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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss random and non-random experiments,
- Explain the sample space of a random experiment and classify it as discrete or continuous,
- Describe events with subsets ofthe sample space,
- Discuss and identify relations between events,


## Introduction

Many situations arise in our everyday life as well as in scientific, administrative or organisational work, where we cannot predict'the outcome of our actions or of the experiment we are conducting. Such experiments, whose outcome cannot be predicted, are called random experiments. We give a wide variety of examples in Sec. 5.2 to explain the concept of a random experiment. The set of all possible outcomes of an experiment is called its sample space. We have illustrated the different types of sample spaces that we generally come across in Sec. 5.3. Section 5.4 deals with the study of events associated with a random experiment whose sample space is either finite or countably infinite. In Sec. 5.5 we discuss methods of combining events to generate new events. Here is a list of what you should be able to do by the end of this unit.

## Notes 1.1 Random Experiments

We give below some examples of a random experiment :

- A physicist performs an experiment to discover laws governing the flow of an electrical current or the propagation of sound, heat or light etc.
- A chemist studies the reactions of chemicals and tries to understand the chemical properties of matter.
- A physician compares two or more drugs to find out the most effective one by trying them out on experimental animals or on patients.
- To describe the relationship between the price of a commodity and its demand and supply, an economist observes the values assumed by these variables by conducting a market survey over a period of time.
With a little imagination, we can construct many more examples of such experiments.
Experimentation is not necessarily restricted to a laboratory or to a university or a college. It forms an important part of our everyday life. When you buy a dress or a shirt, when you vote for a candidate at an electron, which you inspect a few grains of rice to decide whether the rice is cooked or not, when you decide to register for this course, you are performing an experiment. Thus, experimentation constitutes an integral part of our lives as well as our learning processes. In this unit we shall develop methods of describing the results of an experiment. Once we can describe the results we'll be able io talk about the chances of their occurrence.

Consider the following simple experiments :
Experiment 1 : A stone is allowed to fall freely from height and we observe whether or not the stone hits the ground.

Experiment 2 : Water in a pot is heated for a sufficiently long time to a temperature greater than $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We observe whether the water turns into steam.

In these experiments, we have no doubt about the final outcome. The stone will eventually hit the ground. The water in the pot will ultimately turn into steam. These experiments have only one possible outcome. Even if these experiments are repeated again and again, every such repetition will yield the same result.

On the other hand, in the following experiments there are two or more possible results.
Experiment 3 : A coin is tossed to deoide which of the two teams A and B would bat first in a game of cricket. The coin may tum up a head or a tail.

Experiment 4: A person coming out of a polling centre is requested to disclose the name of the candidate in whose favour he/she has voted. Helshe may refuse to tell us or give the name of any one of the candidate.

Experiment 5 : Three consecutive items produced by a machine are inspected and classified as good or bad (defective). We may get $0,1,2$, or 3 defective items as a result of this inspection.

Experiment 6 : A newly invented vaccine against a disease is given to 30 healthy people. These thirty people as well as another group of 20 similar people who are not vaccinated, are watched over the next six months to see whether they develop the disease. The total number of affected people may vary between 0 and 50 .

Experiment 7 : A small town has 100 telephones. The number of busy telephones between 9 and 10 a.m. is noted for each day of a week. The number of busy telephones may be any number between 0 to 100 .

Experiment 8 : A group of ten persons is classified according to their blood groups $0, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ and AB . Notes
The number of persons in each group may vary between 0 and 10 , subject to the frequencies of all four classes adding up to 10 .

Experiment 9 : The number of accidents along the Bombay-Bangalore national highway during the month is noted.

Experiment 10 : A radio-active substance emits particles called a-particles. The number of such particles reaching an observation screen during one hour is noted.

Experiment 11 : Thirteen cards are selected without replacement from a well-shuffled pack of 52 playing cards.

The nine experiments, 3-11, have two common features.
(i) Each of these experiments hve more than one possibie outcome.
(ii) It is impossible to predict the outcome of the experiment.

For example, we cannot predict whether a coin, when it is tossed, will turn up a head or a tail (Experiment 3). Can we predict without error the number of busy telephones (Experiment 7)? It is impossible to predict the 13 cards we shall obtain from a well-shuffled pack (Experiment 11).

Do you agree that all the experiments 3-1 1 have the above-mentioned features (i) and (ii)? Go through them carefully again, and convince yourself.

This discussion leads us to the following definition.
Definition 1 : An experiment with more than one possible outcome and whose result cannot be predicted, is called a random experiment. Experiment

So, Experiments 3 to 11 are random experiments, while in Experiments 1 and 2 the outcome of the experiment can be predicted. Therefore, Experiments 1 and 2 do not qualify as random experiments. You will meet many more illustrations of random experiments in this and subsequent units.


Note In the dictionary you will find that something that is random, happens or is chosen without a definite plan. patteron or purpose.

### 1.2 Sample Space

In the previous section you have seen a number of examples of random experiments. The first step we take in the study of such experiments is to specify the set of all possible outcomes of the experiment under consideration.

When a coin is tossed (Experiment 3), either a head turns up or a tail turns up. We do not consider the possibility of the coin standing on its edge or that of its rolling away out of I sight. Thus, the set SZ of all possible outcomes consists of two elemends, Head and Tail. Therefore, we write SZ $=($ Head, Tail $)$ or, more simply, $\mathrm{SZ}=(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T})$.
$\Omega$ is the Greek letter capital 'omega'

Notes In Experiment 4, the person coming out of the polling centre may give us the name of the candidate for whom helshe voted, or may refuse to disclose hisher choice. If there are 5 candidates $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3} . \mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$, seeking election, then there are six possible outcomes, five corresponding to the five candidates and the sixth one corresponding to the refusal R of the interviewed person to disclose hisher choice. The set of all possible outcomes is thus, $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}{ }^{*} C_{4^{\prime}} C_{5}, R\right\}$.
Note that here we have ignored certain possibilities, like the possibility of the person not voting at all or voting in such a manner that hisher ballot paper becomes invalid.
Experiment 5 is comparatively simple, if we agree that it is possible to classify each item as Good $(G)$ or Bad (B) without error. Then $R=\{G G G, G G B, G B G, B G G, B B G, B G B, G B B, B B B\}$ where. for example, GBG denotes the outcome when the first and third units are good and the second one is bad.

The situation in Experiment 6 is a little more complicated. To test the efficacy of the vaccine, we will have to look at the number of vaccinated persons who were affected ( $x$ ) $q$ the number of non-vaccinated ones who were affected (y). Here $x$ can be any integer between 0 and 30 and $y$ can be any integer between 0 and 20 . The set $\Omega$ of all possible outcomes is

$$
\Omega=\{(x, y) \mid x=0,1, \ldots, 30, y=0,1,2, \ldots, 20\} .
$$

This specification of $\Omega$ is valid only if we assume that we are able to observe all the 50 persons for the entire period of six months. In particular, we assume that none of them becomes untraceable because of hisher leaving the town or because of hisher death due to some other cause.

In the illustrations discussed so far, do you notice that the number of points in $\Omega$ is finite in each case? It is 2 for Experiment 3,6 for ExIjeriment $4,31 \times 21=651$ for Experiment 6 . But this is not always true.

Consider, for example, Experiments 9 and 10. The number of accidents along the BombayBangalore highway during the month of observation can be zero, one, two, . . . or some other positive integer. Similarly, the number of a-particles emitted by the radio-active substance can be any positive integer. Can we say that the number of accidents or a-particles would not exceed a specified limit? No. Because of this, and also in order to simplify our mathematics, we usually postulate that in both these examples the set of all possible outcomes is $R=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, i.e., it is the set of all non-negative integers.
We are now in a position to introduce certain terms in a formal manner.
Definition 2 : The set $\Omega$ of all possible outcomes of an experiment $E$ is called the sample space of the experiment. Each individual outcome of E is called a point, a sample point or an element of $\Omega$.

You would also notice that in every experiment th, at was discussed, we made certain assumptions like the coin not being able to stand on its edge or not rolling away, all the fifty persons being available for the entire period of six months for observation, etc. Such assumptions are necessary to simplify our problems as well as our mathematics.

In all the examples discussed so far, the sample space is either a finite set, i.e., a set containing a finite number of points or is an infinite set whose elements can be arranged in an unending sequence, i.e., has a countable infinity of elements. We have a special name for such spaces.
Definition 3: A sample space containing a finite number of points or a countable infinity of points is called a discrete sample space.
In this block we shall be concerned only with discrete sample spaces. However, there are mhy situations where we have to deal with sample spaces which are not discrete. For example, consider the age of a person. Although there are limitations to the accuracy with which we can
measure the age of a person, in the idealised situation we can think of age being any number between 0 and $\infty$. Of course, no one has met a person. with infinite age of for that matter who is more than 150 years old. Nevertheless, most of the actuarial and demographic studies are carried out assuming that there is no upper bound on age. Thus, we may say that the sample space of the experiment of finding out the age of an arbitrarily selected person is the interval $] 0$, $\infty[$. Since the elements of the interval ]10, $\infty$ [ cannot be arranged in a sequence, such a sample space is not a discrete sample space.

Some other examples where non-discrete sample spaces are appropriate are (i) the price of wheat, (ii) the amount of ozone in a volume of space, (iii) the length of a telephone conversation, (iv) the duration one spends in a queue, (v) the yield of rice in our country in one year.

In all these examples, it is necessary to deal with non-discrete sample spaces. However, we'll defer the study of probability theory for such experiments to the next block.

### 1.3 Events

We have described a number of random experiments till now. We have also identified the sample spaces associated with them. In the study of random experiments, we are interested not only in the individual outcomes but also in certain events. As you will see later, events are subsets of the sample space. In this section we shall formalise the intuitive concept of an event associated with a random experiment which has a discrete sample space. We shall also study methods of generating new events from specified ones and study their inter-relationships.

Consider the experiment of inspecting three items (Experiment 5). The sample space has the eight points,

GGG, GGB, GBG, BGG, BBG, BGB, GBB, BBB.
We label these points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{8^{\prime}}$, respectively.
Suppose we are interested in those outcomes which correspond to the event of obtaining exactly one good item in the three inspected items. The corresponding'sample points are $\omega_{5}=\mathrm{BBG}$, $\omega_{6}=\operatorname{BGB}$ and $\omega_{7}=G B B$. Thus, the subset $\left\{\omega_{5^{\prime}} \omega_{6^{\prime}} \omega_{7}\right\}$ of the sample space corresponds to the "event" A that only one of the inspected items is good.

On the other hand, consider the subset $\mathrm{C}=\left\{\omega_{5^{\prime}} \omega_{6^{\prime}} \omega_{7}, \omega_{8}\right\}$ consisting of the points BBG, BGB, GBB, BBB. We can identify the subset $C$ with the event "There are at least two bad items."

This discussion suggests that we can associate a subset of the sample space with an event and an event with a subset. This leads us to the following definition.

Definition 4 : When the sample space of an experiment is discrete, any subset of the sample space is called an event.

Thus, we alsq consider the empty set as an event.
You will soon find that the two extreme events, $\varphi$ and $\omega$, consisting, respectively, of no points and all the points of $\mathbf{R}$ are most uninteresting. But we need them to complete our description of the class of all events. In fact, $\varphi$ is called the impossible event and $\Omega$ is called the sure event, for reasons which will be obvious in the next unit. Also, note that an individual outcome $\omega$, when identified with the singleton $(\omega)$, constitutes an event.

The following example will help you in understanding events.

Examples: Suppose we toss a coin twice. The sample space of this experiment is $\Omega=(\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{TH}, \mathrm{TT}\}$, where HT stands for a head followed by a tail, and other points are similarly defined. Let's list all the events associated with this experiment. There are 16 such events. These are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi,\{\mathrm{HH}\},\{\mathrm{HT}\},\{\mathrm{TH}\},\{\mathrm{TT}\} \\
& \{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{HT}\},\{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{TH}\},\{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{TT}\},\{\mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{TH}\} \\
& \{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{TT}\},\{\mathrm{TH}, \mathrm{TT}\},\{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{TH}\},\{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{TH}, \mathrm{TT}\}, \\
& \{\mathrm{HH}, \mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{TT}\},\{\mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{TH}, \mathrm{TT}\}, \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have identified an event with a subset of $\Omega$, the class of all events is the class of all the subsets of $\Omega$. If $\Omega$ has $N$ points, for a fixed $r$, we can form $\binom{N}{r}$ sets consisting of $r$ points, where $\mathrm{r}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$. The total number of events is, thirefore,

$$
\binom{\mathrm{N}}{0}+\binom{\mathrm{N}}{1}+\ldots+\binom{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}=(1+1)^{\mathrm{N}}=2^{\mathrm{N}}
$$

Notes
By binomial theorem

$$
(1+x)^{\mathrm{N}}=\binom{\mathrm{N}}{0}+\binom{\mathrm{N}}{1} x+\ldots+\binom{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}} x^{\mathrm{N}} .
$$

In Example 1, $\mathrm{N}=4$. Therefore, we have $2^{4}=16$ events. If $\mathrm{N}=10$, we shall $2^{10}=1024$ events. The number of events thus increases rapidly with N . It is infinite if the sample space is infinite.

Let us now clarify the meaning of the phrase "The event A has occurred."
We continue with Experiment 5. Let A denote the event $\left\{\omega_{5}, \omega_{5}, \omega_{7}\right)=\{B B G, B G B, G B B\}$. If, after performing the experiment, our outcome is $\omega_{5}=B B G$, which is a point of the set A , we say that the event A has occurred. If, on the other hand, the outcome is $\omega_{8}=\mathrm{BBB}$, which is not a point of A, then we say that A has not occurred. In other words, given the outcome $\omega$ of the experiment, we say that A has occurred if $\omega \in A$ and that A has not occurred if $\omega \notin \mathrm{A}$.

On the other hand, if we only know that A has occured, all we know is that the outcome of the experiment is one of the points of A . It A then not possible to decide which individual outcome has resulted unless A is a singleton.

In the next section we shall talk about some ways of combining events.

### 1.4 Algebra of Events

In this section we shall study different ways in which we can combine two or more events. We shall also study relations ktween them. Since we are dealing with discrete sample spaces and since any subset of the sample space is an event, we shall use the terms event and subset interchangeable.

In what follows, events and sets are denoted by capital letters A, B, C, ... , with or without suffixes. We shall assume that they all consist of points chosen from the same sample space $\Omega$.

Let $\Omega=\{$ GGG, GGB, GBG, BGG, BBG, BGB, GBB, BBB $\}$ be the sample space correspondintog
Notes Experiment 5 . Let $A=\{B B G, B G B, G B B\}$ be the event that only one of the three inspected items is good. Here the point $B G B$ is an element of the set $A$ and the point $B B B$ is not an element of $A$. We express this by writing $\mathrm{BGB} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{BBB} \notin \mathrm{A}$.


Notes $\left.\quad A^{c}=\{\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{Q}\} \mid \mathrm{w} \notin \mathrm{A}\right\}$. Then $\phi^{\mathrm{c}}=\Omega$ and $\Omega^{c}=\phi$. Fig. 1 shows a Venn diagram representing the sets A and $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

Suppose, now, that the outcome of the experiment is BBB. Obviously, the event A has not occurred. But, we may say the event "not A" hasaoccurred. In probability theory, the event "not $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is called the event complementary to A and is denoted by $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$.

