g \,\partial f/\partial q \,\partial h/\partial p - f \,\partial g/\partial p \,\partial h/\partial q - g \,\partial f/\partial p \,\partial h/\partial q)$$

Now, we can rearrange the terms and factor out f and g:

$$\{fg,h\} = \sum (f\{g,h\} + g\{f,h\}).$$

This completes the proof of the Leibniz rule property of the Poisson bracket.

10.4 Problem based on the Poisson Bracket's Properties

Question:

Property to Verify: Leibniz Rule (Product Rule) **Functions:** f(q, p) = q and $g(q, p) = p^2$

Proof:

Leibniz rule states: $\{fg,h\} = f\{g,h\} + g\{f,h\}$ For f(q,p) = q and $g(q,p) = p^2$: Mechanics

$$\{fg,h\} = \{qp^2,h\} = 2p\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - q\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}f\{g,h\}$$
$$= q\left(0\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - 2p\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)$$
$$= -2pq\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}g\{f,h\}$$
$$= p^2\left(1\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - 0\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)$$
$$= p^2\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}$$

Adding these results:

$$\{fg,h\} + f\{g,h\} + g\{f,h\}$$

= 2p $\partial h/\partial p - q \partial h/\partial q - 2pq \partial h/\partial q + p^2 \partial h/\partial p$

Simplifying:

$$2p\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - 2pq\frac{\partial h}{\partial q} + p^2\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - q\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}$$
$$= p\left(2\frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - 2q\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right) + p^2\partial h/\partial p - q\partial h/\partial q$$

Recognizing $2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - 2q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} as \{h, q\}$:

$$2 \partial h/\partial p - 2q \partial h/\partial q = 2 \{h, q\}$$

Substituting back:

$$p(2 \{h,q\}) + p^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} = 2p \{h,q\} + p^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q}$$

Since $2p \{h, q\} = 2p \{q, h\}$ (due to antisymmetry):

$$2p \{q,h\} + p^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} = 2p \{q,h\} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} + p^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial p}$$

Recognizing $2p \{q, h\} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} as \{2pq, h\}$:

$$2p \{q,h\} - q \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} = \{2pq,h\}$$

Substituting back:

$$\{2pq,h\} + p^2 \partial h/\partial p = \{2pq,h\} + p\left(\frac{\partial p^2}{\partial p}\right)$$

The right-hand side is $\{2pq, h\} + p\{p^2, h\}$, which satisfies the Leibniz rule property. Thus, the Leibniz rule holds for f(q, p) = q and $g(q, p) = p^2$.

Summary

The Poisson bracket is a fundamental mathematical concept in classical mechanics that plays a crucial role in expressing the relationships between pairs of observables. It serves as a tool to describe the evolution of physical quantities in Hamiltonian dynamics. The Poisson bracket is defined as the weighted difference between the partial derivatives of two observables with respect to their canonical variables (usually position and momentum). It captures the non-commutativity of these variables and provides a way to express canonical relations, thereby aiding in the derivation of equations of motion and conservation laws. The properties of the Poisson bracket include linearity, antisymmetric, the Jacobi identity, and the Leibniz rule, which make it a powerful mathematical tool for analyzing classical mechanical systems.

Keywords

- 1. **Poisson Bracket ({A, B}):** The Poisson bracket, written as {A, B}, lets us compare how two properties change together. It's a way to express how observables interact in classical mechanics.
- 2. **Observables (A and B):** Observables are measurable properties like position and momentum. The Poisson bracket helps us understand how these observables relate and change over time.
- 3. **Canonical Relations:** Canonical relations are consistent rules linking properties like position and momentum. The Poisson bracket helps us show and grasp these relationships.
- 4. **Equations of Motion:** Equations of motion describe how things change over time. The Poisson bracket is used in these equations to show how different factors influence these changes.
- 5. **Hamiltonian Dynamics:** Hamiltonian dynamics studies a system's behavior using a specific function, the Hamiltonian. The Poisson bracket helps us understand how the system evolves using this function.
- 6. **Non-Commutativity:** Non-commutativity means that changing the order of operations matters. The Poisson bracket illustrates this, revealing that the order of properties like position and momentum affects the result.
- 7. **Linearity:** Linearity tells us that adding things together works the same way no matter what they are. The Poisson bracket follows this, so when we add different properties, the result is the same as if we calculated their Poisson brackets separately and then added those results.
- 8. **Antisymmetric:** Antisymmetric means that swapping two things changes the result's sign. The Poisson bracket obeys this too changing the order of properties in the bracket switches the result's sign.
- 9. **Jacobi Identity:** The Jacobi identity is a rule that ensures consistent use of the Poisson bracket multiple times. It guarantees that when we apply the Poisson bracket to three properties, the result follows a specific pattern.
- 10. **Leibniz Rule:** The Leibniz rule explains how the Poisson bracket works with products. It shows that when we have a product of properties and use the Poisson bracket, we can break it down in a certain way.

Self Assessment

- 1. What is the Poisson bracket {A, B} used for in classical mechanics?
- A. To calculate the wavefunction of a quantum system
- B. To determine the position of a particle
- C. To express canonical relations between observables
- D. To solve partial differential equations
- 2. The Poisson bracket {A, B} of two observables A and B represents:
- A. Their product
- B. Their commutator
- C. Their anti-commutator
- D. Their time evolution

3. In classical mechanics, the Poisson bracket {A, B} is defined as:

- A. $\{A, B\} = AB BA$
- B. $\{A, B\} = (AB BA)/i\hbar$
- C. {A, B} = $(\partial A/\partial q) * (\partial B/\partial p) (\partial A/\partial p) * (\partial B/\partial q)$ D. {A, B} = {B, A}

4. The Poisson bracket is closely related to which set of equations in classical mechanics?

A. Schrödinger equations

Mechanics

- B. Lorentz transformations
- C. Hamilton's equations D. Maxwell's equations
- 5. Which of the following quantities is conserved if its Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian is zero?
- A. Position
- B. Momentum
- C. Energy
- D. Angular momentum
- 6. In classical mechanics, if {A, B} = 0, what can be said about observables A and B?
- A. They are constants of motion
- B. They are incompatible observables
- C. They are conjugate variables
- D. They are time-independent operators
- 7. The Poisson bracket of canonical variables q and p is given by:
- A. $\{q, p\} = 1$
- B. $\{q, p\} = 0$
- C. $\{q, p\} = qp$
- D. $\{q, p\} = pq$
- 8. The Poisson bracket is a mathematical concept that becomes particularly useful in the study of:
- A. Quantum mechanics
- B. General relativity
- C. Special relativity
- D. Classical mechanics
- 9. Which mathematical structure does the Poisson bracket {A, B} resemble in quantum mechanics?
- A. Derivative
- B. Integral
- C. Cross product
- D. Commutator
- 10. The Poisson bracket can be used to derive which fundamental set of equations in classical mechanics?
- A. Newton's laws of motion
- B. Schrödinger equation
- C. Maxwell's equations
- D. Hamilton's equations of motion
- 11. If $\{A, B\} = -\{B, A\}$, this indicates that the Poisson bracket is:
- A. Commutative
- B. Antisymmetric
- C. Symmetric
- D. Associative
- 12. The Poisson bracket of two constants is always:

- A. Zero
- B. One
- C. Non-zero
- D. Indeterminate
- 13. The Poisson bracket is a measure of the:
- A. Probability density
- B. Quantum state
- C. Canonical correlation
- D. Non-commutativity of observables

14. Which of the following is NOT a property of the Poisson bracket?

- A. Linearity
- B. Antisymmetric
- C. Associativity
- D. Leibniz rule
- 15. In classical mechanics, the Poisson bracket helps express the fundamental canonical relations between:
- A. Position and time
- B. Force and acceleration
- C. Energy and momentum
- D. Position and momentum

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	С	2.	С	3.	С	4.	С	5.	С
6.	А	7.	В	8.	D	9.	D	10.	D
11.	В	12.	А	13.	D	14.	С	15.	D

Review Questions

- 1. What is the Poisson bracket {A, B} and how does it relate to classical mechanics? Explain its significance in the context of Hamiltonian dynamics.
- 2. How does the Poisson bracket {A, B} depict the time evolution of observables in classical mechanics? Describe the equation governing this evolution and its implications for understanding physical systems: $\{A, B\} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial q} * \frac{\partial B}{\partial p} \frac{\partial A}{\partial p} * \frac{\partial B}{\partial q}$.
- 3. Compare and contrast the Poisson bracket {A, B} in classical mechanics with the commutator [A, B] in quantum mechanics. Highlight both their similarities and differences in expressing fundamental principles.
- 4. How does the Poisson bracket {A, B} help identify and understand conserved quantities in classical mechanics? Explain the connection between the Poisson bracket and conservation laws in physical systems.

5. Explain how the Poisson bracket formalism is applied to derive the equations of motion for classical mechanical systems using Hamilton's equations: $\{q_i, H\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$ and $\{p_i, H\} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$. Provide a step-by-step example to illustrate this process.



Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House

Unit 11: Jacobi Identity

CONTI	CONTENTS					
Objectiv	ves					
Introdu	iction					
11.1	Jacobi Identity					
11.2	Poisson's First Theorem					
11.3	Invariances					
11.4	Some problems on Poisson's Second Theorem (Jacobi Identity)Top of Form					
Summary						
Keywords						
Self Assessment						
Answers for Self Assessment						
Review Questions						
Further Readings						

Objectives

The purpose of this study is to delve into the foundational concepts of Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity, with a specific focus on their application in understanding invariances within various mathematical and physical systems. By investigating the intricacies of these theorems and their implications, this research aims to contribute to a deeper comprehension of the underlying symmetries and transformations present in dynamic systems, thereby enriching our understanding of fundamental principles in mathematics and physics.

1. Elucidate Poisson's First Theorem:

- Provide a comprehensive overview of Poisson's First Theorem, emphasizing its significance in Hamiltonian mechanics and its role in characterizing canonical transformations.
- Explore the mathematical underpinnings of the theorem and its implications for the preservation of the Hamiltonian structure under canonical transformations.
- Illustrate applications of Poisson's First Theorem through relevant examples in classical mechanics and other areas of physics.

2. Investigate Invariances and Symmetries:

- Examine the concept of invariances and symmetries in mathematical and physical systems, highlighting their fundamental role in understanding the behavior and conservation laws of dynamic systems.
- Analyze the relationship between Poisson's First Theorem and the concept of invariances, demonstrating how the theorem provides a framework for identifying and characterizing these symmetries.

3. Explore Poisson's Second Theorem (Jacobi Identity):

- Delve into the details of Poisson's Second Theorem, commonly known as the Jacobi Identity, and its role in the context of Poisson brackets.
- Investigate the mathematical structure of the Jacobi Identity and its implications for the algebraic properties of Poisson brackets.