Let's try to understand this concept by looking back at Experiments 3-11.
(i) For Experiment 5, if $\mathrm{A}=\{\mathrm{BBG}, \mathrm{BGB}, \mathrm{GBB}\}$, then
$A^{c}=\{G G G, G G B, B G G, G B G, B B B\}$.
(ii) In Experiment 6, let A denote the event that the number of infected persons is at most 40. Then
$A^{c}=\{(x, y) \mid x+y>40, x=0,1, \ldots, 30, y=0,1, \ldots, 20\}$.
(iii) In Experiment 11, if $B$ denotes the event that none of the 13 cards is a spade, $B^{c}$ consists of all hands of 13 cards, each one of which has at least one spade.

Suppose now that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are two events associated with an experiment. We can get two new events, $A_{1} \cap A_{2}\left(A_{1}\right.$ intersection $\left.A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{1} \cup A_{2}\left(A_{1}\right.$ union $\left.A_{2}\right)$ from these two. With your knowledge of set theofy (MTE-04). you would expect the event $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ to correspond to the set whose elements belong to both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}=\left\{\omega \mid \omega \in \mathrm{A}_{1} \text { and } \omega \in \mathrm{A}_{2}\right\} .
$$

Similarly, the event $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ corresponds to the set whose elements belong to at least one of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$.

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}=\left\{\omega \mid \omega \in \mathrm{A}_{1} \text { or } \omega \in \mathrm{A}_{2}\right\} .
$$

Fig. 2 (a) and (b) show the Venn diagrams representing $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ and $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$.


We'll try to clarify this concept with some examples.

## Notes

Examples 3: In many games of chance, a small'cube (or die) with equal sides, bearing numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6$, or dots $1-6$ on its six faces (Fig. 1.2), is used. When such a symmetric , die is thrown, one of its six faces would be uppermost. The number (or number of dots) on the uppermost faces is called the score obtained on the throw or roll of a die. The appropriate sample space for the experiment of throwing a die is then $R=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.


Let $A_{1}$ be the event that the score exceeds three and $A_{2}$ be the event that the score is even.
Then
$A_{1}=\{4,5,6\}, A_{2}=\{2,4,6\}$
Therefore, $\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}=\{4,6\}$ and
$A_{1} \cup A_{2}=\{2,4,5,6\}$.
Suppose now that the score is 6 . We can say that $A_{1}$ has occurred. But then $A_{2}$ has also occurred. In other words, both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have occurred. Thus, the simultaneous occurrence of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ corresponds to the occurrence of the event $\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}$.

When the outcome is $5, A_{1}$ has occurred but $A_{2}$ has not occurred. Further, when the outcome is 2, $A_{2}$ has occurred and $A_{1}$ has not. When the outcome is 4 , both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have occurred. In case of each of these outcomes, 2,5 or 4 , we notice that at least one of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ has occurred. Note, further, that $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ has also occurred. Thus, the occurrence of at least one of the two events $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ corresponds to the occurrence of $\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}$.

Examples 4: Suppose the die in Example 3 is thrown twice. Then $\Omega$ is the set $\{(x, y) \mid x, y$ $=1,2,3, \ldots, 6\}$ consisting of thirty-six points $(x, y)$, where $x$ is the score obtained on the first throw and $y$, that obtained on the second throw. If $B_{1}$ is the event that the score on the first throw is six and $B_{2}$ the event that the sum of the two scores is at least 11 , then
$B_{1}=\{(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4),(6,5),(6,6)\}$
and
$B_{2}=\{(5,6),(6,5),(6,6)\}$.
What are $B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ and $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ ? You can check that
$B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\{(6,5),(6,6)\}$
and
$B_{1} \cup B_{2}=\{(5,6),(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4),(6,5),(6,6)\}$.

The union and intersection of two sets can be utilised to define union and intersection of three or more sets.

So, if $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{\mathrm{n}}$ are $n$ events, then we define

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=\left\{\omega \mid \omega \in A_{j} \text { for every } j=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

and

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=\left\{\omega \mid \omega \in A_{j} \text { for at least one } j=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Note that the occurrence of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}$ corresponds to the simultaneous occurrence of all the $n$ events and the occurrence of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}$ corresponds to that of at least one of the $n$ events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$. We can similarly define the union and intersection of an infinite number of events, $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n^{\prime}}, \ldots$. Another set operation with which you are familiar is a combination of complementation and intersection. Let $A$ and $B$ be two sets. Then the set $A \cap B^{C}$ is usually called the difference of $A$ and $B$ and is denotedby A - B. It consists of all points which belong to A but not to B.

Thus, in Example 4,

$$
B_{1}-B_{2}=\{(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4)\}
$$

and
$B_{2}-B_{1}=\{(5,6)\}$
In this notation, $A^{C}$ is the set $\Omega-\mathrm{A}$. You can see the Venn diagram for $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ in Fig. 4.

Now, suppose $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are three arbitmy events. What does the occurrence of $A_{1} \cap A_{2}^{c} \cap A_{3}^{c}$ signify?

This event occurs iff only $A_{1}$ out of $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ occurs, that is, iff Al occurs but neither $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ nor $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ occur.

If you have followed this, you should be able to do this exercise quite easily.

Task If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are three arbitrary events, what does the occurrence of the following events signify?
(a) $\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}$
(b) $\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}$
(c) $\mathrm{E}_{3}=\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
(d) $\mathrm{E}_{1} \cup \mathrm{E}_{3}$

Notes The set operations like formation of intersection, union and complementation of two or more sets that we have listed above and their combinations are sufficient for constructing new events out of old ones. However, we need to express in a precise way commonly used expressions like (i) if the event A has occurred, B could not have occurred and (ii) the occurrence of A implies that of B. We'll explain this by taking an example first.


Examples: Let us consider the following experiments.
(i) In the experiment of tossing a die twice, let A be the event that the total score is 8 and $B$ that the absolute difference of the two scores is 3 . Then
$A=|(x, y)| x+y=8, x, y=1,2,3, \ldots, 6\}$
$=\{(2,6),(3,5),(4,4),(5,3),(6,2)\}$
and $B=\{(x, y)| | x-y \mid=3, x, y=1,2,3, \ldots, 6\}$
$=\{(1,4)| | x-y \mid=3, x, y=1,2,3, \ldots, 6\}$
(ii) Consider Experiment 11, where we select 13 cards without replacement from a pack of cards. Let
event A : all the 13 cards are black and
event B : there are 6 diamonds and 7 hearts.
Note that in both the-cases there is no point which is common to both A and B. Or in other words, A n B is the empty set. Therefore, in both i) and ii) we conclude that if A occurs, B cannot occur and conversely, if B occurs A cannot occur. .

Now let us find an example for the sifuation : the occurrence of A implies that of B.
Take the experiment of tossing a die twice. Let $A=\{(x, y) \mid x+y=12\}$ be the event that the total score is 12 , and $B=\{(x, y) \mid x-y=0\}$ be the event of having the same score on both the throws. Then
$A=\{(6,6)\}$ and
$B=\{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(4,4),(5,5),(6,6)$;
so that whenever A occurs, $B$ does. Note that $A \subset B$.
You were already familiar with the various operations on sets. In Sec. 5.4 we had . Sample Space of a Random identified events with subsets of the sample space. What we have done in. this section Experiment is to apply set operations to events, and to interpret the combined events.

### 1.5 Summary

In this introductory unit to the study of probability, we have made the following points:

- There are many situations in real life as well as in scientific work which can be regarded as experiments having more than one possible oatcome. We cannot predict the outcome that we will obtain at the conclusion of the experiment. Such experiments are called random experiments.
- The study of random experiments begins with a specification of its all possible outcomes. In this specification, we have to make certain assumptions to avoid complexities. The set of all possible outcome is called the sample space of the experiment. A sample space with a finite number or a countable infinity of points is a discrete sample space. /
- When we are dealing with a discrete sample space, we can identify events with sets of points in the sample space. Thus, an event can be formally regarded as a subset of the sample space. This definition works only when the sample space is discrete.
- We can use operations like complementation, intersection,, union and difference to generate new events.
- Some complex events can be described in terms of simpler events by using the abovementioned set operations.


### 1.6 Keywords

Events: An event is a set of outcomes (a subset of the sample space) to which probability assigned.
Sample space: The sample space of ten denoted by S, W, of an experiment or random trial is the set of all possible outcomes.

Set: A set is a collection of well defined and distance object considered as an object of its own right.

Union: Two sets can be added together. It is denoted by $U$.

### 1.7 Self Assessment

1. Flipping of two coin then it is possible to get 0 heads, 1 head, 2 heads. Then sample space will be
(a) $\{1,2,3\}$
(b) $\{0,1,2\}$
(c) $\{2,-1,0\}$
(d) $\{0,1,3\}$
2. An event is a set of outcomes (a subset of the sample space) to which probability assigned.
(a) Events
(b) Sample space
(c) Set
(d) Union
3. The sample space of ten denoted by $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{W}$, of an experiment or random trial is the set of all possible outcomes.
(a) Events
(b) Sample space
(c) Set
(d) Union
4. A set is a collection of well defined and distance object considered as an object of its own right.
(a) Events
(b) Sample space
(c) Set
(d) Union
5. Two sets can be added together. It is denoted by $U$.
(a) Events
(b) Sample space
(c) Set
(d) Union
6. Often rolling two dice. The sum all $\{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12\}$. However, each of these aren't equally likely. The only way to get a sum 2 is to roll a 1 on both dice, but you can get a sum of 4 by rolling a $\qquad$
(a) 1-3,2-2,2-5,2-3
(b) 1-3,2-2,2-1,2-0
(c) $3-1,1-3,2-2,4-0$
(d) 1-3,2-2, 3-1

## Notes

### 1.8 Review Questions

1. Classify the experiments described below as random or non-random experiments.
(a) A spark of electricity is introduced in a cylinder containing a mixture of hydrogen and oxygen. The end product is observed.
(b) A lake contains two types of fish. Ten fish are caught and the number of fish of each type is noted.
(c) The time taken by a powerful radio impulse to travel from the earth to the moon and for its echo to return to the sender is observed.
(d) Two cards are drawn from a well-shuffled pack of 52 playing cards and the suits (Club, Diamond, Heart and Spade) to which they belong are noted.
2. Write down the sample spaces of all those experiments from 3 to 11 which we have not discussed earlier. Indicate in each case the assumptions made by you.
3. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ be arbitrary events. Find expressions for the events that correspond to occurrence of
(a) only $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$,
(b) none of $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$,
(c) one and only one of $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3^{\prime}}, \mathrm{A}_{4}$,
(d) not more than one of $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$
(e) at least two of $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}$.
4. Express in words the following events:
(a) $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}$
(b) $\quad\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
(c) $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{4}\right)$
(d) $\quad\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{A}_{3}$
(e) $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \cap\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (b) 2. (a) 3. (b) 4. (c) 5. (d) 6. (d)

### 1.9 Further Readings

## Unit 2: Methods of Enumeration of Probability
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss probabilities to the outcomes of a random experiment with discrete sample space,
- Explain properties of probabilities of events, I
- Describe the probability of an event,
- Explain conditional probabilities and establish Bayes theorem,


## Introduction

In this unit, we shall introduce you to some simple properties of the probability of an event associated with a discrete sample space. Our definitions require you to first specify theprobabilities to be attached to each individual outcome of the random experiment.

Therefore, we need to answer the question : How does one assign probabilities to each and every individual outcome? This question was answered very simply by the classical probabilists (like Jacob Bernoulli). They assumed that all outcomes are equally likely.
Therefore, for them, when a random experiment has a finite number N of outcomes, the probability of each outcome would be $1 / \mathrm{N}$. Based on this assumption they developed a probability theory, which we shall briefly describe in Sec. 6.4. However, this approach has a number of logical difficulties. One of them is to find a reasonable way of specifying "equally likely outcomes."

However, one possible way out of this difficulty is to relate the probability of an event to the relative frequency with which it occurs. To illustrate this point, we consider the experiment of tossing a coin a large number of times and noting the number of times "Head" appears.

Notes In fact, the famous mathematician, Karl Pearson, performed this experiment 24000 times. He found that the relative frequency, which is the number of heads divided by the total nuinber of tosses, approaches 112 as moFe and more repetitions of the experiment are performed. This is the same figure which the classical probabilists would assign to the probability of obtaining a head on the toss of a balanced coin.

Thus, it appears that the probability of an event could be interpreted as the long range relative frequency with which it occurs. This is called the statistical interpretation or the, 'frequentist approach to the interpretation of the probability of an event. This approach has its own difficulties. We'll not discuss these here. Apart from these two, there are a few other approaches to the interpretation of probability. These issues are full of philosophical controversies, which are still not settled.

We, shall adopt the axiomatic approach formulated by Kolmogorov and treat probabilities as numbers satisfying certain basic rules. This approach is introduced.

We deal with properties of probabilities of events and their computation. We discuss the important concept of conditional probability of an event given that another event has occurred. It also includes the celebrated Bayes' theorem. We discuss the definition and consequences of the independence of two or more events. Finally, we talk about the probabilistic structure of independent repetitions of experiments. After getting familiar with the computation of probabilities in this, unit, we shall take up the study of probability distributions in the next one.

### 2.1 Probability : Axiomatic Approach

We have considered a number of examples of random experiments in the last unit. The outcomes of such experiments cannot be predicted in advance. Nevertheless, we frequently make vague statements about the chances or probabilities associated with outcomes of random experiments, Cohsider the following examples of such vague statements :
(i) It is very likely that it would rain today.
(ii) The chance that the Indian team will win this match is very small. .
(iii) A person who smokes more than 10 cigarettes a day will most probably developing lung cancer.
(iv) The chances of my whning the first prize in a lottery are negligible.
(v) The price of sugar would most probably increase next week.

Probability theory attempts to quantify such vague statements about the chances being good or bad, small or large. To give you an idea of such quantification, we describe two simple random experiments and associate probabilities with their outcomes.

## EEE Example 1:

(i) A balanced coin is tossed. The two possible outcomes are head (H) and tail (T). We associate probability $\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}=1 / 2$ to the outcome H and probability $\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{T}\}=1 / 2$ to T .
(ii) A person is selected from a large group of persons and his blood group is determined. It can be one of the four blood groups $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and AB . One possible assignment of probabilities to these outcomes is given below

| Blood group | 0 | A | 3 | AB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Probability | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.08 |

Now look carefully at the probabilities attached to the sample points in Example 1 (i) and

## Notes

(ii). Did you notice that
(i) these are number's between 0 and 1 , and
(ii) the sum of the probabilities of all the sample points is one?

This is not true of this example alone. In general, we have the following rule or axiom about the assignment of probabilities to the points of a discrete sample space.
Axiom : Let $\Omega$ be a discrete samplk space containing the points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$; i.e.,

$$
\Omega=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots .\right\}
$$

To each point $\omega_{\mathrm{j}}$ of $\Omega$, assign a number $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}, 0 \leq \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\} \leq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\omega_{1}\right\}+P\left\{\omega_{2}\right\}+\ldots . .=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$, the probability of $\omega_{\mathrm{i}}$.
Now see if you can do the following exercise on the basis of this axiom.
If you have done El , you would have noticed that it is possible to have more than one valid assignment of probabilities to the same sample space. If the discrete sample space is not finite, the left side of Equation (1) should be interpreted as an infinite series. For example, suppose $\Omega=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and
$P\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}=1 / 2^{j}, \forall \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \ldots$.
Then this assignment is valid because, $0 \leq \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\} \leq 1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left\{\omega_{1}\right\}+P\left\{\omega_{2}\right\}+\ldots & =\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+\ldots \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\ldots .\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

So far we have not explained what the probability $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ assigned to the point oj signifies. We have just said that they are all arbitrary numbers between 0 and 1 , except for the requirement that they add up to 1 . In fact, we have not even tried to clarify the nature of the sample space except to assert that it be a discrete sample space. Such an approach is consistent with the usual procedure of beginning the study of a mathematical discipline with a few undefined notions and axioms and then building a theory based on the laws of logic (Remember the axioms of geometry?). It is for this reason that this approach to the specification of probabilities to discrete sample spaces is called the axiomatic approach. It was introduced by the Russian mathematician A.N. Kolmogorov in 1933. This approach is mathematically precise and is now universally accepted. But when we try to use the mathematical theory of probability to solve some real life problems, that we have to interpret the significance of statements like "The probability of an event $\mathbf{A}$ is 0.6 ."

We now define the probability of an event A for a discrete sample space.

### 2.1.1 Probability of an Event : Definition

Let $\Omega$ be a discrete sample space consisting of the points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$, finite or infinite in number. Let $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{1}\right\}, \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{2}\right\}, \ldots$ be the probabilities assigned to the points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$

Notes Definition 1:The probability P(.4) of an event $\mathbf{A}$ is the sum of the Probabilities of the points in A. More formally,

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=\sum_{\omega_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\} \ldots(2)
$$

where $\sum_{\omega_{i} \in A}$ stands for the fact that the sum is taken over all the points $\omega_{j} \in A, A$ is, of course, a subset of $\Omega$. By convention, we assign probability zero to the empty set. Thus, $\mathrm{P}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=0$.

The following example should help in clarifying this concept.

Example 2: Let a be the sample space corresponding to three tosses of a coin with the following assignment of probabilities.

| Sample point | HHH | HHT | HTH | THH | TTH | THT | HTT | TIT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Probability | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | 118 | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 8$ |

Let's find the probabilities of the events A and B, where
A: There is exactly one head in three tosses, and
B : All the three tosses yield the same result
Now A = (HTF, THT, TITH $)$
Therefore,
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=1 / 8+1 / 8+1 / 8=318$.
Further, $B=\{H H H, T T T)$. Therefore, $P(B)=\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{8}=\frac{1}{4}$.
Proceeding along these lines you should be able to do this exercise.
A word about our notation and nomenclature is necessary at this stage. Although we say that $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is the probability assigned to the point wj of the sample space, it can be also interpreted as the probability of the singleton event $\left\{\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$.

In fact, it would be useful to remember that probabilities are defined only for events and that $P\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}$ is the probability of the singleton event $\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}$. This type of distinction will be all the more necessary when you proceed to study probability theory for non-discrete sample spaces in Block 3.

Now let us look at some. of the probabilities of events.

### 2.1.2 ProbabJlity of an Event : Properties

By now you know that the probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ of an event A associated with a discrete sample space is the sum of the probabilities assigned to the sample points in A . In this section we discuss the properties of the probabilities of events.

P1: For every event $A, 0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$.
Proof: This is a straightforward consequence of the definition of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$. Since it is the sum of non-negative numbers, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \geq 0$. Since the sum of the probabilities assigned to all the points in the sample space is one and since $A$ is a subset of $R$, the sum of the probabilities assigned to the points in A cannot exceed $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R})$, which is one. In other words, whatever may be the event $\mathrm{A}, 0 \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$.

Now here is an important remark.
Remark 1 : If $\mathrm{A}=\phi, \mathrm{P}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=0$. However, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0$ does not, in general, imply that A is the empty set. For example, consider the assignment (i) of E1. You must have already shown that it is valid. If $\mathrm{A}=\left\{\omega_{6^{\prime}}, \omega_{7}\right) \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0$ but A is not empty.

Similarly $\mathrm{P}(\Omega)=1$. But if $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=1$, does it follow that $\mathrm{B}=\Omega$ ? No. Can you think of a Probability on a Discrete sample counter example ? What about El) i) again ? If we take $B=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2^{\prime}} \omega_{3^{\prime}}, \omega_{4^{\prime}} \omega_{5}\right\}$, then $P(B)=1$ but $B \neq \Omega$. In this connection, recall that the empty set and the whole space $\Omega$ were called the impossible event and the sure event respectively. In future, an event $A$ with probability $P(A)=0$ will be called a null event and an event $B$ of probability one, will be called an almost sure event.

This remark brings out the fact that the impossible event is a null event but that a null event is not the impossible event. Similarly, the sure event is an almost sure event but an almost sure event is not necessarily the sure event.

Let us take up another property now.

$$
\mathrm{P} 2: \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cup \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B}) .
$$

Proof : Recall that according to the definition, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup B)$ is the sum of the probabilities attached to the points of $\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B}$, each point being considered only once. However, when we compute $P(A)+P(B)$, a point in $A \cap B$ is included once in the computation of $P(A)$ and once in the computation of $P(B)$. Thus, the probabilities of points in $A n B$ get added twice in the computation of $P(A)+P(B)$. If we sdbtract the probabilities of all points in $A \cap B$, from $P(A)+P(B)$, then we shall be left with $P(A \cup B)$, i.e.,
$P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-\sum_{\omega_{j} \in A \cap B} P\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}$
The last term in the above relation is, by definition, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$. Hence we have proved P 2 . We now list some properties which follow from P1 and P2.

P3 : If A and B are disjoint events, then

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cup \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

P 4 : $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)=1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$
$\mathrm{P} 5: \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$
Why don't you try to prove these yourself? That's what we suggest in the following exercise.
We continue with the list of properties.

$$
\mathrm{P} 6: \text { If } \mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{~B}, \text { then } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B}) .
$$

Proof: If $A \subset B, A$ and $B-A$ are disjoint events and their union, $A \cup(B-A)$ is $B$. Also see Fig. 1 . Hence by P3, .

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cup(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{A}))=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{A})
$$

Since by $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}) \geq 0, \mathrm{P} 6$ follows from the above equation.
Now let us take a look at P5 again.
The inequality $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$ in P 5 is sometimes called Boole's inequality. We claim that equality holds in Boole's inequality if $A \cap B$ is a null event. Do you agree?

An easy induction argument leads to the following generalisation of P5.

Notes
Boole's inequality: If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are N events, then

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{J=1}^{N} A_{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} P\left(A_{j}\right)
$$

Proof : By P5, the result is true for $N=2$. Assume that it is true for $N \leq r$, and observe that $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{r+1}$ is the same as $B \cup A_{r+1}$, where $B=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup$ Ar. Then by P5,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\bigcup_{\mathrm{J}=1}^{\mathrm{r}+1} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{r}+1}\right) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{r}+1}\right) \leq \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{r}+1}\right),
$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of the induction hypothesis. Hence, if Boole's inequality holds for $\mathrm{N} \leq \mathrm{r}$, it holds for $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{r}+1$ and hence for all $\mathrm{N} \geq 2$.

A similar induction argument yields
P7 : If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are pair wise disjoint events, i.e., if $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\phi, i \neq j$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\bigcup_{J=1}^{n} A_{j}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right)+P\left(A_{2}\right)+\ldots+P\left(A_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sometimes refer to the relation (3) as the Property of finite additivity.
We can generalise P7 to apply to an'infinite sequence of events.
P8: If $\left(A_{n^{\prime}} n \geq 1\right)$ is a sequence of pair wise disjoint events, then

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{J=1}^{\infty} A_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{j}\right)
$$

P8 is called the $\sigma$-additivity pioperty.
In the general theory of probability, which covers non-discrete sample spaces as well, $\sigma$-additivity and therefore finite additivity is included as an axiom to be satisfied by probabilities of events.

We now discuss some examples based on the above properties.
$\sqrt{ }$ Example 3: Let us check whether the probabilities $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$ are consistently defined in the following cases.
(i) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=0.4, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=0.4$
(ii) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.3 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=0.4, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=0.8$

Here we have to see whether P1, P2, P3, P5 and P6 are satisfied or not. P4, P7 and P8 do not apply here since we are considering only two sets. In both the cases $P(A)$ and $P(B)$ are not consistently defined. Since $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{A}$, by $\mathrm{P} 6 . \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$. In case ( i$), \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=0.4>0.3=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$, which is impossible. Similar is the'situatmn with case (ii). Moreover, note that case (ii) also violates P1 and P2. Recall that by P2,
$P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)$
but $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=0.3+0.4-0.8=-0.1$ which is impossible.

## Notes

Example 4: We can extend the property P2 to the case of three events, i.e., we can show
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cup \mathrm{~B} \cup \mathrm{C})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \cap \mathrm{C})-(\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B} \cap \mathrm{C}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\mathrm{B} \cup \mathrm{C}$ by H . Then $\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B} \cup \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{H}$ and by $\mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B} \cup \mathrm{C})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cup \mathrm{H})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H}) .  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H}) & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \cup \mathrm{C})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \cap \mathrm{C})  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H}) & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap(\mathrm{~B} \cup \mathrm{C})) \\
& =\mathrm{P}((\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B}) \cup(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{C})) \\
& =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{P}((\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B}) \cap(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{C})\} \\
& =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B} \cap \mathrm{C}) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting from (7) and (8) in (6) we get the required result. Also see Fig. 2.
Here are some simple exercises which you can solve by using P1-P7

### 2.2 Classical Definition of Probability

In the early stages, probability theory was mainly concerned with its applications to games of chance. The sample space for these games consisted of a finite number of outcomes. These simple situations led to a definition of probability which is now called the classical definiqon. It has may limitations. For example, it cannot be applied to infinite sample space. However, it is useful in understanding the concept of randomness so essential in the planning of experiments, small and large-scale sample surveys, as well as in solving some interesting problems. We shall motivate the classical definition with some examples. We shall then formulate the classical definition and apply it to solve some simple problems.

Suppose we toss a coin. This experiment has only two possible outcomes : Head (H) and Tail (T). If the coin is a balanced coin and is symmetric, there is no particular reason to expect that $H$ is more likely than Tor that T is more likely than H . In other words, we may assume that the two outcomes H and T have the same probability or that they are equally likely. If they have the same probability, and if the sum of the.two probabilities $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T})$ is to be one, we inust have $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T})=1 / 2$.

Similarly, if we roll a symmetric, balanced die once, we should assign the same probability, viz. 116 to each of the six possible outcomes $1,2, \ldots, 6$.
The same type of argument, when used for assigning probabilities to the results of drawing a card from a well-shuffled pack of 52 playing cards leads us to say that the probability of drawing any specified card is $1 / 52$.

In general, we have the following :
Definition 2 : Suppose a sample space $\Omega$ has a finite number $n$ of points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$, $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$. The classical definition assigns the probability $1 / n$ to each of these points, i.e.,
$P\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}=\frac{1}{n}, j=1, \ldots, n$.

Notes The above assignment is also referred to as the assignment in case of equally likely outcomes. You can check that in this case, the total of the probabilities of all then points is $\mathrm{n} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}=1$. In fact, this is a valid assignment even from the axiomatic pht'of view.

Now suppose that an event A contains $m$ points. Then under the classical assignment, the probability $P(A)$ of $A$ is $m / n$. The early probabilists called $m$; the number of cases favourable to A and $n$, the total number of cases. Thus, according to the classical definition,

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=\frac{\text { Number of cases favourable to } \mathrm{A}}{\text { Total number of cases }}
$$

We have already mentioned that this is a valid assignment consistent with the Axiom in Sec. 6.2. Therefore, it follows that the probabilities of events, defined in this manner, possess the properties P1 - P7.

We now give some examyies based on this definition. obtaining a total score of 8 .
The total number of possible outcomes is $6 \times 6=36$. There are 5 sample points, $(2,6),(3,5),(4,4)$, $(5,3),(6,2)$, which are favourable to the event A of getting a total score of 8 . Hence the required probability is $5 / 36$.

Example 6: If each card of an ordinary deck of 52 playing cards has the same probability of being drawn, let us find the probability of drawing.
(i) a red king or a black ace
(ii) a3, 4, 5, 6 or 8 ?

Let's tackle these one by one
(i) Since there are two red kings (diamond and heart) and two black aces (spade and club), the number of favourable cases is 4 . The required probability is $4 / 52=1 / 13$.
(ii) There are 4 cards of each of the 5 denominations $3,4,5,6$ and 8 . Thus, the total number of favourable cases is 20 and the required probability is $20 / 52=5 / 13$.

You must have realised by this time that in order to apply the ctassical definition of probability, you must be able to count the number of points favourable to an event A as well as the total number of sample points. This is not always easy. We can, however, use the theory of permutations and combinations for this purpose.

To refresh your memory, here we give two important rules which are used in counting.

1) Multiplication Rule: If an operation is performed in $n_{1}$ ways and for each of these $n_{1}$ ways, a second operation can be performed in $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ ways, then the two operations can be performed together in $\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2}$ ways. See Fig.


Notes
2) Addition Rule : Suppose an operation can be performed in n, ways and a second operation can be performed in $n_{2}$ ways. Suppose, further that it is not possible to perform both together. Then the number of ways in which we can perform the first or the second operation in $n_{1}+n_{2}$. See Fig. 4 .


We now illustrate the use of this theory in calculating probabilities by considering some examples. We assume that all outcomes in each of these examples are equally likely. Under this assumption, the classical definition of probability is applicable.

EE
Example 7: We first select a digit out of the ten digits, $0,1,2,3, \ldots, 9$. Then we select another digit out of the renlaining nine. What will be the probability that both these digits are odd?

We can select the first digit in 10 ways and for each of these ways we can select the second digit in 9 ways. Therefore, the total number of points in the sample space is $10 \times 9=90$. The first digit, can be odd in 5 ways ( $1,3,5,7.9$ ). and then the second digit can be odd in 4 ways. Thus, the total number of ways in which both the digits can be odd is $5 \times 4=20$. The required probability is therefore $\frac{20}{90}=\frac{2}{9}$.

Remark 2 : In Example 7, every digit had the same chance of being selected. This is sometimes expressed by saying that the digits were selected at random (with equal probability). Sele~tion $\sim$ raatn dom is generally taken to be synonymous with the assignment of the same probability to all the sample points, unless stated otherwise.

Notes We now give a number of examples to show how to calculate the probabilities of events in a variety of situations. Please go through these examples carefully. If you understand them. you will have no difficulty in doing the exercises later.

E
Example 8: A box contains ninety good and ten defective screws. Let us find the probability that 5 screws selected at random out of this box are all good.

Let A be the event that the 5 selected screws are all good.
Now we can choose 5 screws out of 100 screws in ways. If the selected 5 screws are to be good, they will have to be selected out of the 90 good screws. This can be done in ways. This is the number of sample points favourable to A . Hence the probability of A

Example 9: A government prints 10 lakh lottery tickets of value of Rs. 2 each. We would like to know the number of tickets that must be bought to have a chance of 0.5 or more to win the first prize of 2 lakhs.

The prize-winning ticket can be mndomly selected out of the 10 lakh tickets in $10^{6}$ ways.
Now, let $m$ denote the number of tickets that we must buy. Then $m$ is the number of points favourable to our winning the first prize. Therefore, the probability of our winning the first prize, is, $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{10^{6}}$.

Since we want that $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{10^{6}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, therefore $\mathrm{m} \leq \frac{10^{6}}{2}$. This means that we must buy at least $\frac{10^{6}}{2}=$ 500,000 tickets, at a cost of at least Rs. 10 lakhs ! Not a profitable proposition at all!