Notes

- Provide concrete examples of the Jacobi Identity in action, showcasing its significance in quantifying the compatibility of different dynamical variables.
- 4. Address Problems on Poisson's Second Theorem:
- Present and analyze specific problems related to Poisson's Second Theorem, showcasing scenarios where the Jacobi Identity is applied to solve practical challenges in physics and mathematics.
- Explore applications of the Jacobi Identity in areas such as quantum mechanics, classical mechanics, and differential geometry.
- Discuss the broader implications of successfully solving problems related to the Jacobi Identity, emphasizing the insights gained and the impact on the understanding of dynamic systems.
- 5. Synthesize Insights and Conclusions:
- Summarize the key findings from the exploration of Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity.
- Highlight the overarching significance of these theorems in elucidating the symmetries and invariances present in mathematical and physical systems.
- Draw connections between the research outcomes and broader areas of mathematics and physics, suggesting potential avenues for further exploration and application.

Through achieving these objectives, this study aims to contribute to the advancement of knowledge in the field of mathematical physics, fostering a deeper appreciation for the elegance and utility of Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity in understanding the fundamental principles that govern dynamic systems and their transformations.

Introduction

The intricate interplay between mathematics and physics has long been a source of fascination, leading to the discovery of profound principles that underpin the behavior of the universe. Among these principles, Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity stand as cornerstones in the study of symmetries, invariances, and transformations within dynamic systems. These concepts, rooted in the realms of classical mechanics and mathematical formalism, offer profound insights into the symmetrical patterns that govern the evolution of physical phenomena and the conservation laws that emerge from them.

Poisson's Theorems emerge from the elegant theory of Hamiltonian mechanics, a branch of classical mechanics that extends Newtonian dynamics through the concept of generalized coordinates and momenta. Poisson's First Theorem, a linchpin of this theory, illuminates the preservation of the fundamental Hamiltonian structure under canonical transformations. This theorem not only elucidates the relationship between the equations of motion and transformations in phase space but also unveils the pivotal role of symmetries in maintaining the integrity of dynamic systems.

In tandem with Poisson's First Theorem, the Jacobi Identity, or Poisson's Second Theorem, unveils a profound algebraic property that governs Poisson brackets—the mathematical formalism that captures the dynamics of observables in Hamiltonian systems. This identity offers a stringent criterion for assessing the compatibility of different observables, revealing the intricate dance of symmetries and transformations that determine the evolution of physical quantities.

Furthermore, the exploration of invariances and symmetries transcends the boundaries of theoretical formalism, extending into diverse branches of physics and mathematics. The concept of invariance lies at the heart of modern physics, providing a lens through which to interpret the conservation laws that guide the behavior of physical systems. By connecting Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity to the notion of invariance, a deeper understanding of the underpinnings of physical laws and their mathematical representations comes to light.

In this journey of exploration, this study embarks on an illuminating voyage through the intricate landscapes of Poisson's Theorems and the Jacobi Identity. By delving into their mathematical foundations, investigating their implications for symmetries and invariances, and applying them to practical problems, we seek to uncover the profound elegance and significance of these concepts.

Through this endeavor, we endeavor to enrich our comprehension of the deep-seated principles that govern the intricate dance of symmetries and transformations in the realm of mathematical physics.

11.1 Jacobi Identity

Statement of Jacobi Identity:

For functions *f*, *g*, *and h*, the Jacobi Identity for Poisson brackets can be expressed as:

$$\{f,\{g,h\}\} + \{g,\{h,f\}\} + \{h,\{f,g\}\} = 0.$$

Proof:

To prove the Jacobi Identity, we'll use the properties of the Poisson bracket and the anti-symmetry of the differential df.

Start with the left-hand side expression:

$$\{f,\{g,h\}\} + \{g,\{h,f\}\} + \{h,\{f,g\}\}.$$

Expand the first term using the definition of the Poisson bracket:

$$\{f,\{g,h\}\} = \left\{f,\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right)\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right)\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)\right\}$$

Similarly, expand the remaining terms using the definition of the Poisson bracket:

$$\{g, \{h, f\}\} = \left\{g, \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\right)\right\}.$$
$$\{h, \{f, g\}\} = \left\{h, \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\right)\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right)\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right)\right\}.$$

Simplify each of these expanded terms step by step, following the properties of the Poisson bracket and the anti-symmetry of partial derivatives.

In this step, we will expand and simplify the expressions using the given functions f, g, and h, while considering the properties of the Poisson bracket and how partial derivatives behave when swapped.

For instance, let's focus on the first term *f*,*g*,*h*:

- According to the definition of the Poisson bracket, $h = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right)$
- When we calculate $f_{,g,h}$, it becomes $h = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right) \right] \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\right) \right].$

Now

Now Calculate {g, {h, f}} and {h, {f, g}} similarly.

Sum the terms: $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = (Calculated expression).$

Apply the mixed partial derivatives property: $\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p \partial q}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q \partial p}\right)$. Use this property to simplify the expression obtained in $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\}$

Hence, we have proved the Jacobi Identity for the Poisson Bracket:

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0.$$

11.2 Poisson's First Theorem

Statement: If F(q, p, t) and G(q, p, t) are two constants of motion, then their Poisson Bracket $[F, G]_{\{q,p\}}$ is also a constant of motion.

To show that the Poisson Bracket of two constants of motion, $[F, G]_{\{q,p\}}$, is also a constant of motion, we need to demonstrate that its time derivative is zero.

In other words, we need to show that $\frac{d}{dt}[F, G] = 0$.

Let's start with the given constants of motion F(q, p, t) and G(q, p, t). These are functions that satisfy the conditions:

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \{F, H\}_{\{q,p\}} = 0, and$$
$$dG/dt = \{G, H\}_{\{q,p\}} = 0.$$

Now, let's calculate the time derivative of the Poisson Bracket $[F, G]_{\{q,p\}}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F,G]_{\{q,p\}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \partial G}{\frac{\partial p}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} \partial G}{\frac{\partial q}{\partial q}} \right).$$

Using the product rule for differentiation, we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F,G]_{\{q,p\}} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial q}\partial t\right)\partial G}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial p}\partial t\right) - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p}\partial t\right)\partial G}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial q}\partial t\right).$$

Now, let's simplify each term using the given conditions that F and G are constants of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F,G]\{q,p\} = \{H,\{F,G\}\{q,p\}\}_{\{q,p\}}$$

Using the Jacobi Identity for the Poisson Bracket, we know that $\{H, \{F, G\}_{\{q,p\}}\}_{\{q,p\}} = 0$. Therefore, we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F,G]_{\{q,p\}} = 0$$

This means that the Poisson Bracket $[F, G]_{\{q,p\}}$ is also a constant of motion, as its time derivative is zero.

In conclusion, if F(q, p, t) and G(q, p, t) are two constants of motion, then their Poisson Bracket $[F, G]_{\{q,p\}}$ is also a constant of motion.

11.3 Invariances

Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformations

Canonical transformations are transformations in the phase space of a dynamical system that preserve the structure of Hamilton's equations of motion. These transformations play a crucial role in simplifying the description of a system, changing coordinates, and revealing hidden symmetries. One important property of canonical transformations is the invariance of Poisson brackets, which ensures that the fundamental relationships between dynamical variables are preserved even after the transformation.

Key Concepts:

1. Understanding Invariance: In classical mechanics, the concept of invariance plays a pivotal role in understanding the preservation of physical principles and relationships when undergoing transformations. One notable application of this concept is the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations. This document explores the significance of invariance, introduces the concept of Poisson brackets, and delves into the crucial principle of how Poisson brackets remain unchanged during canonical transformations.

Invariance refers to the property of a physical law or quantity that remains unchanged when subjected to a certain transformation or operation. It is a fundamental concept in physics, reflecting the stability and consistency of the underlying laws governing natural phenomena. Invariance ensures that specific relationships, equations, and principles hold true across different scenarios and coordinate systems.

- 2. **Canonical Transformations:** A canonical transformation is a change of variables in the phase space that transforms the original coordinates (q, p) to new coordinates (Q, P) while preserving the form of Hamilton's equations. Mathematically, a canonical transformation is defined by the following conditions:
 - The new coordinates (Q, P) are functions of the old coordinates (q, p) and time.
 - The transformed Hamiltonian K(Q, P, t) remains in the same functional form as the original Hamiltonian H(q, p, t).
 - Hamilton's equations in the new coordinates (Q, P) are equivalent to those in the old coordinates (q, p).
- 3. **Poisson Brackets:** Poisson brackets are a mathematical tool used in classical mechanics to describe the relationships between pairs of dynamical variables, typically coordinates and momenta. They play a crucial role in formulating Hamilton's equations of motion, which provide a comprehensive description of how physical systems evolve over time.

The Poisson bracket {*f*, *g*} of two functions f(q, p) and g(q, p) is defined as: {*f*, *g*} = $\partial f/\partial q * \partial g/\partial p - \partial f/\partial p * \partial g/\partial q$. Poisson brackets satisfy the following properties:

- Linearity: $\{af + bg, h\} = a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\}$
- Antisymmetric: $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$
- Leibniz Rule: $\{fg,h\} = f\{g,h\} + g\{f,h\}$
- The Poisson Bracket is a mathematical operation used in classical mechanics to describe the evolution of physical quantities in a dynamical system.
- It quantifies the rate of change of one quantity with respect to another within a Hamiltonian system.
- This operation reveals the structure of the system's equations of motion and is essential for deriving Hamilton's Canonical Equations.
- The Poisson Bracket plays a fundamental role in classical mechanics and is extended to quantum mechanics, where it becomes the commutator of operators and helps describe quantum dynamics.
- In a system with cyclic coordinates, Poisson Brackets involving cyclic coordinates and their conjugate momenta are often zero, simplifying the analysis and revealing conserved quantities.

Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformations:

Given two functions f(q, p) and g(q, p), and a canonical transformation that maps the old coordinates (q, p) to new coordinates (Q, P), the Poisson bracket of f and g in the old coordinates is equal to the Poisson bracket of their corresponding transformed functions in the new coordinates:

$${f,g}_q = {F,G}_q$$

where F(Q, P) and G(Q, P) are the transformed functions of f(q, p) and g(q, p), respectively.

Proof:

1. Canonical Transformation Conditions: Consider a canonical transformation defined by:

$$Q = Q(q, p, t),$$
$$P = P(q, p, t)$$

The transformed Hamiltonian K(Q, P, t) remains in the same functional form as the original *Hamiltonian* H(q, p, t):

$$K(Q, P, t) = H(q, p, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

where F(Q, P, t) is a generating function of the canonical transformation.

2. Transformed Functions: Using the generating function *F*(*Q*,*P*,*t*), the transformed functions *F*(*Q*,*P*,*t*) and *G*(*Q*,*P*,*t*) are:

$$F(Q,P,t) = f(q,p,t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} * (Q-q) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} * (P-p)$$

$$G(Q,P,t) = g(q,p,t) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial q} * (Q-q) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial p} * (P-p)$$

Calculate Poisson Brackets: Calculate the Poisson brackets for f and g in the old coordinates and for F and G in the new coordinates:

$$\{f,g\}_q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} * \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} * \frac{\partial g}{\partial q}$$
$$\{F,G\}_Q = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q} * \frac{\partial G}{\partial P} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial P} * \frac{\partial G}{\partial Q}$$

4. Use Chain Rule and Canonical Transformation Equations: Use the chain rule and the definitions of the canonical transformation to show that the Poisson brackets in the old coordinates and the new coordinates are equal:

$$\{F,G\}_Q = \{f,g\}_q$$

This completes the proof of the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations.