Example 10: In a study centre batch of 100 students, 54 opted for MTE-06,69 opted for MTE - 11 and 35 opted for both MTE- 06 and MTE-11. If one of these students is selected at random, let us find the probability that the student has opted for MTE-06 or MTE- 11.

Let $M$ denote the event that the randomly selected student has opted for MTE-06 and S the event that helshe has opted for MTE- 11. We want to know P(M U S). According to the classical definition. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})=\frac{54}{100}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})=\frac{69}{100}$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{S})=\frac{35}{100}$. Thus
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M} \cup \mathrm{S})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{S})$
Suppose now we want to know the probability that the randomly selected student has opted for neither MTE-06 nor MTE-11. This means that we want to know $P\left[M^{C} \cap S^{C}\right]$.

Now,

$$
\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{C}} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{C}}=(\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{~S})^{\mathrm{C}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{C}} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)=1-\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M} \cup \mathrm{~S}]=1-0.88=0.12
$$

Lastly, to obtain the probability that the student has opted for MTE-06 but not for MTE-11, i.e., to obtain $P\left(M \cap S^{C}\right)$, observe that $M=(M \cap S) \cup\left(M \cap S^{C}\right)$ and that $M \cap S$ and $M \cap S^{C}$ are disjoint events. Thus.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{SC})
$$

$$
\text { or } \begin{aligned}
P(M \cap S C) & =P(M)-P(M \cap S) \\
& =\frac{54}{100}-\frac{35}{100}=0.19
\end{aligned}
$$

E
Example 11: A throws six unbiased dice and wins if he has at least one six. B throws twelve unbiased dice and wins if he has at least two sixes. Who do you think is more likely to win?
We would urge you- to make a guess first and then go through the following computations. Check if your intuition was correct.
The total number of outcomes for $A$ is $n_{A}=6^{6}$ and that for $B$ is $n_{B}=6^{12}$, We will first calculate the probabilities $q A$ and $q B$ that $A$ and $B$, respectively, loose their games. Then the I probabilities of their winning are $P_{A}=1-q_{A}$ and $P_{B}=1-q_{B^{\prime}}$ respectively. We do this because $q_{A}$ and $q_{B}$ are easier to compute.

Now A loses if he does not have a six on any of the 6 dice he rolls. This can happen in $5^{6}$ different ways, since he can have nu sis on each die in 5 ways. Hence $q_{A}=5^{6} / 6^{6}$ and therefore, $P_{A}=$ $1-(5 / 6)^{6} \equiv 0.665$.

In order to calculate $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}$ observe that $B$ loses if he has no six or exactly one six. The probability that he has no six is $5^{12} / 6^{12}=(5 / 6)^{12}$. Now the single six can occur on any one of the 12 dice, i.e., in $\binom{12}{1}$ ways. Then all the remaining 11 dice have to have a score other than six. This can happen in $5^{11}$ ways.

Therefore, the total number of ways of obtaining one six is $\binom{12}{1} 5^{11}$. Hence the probability that
$B$ has exactly one six is $\frac{12 \times 5^{11}}{6^{12}}$.
The events of "no six" and "one six" in the throwing of 12 dice are disjoint events. Hence the probability
$q_{B}=(5 / 6)^{12}+12 \frac{5^{11}}{6^{12}} \equiv 0.381$
Thus, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv 1-0.381=0.619$.
Comparing PA and PB, we can conclude that A has a greater probability of winning.
Now here are some exercises which you should try to solve.
So far we have seen various examples of assigning probabilities to sample points and have also discussed some properties of probabilities of events. In the next section we shall talk about the concept of conditional probability.

## Notes

### 2.3 Summary

- When a random experiment has a finite number N of outcomes, the probability of each outcome would be $1 / \mathrm{N}$. Based on this assumption they developed a probability theory, which we shall briefly describe in Sec. 6.4. However, this approach has a number of logical difficulties. One of them is to find a reasonable way of specifying "equally likely outcomes."
- Probability theory attempts to quantify such vague statements about the chances being good or bad, small or large. To give you an idea of such quantification, we describe two simple random experiments and associate probabilities with their outcomes.
- Let $\Omega$ be a discrete sample space consisting of the points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$, finite or infinite in number. Let $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{1}\right\}, \mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{2}\right\}, \ldots$ be the probabilities assigned to the points $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots$
- A word about our notation and nomenclature is necessary at this stage. Although we say that $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is the probability assigned to the point wj of the sample space, it can be also interpreted as the probability of the singleton event $\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}$.
In fact, it would be useful to remember that probabilities are defined only for events and that $\mathrm{P}\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is the probability of the singleton event $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$. This type of distinction will be all the more necessary when you proceed to study probability theory for non-discrete sample spaces in Block 3.


### 2.4 Keywords

Multiplication Rule : If an operation is performed in $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ ways and for each of these $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ ways, a second operation can be performed in $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ ways, then the two operations can be performed together in $\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2}$ ways.

Addition Rule : Suppose an operation can be performed in $n$, ways and a second operation can be performed in $n_{2}$ ways. Suppose, further that it is not possible to perform both together. Then the number of ways in which we can perform the first or the second operation in $n_{1}+n_{2}$.

### 2.5 Self Assessment

1. If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.3, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=0.4, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=0.4$. Then find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B})$
(a) -0.1
(b) 0.3
(b) 0.4
(d) 0.2
2. If $P(A)=0.5, P(B)=0.7, P(A \cap B)=0.4$. Then find $P(A \cup B)$
(a) 0.8
(b) 0.1
(c) 0.3
(d) 0.4
3. If two identical symmetric dice are thrown. Find the probabilities of obtaining 9 total score of 8 .
(a) $5 / 36$
(b) $2 / 4$
(c) $4 / 36$
(d) $6 / 36$
4. If each card on an orindary deck of 52 playing cards has the same probability a being drawn, then find a red king or a black ace?
(a) $1 / 13$
(b) $2 / 13$
(c) $4 / 52$
(d) $4 / 43$
5. If $P(A)$ and $P(B)$ is given then $P(A \cup B)$ is equal to
(a) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$
(b) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$
(c) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$
(d) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$

### 2.6 Review Questions

1. Prove the following : Space
(a) If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=1$; then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})=1$.
(b) If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=0$, then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B} \cup \mathrm{C})=0$.
(c) We have mentioned that by convention we take $P(\phi)=0$.

But see if you can prove it by using P4.
2. Fill in the blanks in the following table :

| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.8 |  | 0.3 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.25 |

3. Explain why each one of the following statements is incorrect.
(a) The probability that a student will pass an examination is 0.65 and that he would fail is 0.45 .
(b) The probability that team A would win a match is 0.75 , that the game will end in , a draw is 0.15 and that team A will not loose the game is 0.95 .
(c) The following is the table of probabilities for printing mistakes in a book.

| No. of printing mistakes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | or more |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Probability | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.07 |  |

(d) The probabilities that a bank will get $0,1,2$, or more than 2 bad cheques on a given day are $0.08,0.21,0.29$ and 0.40 , respectively.
4. There are two assistants Seema (S) and Wilson (W) in an office. The probability that Seema will be absent on any given day is 0.05 and that Wilson will be absent on any given day is 0.10 . The probability that both will be absent on the same day is 0.02 . Find the probability that on a given day,
(a) both Seema and Wilson would be present,
(b) at least one of them would be present, and
(c) only one of them will be absent.

Notes 5. A large office has three Xerox machines $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$. The probability that on a given day
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ works is 0.60
$\mathrm{M}_{2}$ works is 0.75
$\mathrm{M}_{3}$ works is 0.80
both $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ work is 0.50
both $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ work is 0.40
both $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ work is 0.70
all of them work is 0.25 .
Find the probability that on a given day at least one of the three machines works.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (b) 2. (a) 3. (a) 4. (a) 5. (b)

### 2.7 Further Readings

Books
Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor

## Unit 3: Conditional Probability and Independence Baye's Theorem

## CONTENTS

Objectives
Introduction
3.1 Conditional Probability
3.2 Baye's Theorem
3.3 Independence of Events
3.4 Repeated Experiments and Trials
3.5 Summary
3.6 Keywords
3.7 Self Assesment
3.8 Review Questions
3.9 Further Readings

## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss probabilities to the outcomes of a random experiment with discrete sample space,
- Explain properties of probabilities of events, I
- Describe the probability of an event,
- Explain conditional probabilities and establish Bayes theorem,


## Introduction

Suppose that two series of tickets are issued for a lottery. Let $1,2,3,4,5$ be the numbers on the 5 tickets in series I and let $6,7,8,9$, be the numbers on the. 4 tickets in series 11 . I hold the ticket, bearing number 3 . Suppose the first prize in the lottery is decided by selecting one of the $5+4=9$ numbers at random. The probability that $I$ will win the prize is $1 / 9$. Does this probability change if it is known that the prize-winning ticket is from series I? Ineffect, we want to know the probability of my winning the prize, conditional on the knqwledge that the prize-winning ticket is from series I.

In order to answer this question, observe that the given information reduces our sample-space from the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ to its subset $\{1.2 .3 .4 .5\}$ containing 5 points. In fact, this subset $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ corresponds to the event $H$ that the prize winning ticket. belongs to series I. If the prize winning ticket is selected by choosing one of these 5 numbers at random, the probability that I will win the prize is 115 . Therefore, it seems logical to say that the conditional probability of the event $\mathbf{A}$ of my winning the prize, given that the prize-winning number is from series $I$, is
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})=1 / 5$.

Here $P(A \mid H)$ is read as the conditional probability of $A$ given the event $H$. Note that we can write

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{H})=\frac{1 / 9}{5 / 9}=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})}
$$

This discussion enables us to introduce the following formal definition. In what follows we assume that we are given a random experiment with discrete sample space R , and all relevant events are subsets of $R$.

### 3.1 Conditional Probability

Definition 3 : Let $H$ be an event of positive probability, that is, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})>0$. The conditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})$ of an event A , given the event H , is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{H})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that we have not put any restriction on the event $A$ except that $A$ and $H$ be subsets of the same sample space $R$ and that $P(H)>0$.

Now we give two examples to help clarify this concept.

Example 12: In a small town of 1000 people there are 400 females and 200 colour-blind persons. It is known that ten per.cent, i.e. 40, of the 400 females are colour-blind. Let us find the probability that a randomly chosen person is colour-blind, given that the selected person is a female.

Now suppose we denote by A the event that the randomly chosen person is colour-blind and by $H$ the event that the randomly chosen person is a female. You can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})=40 / 1000=0.04 \text { and that } \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})=400 / 1000=0.4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{H})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})}=\frac{0.04}{0.40}=0.1 .
$$

Now can you find the probability that a randomly chosen person is colour-blind, given that the selgcted person is a male?

If you denote by $M$ the event that the selected person is a male, then
$P(M)=\frac{600}{1000}=0.6$ and
$P(A \cap M)=\frac{600}{1000}=0.16$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{M})=\frac{0.16}{0.6}=0.266$.
You must have noticed that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{M})>\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})$. So there are greater chances of a man being colour-blind as compared to a woman.

Example 13: A manufacturer of automobile parts knows from past experience that the probability that an order will be completed on time is 0.75 . The probability that an order is completed and delivered on time is 0.60 . Can ygu help him to find the probability that an order will be delivered on time given that it is completed ?

Let A be the event that an order is delivered on time and H the event that it is completed on time. Then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})=0.75$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H})=0.60$. We need $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})$.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{H})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})}=\frac{0.60}{0.75}=0.8
$$

Have you understood the definition of conditional probability? You can find out for yourself by doing these simple exercises.

Task If A is the event that a person suffers from high blood pressure and B is the event that he is a smoker, explain in words what the following probabilities represent.
(a) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})$
(b) $\quad P(A c \mid B)$
(c) $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
(d) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ac} \mid \mathrm{Bc})$.

Two unbiased dice are rolled. They both show the same score. What is the probability that their common score is 6 ?

We now state some of the properties of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})$.
P1 : For any setA, $0 \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H}) \leq 1$.
Recall that since $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})$. The required property follows immediately.
$P 2: P(A \mid H)=0$ if and only if $A \cap H$ is a null set. In particular, $P(\phi \mid H)=0$ and $P(A \mid H)=0$ if $A$ and H are disjoint events.
$P 3: P(A \mid H)=1$ if and only if $P(A \cap H)=P(H)$.
In particular,
$\mathrm{P}(\Omega \mid \mathrm{H})=1 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H} \mid \mathrm{H})=1$
$\mathrm{P}^{\prime} 4: \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{H})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{H})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{H})$.
How do we get $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} 4$ ? Well, since
$(A \cup B) \cap H=(A \cap H) \cup(B \cap H)$,
P2 gives us
$P((A \cup B) \cap H)=P(A \cap H)+P(B \cap H)-P(A \cap B \cap H)$.
Now use the definition of the conditional probability to obtain P4.
Using P'4 and P3 and P4 of Sec. 6.2.2, we get
P5: If A and B are disjoint events, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{H})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{H})$
and $P\left(A^{C} \mid H\right)=1-P(A \mid H)$

Notes Compare $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}_{5}^{\prime}$ with the properties of (unconditiond) probabilities given in Sec. 3.2.2. You will find that the conditional probabilities, given the event H , have all the properties of unconditional probabilities, which are sometimes called the absolute properties.

We can use the conditional probatii:itics to compute the unconditional probabilities of events by employing the following obvious fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{H}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained from Definition 3 of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H})$.
Here is an important remark related to (10).


Notes
See E 14 for the interpretations of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{H})$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid \mathrm{H}\right)$

Remark 3 : Relation (10) holds even if $P(H)=0$, provided we interpret $P(A \mid H)=0$ if $P(H)=0$. In words, this means that if the probability of -occurrence of H is zero, we say that the probability of occurrence of $A$, given that $H$ has occurred, is also zero. This is so, because $P(H)=0$ implies $P(A \cap H)=0,(A \cap H)$ being a subset of $H$,

We now give an example to illustrate the use of Relation (10).
E=E
Example 14: Two cards are drawn at random and without ieplacement from a pack of 52 playing cards. Let us find the probability that both the cards are red.
Let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ denote, respectively the events that cards drawn on the first and second draw are red. Then by the classical definition, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=26 / 52$, since there are 26 red cards. If the first card is red, we are left with 25 red cards in the pack of 51 cards. Hence $P\left(A_{2} \mid A_{1}\right)=25 / 51$. Thus, the probability $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ of both cards being red is
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$
$=\frac{26}{52}, \frac{25}{51}=0.245$.
Relation (10) specifies the probability of $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{H}$ in terms of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H})$. We can extend this relation to obtain the probability, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)$ in terms of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1} \cap\right.$ $\left.A_{2}\right)$. We, of course, assume that $P\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)$ are both positive. Can you guess what this relation could be? Suppose we write
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)}$
Does this give you any clue? This gives us,
$P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap A_{3}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{2} \mid A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{3} \mid A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)$.
Now let us use this to compute some probabilities.
$5=E$ Example 15: A box of mangoes is inspected by examining three randomly selected mangoes drawn without replacement. If all the three mangoes are good, the box is sent to the market, otherwise it is rejected. Let us calculate the probability that a box of 100 mangoes containing 90 good mangoes and 10 bad ones will pass the inspection.

Let $\mathrm{A}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3^{\prime}}$, respectively denote the events that the first, second and third mangoes are Notes good. Then $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=90 / 100, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=89 / 99$, and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \mid \mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{AZ}\right)=88 / 98$ according to the classichl definition. Thus.
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\frac{90}{100} \cdot \frac{89}{99} \cdot \frac{88}{98}=0.727$.
We end this section with a derivation of a well-known theorem in probability theory, called the Bayes' theorem.
Consider an event $B$ and its complementary event $B^{C}$. The pair ( $B, B^{C}$ ) is called a partition of $\Omega$, since they satisfy $B \cap B^{C}=\phi$, and $B \cup B^{C}$ is the whole sample space $\Omega$. Observe.that for any event A,

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A} \cap \Omega=\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{~B} \cup \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)=(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{~B}) \cup\left(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)
$$

Since $A \cap B$ and $A \cap B^{C}$ are subsets of the disjoint sets $B$ and $B^{C}$, respectively, they themselves are disjoint. As a consequence, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$.
Now using Relation (10), we have
Here we do not insist that $P(B)$ and $P\left(B^{C}\right)$ be positive and follow the convention stated in Remark 3.
It is now possible to extend Equation (11) to the case when we have a partition of $\Omega$ consisting of more than two sets. More specifically, we say that the $n$ sets $B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots, B_{n}$ constitute a partition of $\Omega$ if any two of them are disjoint, i.e., 1

$$
B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\phi, i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, n
$$

and their union is $\Omega$, i.e.,

$$
\bigcup_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}=\Omega .
$$

We can now write for any event A ,
$A=A \cap \Omega=A \cap\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}\right)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left(A \cap B_{j}\right)$.
Since $A \cap B_{i}$ and $A \cap B_{j}$ are respectively subsets of $B_{i}$ and $B_{i}, i \neq j$, they are disjoint. Consequently by P7,
$P(A)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\left(A \cap B_{j}\right)$
or $P(A)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\left(B_{j}\right) P\left(A \cap B_{j}\right)$.
which is obtained by using (10). This result (12) leads to the celebrated Bayes' theorem, which we now state.

## Notes

### 3.2 Baye's Theorem

Theorem 1 (Bayes' Theorem) : If $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are n events which constitute a partition of $\Omega$ and $A$ is an event of positive probability, then
$P\left(B_{r} \mid A\right)=\frac{P\left(B_{r}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{r}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\left(B_{j}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{j}\right)}$
foranyr, $1 \leq \mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{n}$.
Proof: Observe that by definition,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
P(B r \mid A) & =\frac{P\left(A \cap B_{r}\right)}{P(A)} & \\
& =\frac{P\left(B_{r}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{r}\right)}{P(A)}, & & \text { by }(10) \\
& =\frac{P\left(B_{r}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{r}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\left(B_{j}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{j}\right)}, & & \text { by }(12)
\end{array}
$$

The proof is complete.
In the examples that follow, you will see a variety of situations in which Bayes' theorem is useful.

Example 16: It is known that 25 per cent of the people in a community suffer from TB. A test to diagnose this'disease is such that the probability is 0.99 that a person suffering from it will show a positive result indicating its presence. The same test has probability 0.20 that a person not suffering from TB has a positive test result. If a randomly selected person from the community has positive test result, let us find the probability that he has TB.

Let $B_{1}$ denote the event that a randomly selected person has $T B$. Let $B_{2}=B_{j}^{c}$. Then from the given information, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=0.25, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)=0.75$. Let A denote the event that the test for the randomly selected person yields a positive result. Then $P\left(A \mid B_{1}\right)=0.99$ and $P\left(A \mid B_{2}\right)=0.20$. We need to obtain P(B1 \| A). By applying Bayes' theorem we get
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 1 \mid \mathrm{A})=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)}$

臼
Example 17: We have three boxes, each containing two covered compartments. The first box has a gold coin in each compartment. The second box has a gold coin in one compartment and a silver coin in the other. The third box has a silver coin in each of its compartments. We choose a box at random and open a drawer at random. It contains a gold coin. We would like to know the probability that the other compartment also has a gold coin.

Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$, respectively, denote the events that Box 1 , Box 2 and Box 3 are selected. It is easy to see that $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ constitute a partition of the sample space of the experiment.

Since the boxes are selected at random, we have
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=1 / 3$.

Let A denote the event that a gold coin is located. The composition of the boxes implies that
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=1, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)=1 / 2, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=0$.
Since one gold coin is observed, we' will have a gold coin in the other unobserved compartment of the box only. if we have selected Box 1. Thus, we need to obtain P(B1A).

Now by Bayes Theorem
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 1 \mid \mathrm{A})=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)}$
Do you feel confident enough to try and solve these exercises now? In each of them, the crucial step is to define the relevant events properly. Once you do that, the actual calculation of probabilities is child's play.

Notes
This is an example of a Markov chain, named after the Russian mathematician. A, Markov (1856-1922) who initiated their study. This procedure is called Poly's urn scheme.

EF
Example 1: A manufacturing firm purchases a certain component, for its manufacturing process, from three sub-contractors A, B and C. These supply $60 \%, 30 \%$ and $10 \%$ of the firm's requirements, respectively. It is known that $2 \%, 5 \%$ and $8 \%$ of the items supplied by the respective suppliers are defective. On a particular day, a normal shipment arrives from each of the three suppliers and the contents get mixed. A component is chosen at random from the day's shipment:
(a) What is the probability that it is defective?
(b) If this component is found to be defective, what is the probability that it was supplied by (i) A, (ii) B, (iii) C ?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the item is supplied by A. Similarly, B and C denote the events that the item is supplied by B and C respectively. Further, let D be the event that the item is defective. It is given that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=0.6, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=0.3, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=0.1, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})=0.02 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{B})=0.05, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{C})=0.08
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$

From equation (1), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(D) & =P(A \cap D)+P(B \cap D)+P(C \cap D) \\
& =P(A) P(D / A)+P(B) P(D / B)+P(C) P(D / C) \\
& =0.6 \times 0.02+0.3 \times 0.05+0.1 \times 0.08=0.035
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) (i) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D})$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})}=\frac{0.6 \times 0.02}{0.035}=0.343
$$

## Notes

Similarly, (ii) $P(B / D)=\frac{P(B) P(D / B)}{P(D)}=\frac{0.3 \times 0.05}{0.035}=0.429$
and
(iii) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{C})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})}=\frac{0.1 \times 0.08}{0.035}=0.228$

Alternative Method:
The above problem can also be attempted by writing various probabilities in the form of following table :

|  | A |  | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| D | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{D})$ <br> $=0.012$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \cap \mathrm{D})$ <br> $=0.015$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{D})$ <br> $=0.008$ | 0.035 |
| D | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \overline{\mathrm{D}})$ <br> $=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \cap \overline{\mathrm{D}})$ <br> $=0.285$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} \cap \overline{\mathrm{D}})$ <br> $=0.092$ | 0.965 |
| Total | 0.600 | 0.300 | 0.100 | 1.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Thus $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{0.012}{0.035}$ etc.


Example 2: A box contains 4 identical dice out of which three are fair and the fourth is loaded in such a way that the face marked as 5 appears in $60 \%$ of the tosses. A die is selected at random from the box and tossed. If it shows 5 , what is the probability that it was a loaded die?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that a fair die is selected and B be the event that the loaded die is selected from the box.

$$
\text { Then, we have } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=\frac{3}{4} \text { and } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\frac{1}{4} \text {. }
$$

Further, let D be the event that 5 is obtained on the die, then

$$
P(D / A)=\frac{1}{6} \text { and } P(D / B)=\frac{6}{10}
$$

Thus, $P(D)=P(A) \cdot P(D / A)+P(B) \cdot P(D / B)=\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{6}{10}=\frac{11}{40}$
We want to find $P(B / D)$, which is given by

$$
P(B / D)=\frac{P(B \cap D)}{P(D)}=\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{6}{10} \times \frac{40}{11}=\frac{6}{11}
$$

$=\equiv$
Example 3: A bag contains 6 red and 4 white balls. Another bag contains 3 red and 5 white balls. A fair die is tossed for the selection of bag. If the die shows 1 or 2 , the first bag is selected otherwise the second bag is selected. A ball is drawn from the selected bag and is found to be red. What is the probability that the first bag was selected?

## Solution.

Notes
Let $A$ be the event that first bag is selected, $B$ be the event that second bag is selected and $D$ be the event of drawing a red ball.

Then, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=\frac{1}{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\frac{2}{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})=\frac{6}{10}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{B})=\frac{3}{8} \\
& \text { Further, } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{6}{10}+\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{8}=\frac{9}{20} . \\
& \therefore \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{D})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})}=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{6}{10} \times \frac{20}{9}=\frac{4}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

E= Example 4: In a certain recruitment test there are multiple-choice questions. There are 4 possible answers to each questio $n$ out of which only one is correct. An intelligent student knows $90 \%$ of the answers while a weak student knows only $20 \%$ of the answers.
(i) An intelligent student gets the correct answer, what is the probability that he was guessing?
(ii) A weak student gets the correct answer, what is the probability that he was guessing?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that an intelligent student knows the answer, B be the event that the weak student knows the answer and C be the event that the student gets a correct answer.
(i) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{C})$. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\bar{A} / C)=\frac{P(\bar{A} \cap C)}{P(C)}=\frac{P(\bar{A}) P(C / \bar{A})}{P(\bar{A}) P(C / \bar{A})+P(A) P(C / A)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is given that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.90, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} / \overline{\mathrm{A}})=\frac{1}{4}=0.25$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A})=1.0$
From the above, we can also write $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{A}})=0.10$
Substituting these values, we get

$$
\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{C})=\frac{0.10 \times 0.25}{0.10 \times 0.25+0.90 \times 1.0}=\frac{0.025}{0.925}=0.027
$$

(ii) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{C})$. Replacing $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ by $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$, in equation (1), we can get this probability.

It is given that $P(B)=0.20, P(C / \bar{B})=0.25$ and $P(C / B)=1.0$
From the above, we can also write $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{B}})=0.80$

Thus, we get $P(\bar{B} / C)=\frac{0.80 \times 0.25}{0.80 \times 0.25+0.20 \times 1.0}=\frac{0.20}{0.40}=0.50$

## Notes

Example 5: An electronic manufacturer has two lines A and B assembling identical electronic units. $5 \%$ of the units assembled on line A and $10 \%$ of those assembled on line B are defective. All defective units must be reworked at a significant increase in cost. During the last eight-hour shift, line A produced 200 units while the line B produced 300 units. One unit is selected at random from the 500 units produced and is found to be defective. What is the probability that it was assembled (i) on line A, (ii) on line B?

Answer the above questions if the selected unit was found to be non-defective.

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that the unit is assembled on line $A, B$ be the event that it is assembled on line $B$ and $D$ be the event that it is defective.

Thus, we can write

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=\frac{2}{5}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\frac{3}{5}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})=\frac{5}{100} \text { and } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{B})=\frac{10}{100}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{D})=\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{5}{100}=\frac{1}{50} \text { and } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \cap \mathrm{D})=\frac{3}{5} \times \frac{10}{100}=\frac{3}{50}
$$

The required probabilities are computed form the following table:

|  | A | B | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1}{50}$ | $\frac{3}{50}$ | $\frac{4}{50}$ |
| D | $\frac{19}{5}$ | $\frac{27}{50}$ | $\frac{46}{50}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\frac{1}{50}$ |  |  |
| Total | $\frac{20}{50}$ | $\frac{30}{50}$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above table, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{1}{50} \times \frac{50}{4}=\frac{1}{4}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{D})=\frac{3}{50} \times \frac{50}{4}=\frac{3}{4} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} / \overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{19}{50} \times \frac{50}{46}=\frac{19}{46}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} / \overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{27}{50} \times \frac{50}{46}=\frac{27}{46}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 Independence of Events

From the examples discussed in the previous section you know that the conditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{H})$ is, in general, not the same as the unconditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$. Thus, the knowledge of H affects the chances of occurrence of A . The following example illustrates this fact more explicitly.
$\sqrt{5}$ Example 18: A box has 4 tickets numbered 1, 2,3 and 4. One of these tickets is drawn at random. Let $A=\{1,2\}$ be the event that the randomly selected ticket bears the number 1 or 2 . Similarly define $B=\{1\}$. Then
$P(A)=1 / 2, P(B)=1 / 4$ and $P(A \cap B)=1 / 4$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=(1 / 4) 1(1 / 2)=1 / 2$.
Notes
So we have $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})>\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$.
On the other hand, if $\mathrm{C}=\{1,2,3\}$ and $\mathrm{D}=\{1,2,4\}$, then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=3 / 4$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{D})=112$.
Thus,
$P(D \mid C)=\frac{1 / 2}{3 / 4}=2 / 3$, and in this case,
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{C})<\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$
This example illustrates that additional information (about the occurrence of an event) can increase or decrease the probability of occurrence of another event: We would be interested in those situations which correspond to the cases when $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$, as in the following example.

Example 19: We continue with the previous example. But now define $\mathrm{H}=\{1,2\}$ and $K=\{1,3\}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})=1 / 2, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~K})=1 / 2 \text { and } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H} \cap \mathrm{~K})=114 .
$$

Hence
In this example, knowledge of the occurrence of H does not alter the probability of occurrence of K . We call such events, independent events.
Thus, two events A and B are independent, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \mid \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B}) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, in this definition, we need to have $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})>0$. Using the definition of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})$, we can rewrite (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \cap B)=P(A) P(B) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not require that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ or $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$ be positive. We shall now use (14) to define independence of two events.

Definition 4 : Let A and B be two events associated with the same random experiment. They are said to be stochastically independent or simply independent if

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

So the events A and B in Example 18 are not independent. Similarly, events C and D are also not independent. But events K and H in Example 19 are independent.

See if you can apply Definition 4 and solve this exercise.

Two unbiased dice are rolled. Let
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ be the event "odd face with the first die"
$A_{2}$ be the event "odd face with the second die"
$B_{1}$ be the event that the score on the first die is 1
$B_{2}$ be the event that the total score is at most 3 .
Check the independence of the events
(a) $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$
(b) $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$

Notes We now proceed to study some implications of independence of two events $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$.
Recall that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)
$$

Now, if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are independent, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) & =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)\left\{1-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the independence of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ implies that of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}^{c}$. Now interchange the roles of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ What do you get? We get that if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are independent, then so are $A_{2}^{c}$ and $A_{2}$. The independence of $A_{1}^{c}$ and $A_{2}$ then implies the independence of $A_{1}^{c}$ and $A_{2}^{c}$ too.

Now here is an interesting fact.
If A is an almost sure event, then A and another event B are independent.
Let us see how. Since $A$ is an alm ost sure event, $P(A)=1 . H$ ence $P\left(A^{C}\right)=0$ and therefore, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}} \cap \mathrm{B}\right)=0$. In particular,

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~B}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B}) .
$$

One consequence of this is that

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

which implies that $A$ and $B$ are independent.
Can you prove a similar result for a null event ? You can check that if A is a null event, then A and any other event $B$ are independent.
Now, can we extend the definition of independence of two events to that of the independence of three events? The obvious way seems to be to call $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, independent if $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap A_{3}\right)$ $=P\left(A_{1}\right) P\left(A_{2}\right) P\left(A_{3}\right)$. But this does not work. Because if 3 events are independgnt, we would expect any two of them also to be independent. But this is not ensured by the condition above. To appreciate this, consider the case when $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{A}_{2}=\mathrm{A}, 0<\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})<1$, and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)=0$. Then $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ $=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \neq \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}[(\mathrm{A})]^{2}$.

Thus, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are not independent, but $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ is satisfied. So, to get around this problem we add some more conditions and get the following definition

Definition 5 : Three events $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ corresponding to the same random experiment are said to be stochastically or mutually independent if
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$
and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$.