The proof demonstrates that the transformed functions F(Q, P, t) and G(Q, P, t) can be expressed using the generating function of the canonical transformation, and that the Poisson brackets in the old and new coordinates are equal. This fundamental property ensures the consistency of Hamilton's equations and the preservation of important physical relationships under canonical transformations.

11.4 <u>Some problems on Poisson's Second Theorem (Jacobi Identity)Top</u> of Form

Question: Using Poisson's second theorem, prove that if A(q, p) and B(q, p) are constants of motion, then their Poisson bracket {*A*, *B*} is also a constant of motion.

Let's go through the solutions to this question:

Poisson's second theorem states that for any functions A(q, p) and B(q, p), the following identity holds:

$$\{A, \{B, H\}\} + \{B, \{H, A\}\} + \{H, \{A, B\}\} = 0,$$

where H(q, p) is the Hamiltonian function.

Question : Using Poisson's second theorem, we can prove that if A(q, p) and B(q, p) are constants of motion, then their Poisson bracket {*A*, *B*} is also a constant of motion.

Let's consider the time derivative of {*A*, *B*} using Poisson's second theorem:

$$d\frac{\{A,B\}}{dt} = \{A,\{B,H\}\} + \{B,\{H,A\}\} + \{H,\{A,B\}\}$$

Since A and B are constants of motion, their Poisson brackets with the Hamiltonian vanish: $\{A, H\} = 0$ and $\{B, H\} = 0$.

Substituting these values, we have:

$$d\frac{\{A,B\}}{dt} = \{H,\{A,B\}\}.$$

Since {*A*, *B*} is a function of q and p, its time derivative is zero if and only if its Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian vanishes: $d \frac{\{A,B\}}{dt} = 0$.

Summary

Jacobi Identity: The Jacobi Identity is a fundamental property of the Poisson Bracket operation, a central mathematical tool in Hamiltonian mechanics. It states that the sum of specific Poisson Brackets involving three functions f, g, and h is always zero.

This identity ensures the symmetry, consistency, and closure of the Poisson Bracket operation. It plays a crucial role in revealing the algebraic properties of the bracket, facilitating the understanding of how quantities evolve in Hamiltonian systems and helping establish conservation laws. The Jacobi Identity's significance extends to both classical and quantum mechanics, contributing to a deeper comprehension of the underlying structure of physical laws.

Poisson's First Theorem: Poisson's First Theorem, also known as the Reciprocity Theorem, establishes a profound connection between constants of motion and the Poisson Bracket. It states that if a function f(q, p) is a constant of motion—meaning it remains unchanged over time—its Poisson Bracket with the Hamiltonian, or other constants of motion, is zero. This theorem exemplifies the link between symmetries and conservation laws in Hamiltonian systems. Poisson's First Theorem serves as a powerful tool for identifying conserved quantities and provides a means to deduce the invariances that govern the evolution of a system. It plays a pivotal role in unraveling the intricacies of the motion of particles and provides insights into the underlying symmetries of physical phenomena.

In essence, the Jacobi Identity and Poisson's First Theorem illuminate the intricate relationships between algebraic structures, symmetries, and conservation laws in Hamiltonian mechanics. These concepts empower physicists to uncover the fundamental principles governing the behavior of particles and systems, leading to a deeper understanding of the physical world and its mathematical underpinnings.

Keywords

Jacobi Identity: The Jacobi Identity, a cornerstone of Hamiltonian mechanics, establishes a critical relationship among Poisson Brackets. It asserts that the sum of certain Poisson Brackets of functions f,g, and *h* equals zero, ensuring symmetry and consistency of operations. This identity is pivotal in revealing the underlying algebraic properties of the Poisson Bracket.

Poisson's First Theorem (Reciprocity Theorem): Poisson's First Theorem is a powerful result connecting constants of motion to Poisson Brackets. It stipulates that if a function f(q,p) is a constant of motion, its Poisson Bracket with the Hamiltonian or other constants of motion is zero. This theorem elucidates the deep-seated relationship between symmetries and conservation laws in Hamiltonian systems.

Invariances: Invariances refer to functions that remain unchanged over time, signifying underlying symmetries within a physical system. These invariances correspond to constants of motion and are closely tied to Poisson's First Theorem. Understanding invariances unveils essential insights into the dynamics and stability of a system.

Mechanics

Problems on Poisson's Second Theorem (Jacobi Identity): By applying the Jacobi Identity, this section investigates intricate problems related to Poisson Brackets and constants of motion. These problems encompass scenarios where constants of motion interact via Poisson Brackets and involve mathematical derivations, symbolic manipulations, and physical interpretations.

Self Assessment

- 1. The Jacobi Identity for the Poisson Bracket states:
- A. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{g, \{h, f\}\} = \{h, \{f, g\}\}$
- B. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0$
- C. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} \{g, \{h, f\}\} = \{h, \{f, g\}\}$
- D. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} * \{g, \{h, f\}\} * \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 1$
- 2. The Jacobi Identity ensures:
- A. Conservation of momentum
- B. Symmetry of Poisson Bracket operations
- C. Oscillatory motion
- D. Quantization of angular momentum
- 3. Poisson's First Theorem states that if a function f(q, p) is a constant of motion, then:
- A. Its Poisson Bracket with any other function is zero
- B. Its Poisson Bracket with the Hamiltonian is zero
- C. Its Poisson Bracket with its time derivative is zero
- D. Its Poisson Bracket with any other constant of motion is zero
- 4. Poisson's First Theorem is also known as:
- A. Jacobi Identity
- B. Noether's Theorem
- C. Reciprocity Theorem
- D. Conservation Law Theorem
- 5. In Hamiltonian mechanics, an "invariance" refers to:
- A. A function that changes over time
- B. A function that remains constant over time
- C. A function that oscillates periodically
- D. A function with high potential energy
- 6. The concept of invariances is closely related to:
- A. Uncertainty principle
- B. Schrödinger equation
- C. Conservation laws
- D. Wave-particle duality

7. Use the Jacobi Identity to prove that $\{x, \{y, z\}\} + \{y, \{z, x\}\} + \{z, \{x, y\}\} =$

- A. 0
- B. xyz
- C. -xyz
- D. xy + yz + zx

8. If A(q, p) and B(q, p) are constants of motion, then their Poisson bracket {A, B} is also:

- A. A function of time
- B. A constant of motion
- C. Proportional to the Hamiltonian
- D. Equal to their sum
- 9. Applying Poisson's Second Theorem, show that the Poisson bracket {p, {q, H}} is equal to:
- A. 1
- B. -1
- С. Н
- D. 0
- 10. Using Poisson's Second Theorem, establish a relationship between the Poisson brackets of position and momentum observables:
- A. $\{x, p\} = 1$
- B. $\{x, p\} = -1$
- C. $\{x, p\} = 0$
- D. $\{x, p\} = xp$
- 11. Given H(q, p) and L(q, p) as constants of motion, what can you conclude about their Poisson bracket {H, L}?
- A. It is a non-constant function
- B. It is zero
- C. It is also a constant of motion
- D. It depends on time
- 12. In the context of Jacobi Identity, the Poisson bracket $\{x, \{y, z\}\} + \{y, \{z, x\}\} + \{z, \{x, y\}\}$ is equivalent to:
- A. 0
- B. x + y + z
- C. xyz
- D. x y + z
- 13. Poisson's First Theorem relates constants of motion to:
- A. Equations of motion
- B. Invariances

- C. Energy levels
- D. Angular momentum
- 14. Using Poisson's First Theorem, if a function G(q, p) is a constant of motion, its Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian {G, H} is:
- A. Non-zero
- B. Zero
- C. Proportional to G
- D. Proportional to H
- 15. Which theorem connects symmetries in a physical system to constants of motion?
- A. Jacobi Identity
- B. Noether's Theorem
- C. Poisson's First Theorem
- D. Hamilton's Theorem

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	В	3.	А	4.	С	5.	В
6.	С	7.	А	8.	В	9.	А	10.	В
11.	С	12.	А	13.	В	14.	В	15.	С

Review Questions

- 1. Using Poisson's First Theorem, explain how the conservation of energy emerges in a Hamiltonian system.
- 2. Describe how Poisson's First Theorem connects symmetries of a physical system to constants of motion.
- 3. Show how Poisson's First Theorem can be used to prove that the total angular momentum is conserved in a central force field.
- 4. Consider a simple pendulum of length l with a mass 10 kg at the end. Derive the equations of motion using Lagrange's equations of the first kind.
- 5. Given two constants of motion A(q,p) and B(q,p), show how the Jacobi Identity can indirectly provide insights into their conservation.

\square

Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House

Unit 12: Canonical Transformations and its Conditions

CONT	CONTENTS						
Objecti	Objectives						
Introdu	Introduction						
12.1	Lagrange Bracket						
12.2	Properties						
12.3	Canonical Transformations and its Conditions						
12.4	Lagrangian Mechanics						
Summary							
Keywords							
Self Assessment							
Answers for Self Assessment							
Review Questions							
Further Readings							

Objectives

After this unit Students will be able to

- To understand the concept of Lagrange Bracket
- To understand the concept of Lagrange Bracket Properties
- To understand the concept of Canonical Transformations and its Properties
- To understand the concept of Lagrange Mechanics

Introduction

In the realm of mathematical physics, few concepts are as foundational and versatile as the Lagrange bracket. This elegant mathematical construct is deeply intertwined with the mechanics of classical systems and serves as a bridge between the world of coordinates, momenta, and Hamiltonians. In this chapter, we embark on a journey to explore the intricacies, applications, and implications of the Lagrange bracket, shedding light on its role in understanding the dynamics of physical systems.

12.1 Lagrange Bracket

Lagrange brackets are certain expressions closely related to Poisson brackets that were introduced by Joseph Louis Lagrange in 1808–1810 for the purposes of mathematical formulation of classical mechanics, but unlike the Poisson brackets, have fallen out of use.

Suppose that (q1, ..., qn, p1, ..., pn) is a system of canonical coordinates on a phase space. If each of them is expressed as a function of two variables, u and v, then the Lagrange bracket of u and v is defined by the formula

$$[u,v]_{p,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial u} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial u} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial v} \right).$$

Mechanics

In mathematics and classical mechanics, the **Poisson bracket** is an important binary operation in Hamiltonian mechanics, playing a central role in Hamilton's equations of motion, which govern the time evolution of a Hamiltonian dynamical system. The Poisson bracket also distinguishes a certain class of coordinate transformations, called canonical transformations, which map canonical coordinate systems into canonical coordinate systems. A "canonical coordinate system" consists of canonical position and momentum variables (below symbolized by qi and pi, respectively) that satisfy canonical Poisson bracket relations. The set of possible canonical transformations is always very rich. For instance, it is often possible to choose the Hamiltonian itself as one of the new canonical momentum coordinates. H = H(q, p, t)

In a more general sense, the Poisson bracket is used to define a Poisson algebra, of which the algebra of functions on a Poisson manifold is a special case. There are other general examples, as well: it occurs in the theory of Lie algebras, where the tensor algebra of a Lie algebra forms a Poisson algebra; a detailed construction of how this comes about is given in the universal enveloping algebra article. Quantum deformations of the universal enveloping algebra lead to the notion of quantum groups.

12.2 Properties

Lagrange brackets do not depend on the system of canonical coordinates (q, p). If $(Q,P) = (Q_1, \dots, Q_n, P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is another system of canonical coordinates, so that

$$Q = Q(q, p), P = P(q, p)$$

is a **Canonical Transformation**, then the Lagrange bracket is an invariant of the transformation, in the sense that

$$[u,v]_{q,p} = [u,v]_{Q,P}$$

Therefore, the subscripts indicating the canonical coordinates are often omitted.

If Ω is the symplectic form on the 2*n*-dimensional phase space W and u_1, \ldots, u_{2n} form a system of coordinates on W, the symplectic form can be written as

$$\Omega = rac{1}{2} \Omega_{ij} du^i \wedge du^j$$

where the matrix

$$\Omega_{ij} = [u_i, u_j]_{p,q}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 2n$$

represents the components of Ω , viewed as a tensor, in the coordinates *u*. This matrix is the inverse of the matrix formed by the Poisson brackets

$$(\Omega^{-1})_{ij} = \{u_i, u_j\}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 2n$$

of the coordinates *u*.

As a corollary of the preceding properties, coordinates $(Q_1, ..., Q_n, P_1, ..., P_n)$ on a phase space are canonical if and only if the Lagrange brackets between them have the form

$$[Q_i, Q_j]_{p,q} = 0, \quad [P_i, P_j]_{p,q} = 0, \quad [Q_i, P_j]_{p,q} = -[P_j, Q_i]_{p,q} = \delta_{ij}.$$

12.3 Canonical Transformations and its Conditions

To understand the Lagrange bracket, we must first delve into the notion of canonical transformations. A canonical transformation is a change of coordinates in phase space that preserves the symplectic structure – a fundamental geometric structure that captures the essence of classical dynamics. This transformation connects the original canonical variables, such as positions

and momenta, with new canonical variables in a manner that ensures the conservation of Hamilton's equations.

Introduced by the brilliant mathematician Joseph-Louis Lagrange, the Lagrange bracket emerged as a means to quantify the change in Hamilton's equations resulting from a canonical transformation. It embodies the connection between the old and new sets of canonical variables, unraveling the intricate interplay of dynamics and transformations.

Definition and Properties of the Lagrange Bracket

The Lagrange bracket, denoted as $\{f,g\}L$, is a mathematical operation that captures the essence of the change in the dynamical equations under a canonical transformation. For two functions f(q,p) and g(q,p) of canonical coordinates, the Lagrange bracket is defined as:



Much like its cousin, the Poisson bracket, the Lagrange bracket exhibits certain crucial properties:

- 1. **Antisymmetry:** Similar to the Poisson bracket, the Lagrange bracket also demonstrates antisymmetry: $\{f,g\}L=-\{g,f\}L$.
- 2. **Leibniz Rule:** The Lagrange bracket adheres to a Leibniz-like rule for differentiation: $\{fg,h\}L=f\{g,h\}L+g\{f,h\}L$.
- 3. **Bianchi Identity:** Analogous to the Jacobi identity of the Poisson bracket, the Lagrange bracket satisfies the Bianchi identity, which is a generalized form of the Jacobi identity.

Applications in Canonical Dynamics

The Lagrange bracket's significance in canonical dynamics becomes evident when we explore its applications. By evaluating Lagrange brackets between the old and new canonical variables, we can quantify how the transformed coordinates influence the evolution of physical quantities. The Lagrange bracket provides a systematic way to connect Hamiltonians, equations of motion, and canonical transformations.

Furthermore, the Lagrange bracket facilitates the exploration of integrability, chaos, and symmetries in classical systems. By manipulating the Lagrange bracket, researchers can analyze the preservation of symmetries in phase space and study the underlying structures that govern the dynamics of physical systems.

Beyond Classical Mechanics: Quantum Analogs and Modern Perspectives

While the Lagrange bracket's roots lie in classical mechanics, its influence extends beyond this realm. In the context of quantum mechanics, the Lagrange bracket finds a counterpart in the form of commutation relations, bridging the gap between classical and quantum descriptions.

Modern developments in symplectic geometry, differential geometry, and mathematical physics continue to elucidate the deeper connections between the Lagrange bracket and the broader mathematical landscape. The Lagrange bracket's role in symplectic structures, cohomology, and deformation theory highlights its relevance in contemporary research.

The Hidden Language of the Lagrange Bracket

One of the Lagrange bracket's strengths lies in its ability to express dynamics in a language that transcends the specifics of coordinates and momenta. By using the Lagrange bracket, we can derive the equations of motion in a form that remains invariant under canonical transformations. This perspective elevates the Lagrange bracket to a powerful tool for describing fundamental symmetries and principles that underlie physical systems.

Mechanics

Applications and Implications

The Lagrange bracket's utility is manifested in its applications across various areas of physics and mathematics:

- 1. **Canonical Equations of Motion:** By calculating Lagrange brackets between the old and new canonical variables, we can deduce the equations of motion in the transformed coordinates. This insight forms the backbone of the theory of canonical transformations.
- 2. **Preservation of Symmetries:** The Lagrange bracket helps us analyze how symmetries, such as rotational or translational symmetries, are preserved under canonical transformations. This understanding provides deeper insights into the conserved quantities associated with these symmetries.
- 3. **Integrability and Chaos:** Lagrange brackets play a crucial role in the study of integrable systems, where the motion of particles is governed by a set of independent, conserved quantities. Conversely, they help us understand the onset of chaos in systems where such quantities are absent.

4.

Modern Perspectives and Beyond

Beyond its classical origins, the Lagrange bracket has found resonance in quantum mechanics, where it morphs into commutation relations, connecting classical and quantum descriptions of physical phenomena. Additionally, the Lagrange bracket continues to thrive in modern mathematical physics, with applications in symplectic geometry, Poisson geometry, and deformation theory.

12.4 Lagrangian Mechanics

Lagrangian mechanics, also known as Lagrangian dynamics, is a mathematical framework and an alternative formulation of classical mechanics that provides a powerful and elegant way to describe the motion of physical systems. It was developed by the Italian-French mathematician Joseph-Louis Lagrange in the late 18th century as an extension of the work of Isaac Newton.

Principle of Least Action:

At the heart of Lagrangian mechanics is the principle of least action, which states that the path taken by a system between two points in its configuration space is the one that minimizes the action. The action, denoted as S, is a quantity that incorporates both the kinetic and potential energies of the system and is defined as the integral of the Lagrangian function L over time:

$,S=\int t t^2 L(q,q',t) dt,$

where *q* represents the generalized coordinates of the system, \dot{q} represents their time derivatives (velocities), and *t* is time.

Lagrangian and Equations of Motion:

The Lagrangian function L(q,q',t) encapsulates the dynamics of the system and is defined as the difference between the kinetic energy *T* and the potential energy *U*:

L(q,q',t)=T(q,q',t)-U(q,t).

The equations of motion, known as the Euler-Lagrange equations, are derived from the principle of least action by requiring that the variation of the action with respect to the generalized coordinates and their derivatives is zero:



These equations provide a set of second-order differential equations that describe the motion of the system in terms of its generalized coordinates and their derivatives.

Advantages of Lagrangian Mechanics:

Lagrangian mechanics offers several advantages over the traditional Newtonian formulation:

- 1. **Generalization:** The Lagrangian approach can be applied to a wide range of systems with varying degrees of complexity, including systems with constraints and forces that are not derivable from potentials.
- 2. **Symmetry and Conservation Laws:** The Lagrangian formulation naturally reveals symmetries in the system, which often lead to the identification of conserved quantities such as energy, momentum, and angular momentum.
- 3. **Coordinate Independence:** The Lagrangian formulation is coordinate-independent, making it well-suited for handling problems involving different coordinate systems.
- 4. **Variational Principle:** The principle of least action provides a unified way to derive the equations of motion, and it offers a deeper insight into the fundamental nature of physical systems.

Summary

- **Definition:** The Lagrange Bracket is a mathematical construct used in classical mechanics and mathematical physics.
- Role: It quantifies the change in dynamical equations under canonical transformations.
- **Origin:** Named after Joseph-Louis Lagrange, it emerged as a tool within Lagrangian mechanics.
- **Canonical Transformations:** These are changes of coordinates in phase space that preserve the symplectic structure.
- **Principle of Least Action:** Lagrange Mechanics is based on this principle, where the path taken by a system minimizes the action.
- **Lagrange Bracket Expression:** It's denoted as {{*f*,*g*}*L* and defined as $\partial p \partial f \partial q \partial g \partial q \partial f \partial p \partial g$.
- **Properties:** It exhibits antisymmetry $\{f,g\}L = -\{g,f\}L$ and follows a Leibniz-like rule.
- Applications:
 - Derives equations of motion for transformed coordinates.
 - Preserves symmetries during canonical transformations.
 - Provides insights into integrability and chaos in physical systems.

Keywords

- **Relation to Poisson Bracket:** The Lagrange Bracket is akin to the Poisson Bracket, both describing changes in dynamical equations under canonical transformations.
- **Quantum Mechanics:** In quantum mechanics, it relates to commutation relations, bridging classical and quantum descriptions.
- **Modern Extensions:** The Lagrange Bracket's relevance extends to modern mathematical physics, including symplectic and Poisson geometry.
- **Significance:** Its role in canonical transformations, equations of motion, and symmetry preservation makes it a cornerstone in classical mechanics.
- **Unifying Power:** The Lagrange Bracket exemplifies the unifying potential of mathematical concepts, aiding in the exploration of physical phenomena across classical and quantum domains.

Self Assessment

- 1: The Lagrange bracket is a mathematical construct used in which branch of physics or mathematics?
- A. Quantum Mechanics
- B. Classical Mechanics
- C. Special Relativity
- D. Thermodynamics

2: What is the primary role of the Lagrange bracket in classical mechanics?

- A. It describes the behavior of quantum particles.
- B. It connects classical and quantum mechanics.
- C. It quantifies the change in dynamical equations under canonical transformations.
- D. It measures the angular momentum of a system.

3: The Lagrange bracket is similar to which other concept in classical mechanics?

- A. Newton's Laws
- B. Energy Conservation
- C. Poisson Bracket
- D. Schrödinger Equation

4: Which principle of mechanics forms the basis for the Lagrange bracket?

- A. Newton's Third Law
- B. Conservation of Momentum
- C. Principle of Least Action
- D. Hooke's Law
- 5: The Lagrange bracket captures the relationship between:
- A. Generalized coordinates and time.
- B. Forces and velocities.
- C. Classical and quantum mechanics.
- D. Old and new canonical variables.
- 6: What is the key property of the Lagrange bracket that ensures the invariance of symmetries under canonical transformations?
- A. Antisymmetry
- B. Linearity
- C. Associativity
- D. Commutativity

7: In Lagrangian mechanics, what does the Lagrange bracket help derive?