Let's try to understand this through an example.
Notes
罒
Example 20: An unbiased coin is tossed thx\& times. Let A , denote the event that a head turns up on the $j$-th toss, $j=1,2,3$. Let's see if $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are independent.

Since the coin is unbiased, we assign the same probability, $1 / 8$, to each of the eight possible outcomes.

Check that
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)=1 / 2$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)=1 / 4$, and
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=1 / 8$.
Thus, all the four equations in (15) are satisfied and the events $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ are mutually independent.
We have seen that the last condition in (15) alone is not enough, since it does not guarantee the independence of pairs of events.

Similarly, the first three equations of (15) alone are not sufficient to guarantee that all the four conditions required for mutual independence would be satisfied. To see this, consider the following example.

EF
Example 21: An unbiased die is rolled twice. Let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ denote the event "odd face on the first roll", $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ denote the event "odd face on the second roll" and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ denote the event that the total score is odd. With the classical assignment of probability $1 / 36$ to each of the sample points, you can easily check that
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)=18 / 36=1 / 2$, and that
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)=9 / 36=1 / 4$.
Thus, the first three equations in (15) are satisfied. But the last one is not valid. The reason for it is that $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)$ is zero (Do you agree ?), and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ are all positive.

If the first three equationsof (15) are satisfied, we say that $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are pairwise independent. Example 21 shows that pairwise independence does not guarantee mutual independence.

Now we are sure you can define the concept of independence of $n$ events. Does your definition agree with Definition 6?
Definition 6 : The n events $\mathrm{A}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{A}_{2^{\prime}}, \ldots, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ corresponding to the same random experiment are mutually independent if for all $\mathrm{r}=2 \ldots, \mathrm{n}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{j}}<\mathrm{i}_{2}<\ldots<\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{n}$, the product rule holds.
$P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap A_{i_{r}}\right){ }_{j=1}^{r} P\left(A_{i_{j}}\right)$
Since $r$ of the $n$ events can be chosen in $\binom{n}{r}$ ways, (17) represents
$\binom{n}{2}+\binom{n}{3}+\ldots+\binom{n}{n}=2^{n}-n-1$
conditions.
Try to write Definition 6 for $\mathrm{n}=3$ and see if it matches Definition 5 .

## Notes

We have already seen that if A , and' A 2 are independent, then
$A_{1}^{c}$ and $A_{2}$ or $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}^{c}$ or $A_{1}^{c}$ and $A_{2}^{c}$ are independent. We now give a similar remark about n independent events.

Remark 4 : If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots . A_{n}$ are $n$ independent events, then we may replace some or all of them by their complements without losing independence. In particular, when $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are independent, the product rule (17) holds even with some or all of $A_{i_{1}}, \ldots, A_{i_{r}}$ are replaced by their complements.
We shall not prove this assertion, but shall use it in the following examples.
Example 22: Suppose $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ are three independent events, with $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and we want to obtain the probability that at least one of them occurs.

We want to find $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)$. Recall that (Example 8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) & =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3} \cup \mathrm{~A}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \\
& =1-\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)(1-\mathrm{P} 3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We could have amved at this expression more easily by using Remark 4. This is how we can proceed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) & =1-\mathrm{P}\left(\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
& =1-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
& =1-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\bar{z}$
Example 23: If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are independent events, then can we say that $\mathrm{A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are independent? Let's see.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{A}_{3}\right) & =\mathrm{P}\left(\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \\
& =\left\{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

implying the independence of $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$.

E=E
Example 24: An automatic machine produces bolts. Each bolt has probability $1 / 10$ of being defective. Assuming that a bolt is defective independently of all other bolts, let's find
(i) the probability that a good bolt is followed by two defective ones.
(ii) the probability of getting one good and two defective bolts, not necessarily in that order.

Let $A_{j}$ denote the event that the $j$-th inspected bolt is defective, $j=1,2,3$. The assumption of independence implies that $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ are independent.
(i) We want $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)$. By Remark 4, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) & =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{9}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=0.009 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) We want to find, the probability of

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) .
$$

Notice that these events are disjoint and that each has the probability 0.009 (see (i)). Hence, the required probability is

E $=$
Example 25: The probability that a person A will be alive 20 years hence is 0.7 and the probability that another person B will be alive 20 years hence is 0.5 . Assuming independence, let's find the probability that neither of them will be alive after 20 years.

The probability that A dies before twenty years have elapsed is 0.3 and the corresponding probability for $B$ is 0.5 . Hence the probability that neither of them will be alive 20 years hence is

$$
0.3 \times 0.5=0.15
$$

by virtue of independence.
We now give you some exercises based on the concept of independence.

### 3.4 Repeated Experiments and Trials

We must mention that we have earlier discussed rolls of two dice or three or more tosses a coin without bringingin the concept of repeated trials. The following discussion is only an elementary introduction to the topic of repeated trials.

To fix ideas, consider the simple experiment of tossing a coin twice. The sample space corresponding to the first toss is $S_{1}=\{H, T\}$ say, where $H=$ Head, $T=$ Tail. Similarly the sample space $S_{2}$ for the second toss is also $\{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}\}$. Now observe that the sample space for two tosses is $\Omega=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}$, where $(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$ stands for head on first toss followed by a head on the second toss. The pairs $(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T})$, etc. are also similarly defined. Note that $\Omega$ consists of all ordered pairs that can be formed by choosing a point from $S_{1}$ followed by a point from $S_{2}$. Mathematically we say that $\Omega$ is the Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2}\left(\right.$ read, $S_{1}$ cross $\left.S_{2}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.
Now consider an experiment of tossing a coin and then rolling a die. The sample space corresponding to toss of the coin is $\mathrm{S}_{1}=(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T})$ and that corresponding to the roll of the die is $S_{2}=(1,2,3,4,5,6)$. The sample space of the combined experiment is
$W=\{(H, 1),(H, 2),(H, 3),(H, 4),(H, 5),(H, 6)$,
$(T, 1),(T, 2),(T, 3),(T, 4),(T, 5),(T, 6)=S_{1} \times S_{2}$.
Taking a cue from these two examples we can say that if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are the sample spaces for two random experiments $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ then the Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ is the sample space of the experiment consistihg of both $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$.

Sometimes we refer to $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ as the product space of the two experiments.

Notes We are sure that you will be able to do this simple exercise.


Task Find the sample spaces of the following experiments
(a) Rolling two dice
(b) Drawing two cards from a pack of 52 playing cards, with replacement.

Do you remember the definition of the Cartesian product of $n(n \geq 3)$ sets? We say that the Cartesian product

$$
S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \ldots \times S_{n}=\left\{\left(x_{1} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{j} \in S_{j^{\prime}} j=1, \ldots n\right\}
$$

Now, if $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots S_{n}$ represent the sample spaces corresponding to repetitions $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}$ of the same experiment $E$, then the Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \ldots \times S_{n}$ represents the sample space for n repetitions or n trials of the experiment $\in$.
$[(H, T),(T, H),(T, T)]$ which is the Cartesian product of $\{H, T\}$ with itself. Suppose the Probability on a Discrete Sample coin is unbiased so that $\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}=\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{T}\}=\mathrm{ID}$ for both the first and the second toss. Since the coin is unbiased, we may regard the four points in $\Omega$ as equally likely and assign probability $1 / 4$ to each one of them. However, another way of looking at this assignment is to assume that the results in the two tosses are independent. Mor specifically, we may consider specifying $\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\}$ say, by the multiplication rul Jav ailable to us under independence, i.e., we may take

$$
\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}\}=\mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\} . \mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}
$$

and make similar calculations for other pomts.
When such a situation holds, we say that the two tosses or the two trials of tossing the coin are independent. This is equivalent to saying that the events Head on first toss and Head on second toss are independent and that we may make similar statements about the other points also. The following example illustrates the method of defining probabilities on the product spaces when we are unable (or unwilling) to assume equally likely outcomes.

EF
Example 26: Suppqe this successive units manufactured by a machine are such that each unit has probability $p$ of being defective $(\mathrm{D})$ and $(1-\mathrm{p})$ of being good $(\mathrm{G})$. We examine three units manufactured by this machine. The sample space for this experiment is the Cartesian product $S_{1} \times S_{2} \times S_{3}$, where $S_{1}=S_{2}=S_{3}=\{D, G\}$, i.e.,
$\Omega=[(D, D, D),(D, D, G),(D, G, D),(G, D, D),(G, G, D),(G, D, G),(D, G, G),(G, G, G)]$.
The statement that "the successive units are independent of each other" is interpreted by assigning probabilities to points of $\Omega$ by the product rule. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D})\} & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{p}^{3}, \\
\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G})\} & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{q} \\
& =\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{D})\}=\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D})\} \\
\mathrm{P}\{(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{D})\} & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=(1-\mathrm{p})^{2} \mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and lastly,

$$
\mathrm{P}((\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}))=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G})=(1-\mathrm{p})^{3}
$$

Notice that the sum of the probabilities of the eight points in $\Omega$ is
Notes
which is as it should be.
Summarising the discussion so far, consider two random experiments $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ with sample spaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots$ be the points of $S_{1}$ and let $v_{1}, v_{2} \ldots$, be the points of $S_{2}$. Suppose $\mathrm{p}_{1^{\prime}} \mathrm{p}_{2^{\prime}} \ldots$, a nd $\mathrm{q}_{1^{\prime}} \mathrm{q}_{2^{\prime}} \ldots$ are the associated probabilities, i.e., $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{q}_{j^{\prime}}$, with $p_{i^{\prime}} q_{j} \geq 0 \sum_{i} p_{i}=1, \sum_{j} q_{j}=1$. We say that $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ are independent experiments if the events "first outcome is $u_{i}$ " and the event "second outcome is $v_{j}$ ", are independent,
i.e., if the assignment of probabilities on the product space $\mathrm{S} 1 \times \mathrm{S} 2$ is such that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left\{\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}^{\prime}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

This assignment is a valid assignment because $\mathrm{P}\left(\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right) \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) & =\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sums are taken over all values of $i$ and $j$.
Can we extend these concepts to the case of $n(n>2)$ random experiments?
Let us denote the n random experiments by $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$. Let $\mathrm{S}_{1} . \mathrm{S}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the corresponding sample spaces. Let $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ denote the probability assigned to the outcome $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ of the random experiment $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}$. We say that $\varepsilon_{1} \ldots, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ are independent experiments, if the assignment of probabilities on the product space $S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \ldots \times S_{n}$ is such that

$$
P\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

The random experiments $\varepsilon_{1} \ldots, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ are said to be repeated independent trials of an experiment $\varepsilon$ if the sample space of $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ are all identical and so are the assignment of probabilities, it is in this sense that the experiment discussed in Example 26 corresponds to 3 independent repetitions of the experiment of inspecting a unit, where the probability of a unit being defective is P .

Before we conclude ow discussion of product spaces and repeated aials, let us revert to the case of two independent experiments $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ with sample spaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$
Suppose
$S_{1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots\right), P\left(u_{j}\right)=p_{i^{\prime}}, i \geq 1$
$S_{2}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots\right), P\left(v_{j}\right)=q_{j}, j \geq 1$
Let $A_{1}=\left(u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}, \ldots.\right)$ and $A_{2}=\left(v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots\right)$ be two events in $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Then $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ is event in $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ and

$$
A_{1} \times A_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{i_{r}}, v_{j_{s}}\right) \mid r, s=1,2, \ldots\right\} .
$$

Under the assumption that $\mathrm{E} \sim$ and $\mathrm{E} \sim$ are independent, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} 1 \times \mathrm{A} 2) & =\sum_{\mathrm{r}} \sum_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{P}\left\{\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{r}}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{r}}} \sum_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}} \\
& =\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the multiplication rule is valid not only for individual sample points of $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ but also for events in the component sample spaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ also. Here we have talked about events related to two experiments. But we can easily extend this fact to events related to three or more experiments.

The independent Bernoulli trials provide the simplest example of repeated independent trials. Here each trial has only two possible outcomes, usually called success (S) and failure (F). We further assume that the probability of success is the same in each trial, and therefore, the the probability of failure is also the same for each trial. Usually we denote the probability of success by p and that of failure by $\mathrm{q}=1-\mathrm{p}$.

Suppose, we consider three independent Bernoulli trials. The sample space is the Cartesian product $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{F}) \times(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{F}) \times(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{F})$. It, therefore, consists of the eight points

SSS, SSF, SFS, FSS, FFS, FSF, SFF, FFF.
In view of independence, the corresponding probabilities are

$$
\mathrm{p}^{3}, \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{pq}^{2}, \mathrm{pq}^{2}, \mathrm{pq}^{2}, \mathrm{q}^{3} .
$$

Do they add up to one? Yes.
In general, the sample space corresponding to n independent Bernoulli trials consists of $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ points. A generic point in this sample space consists of the sequence of $n$ letters, $j$ of which are $S$ and $n-j$ are $F, j=0.1, \ldots, n$. Each such point carries the probability $p^{i} q^{n-j}$, probability of successes in $n$ independent Bernoulli trials. We first note that there are $\binom{n}{j}$ points with $j$ successes and ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j}$ ) failures (we ask you to prove this in E27). Since each such point carries the probability $p^{i} q^{n-j}$, the probability of $j$ successes, denoted by $b(j, n, p)$ is

$$
b(j, n, p)=\binom{n}{j} p^{j} q^{n-j}, 0,1, \ldots . n .
$$

These are called binomial probabilities and we shall return to a discussion of this topic when we discuss the binomial distribution in Unit 8.


Now we bring this unit to a closk. But before that let's briefly recall the important concepts that we studied in it.

### 3.5 Summary

- We have acquainted you with the concept of conditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})$ of a given the event B.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})>0
$$

- We have stated and proved Bayes' theorem :

Notes
If $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ are n events which constitute a partition of $\Omega$, and A is an event of positive probability, then

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mid \mathrm{A}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}{\sum_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{AIB}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)}
$$

for any $r, 1 \leq r \leq n$.

- We have defined and discussed the consequences of independence of two or more events.
- We have seen the method of assignment of probabilities when dealing with independent repetitions of an experiment.


### 3.6 Keywords

Conditional probability: The concept of conditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})$ of a given the event B .

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})>0
$$

Bayes' theorem: If $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2^{\prime}} \ldots, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ are n events which constitute a partition of W , and A is an event of positive probability, then

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}} \mid \mathrm{A}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}{\sum_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{AIB}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)}
$$

for any $r, 1 \leq r \leq n$.

### 3.7 Self Assesment

1. There are 1000 people. There 400 females and 200 colour-blind person. Find the probability of females.
(a) 0.4
(b) 0.6
(c) 0.16
(d) 0.21
2. There are $\binom{n}{j}$ points with $j$ successes and $(n-j)$ failures. Since each such point carries the probability $p^{i} q^{n-j}$, the probability of $j$ successes, denoted by $b(j, n, p)$ is $b(j, n, p)=\binom{n}{j} p^{j} q^{n-j}, 0,1, \ldots . n$.
(a) Binomial probabilities
(b) Conditional probability
(c) Bayer's theorem
(d) Clanical probability

These are called binomial probabilities.
3. the concept of conditional probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})$ of a given the event B .