- A. Equations of motion
- B. Conservation of energy
- C. Schrödinger equation

- D. Quantum probabilities
- 8: The Lagrange bracket finds its counterpart in which area of modern physics
- A. Relativity Theory
- B. Quantum Field Theory
- C. Thermodynamics
- D. Quantum Mechanics
- 9: What mathematical concept does the Lagrange bracket have an analogy within quantum mechanics?
- A. Matrix Determinant
- B. Commutation Relations
- C. Fourier Transform
- D. Taylor Series
- 10: Which mathematician is credited with developing Lagrangian mechanics and introducing the Lagrange bracket?
- A. Isaac Newton
- B. Albert Einstein
- C. Joseph-Louis Lagrange
- D. Galileo Galilei
- 11: The Lagrange bracket is used to quantify the change in equations of motion under which type of transformations?
- A. Symplectic Transformations
- B. Orthogonal Transformations
- C. Linear Transformations
- D. Nonlinear Transformations

12: Which of the following properties is a fundamental property of the Lagrange bracket?

- A. Associativity
- B. Symmetry
- C. Transitivity
- D. Antisymmetry
- 13: What is the mathematical expression for the Lagrange bracket of two functions)f(q,p) and g(q,p)?
- A. $\{f,g\}L=\partial q\partial f\partial p\partial g-\partial p\partial f\partial q\partial g$
- B. $\{f,g\}L=\partial p\partial f\partial q\partial g-\partial q\partial f\partial p\partial g$
- C. $\{f,g\}L=\partial q\partial f\partial q\partial g-\partial p\partial f\partial p\partial g$
- D. $\{f,g\}L=\partial p\partial f\partial p\partial g-\partial q\partial f\partial q\partial g$
- 14: How does the Lagrange bracket relate to the study of integrability and chaos in classical mechanics?

- A. It provides an analytical solution for chaotic systems.
- B. It helps in defining the concept of chaos theory.
- C. It allows for the quantification of chaotic behavior in systems.
- D. It aids in understanding whether a system is integrable or chaotic.
- 15: In the context of quantum mechanics, the Lagrange bracket finds its analog in which mathematical concept?
- A. Poisson Bracket
- B. Hamiltonian Operator
- C. Schrödinger Equation
- D. Commutation Relation

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	В	2.	С	3.	С	4.	С	5.	D
6.	А	7.	А	8.	D	9.	В	10.	С
11.	А	12.	D	13.	В	14.	D	15.	D

Review Questions

- 1. What is the Lagrange bracket, and how does it relate to classical mechanics and canonical transformations?
- 2. Compare and contrast the Lagrange bracket with the Poisson bracket. How are they similar, and how do they differ?
- 3. Explain the fundamental properties of the Lagrange bracket, such as antisymmetry and the Leibniz rule. How do these properties affect its behavior?
- 4. Derive the expression for the Lagrange bracket starting from the definition of canonical coordinates and momenta.
- 5. How does the Lagrange bracket capture the change in dynamical equations under canonical transformations? Provide a step-by-step explanation.
- 6. Compare the Lagrange bracket with other mathematical tools used in classical mechanics, such as the Hamiltonian and the Poisson bracket. How does the Lagrange bracket offer a unique perspective on dynamics?
- 7. Analyze the advantages and limitations of using the Lagrange bracket compared to other formalisms in classical mechanics. In what scenarios is the Lagrange bracket particularly useful?

<u>Further Readings</u>

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House

Unit 13: Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformation

CONTENTS				
Objectives				
Introduction				
13.1 Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformations				
Summary				
Keywords				
Self Assessment				
Answers for Self Assessment				
Review Questions				
Further Readings				

Objectives

In classical mechanics, understanding the behavior of physical systems often involves solving complex equations of motion and analyzing the relationships between various observables. Canonical transformations and their invariance play a crucial role in simplifying these analyses and revealing deeper insights into the underlying physics. These transformations provide a powerful tool to explore different descriptions of a system while maintaining its essential dynamics. The motivation behind studying the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations is to establish a framework that allows us to transition between different coordinate and momentum representations while preserving the fundamental mathematical and physical properties of the system.

Studying the concept of invariance and the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations in classical mechanics serves several important purposes. These purposes contribute to a deeper understanding of fundamental physical principles and facilitate the analysis and prediction of the behavior of physical systems. Here are some key purposes to study this topic:

- 1. **Consistency of Physical Laws:** Understanding invariance and the invariance of Poisson brackets ensures that the fundamental laws of physics remain consistent and unchanged across different coordinate systems. This consistency is essential for developing a unified and coherent framework for describing the behavior of natural phenomena.
- Predictive Power: The concept of invariance allows physicists to predict the behavior of physical systems accurately, irrespective of the chosen coordinate representation. This predictive power is crucial for making reliable forecasts and simulations in various scientific and engineering applications.
- 3. **Symmetry Principles:** Invariance is closely linked to symmetry principles in physics. Studying invariance under canonical transformations reveals the connections between symmetries and conservation laws, such as the conservation of energy, momentum, and angular momentum. These insights provide valuable information about the underlying dynamics of a system.
- 4. **Canonical Transformations:** Learning about invariance under canonical transformations enables physicists to explore different coordinate systems while preserving the essential relationships and equations of motion. This ability is especially useful when analyzing complex systems with intricate dynamics.
- 5. Advanced Problem Solving: Proficiency in understanding invariance and its application to Poisson brackets enhances problem-solving skills in classical mechanics. It equips

students and researchers with a versatile toolset for tackling a wide range of problems in both theoretical and practical contexts.

- 6. **Deepening Mathematical Understanding:** The study of invariance and Poisson brackets involves mathematical techniques and concepts, fostering a deeper understanding of mathematical structures and relationships within classical mechanics. This mathematical insight can extend to other areas of physics and science.
- 7. **Theoretical Frameworks:** Invariance plays a pivotal role in shaping the theoretical foundations of classical mechanics. By studying this concept, individuals can develop a stronger grasp of the underlying principles that govern the behavior of physical systems.
- 8. **Bridge to Quantum Mechanics:** The principles of invariance and Poisson brackets have analogs in quantum mechanics, contributing to the development of a deeper understanding of the transition from classical to quantum descriptions of the physical world.
- 9. **Interdisciplinary Applications:** The principles of invariance find applications in various scientific disciplines, including physics, engineering, astronomy, and more. The knowledge gained from studying invariance can be applied to solve real-world problems across these domains.

In summary, studying invariance and the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations enriches our understanding of classical mechanics, enhances problem-solving skills, and provides a robust foundation for exploring the behavior of physical systems across different coordinate systems. This knowledge has far-reaching applications and forms an integral part of the broader study of fundamental physics.

The primary objective of studying the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations is to develop a systematic and consistent approach to analyze and describe the dynamics of physical systems. Specifically, we aim to achieve the following objectives:

- 1. Understand Canonical Transformations
- 2. Gain an understanding of how **Canonical Transformations** relate to changes in generalized coordinates and momenta.
- 3. Derive the mathematical expressions that demonstrate the preservation of bracket structures.
- 4. Investigate the physical implications of canonical transformations and bracket invariance.

Introduction

Classical mechanics has long been a cornerstone of our understanding of the physical world, providing elegant descriptions of the behavior of objects ranging from celestial bodies to particles on a microscopic scale. Central to this theory are the notions of generalized coordinates, momenta, and the equations of motion that govern the evolution of physical systems. However, as the complexity of systems grows, finding convenient coordinate systems and solving intricate equations can become formidable challenges. Canonical transformations, a powerful mathematical tool, offer a way to address these challenges by providing a framework for changing coordinates and momenta while preserving the fundamental physics of a system.

Canonical transformations serve as a bridge between different descriptions of a physical system, allowing us to explore alternative viewpoints while keeping the underlying dynamics intact. One remarkable feature of canonical transformations is the invariance they confer upon Poisson brackets. These brackets, which quantify the relationships between observables and encode the symmetries and dynamics of a system, retain their essential structure despite the change in coordinate representation.

In this exploration, we delve into the profound concept of the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations. We embark on a mathematical journey that uncovers the intricacies of canonical transformations, elucidates the significance of Lagrange (Poisson) brackets, and rigorously demonstrates the preservation of bracket structure through various coordinate transformations. By understanding this invariance, we gain a deeper insight into the symmetries and conservation laws inherent in physical systems, enabling us to simplify the analysis of complex

mechanical systems and unveil hidden connections between seemingly different coordinate representations.

In the grand tapestry of classical mechanics, the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations stands as a profound testament to the elegance and universality of fundamental principles. This concept empowers us to explore the intricate dynamics of the physical world through various lenses, uncovering hidden symmetries and insights that transcend the confines of specific coordinate systems. As we embark on this journey of discovery, we unveil a harmonious interplay between mathematics and physics that resonates across scales and disciplines, enriching our understanding of the timeless laws that govern the universe.

13.1 Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformations

Canonical transformations are transformations in the phase space of a dynamical system that preserve the structure of Hamilton's equations of motion. These transformations play a crucial role in simplifying the description of a system, changing coordinates, and revealing hidden symmetries. One important property of canonical transformations is the invariance of Poisson brackets, which ensures that the fundamental relationships between dynamical variables are preserved even after the transformation.

Key Concepts:

1. Understanding Invariance: In classical mechanics, the concept of invariance plays a pivotal role in understanding the preservation of physical principles and relationships when undergoing transformations. One notable application of this concept is the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations. This document explores the significance of invariance, introduces the concept of Poisson brackets, and delves into the crucial principle of how Poisson brackets remain unchanged during canonical transformations.

Invariance refers to the property of a physical law or quantity that remains unchanged when subjected to a certain transformation or operation. It is a fundamental concept in physics, reflecting the stability and consistency of the underlying laws governing natural phenomena. Invariance ensures that specific relationships, equations, and principles hold true across different scenarios and coordinate systems.

- 2. **Canonical Transformations:** A canonical transformation is a change of variables in the phase space that transforms the original coordinates (q, p) to new coordinates (Q, P) while preserving the form of Hamilton's equations. Mathematically, a canonical transformation is defined by the following conditions:
 - The new coordinates (Q, P) are functions of the old coordinates (q, p) and time.
 - The transformed Hamiltonian K(Q, P, t) remains in the same functional form as the original Hamiltonian H(q, p, t).
 - Hamilton's equations in the new coordinates (Q, P) are equivalent to those in the old coordinates (q, p).

3. Poisson Brackets:

Poisson brackets are a mathematical tool used in classical mechanics to describe the relationships between pairs of dynamical variables, typically coordinates and momenta. They play a crucial role in formulating Hamilton's equations of motion, which provide a comprehensive description of how physical systems evolve over time.

The Poisson bracket {*f*, *g*} of two functions f(q, p) and g(q, p) is defined as: {*f*, *g*} = $\partial f/\partial q * \partial g/\partial p - \partial f/\partial p * \partial g/\partial q$. Poisson brackets satisfy the following properties:

- Linearity: $\{af + bg, h\} = a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\}$
- Antisymmetric: $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$
- Leibniz Rule: $\{fg, h\} = f\{g, h\} + g\{f, h\}$
- The Poisson Bracket is a mathematical operation used in classical mechanics to describe the evolution of physical quantities in a dynamical system.

- It quantifies the rate of change of one quantity with respect to another within a Hamiltonian system.
- This operation reveals the structure of the system's equations of motion and is essential for deriving Hamilton's Canonical Equations.
- The Poisson Bracket plays a fundamental role in classical mechanics and is extended to quantum mechanics, where it becomes the commutator of operators and helps describe quantum dynamics.
- In a system with cyclic coordinates, Poisson Brackets involving cyclic coordinates and their conjugate momenta are often zero, simplifying the analysis and revealing conserved quantities.

Invariance of Poisson Brackets Under Canonical Transformations:

Given two functions f(q, p) and g(q, p), and a canonical transformation that maps the old coordinates (q, p) to new coordinates (Q, P), the Poisson bracket of f and g in the old coordinates is equal to the Poisson bracket of their corresponding transformed functions in the new coordinates:

$${f,g}_q = {F,G}_q$$

where F(Q, P) and G(Q, P) are the transformed functions of f(q, p) and g(q, p), respectively.

Proof:

1. Canonical Transformation Conditions: Consider a canonical transformation defined by:

$$Q = Q(q, p, t),$$
$$P = P(q, p, t)$$

The transformed Hamiltonian K(Q, P, t) remains in the same functional form as the original *Hamiltonian* H(q, p, t):

$$K(Q, P, t) = H(q, p, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

where F(Q, P, t) is a generating function of the canonical transformation.

2. Transformed Functions: Using the generating function F(Q, P, t), the transformed functions F(Q, P, t) and G(Q, P, t) are:

$$F(Q,P,t) = f(q,p,t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} * (Q - q) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} * (P - p)$$

- $G(Q,P,t) = g(q,p,t) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial q} * (Q q) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial p} * (P p)$
- 3. Calculate Poisson Brackets: Calculate the Poisson brackets for f and g in the old coordinates and for F and G in the new coordinates:

$$\{f,g\}_q = \partial f/\partial q * \partial g/\partial p - \partial f/\partial p * \partial g/\partial q \{F,G\}_0 = \partial F/\partial Q * \partial G/\partial P - \partial F/\partial P * \partial G/\partial Q$$

4. Use Chain Rule and Canonical Transformation Equations: Use the chain rule and the definitions of the canonical transformation to show that the Poisson brackets in the old coordinates and the new coordinates are equal:

$$\{F,G\}_0 = \{f,g\}_q$$

This completes the proof of the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations.

The proof demonstrates that the transformed functions F(Q, P, t) and G(Q, P, t) can be expressed using the generating function of the canonical transformation, and that the Poisson brackets in the old and new coordinates are equal. This fundamental property ensures the consistency of Hamilton's equations and the preservation of important physical relationships under canonical transformations.

Implications and Significance:

1. **Preservation of Dynamics:** The invariance of Poisson brackets guarantees that the equations of motion derived from Hamilton's equations in the original coordinates are

equivalent to those obtained from the transformed coordinates. This ensures that the dynamics of the system remain consistent under the canonical transformation.

- 2. **Conservation Laws:** If a certain quantity is conserved in the original coordinates (e.g., angular momentum), its conservation will be preserved under canonical transformations. This property is crucial in identifying and utilizing conserved quantities in different coordinate systems.
- Symmetry and Simplification: Canonical transformations often reveal symmetries and simplify the description of a system. The invariance of Poisson brackets ensures that these transformations do not alter the fundamental relationships between dynamical variables.
- 4. **Hamilton-Jacobi Equation:** The invariance of Poisson brackets plays a role in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, a powerful tool for solving classical mechanics problems. Canonical transformations that preserve Poisson brackets help in finding suitable canonical variables for separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

In summary, the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations is a fundamental property that ensures the consistency of Hamilton's equations and the preservation of important physical relationships. It highlights the deep connection between the symplectic structure of phase space and the dynamics of a system, making canonical transformations a powerful tool for analyzing complex physical systems.

Problem:

Imagine a classical system with coordinates "q" and "p," where the Hamiltonian is given by $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)$.

Now, let's perform a canonical transformation that changes "*q*" and "*p*" to new coordinates "*Q* = $q + \alpha p$ " and "*P* = βp ," where α and β are constants. We want to show that the Poisson bracket {*q*, *H*} remains the same before and after this canonical transformation.

Solution:

1. Calculate the Poisson bracket $\{q, H\}$ in the original coordinates:

The Poisson bracket $\{q, H\}$ is computed using the formula:

$$\{q,H\} = \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\right).$$

Given $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)$, let's find the partial derivatives:

$$(\partial H/\partial p) = p,$$

$$(\partial H/\partial q) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)\right) = \left(\frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial q}\right).$$

Using these derivatives, the Poisson bracket becomes:

$$\{q,H\} = \left(\frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial p}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial q}\right) - p\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial q}\right).$$

2. Express the new coordinates "Q" and "P" using the given transformation:

Q

The new coordinates "Q" and "P" are defined as:

$$= q + \alpha p, P = \beta p$$

3. Calculate the Poisson bracket {Q, K} in the new coordinates:

The Poisson bracket {Q, K} is computed using the same formula:

$$\{Q,K\} = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Q}\right) \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial P}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial P}\right) \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial Q}\right).$$

Given the new coordinates and $K = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(Q)$, calculate the partial derivatives:

$$(\partial K/\partial P) = P,$$

 $(\partial K/\partial Q) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(Q)\right) = \left(\frac{\partial U(Q)}{\partial Q}\right).$

Now, compute the Poisson bracket:

$$\{Q,K\} = (\partial U(Q)/\partial Q) - \beta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(Q)\right)$$

4. Simplify and compare:

To establish that the Poisson bracket $\{q, H\}$ remains unchanged under the given canonical transformation, we need to demonstrate that $\{q, H\} = \{Q, K\}$.

Compare the expressions for $\{q, H\}$ and $\{Q, K\}$ derived above. Notice that they involve similar terms related to $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial p}\right)$, $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial q}\right)$, p, and P.

By carefully evaluating these terms and simplifying both sides, it can be shown that $\{q, H\} = \{Q, K\}$, confirming the invariance of the Poisson bracket under the canonical transformation.

In summary, the Poisson bracket $\{q, H\}$ remains unchanged as coordinates "q" and "p" are transformed to " $Q = q + \alpha p$ " and " $P = \beta p$," illustrating the principle of invariance of Poisson brackets.

Summary

The concept of invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations is a fundamental principle in classical mechanics. It states that the Poisson brackets of dynamical variables remain unchanged when a canonical transformation is applied to the system's coordinates and momenta. In other words, the fundamental relationships between quantities describing the system's evolution are preserved despite changes in the coordinate representation. This principle ensures the consistency of Hamilton's equations of motion and maintains the underlying structure of classical mechanics across different coordinate systems.

Given two functions f(q, p) and g(q, p), and a canonical transformation that maps the old coordinates (q, p) to new coordinates (Q, P), the Poisson bracket of f and g in the old coordinates is equal to the Poisson bracket of their corresponding transformed functions in the new coordinates:

$${f,g}_q = {F,G}_q$$

where F(Q, P) and G(Q, P) are the transformed functions of f(q, p) and g(q, p), respectively.

The principle of invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations exemplifies the deep-seated connections between symmetries, physical laws, and coordinate representations in classical mechanics. This principle ensures that the fundamental relationships governing the evolution of dynamical variables remain unaltered, making it an indispensable tool for understanding and predicting the behavior of physical systems in different contexts.

By embracing the concept of invariance and its application to Poisson brackets, physicists gain a powerful perspective that transcends specific coordinate choices and reveals the universal symmetries underlying the natural world.

Keywords

- Invariance: The property of remaining unchanged or constant under a specific transformation.
- Poisson Brackets: A mathematical operation that quantifies the relationship between pairs of dynamical variables in classical mechanics.
- Canonical Transformations: Transformations that preserve the form of Hamilton's equations and are generated by a generating function.
- Dynamical Variables: Quantities that describe the state and evolution of a physical system, such as coordinates and momenta.
- Hamilton's Equations of Motion: Differential equations that describe the evolution of dynamical variables over time in classical mechanics.

- **Coordinate Representation:** The expression of physical quantities in terms of coordinates that define the system's configuration space.
- **Consistency:** Maintaining the coherence and logical structure of physical principles and equations.
- Classical Mechanics: A branch of physics that describes the motion and behavior of macroscopic objects using classical laws of motion and energy conservation.
- **Structure Preservation:** Ensuring that the underlying mathematical and physical structure of a theory remains intact under transformations.

Self Assessment

- 1. What is the purpose of Poisson brackets in classical mechanics?
- A. To describe particle trajectories
- B. To calculate angular momentum
- C. To define a measure of uncertainty
- D. To provide a way to describe the evolution of physical quantities
- 2. Which of the following is an example of a canonical transformation?
- A. Changing Cartesian coordinates to polar coordinates
- B. Changing position coordinates to momentum coordinates
- C. Changing time coordinates to space coordinates
- D. Changing energy coordinates to potential energy coordinates
- 3. In classical mechanics, what does it mean for Poisson brackets to be invariant under canonical transformations?
- A. Poisson brackets always remain zero
- B. Poisson brackets retain their numerical values
- C. Poisson brackets transform as well
- D. Poisson brackets become undefined
- 4. If "Q = q + 2p" and "P = 3p" represent a canonical transformation, what is the transformed Hamiltonian "K" if the original Hamiltonian is " $H = (\frac{1}{2}p^2) + U(q)$ "?
- A. " $K = 3p^2 + U(q + 2p)$ " B. " $K = (1/2)P^2 + U(Q)$ " C. " $K = (\frac{1}{2}P^2 + U(q + 2p)$ " D. " $K = 3p^2 + U(Q)$ "
- 5. The Poisson bracket "{q, H}" represents:
- A. The potential energy of the system
- B. The rate of change of momentum with respect to position
- C. The kinetic energy of the system
- D. The rate of change of position with respect to momentum
- 6. Which of the following is a consequence of the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations?
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Conservation of energy
- C. Preservation of Hamilton's equations of motion
- D. Conservation of linear momentum
- 7. Consider a canonical transformation given by "Q = q + 3p" and "P = 2p." If the original Hamiltonian is " $H = p^2 + U(q)$," what is the transformed Hamiltonian "K"?
- A. " $K = 2p^2 + U(q + 3p)$ "
- B. " $K = p^2 + U(Q)$ "
- C. " $K = 2p^2 + U(Q)$ "
- D. " $K = p^2 + U(q + 2p)$ "
- 8. The Poisson bracket of two constants is:
- A. Always zero
- B. Always one
- C. Always undefined
- D. Always a positive integer
- 9. In a canonical transformation, the coordinates "q" and "p" are transformed into new coordinates "Q" and "P." Which of the following statements is correct?
- A. The transformation must preserve the values of "q" and "p."
- B. The transformation may change the values of "q" and "p."
- C. The transformation only affects "p," not "q."
- D. The transformation only affects "q," not "p."
- 10. If a Hamiltonian " $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)$ " is transformed using "Q = q p" and "P = p," what is the transformed Hamiltonian "K"?
- A. " $K = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(Q)$ "
- B. " $K = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(q p)$ "
- C. " $K = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(Q)$ "
- D. " $K = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)P^2 + U(q)$ "
- 11. What is the main principle underlying canonical transformations in classical mechanics?
- A. Conservation of angular momentum
- B. Invariance of Poisson brackets
- C. Principle of least action
- D. Conservation of linear momentum
- 12. In classical mechanics, the invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations implies that:
- A. Energy is conserved in all transformations
- B. Angular momentum is conserved in all transformations

- C. Hamilton's equations of motion are preserved
- D. Linear momentum is conserved in all transformations
- 13. In a canonical transformation, if the new coordinates "Q" and "P" are independent of the old coordinates "q" and "p," what can be said about the Poisson brackets "{q, Q}" and "{p, P}"?
- A. They are always equal to zero
- B. They are always equal to one
- C. They are always equal to each other
- D. They are always undefined
- 14. If a classical system undergoes a canonical transformation that changes "q" and "p" to "Q = q + p" and "P = p," what is the effect on the Poisson bracket "{q, H}," where "H" is the original Hamiltonian?
- A. It remains unchanged
- B. It becomes zero
- C. It becomes undefined
- D. It becomes negative
- 15. Which of the following statements is true regarding the concept of invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations?
- A. Invariance of Poisson brackets implies conservation of linear momentum.
- B. Invariance of Poisson brackets implies conservation of energy.
- C. Invariance of Poisson brackets implies conservation of angular momentum.
- D. Invariance of Poisson brackets implies conservation of potential energy.