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathrm{~B})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})>0
$$

(a) Binomial probabilities
(b) Conditional probability
(c) Bayer's theorem
(d) Clanical probability
4. If $B_{1}, B_{2^{\prime}}, \ldots, B_{n^{\prime}}$, are $n$ events which constitute a partition of $\Omega$, and $A$ is an event of positive probability, then

$$
P\left(B_{r} \mid A\right)=\frac{P\left(B_{r}\right) P\left(A \mid B_{r}\right)}{\sum_{1}^{n} P\left(B_{j}\right) P\left(A_{i}\right)}
$$

for any $r, 1 \leq r \leq n$.
(a) Binomial probabilities
(b) Conditional probability
(c) Bayer's theorem
(d) Clanical probability
5. There are 1000 people. There 400 females and 600 males then find the probability of males.
(a) 0.4
(b) 0.6
(c) 0.16
(d) 2.01

### 3.8 Review Questions

1. In a city the weather changes frequently. It is known from past experience that a rainy day is followed by a sunny day with probability 0.4 and that sa sunny day is followed by a rainy day with probability 0.7 . Assume that the weather on any given day depends only on the weather of the previous day. Find the probability that
(a) a rainy day is followed by a rainy day
(b) it would rain on Saturday and Sunday when Friday was rainy
(c) the entire period from Monday to Friday is rainy given, that the previous Sunday was sunny.
2. An urn contains 4 white and 4 black balls. A ball is drawn at random, its colour is noted and is returned to the urn. Moreover, 2 additional balls of the colour drawn are put in the urn and then a ball is drawn at random. What is the probability that the second ball is black?
3. In a community 2 per cent of the people suffer from cancer. The probability that a doctor is able to correctly diagnose a person with cancer as suffering from cancer is 0.80 . The doctor wrongly diagnoses a person without cancer as having cancer with probability 0.05 . What is the probability that a randomly selected person diagnosed as having cancer is really suffering from cancer?
4. An explosion in a factory manufacturing explosives can occur because of (i) leakage of electricity, (ii) defects in machinery, (iii) carelessness of worker or (iv) sabotage. The probability that
(a) there is a leakage of electricity is 0.20
(b) the machinery is defective is 0.30
(c) the workers are careless is 0.40
(d) there is sabotage is 0.10

Answers: Self Assessment
Notes

1. (a) 2. (a) 3. (b) 4. (c) 5. (b)

### 3.9 Further Readings

Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Define Classical Probability
- Discuss Counting Techniques
- Discuss Statistical or Empirical definition of Probability


## Introduction

The concept of probability originated from the analysis of the games of chance in the 17th century. Now the subject has been developed to the extent that it is very difficult to imagine a discipline, be it from social or natural sciences, that can do without it. The theory of probability is a study of Statistical or Random Experiments. It is the backbone of Statistical Inference and Decision Theory that are essential tools of the analysis of most of the modern business and economic problems.

Often, in our day-to-day life, we hear sentences like 'it may rain today', 'Mr X has fifty-fifty chances of passing the examination', 'India may win the forthcoming cricket match against Sri lanka', 'the chances of making profits by investing in shares of company A are very bright', etc. Each of the above sentences involves an element of uncertainty.

## Notes <br> 4.1 Classical Definition

This definition, also known as the mathematical definition of probability, was given by J. Bernoulli. With the use of this definition, the probabilities associated with the occurrence of various events are determined by specifying the conditions of a random experiment. It is because of this that the classical definition is also known as 'a priori' definition of probability.

## Definition

If n is the number of equally likely, mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes of a random experiment out of which $m$ outcomes are favourable to the occurrence of an event $A$, then the probability that A occurs, denoted by $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$, is given by :

$$
P(A)=\frac{\text { Number of outcomes favourable to } A}{\text { Number of exhaustive outcomes }}=\frac{m}{n}
$$

Various terms used in the above definition are explained below :

1. Equally likely outcomes: The outcomes of random experiment are said to be equally likely or equally probable if the occurrence of none of them is expected in preference to others. For example, if an unbiased coin is tossed, the two possible outcomes, a head or a tail are equally likely.
2. Mutually exclusive outcomes: Two or more outcomes of an experiment are said to be mutually exclusive if the occurrence of one of them precludes the occurrence of all others in the same trial i.e. they cannot occur jointly. For example, the two possible outcomes of toss of a coin are mutually exclusive. Similarly, the occurrences of the numbers $1,2,3,4,5$, 6 in the roll of a six faced die are mutually exclusive.
3. Exhaustive outcomes: It is the totality of all possible outcomes of a random experiment. The number of exhaustive outcomes in the roll of a die are six. Similarly, there are 52 exhaustive outcomes in the experiment of drawing a card from a pack of 52 cards.
4. Event: The occurrence or non-occurrence of a phenomenon is called an event. For example, in the toss of two coins, there are four exhaustive outcomes, viz. (H, H), (H, T), (T, H), (T, T). The events associated with this experiment can be defined in a number of ways. For example, (i) the event of occurrence of head on both the coins, (ii) the event of occurrence of head on at least one of the two coins, (iii) the event of non-occurrence of head on the two coins, etc.

An event can be simple or composite depending upon whether it corresponds to a single outcome of the experiment or not. In the example, given above, the event defined by (i) is simple, while those defined by (ii) and (iii) are composite events.

Example 1: What is the probability of obtaining a head in the toss of an unbiased coin?

## Solution.

This experiment has two possible outcomes, i.e., occurrence of a head or tail. These two outcomes are mutually exclusive and exhaustive. Since the coin is given to be unbiased, the two outcomes are equally likely. Thus, all the conditions of the classical definition are satisfied.

No. of cases favourable to the occurrence of head $=1$
No. of exhaustive cases $=2$
$\therefore$ Probability of obtaining head $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{1}{2}$.

## Notes

Example 2: What is the probability of obtaining at least one head in the simultaneous toss of two unbiased coins?

## Solution.

The equally likely, mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes of the experiment are $(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$, $(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H})$ and $(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})$, where H denotes a head and T denotes a tail. Thus, $\mathrm{n}=4$.
Let A be the event that at least one head occurs. This event corresponds the first three outcomes of the random experiment. Therefore, $\mathrm{m}=3$.

Hence, probability that A occurs, i.e., $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=\frac{3}{4}$.

Example 3: Find the probability of obtaining an odd number in the roll of an unbiased die.

## Solution.

The number of equally likely, mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes, i.e., $n=6$. There are three odd numbers out of the numbers $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 . Therefore, $\mathrm{m}=3$.

Thus, probability of occurrence of an odd number $=\frac{3}{6}=\frac{1}{2}$.
$=\equiv$
Example 4: What is the chance of drawing a face card in a draw from a pack of 52 wellshuffled cards?

## Solution.

Total possible outcomes $\mathrm{n}=52$.
Since the pack is well-shuffled, these outcomes are equally likely. Further, since only one card is to be drawn, the outcomes are mutually exclusive.

There are 12 face cards, $\therefore \mathrm{m}=12$.
Thus, probability of drawing a face card $=\frac{12}{52}=\frac{3}{13}$.
Example 5: What is the probability that a leap year selected at random will contain 53 Sundays?

## Solution.

A leap year has 366 days. It contains 52 complete weeks, i.e, 52 Sundays. The remaining two days of the year could be anyone of the following pairs:
(Monday, Tuesday), (Tuesday, Wednesday), (Wednesday, Thursday), (Thursday, Friday), (Friday, Saturday), (Saturday, Sunday), (Sunday, Monday). Thus, there are seven possibilities out of which last two are favourable to the occurrence of 53rd Sunday.

Hence, the required probability $=\frac{2}{7}$.

## Notes

Example 6: Find the probability of throwing a total of six in a single throw with two unbiased dice.

## Solution.

The number of exhaustive cases $\mathrm{n}=36$, because with two dice all the possible outcomes are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(1,6), \\
& (2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(2,4),(2,5),(2,6), \\
& (3,1),(3,2),(3,3),(3,4),(3,5),(3,6), \\
& (4,1),(4,2),(4,3),(4,4),(4,5),(4,6), \\
& (5,1),(5,2),(5,3),(5,4),(5,5),(5,6), \\
& (6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4),(6,5),(6,6) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Out of these outcomes the number of cases favourable to the event A of getting 6 are : $(1,5)$, $(2,4),(3,3),(4,2),(5,1)$. Thus, we have $m=5$.

$$
\therefore \quad P(A)=\frac{5}{36} .
$$

Example 7: A bag contains 15 tickets marked with numbers 1 to 15 . One ticket is drawn at random. Find the probability that
(i) the number on it is greater than 10,
(ii) the number on it is even,
(iii) the number on it is a multiple of 2 or 5 .

## Solution.

Number of exhaustive cases $n=15$
(i) Tickets with number greater than 10 are $11,12,13,14$ and 15 . Therefore, $\mathrm{m}=5$ and hence the required probability $=\frac{5}{15}=\frac{1}{3}$.
(ii) Number of even numbered tickets $\mathrm{m}=7$
$\therefore$ Required probability $=\frac{7}{15}$.
(iii) The multiple of 2 are : $2,4,6,8,10,12,14$ and the multiple of 5 are : 5, 10, 15 . $\therefore \mathrm{m}=9$ (note that 10 is repeated in both multiples will be counted only once).

Thus, the required probability $=\frac{9}{15}=\frac{3}{5}$.

### 4.2 Counting Techniques

## Notes

Counting techniques or combinatorial methods are often helpful in the enumeration of total number of outcomes of a random experiment and the number of cases favourable to the occurrence of an event.

### 4.2.1 Fundamental Principle of Counting

If the first operation can be performed in any one of the $m$ ways and then a second operation can be performed in any one of the $n$ ways, then both can be performed together in any one of the $m$ $\times$ n ways.

This rule can be generalised. If first operation can be performed in any one of the $n_{1}$ ways, second operation in any one of the $n_{2}$ ways, ...... kth operation in any one of the $n_{k}$ ways, then together these can be performed in any one of the $n_{1}{ }^{\prime} n_{2} \times \ldots . . \times n_{k}$ ways.

### 4.2.2 Permutation

A permutation is an arrangement of a given set of objects in a definite order. Thus composition and order both are important in a permutation.
(a) Permutations of $n$ objects

The total number of permutations of n distinct objects is n !. Using symbols, we can write ${ }^{n} P_{n}=\mathrm{n}$ !, (where n denotes the permutations of n objects, all taken together).
Let us assume there are n persons to be seated on n chairs. The first chair can be occupied by any one of the n persons and hence, there are n ways in which it can be occupied. Similarly, the second chair can be occupied in n-1 ways and so on. Using the fundamental principle of counting, the total number of ways in which $n$ chairs can be occupied by $n$ persons or the permutations of $n$ objects taking all at a time is given by:
${ }^{n} P_{n}=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2) . . . . .3 .2 .1=\mathrm{n}!$
(b) Permutations of $n$ objects taking $r$ at a time

In terms of the example, considered above, now we have n persons to be seated on r chairs, where $\mathrm{r} £ \mathrm{n}$.

Thus, ${ }^{n} P_{r}=n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots \ldots .[n-(r-1)]=n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots \ldots(n-r+1)$.
On multiplication and division of the R.H.S. by $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r})$ !, we get
${ }^{n} P_{r}=\frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots(n-r+1)(n-r)!}{(n-r)!}=\frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$
(c) Permutations of $n$ objects taking $r$ at a time when any object may be repeated any number of times

Here, each of the r places can be filled in $n$ ways. Therefore, total number of permutations is $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{r}}$.
(d) Permutations of $n$ objects in a circular order

Suppose that there are three persons A, B and C, to be seated on the three chairs 1, 2 and 3, in a circular order. Then, the following three arrangements are identical:

Notes


Similarly, if n objects are seated in a circle, there will be n identical arrangements of the above type. Thus, in order to obtain distinct permutation of $n$ objects in circular order we divide ${ }^{n} P_{n}$ by $n$, where ${ }^{n} P_{n}$ denotes number of permutations in a row. Hence, the number of permutations in a circular order $\frac{n!}{n}=(n-1)$ !
(e) Permutations with restrictions

If out of $n$ objects $n_{1}$ are alike of one kind, $n_{2}$ are alike of another kind, ...... $n_{k}$ are alike, the number of permutations are $\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots . n_{k}!}$

Since permutation of $n_{i}$ objects, which are alike, is only one $(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots . k)$. Therefore, $n!$ is to be divided by $n_{1}!, n_{2}!\ldots . n_{k}!$, to get the required permutations.

E
Example 8: What is the total number of ways of simultaneous throwing of (i) 3 coins, (ii) 2 dice and (iii) 2 coins and a die ?

## Solution.

(i) Each coin can be thrown in any one of the two ways, i.e, a head or a tail, therefore, the number of ways of simultaneous throwing of 3 coins $=2^{3}=8$.
(ii) Similarly, the total number of ways of simultaneous throwing of two dice is equal to $6^{2}=36$ and
(iii) the total number of ways of simultaneous throwing of 2 coins and a die is equal to $2^{2} \times 6$ $=24$.

FE=
Example 9: A person can go from Delhi to Port-Blair via Allahabad and Calcutta using following mode of transport:

| Delhi to Allahabad | Allahabad to Calcutta | Calcutta to Port-Blair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Rail | By Rail | By Air |
| By Bus | By Bus | By Ship |
| By Car | By Car |  |
| By Air | By Air |  |

In how many different ways the journey can be planned?

## Solution.

## Notes

The journey from Delhi to Port-Blair can be treated as three operations; From Delhi to Allahabad, from Allahabad to Calcutta and from Calcutta to Port-Blair. Using the fundamental principle of counting, the journey can be planned in $4 \times 4 \times 2=32$ ways.

E
Example 10: In how many ways the first, second and third prize can be given to 10 competitors?

## Solution.

There are 10 ways of giving first prize, nine ways of giving second prize and eight ways of giving third prize. Therefore, total no. ways is $10 \times 9 \times 8=720$.

Alternative method:

Here $\mathrm{n}=10$ and $\mathrm{r}=3, \quad \therefore{ }^{10} P_{3}=\frac{10!}{(10-3)!}=720$

## Example 11:

(a) There are 5 doors in a room. In how many ways can three persons enter the room using different doors?
(b) A lady is asked to rank 5 types of washing powders according to her preference. Calculate the total number of possible rankings.
(c) In how many ways 6 passengers can be seated on 15 available seats.
(d) If there are six different trains available for journey between Delhi to Kanpur, calculate the number of ways in which a person can complete his return journey by using a different train in each direction.
(e) In how many ways President, Vice-President, Secretary and Treasurer of an association can be nominated at random out of 130 members?

## Solution.

(a) The first person can use any of the 5 doors and hence can enter the room in 5 ways. Similarly, the second person can enter in 4 ways and third person can enter in 3 ways.

Thus, the total number of ways is ${ }^{5} P_{3}=\frac{5!}{2!}=60$.
(b) Total number of rankings are ${ }^{5} P_{5}=\frac{5!}{0!}=120 \cdot($ Note that $0!=1)$
(c) Total number of ways of seating 6 passengers on 15 seats are

$$
{ }^{15} P_{6}=\frac{15!}{9!}=36,03,600
$$

(d) Total number of ways of performing return journey, using different train in each direction are $6 \times 5=30$, which is also equal to ${ }^{6} P_{2}$.
(e) Total number of ways of nominating for the 4 post of association are

$$
{ }^{130} P_{4}=\frac{130!}{126!}=27,26,13,120 .
$$

## Notes

Example 12: Three prizes are awarded each for getting more than $80 \%$ marks, $98 \%$ attendance and good behaviour in the college. In how many ways the prizes can be awarded if 15 students of the college are eligible for the three prizes?