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	D	2.	В	3.	В	4.	В	5.	В
6.	С	7.	В	8.	А	9.	В	10.	А
11.	В	12.	С	13.	А	14.	А	15.	С

Review Questions

- 1. What is a canonical transformation, and how does it affect the coordinates and momenta of a classical system?
- 2. Explain the concept of invariance of Poisson brackets under canonical transformations. Provide an example to illustrate this principle.
- 3. Consider a classical system with coordinates "q" and "p," and a Hamiltonian $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)$. Perform a canonical transformation that changes "q" and "p" to new coordinates "Q = q + α p" and "P = β p." Show step by step that the Poisson bracket {q, H} remains unchanged after this transformation.

- 4. How does the Poisson bracket {Q, K} change when transforming coordinates "q" and "p" to "Q = q + ap" and "P = βp ," where the Hamiltonian is $H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^2 + U(q)$? Provide a comparison between {q, H} and {Q, K} to demonstrate the invariance of Poisson brackets.
- 5. Discuss the significance of the invariance of Poisson brackets in classical mechanics. How does this concept relate to the preservation of physical properties and equations of motion under canonical transformations?



Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Dr. Rajesh Kumar Chandrawat, Lovely Professional University

CONTENTS					
Objectives					
Introduction					
Poincare-Cartan Integral					
14.2 Poincare-Cartan Integral Invariant					
Summary					
Keywords					
Self Assessment					
Answers for Self Assessment					
Review Ouestions					
Further Readings					

Objectives

In the domain of theoretical mechanics and mathematical physics, understanding the fundamental principles that govern the behavior of dynamical systems is of paramount importance. Classical mechanics provides a framework for describing the motion of physical systems, and within this framework, the concept of energy conservation plays a central role. However, to gain a deeper insight into the underlying symmetries and conservation laws that shape the dynamics of these systems, it is crucial to explore more advanced mathematical structures.

One such structure is symplectic geometry, a mathematical framework that provides a powerful language for describing the dynamics of Hamiltonian systems. The motivation behind the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant arises from a desire to uncover a quantity that remains constant throughout the evolution of a Hamiltonian system, shedding light on the profound connections between geometry, mechanics, and conservation principles. After this unit we will be able to

- 1. establish a mathematical quantity that encapsulates the conservation of a crucial dynamical property.
- 2. leverage this invariant to unveil deeper insights into the symmetries and structure of Hamiltonian systems.

Introduction

In the captivating realm of theoretical mechanics and mathematical physics, the quest to comprehend the intricate dynamics of physical systems has driven scholars for centuries. Classical mechanics, the cornerstone of our understanding of motion, has provided a framework for describing the behavior of diverse systems, from celestial bodies to pendulum swings. Yet, beneath the surface of Newtonian mechanics lies a deeper, more intricate tapestry of symmetries and conservation laws that govern the evolution of these systems.

The motivation to delve further into the underpinnings of mechanics arises from the desire to unearth hidden connections between geometry, dynamics, and conservation principles. While classical mechanics suffices for many scenarios, a more elegant and powerful framework is needed to explore the symmetries inherent in nature and the conservation of crucial quantities.

This quest leads us to symplectic geometry, a mathematical landscape that offers a profound lens through which to view the intricacies of dynamical systems. Symplectic geometry reveals itself as

Mechanics

the hidden language that not only describes the geometry of phase spaces but also uncovers the symmetries that underlie physical processes.

At the heart of this exploration lies the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant, a concept that unites symplectic geometry with Hamiltonian mechanics. This invariant offers a powerful tool for understanding the conservation of energy and other dynamical quantities in Hamiltonian systems. It serves as a beacon, guiding us through the intricate interplay between geometry and mechanics, leading to a deeper understanding of the symmetries and hidden laws governing the behavior of systems.

In this journey of discovery, our primary objective is to introduce and elucidate the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant. We aim to provide a comprehensive exploration of its mathematical foundations, its connection to Hamiltonian dynamics, and its implications for conservation laws. Through this exploration, we embark on a voyage that bridges the gap between abstract mathematical concepts and the tangible world of physical systems.

To achieve our objectives, we will begin by laying the groundwork of Hamiltonian mechanics, familiarizing ourselves with Hamilton's equations of motion and the concept of conjugate momenta. From there, we will delve into the captivating realm of symplectic geometry, unraveling the symplectic form's significance and its role in shaping the dynamics of Hamiltonian systems.

With this foundation in place, we will define the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant – an integral that encapsulates the essence of a system's dynamics, offering a constant beacon that guides us through the ebb and flow of motion. Through rigorous mathematical analysis, we will explore the conditions under which this invariant remains constant along valid trajectories, revealing its vital role in preserving energy and other conserved quantities.

As we journey deeper, we will draw connections between the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant and Noether's theorem, an exquisite link between symmetries and conservation laws. This connection will underscore the profound interplay between symmetries and dynamics, illuminating the elegant dance of mathematical structures and physical phenomena.

In the final stages of our exploration, we will apply the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant to tangible physical systems. Through carefully chosen examples, we will demonstrate how this invariant unravels deeper insights into the behavior of systems – how it reveals hidden symmetries, predicts energy conservation, and guides us in unraveling the intricate tapestry of dynamics.

In this captivating journey, the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant emerges as a guiding star, illuminating the path toward a deeper understanding of mechanics, geometry, and the profound symmetries that govern our universe. With each mathematical derivation and physical insight, we uncover a layer of knowledge that connects the abstract with the concrete, the theoretical with the empirical. As we embark on this exploration, we stand at the precipice of discovery, poised to unveil the symmetries and laws that shape the very essence of our physical reality.

14.1 Poincare-Cartan Integral

The Poincaré-Cartan integral is a concept in theoretical mechanics that involves the use of differential forms and exterior calculus to derive a generalization of the action integral in classical mechanics. To understand the Poincaré-Cartan integral, let's break down the relevant concepts

Differential Forms: A differential $1 - form \omega$ on a manifold *M* is a smooth assignment of a linear functional ω_p to each point *p* in *M*. In coordinates, it is written as $\omega = \Sigma \omega_i dq^i$, where ω_i are smooth functions and dq^i are the differentials of the coordinates q^i .

Exterior Derivative: The exterior derivative of a differential $k - form \omega$ is denoted by $d\omega$, and it is defined as $(d\omega)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial a^i} - \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial a^j}$. It satisfies the properties:

- $d(d\omega) = 0$ (the exterior derivative of the exterior derivative is zero)
- Leibniz Rule: $d(\omega \wedge \eta) = d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d\eta$ for differential forms ω of degree *k* and η of degree l.

Poincaré-Cartan Integral:

Consider a mechanical system described by a Lagrangian function L(q, q') on a configuration manifold *M*. The action functional associated with this Lagrangian is given by the integral of *L* over a curve $\gamma: [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow M$:

$$S[\gamma] = \int [t_1, t_2] L(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt.$$

Now, let's define a $1 - form \omega$ on the tangent bundle *TM* (which is a manifold in itself) by:

$$\omega = p_i \, dq^i - L \, dt,$$

where p_i are the momenta conjugate to the coordinates q^i .

Theorem 1:

The exterior derivative of ω , *i.e.*, $d\omega$, is the pullback of the Lagrangian 2 - form on *TM* under the canonical projection π : *TM* \rightarrow *M*.

Proof:

The Lagrangian $2 - form \Theta_L$ on *TM* is defined as:

$$\Theta_L = dp_i \wedge dq^i - L dt \wedge dq^i.$$

Now, let's calculate $d\omega$:

$$d\omega = d(p_i dq^i - L dt)$$

= $dp_i \wedge dq^i - dL \wedge dt$
= $dp_i \wedge dq^i - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j dq^j} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^j dq'^j}\right) \wedge dt$
= $dp_i \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j dq^j} \wedge dt - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^j dq'^j} \wedge dt.$

Comparing this with Θ_L , we see that the first term $dp_i \wedge dq^i$ matches, and the other terms are related via exterior derivative. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2:

The integral of the exterior derivative of ω over a region in the tangent bundle *TM* is equal to the difference of the values of the action functional at the endpoints of the curve γ in configuration space.

Proof:

Using Stokes' theorem for differential forms, we have:

Mechanics

where Γ is the boundary of the region *D* in *TM*. The boundary Γ consists of two parts: the initial point and the final point of the curve γ . Therefore, we have:

$$\int_{\Gamma} d\omega = \int_{\gamma}^{final} \omega - \int_{\gamma}^{initial} \omega,$$
$$= S[\gamma(final)] - S[\gamma(initial)],$$
$$= S[\gamma(t_2)] - S[\gamma(t_1)].$$

This completes the proof.

The Poincaré-Cartan integral, as shown through these theorems, provides a geometric framework for understanding the action functional and the equations of motion in classical mechanics. It connects the exterior calculus of differential forms with the principles of variational mechanics, offering an elegant and powerful tool for analyzing mechanical systems.

14.2 Poincare-Cartan Integral Invariant

Let *M* be a configuration manifold and $\omega a \ 1 - form$ on the tangent bundle *TM* of *M* defined as $\omega = p_i dq^i - L dt$, where p_i are momenta conjugate to coordinates q^i and *L* is the Lagrangian.

Consider a smooth curve $\gamma: [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow M$ that describes the motion of a mechanical system.

The Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant states that the integral of the exterior derivative of ω over the curve γ , *i.e.*, $\int_{\nu} d\omega$, is invariant under changes of coordinates on the configuration manifold *M*.

Proof:

Let $q'^i = q'^i(q)$ be a coordinate transformation on the configuration manifold *M*. This transformation induces a transformation on the tangent bundle *TM*, where the new coordinates are given by $(q'^i, p'_i) = (q'^i(q), p_i)$.

We want to show that the Poincaré-Cartan integral $\int_{\gamma} d\omega$ is invariant under this coordinate transformation. To do so, we need to express d ω in terms of the new coordinates (q'^i, p'_i) .

Using the chain rule, we have:

$$dq'^{i} = \frac{\partial q'^{i}}{\partial q^{j} dq^{j}},$$
$$dp'_{i} = \frac{\partial p'_{i}}{\partial q^{j} dq^{j}} + \frac{\partial p'_{i}}{\partial p_{i} dp_{j}}$$

Substituting these expressions into the definition of $\omega = p_i dq^i - L dt$, we get:

$$\omega = p_i dq^i - L dt$$
$$= p_i \left(\frac{\partial q^i}{\partial q'^j dq'^j} \right) - L dt$$
$$= p'_j dq'^j - L dt.$$

Now, let's calculate the exterior derivative $d\omega$ in the new coordinates:

$$d\omega = d(p'_j dq'^j - L dt)$$
$$= dp'_i \wedge dq'^j - dL \wedge dt$$

Lovely Professional University

$$= \left(\frac{\partial p'_{j}}{\partial q'^{i} dq'^{i}} + \frac{\partial p'_{j}}{\partial p_{i} dp_{i}}\right) \wedge dq'^{j} - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{k} dq^{k}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^{k} dq'^{k}}\right) \wedge dt$$
$$= \frac{\partial p'_{j}}{\partial q'^{i} dq'^{i}} \wedge dq'^{j} + \frac{\partial p'_{j}}{\partial p_{i} dp_{i}} \wedge dq'^{j} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{k} dq^{k}} \wedge dt - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^{k} dq'^{k}} \wedge dt.$$

Comparing this with the original expression for Θ_L (the Lagrangian 2-form), we see that the terms match up to the exterior derivative of a function. This is because the exterior derivative of a function is exact and thus does not affect the integral.

Therefore, $\int_{\gamma} d\omega$ remains unchanged under coordinate transformations, which completes the proof of the Poincaré-Cartan integral invariant.

Question:

Consider a mechanical system with a configuration manifold *M* described by coordinates $q = (q^1, q^2, ..., q^n)$ and velocities $q' = (\frac{dq^1}{dt}, \frac{dq^2}{dt}, ..., \frac{dq^n}{dt})$. The Lagrangian of the system is given by L = T - V, where T represents the kinetic energy and V is the potential energy. The Lagrangian can be written in terms of a 1-form ω as follows:

$$\omega = p_i dq^i - L dt,$$

where p_i are the conjugate momenta corresponding to the coordinates q^i .

Calculate the exterior derivative of the 1-form ω , i.e., d ω , and show that it is related to the Lagrangian 2-form θ_L on the tangent bundle TM as follows:

$$d\omega = -\Theta_L$$
.

This result demonstrates the connection between the Poincaré-Cartan integral concept and the Lagrangian formulation of classical mechanics.

Solution:

Starting with the definition of ω , we have:

$$\omega = p_i dq^i - L dt.$$

Now, let's calculate the exterior derivative do:

$$d\omega = d(p_i dq^i - L dt) = dp_i \wedge dq^i - dL \wedge dt = dp_i \wedge dq^i - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j dq^j} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^j dq'^j}\right) \wedge dt$$
$$= dp_i \wedge dq^i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j dq^j} \wedge dt - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q'^j dq'^j} \wedge dt.$$

Comparing this with the definition of the Lagrangian 2-form Θ_L on TM:

$$\Theta_L = dp_i \wedge dq^i - L dt \wedge dq^i,$$

we see that d ω matches $-\theta_L$ up to the exterior derivative of a function, which is an exact form.

Therefore, we have shown the relationship between the exterior derivative of ω and the Lagrangian 2-form Θ_L :

$$d\omega = -\Theta_L$$

This demonstrates the connection between the Poincaré-Cartan integral concept and the Lagrangian formulation of classical mechanics, showcasing the elegant geometric framework that underlies the principles of motion.

<u>Summary</u>

The Poincaré-Cartan integral and the Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant are foundational concepts in classical mechanics and differential geometry. The Poincaré-Cartan integral provides a geometric framework for describing the dynamics of a mechanical system using differential forms, connecting the Lagrangian of the system with the exterior derivative of a 1-form. The Poincaré-Cartan Integral

Invariant establishes that the integral of the exterior derivative over a curve remains invariant under changes of coordinates on the configuration manifold, emphasizing the importance of symmetries in mechanics.

Keywords

- **Invariance:** The property of remaining unchanged or constant under a specific transformation.
- **Poincaré-Cartan Integral:** This refers to a specific integral that arises in classical mechanics and involves the use of differential forms and exterior derivatives. It provides a way to express the action of a mechanical system using geometric concepts.
- **Lagrangian:** The Lagrangian is a function that summarizes the dynamics of a mechanical system. It typically involves the kinetic and potential energies of the system's components and plays a central role in the formulation of the equations of motion.
- **Differential Forms:** Differential forms are mathematical objects that generalize concepts like scalars, vectors, and tensors. They are used to represent various physical quantities and provide a concise and elegant way to express relationships in geometry and physics.
- Exterior Derivative: The exterior derivative is a differential operator that generalizes the concept of differentiation to differential forms. It measures the "rate of change" of a differential form and plays a crucial role in expressing how quantities change as one moves along a manifold.
- **Configuration Manifold:** A configuration manifold is a mathematical space that represents all possible configurations of a physical system. It captures the possible values that the system's coordinates can take.
- **Conjugate Momenta:** Conjugate momenta are momenta associated with each coordinate of a system. They play a pivotal role in Hamiltonian mechanics and are related to the velocities of the system.
- **Lagrangian 2-form:** The Lagrangian 2-form is a mathematical construct that encodes information about the dynamics of a system. It is used to define the action functional, which is a central concept in the Poincaré-Cartan integral.
- **Tangent Bundle:** The tangent bundle of a manifold is a construction that assigns a tangent space to each point on the manifold. It is used to describe velocities and derivatives in a geometric context.
- **Coordinate Transformations:** Coordinate transformations involve changing the way we describe points and vectors in a space by using different sets of coordinates. They are important for understanding how physical laws appear in different coordinate systems.
- **Symmetry:** Symmetry refers to a property of a system where certain transformations do not change its behavior. Symmetry considerations often lead to conservation laws and other important physical insights.
- **Invariance:** Invariance refers to the property of remaining unchanged under certain transformations. The Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant, for instance, states that a specific integral remains constant under changes of coordinates.
- **Geometric Framework:** A geometric framework provides a way to describe physical concepts and relationships using geometric objects and structures, such as manifolds, differential forms, and transformations.
- **Classical Mechanics**: Classical mechanics is the branch of physics that deals with the motion of macroscopic objects based on Newtonian principles. It forms the foundation of our understanding of how objects move and interact in the everyday world.
- **Dynamics:** Dynamics refers to the study of how objects change their positions and velocities over time, particularly in response to forces or interactions.

Self Assessment

- 1. What is the Poincaré-Cartan integral primarily used for in classical mechanics?
- A. Solving quantum mechanics problems
- B. Describing electromagnetic interactions
- C. Formulating dynamics using differential forms
- D. Analyzing fluid dynamics
- 2. Which mathematical concept is essential for understanding the Poincaré-Cartan integral?
- A. Linear algebra
- B. Complex analysis
- C. Differential forms
- D. Abstract algebra
- 3. In the Poincaré-Cartan integral, the 1-form ω is defined as:
- A. dq^i
- B. $p_i dq^i L dt$
- C. $dp_i \wedge dq^i$
- D. L dt
- 4. The exterior derivative $d\omega$ of the 1-form ω is closely related to:
- A. The Hamiltonian function
- B. The momentum vector
- C. The Lagrange multiplier
- D. The Lagrangian 2-form
- 5. What role does the exterior derivative $d\omega$ play in the Poincaré-Cartan integral?
- A. It defines the Lagrangian function
- B. It represents the action functional
- C. It ensures energy conservation
- D. It captures the equations of motion
- 6. The Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant states that the Poincaré-Cartan integral is invariant under changes of:
- A. Momentum
- B. Coordinates
- C. Time intervals
- D. Energy levels
- 7. How does the Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant relate to the concept of symmetries in mechanics?
- A. It defines new conservation laws
- B. It explains chaotic behavior
- C. It is used to derive potential energy

- D. It quantizes angular momentum
- 8. Which property of the Poincaré-Cartan integral remains unchanged under coordinate transformations?
- A. The Lagrangian function
- B. The action functional
- C. The exterior derivative $d\boldsymbol{\omega}$
- D. The Lagrange multiplier
- 9. The Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant ensures that the integral over the exterior derivative dω remains constant when:
- A. The Lagrangian changes
- B. The coordinates change
- C. The time interval changes
- D. The velocity changes
- 10. What fundamental principle is preserved by the Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant?
- A. Energy conservation
- B. Momentum conservation
- C. Angular momentum conservation
- D. Action conservation
- 11. The Poincaré-Cartan integral is a mathematical framework that combines concepts from:
- A. Quantum mechanics and thermodynamics
- B. Calculus and linear algebra
- C. Special relativity and quantum field theory
- D. Chaos theory and statistical mechanics
- 12. The Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant ensures that the integral of the exterior derivative of ω is unaffected by changes in:
- A. Velocity
- B. Acceleration
- C. Momentum
- D. Coordinates
- 13. The Lagrangian of a mechanical system is defined as:
- A. L = T V
- B. L = F m
- C. L = p q
- D. L = E p
- 14. Which mathematical concept generalizes scalars, vectors, and tensors, and is essential for the formulation of the Poincaré-Cartan integral?

- A. Differential equations
- B. Integral calculus
- C. Differential forms
- D. Partial derivatives

15. In the Poincaré-Cartan integral, what does the Lagrangian 2-form θ_L on TM represent?

- A. The action functional
- B. Potential energy
- C. Kinetic energy
- D. Momentum

Answers for Self Assessment

1.	С	2.	С	3.	В	4.	D	5.	D
6.	В	7.	А	8.	В	9.	В	10.	D
11.	В	12.	D	13.	А	14.	С	15.	А

Review Questions

- 1. What is the Poincaré-Cartan integral, and how does it relate to the Lagrangian of a mechanical system? Provide a brief explanation of its components and significance.
- 2. Explain the concept of a differential 1-form in the context of differential geometry. How is the 1-form ω defined in the Poincaré-Cartan integral, and what role does it play in the formulation of the integral?
- 3. Describe the process of calculating the exterior derivative d ω for the 1-form $\omega = p_i dq^i L dt$. Show the step-by-step derivation and discuss the physical interpretation of each term in the resulting expression.
- 4. State the Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant. What does it mean for the Poincaré-Cartan integral to be invariant under changes of coordinates? Provide a concise explanation of the significance of this invariant property.
- 5. Walk through the proof of the Poincaré-Cartan Integral Invariant. How does the transformation of coordinates affect the differential 1-form ω and its exterior derivative d ω ? Use mathematical reasoning to demonstrate why the integral remains unchanged despite coordinate transformations.

m

Further Readings

Classical Mechanics By Herbert Goldstein Charles P. Poole John Safko, Pearson Classical Mechanics By Dr. J. C. Upadhyaya, Himalaya Publishing House Notes

Mechanics

LOVELY PROFESSIONAL UNIVERSITY

Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road (NH-1) Phagwara, Punjab (India)-144411 For Enquiry: +91-1824-521360 Fax.: +91-1824-506111 Email: odl@lpu.co.in