## Solution.

Note that all the three prizes can be awarded to the same student. The prize for getting more than $80 \%$ marks can be awarded in 15 ways, prize for $90 \%$ attendance can be awarded in 15 ways and prize for good behaviour can also be awarded in 15 ways.

Thus, the total number of ways is $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{r}}=15^{3}=3,375$.
Example 13:
(a) In how many ways can the letters of the word EDUCATION be arranged?
(b) In how many ways can the letters of the word STATISTICS be arranged?
(c) In how many ways can 20 students be allotted to 4 tutorial groups of 4, 5, 5 and 6 students respectively?
(d) In how many ways 10 members of a committee can be seated at a round table if (i) they can sit anywhere (ii) president and secretary must not sit next to each other?

## Solution

(a) The given word EDUCATION has 9 letters. Therefore, number of permutations of 9 letters is $9!=3,62,880$.
(b) The word STATISTICS has 10 letters in which there are $3 S^{1 \mathrm{~s}}, 3 \mathrm{~T}^{1 \mathrm{~s}}, 2 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{s}}, 1 \mathrm{~A}$ and 1 C . Thus, the required number of permutations $\frac{10!}{3!3!2!1!1!}=50,400$.
(c) Required number of permutations $\frac{20!}{4!5!5!6!}=9,77,72,87,522$
(d) (i) Number of permutations when they can sit anywhere $=(10-1)!=9!=3,62,880$.
(ii) We first find the number of permutations when president and secretary must sit together. For this we consider president and secretary as one person. Thus, the number of permutations of 9 persons at round table $=8!=40,320$.
$\therefore \quad$ The number of permutations when president and secretary must not sit together $=$ $3,62,880-40,320=3,22,560$.

## Example 14:

(a) In how many ways 4 men and 3 women can be seated in a row such that women occupy the even places?
(b) In how many ways 4 men and 4 women can be seated such that men and women occupy alternative places?

## Solution.

(a) 4 men can be seated in 4 ! ways and 3 women can be seated in 3 ! ways. Since each arrangement of men is associated with each arrangement of women, therefore, the required number of permutations $=4!3!=144$.
(b) There are two ways in which 4 men and 4 women can be seated MWMWMWMWMW or WMWMWMWMWM
$\therefore \quad$ The required number of permutations $=2.4!4!=1,152$

Example 15: There are 3 different books of economics, 4 different books of commerce and 5 different books of statistics. In how many ways these can be arranged on a shelf when
(a) all the books are arranged at random,
(b) books of each subject are arranged together,
(c) books of only statistics are arranged together, and
(d) books of statistics and books of other subjects are arranged together?

## Solution.

(a) The required number of permutations $=12$ !
(b) The economics books can be arranged in 3! ways, commerce books in 4! ways and statistics book in 5 ! ways. Further, the three groups can be arranged in 3 ! ways. $\therefore$ The required number of permutations $=3!4!5!3!=1,03,680$.
(c) Consider 5 books of statistics as one book. Then 8 books can be arranged in 8! ways and 5 books of statistics can be arranged among themselves in 5 ! ways.
$\therefore \quad$ The required number of permutations $=8!5!=48,38,400$.
(d) There are two groups which can be arranged in 2! ways. The books of other subjects can be arranged in 7 ! ways and books of statistics can be arranged in 5 ! ways. Thus, the required number of ways $=2!7!5!=12,09,600$.

### 4.2.3 Combination

When no attention is given to the order of arrangement of the selected objects, we get a combination. We know that the number of permutations of n objects taking r at a time is ${ }^{n} P_{r}$. Since $r$ objects can be arranged in $r$ ! ways, therefore, there are $r$ ! permutations corresponding to one combination. Thus, the number of combinations of $n$ objects taking $r$ at a time, denoted by
${ }^{n} C_{r}$, can be obtained by dividing ${ }^{n} P_{r}$ by r!, i.e., ${ }^{n} C_{r}=\frac{{ }^{n} P_{r}}{r!}=\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$.
Note: (a) Since ${ }^{n} C_{r}={ }^{n} C_{n-r}$, therefore, ${ }^{n} C_{r}$ is also equal to the combinations of n objects taking ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}$ ) at a time.
(b) The total number of combinations of n distinct objects taking 1, 2, ..... n respectively, at a time is ${ }^{n} C_{1}+{ }^{n} C_{2}+\ldots . .+{ }^{n} C_{n}=2^{n}-1$.

## Example 16:

(a) In how many ways two balls can be selected from 8 balls?
(b) In how many ways a group of 12 persons can be divided into two groups of 7 and 5 persons respectively?

Notes (c) A committee of 8 teachers is to be formed out of 6 science, 8 arts teachers and a physical instructor. In how many ways the committee can be formed if

1. Any teacher can be included in the committee.
2. There should be 3 science and 4 arts teachers on the committee such that (i) any science teacher and any arts teacher can be included, (ii) one particular science teacher must be on the committee, (iii) three particular arts teachers must not be on the committee?

## Solution.

(a) 2 balls can be selected from 8 balls in ${ }^{8} C_{2}=\frac{8!}{2!6!}=28$ ways.
(b) Since ${ }^{n} C_{r}={ }^{n} C_{n-r}$, therefore, the number of groups of 7 persons out of 12 is also equal to the number of groups of 5 persons out of 12 . Hence, the required number of groups is
${ }^{12} C_{7}=\frac{12!}{7!5!}=792$.
Alternative Method. We may regard 7 persons of one type and remaining 5 persons of another type. The required number of groups are equal to the number of permutations of 12 persons where 7 are alike of one type and 5 are alike of another type.
(c) 1. 8 teachers can be selected out of 15 in ${ }^{15} C_{8}=\frac{15!}{8!7!}=6,435$ ways.
2. (i) 3 science teachers can be selected out of 6 teachers in ${ }^{6} C_{3}$ ways and 4 arts teachers can be selected out of 8 in ${ }^{8} C_{4}$ ways and the physical instructor can be selected in ${ }^{1} C_{1}$ way. Therefore, the required number of ways $={ }^{6} C_{3} \times{ }^{8} C_{4} \times{ }^{1} C_{1}=$ $20 \times 70 \times 1=1400$.
(ii) 2 additional science teachers can be selected in ${ }^{5} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ways. The number of selections of other teachers is same as in (i) above. Thus, the required number of ways $={ }^{5} C_{2} \times{ }^{8} C_{4} \times{ }^{1} C_{1}=10 \times 70 \times 1=700$.
(iii) 3 science teachers can be selected in ${ }^{6} C_{3}$ ways and 4 arts teachers out of remaining 5 arts teachers can be selected in ${ }^{5} C_{4}$ ways.
$\therefore$ The required number of ways $={ }^{6} C_{3} \times{ }^{5} C_{4}=20 \times 5=100$.

### 4.2.4 Ordered Partitions

1. Ordered Partitions (distinguishable objects)
(a) The total number of ways of putting n distinct objects into r compartments which are marked as $1,2, \ldots . . . r$ is equal to $r^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Since first object can be put in any of the $r$ compartments in $r$ ways, second can be put in any of the r compartments in r ways and so on.
(b) The number of ways in which $n$ objects can be put into $r$ compartments such that the first compartment contains $n_{1}$ objects, second contains $n_{2}$ objects and so on the rth compartment contains $n_{r}$ objects, where $n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots \ldots+n_{r}=n$, is given by $\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots \ldots n_{r}!}$.

To illustrate this, let $\mathrm{r}=3$. Then $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ objects in the first compartment can be put in Notes ${ }^{n} C_{n_{1}}$ ways. Out of the remaining $n-n_{1}$ objects, $n_{2}$ objects can be put in the second compartment in ${ }^{n-n_{1}} C_{n_{2}}$ ways. Finally the remaining $n-n_{1}-n_{2}=n_{3}$ objects can be put in the third compartment in one way. Thus, the required number of ways is

$$
{ }^{n} C_{n_{1}} \times{ }^{n-n_{1}} C_{n_{2}}=\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!n_{3}!}
$$

2. Ordered Partitions (identical objects)
(a) The total number of ways of putting $n$ identical objects into $r$ compartments marked as $1,2, \ldots . . \mathrm{r}$, is ${ }^{n+r-1} C_{r-1}$, where each compartment may have none or any number of objects.
We can think of $n$ objects being placed in a row and partitioned by the $(r-1)$ vertical lines into $r$ compartments. This is equivalent to permutations of $(n+r-1)$ objects out of which $n$ are of one type and $(r-1)$ of another type. The required number of permutations are $\frac{(n+r-1)!}{n!(r-1)!}$, which is equal to ${ }^{(n+r-1)} C_{n}$ or ${ }^{(n+r-1)} C_{(r-1)}$.
(b) The total number of ways of putting n identical objects into r compartments is ${ }^{(n-r)+(r-1)} C_{(r-1)}$ or ${ }^{(n-1)} C_{(r-1)}$, where each compartment must have at least one object.

In order that each compartment must have at least one object, we first put one object in each of the r compartments. Then the remaining ( $n-r$ ) objects can be placed as in (a) above.
(c) The formula, given in (b) above, can be generalised. If each compartment is supposed to have at least k objects, the total number of ways is ${ }^{(n-k r)+(r-1)} C_{(r-1)}$, where $\mathrm{k}=0,1,2$, .... etc. such that $k<\frac{n}{r}$. all the ladies are sitting next to each other?

## Solution.

Eight persons can be seated in a row in 8 ! ways.
We can treat 4 ladies as one person. Then, five persons can be seated in a row in 5 ! ways. Further, 4 ladies can be seated among themselves in 4 ! ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{5!4!}{8!}=\frac{1}{14}$.

$=\bar{E}$
Example 18:12 persons are seated at random (i) in a row, (ii) in a ring. Find the probabilities that three particular persons are sitting together.

## Solution.

(i) The required probability $=\frac{10!3!}{12!}=\frac{1}{22}$.
(ii) The required probability $=\frac{9!3!}{11!}=\frac{3}{55}$.

## Notes

Example 19: 5 red and 2 black balls, each of different sizes, are randomly laid down in a row. Find the probability that
(i) the two end balls are black,
(ii) there are three red balls between two black balls and
(iii) the two black balls are placed side by side.

## Solution.

The seven balls can be placed in a row in 7 ! ways.
(i) The black can be placed at the ends in 2! ways and, in-between them, 5 red balls can be placed in 5! ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{2!5!}{7!}=\frac{1}{21}$.
(ii) We can treat BRRRB as one ball. Therefore, this ball along with the remaining two balls can be arranged in 3 ! ways. The sequence BRRRB can be arranged in 2 ! 3 ! ways and the three red balls of the sequence can be obtained from 5 balls in ${ }^{5} C_{3}$ ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{3!2!3!}{7!} \times{ }^{5} C_{3}=\frac{1}{7}$.
(iii) The 2 black balls can be treated as one and, therefore, this ball along with 5 red balls can be arranged in 6 ! ways. Further, 2 black ball can be arranged in 2 ! ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{6!2!}{7!}=\frac{2}{7}$.

Example 20: Each of the two players, A and B, get 26 cards at random. Find the probability that each player has an equal number of red and black cards.

## Solution.

Each player can get 26 cards at random in ${ }^{52} C_{26}$ ways.
In order that a player gets an equal number of red and black cards, he should have 13 cards of each colour, note that there are 26 red cards and 26 black cards in a pack of playing cards. This can
be done in ${ }^{26} C_{13} \times{ }^{26} C_{13}$ ways. Hence, the required probability $=\frac{{ }^{26} C_{13} \times{ }^{26} C_{13}}{{ }^{52} C_{26}}$.
E
Example 21: 8 distinguishable marbles are distributed at random into 3 boxes marked as 1,2 and 3 . Find the probability that they contain 3, 4 and 1 marbles respectively.

## Solution.

Since the first, second .... 8th marble, each, can go to any of the three boxes in 3 ways, the total number of ways of putting 8 distinguishable marbles into three boxes is $3^{8}$.

The number of ways of putting the marbles, so that the first box contains 3 marbles, second contains 4 and the third contains 1 , are $\frac{8!}{3!4!1!}$
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{8!}{3!4!1!} \times \frac{1}{3^{8}}=\frac{280}{6561}$.
Notes
$=\equiv$
Example 22: 12 'one rupee' coins are distributed at random among 5 beggars A, B, C, D and E. Find the probability that:
(i) They get 4, 2, 0, 5 and 1 coins respectively.
(ii) Each beggar gets at least two coins.
(iii) None of them goes empty handed.

Solution.
The total number of ways of distributing 12 one rupee coins among 5 beggars are ${ }^{12+5-1} C_{5-1}={ }^{16} C_{4}=1820$.
(i) Since the distribution $4,2,0,5,1$ is one way out of 1820 ways, the required probability $=\frac{1}{1820}$.
(ii) After distributing two coins to each of the five beggars, we are left with two coins, which can be distributed among five beggars in ${ }^{2+5-1} C_{5-1}={ }^{6} C_{4}=15$ ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{15}{1820}=\frac{3}{364}$.
(iii) No beggar goes empty handed if each gets at least one coin. 7 coins, that are left after giving one coin to each of the five beggars, can be distributed among five beggars in ${ }^{7+5-1} C_{5-1}={ }^{11} C_{4}=330$ ways.
$\therefore$ The required probability $=\frac{330}{1820}=\frac{33}{182}$.

### 4.3 Statistical or Empirical definition of Probability

The scope of the classical definition was found to be very limited as it failed to determine the probabilities of certain events in the following circumstances:
(i) When $n$, the exhaustive outcomes of a random experiment is infinite.
(ii) When actual value of n is not known.
(iii) When various outcomes of a random experiment are not equally likely.

In addition to the above this definition doesn't lead to any mathematical treatment of probability.
In view of the above shortcomings of the classical definition, an attempt was made to establish a correspondence between relative frequency and the probability of an event when the total number of trials become sufficiently large.

### 4.3.1 Definition (R. Von Mises)

If an experiment is repeated $n$ times, under essentially the identical conditions and, if, out of these trials, an event A occurs m times, then the probability that A occurs is given by $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{n}$, provided the limit exists.

Notes This definition of probability is also termed as the empirical definition because the probability of an event is obtained by actual experimentation.

Although, it is seldom possible to obtain the limit of the relative frequency, the ratio $\frac{m}{n}$ can be regarded as a good approximation of the probability of an event for large values of $n$.

This definition also suffers from the following shortcomings :
(i) The conditions of the experiment may not remain identical, particularly when the number of trials is sufficiently large.
(ii) The relative frequency, $\frac{m}{n}$, may not attain a unique value no matter how large is the total number of trials.
(iii) It may not be possible to repeat an experiment a large number of times.
(iv) Like the classical definition, this definition doesn't lead to any mathematical treatment of probability.

### 4.4 Summary of Formulae

1. (a) The number of permutations of $n$ objects taking $n$ at a time are $n$ !
(b) The number of permutations of n objects taking r at a time, are ${ }^{n} P_{r}=\frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$
(c) The number of permutations of $n$ objects in a circular order are ( $n-1$ )!
(d) The number of permutations of $n$ objects out of which $n_{1}$ are alike, $n_{2}$ are alike, ...... $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are alike, are $\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots n_{k}!}$
(e) The number of combinations of n objects taking r at a time are ${ }^{n} \mathrm{C}_{r}=\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$
2. (a) The probability of occurrence of at least one of the two events $A$ and $B$ is given by : $P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$.
(b) The probability of occurrence of exactly one of the events A or B is given by : $P(A \cap \bar{B})+P(\bar{A} \cap B)$ or $P(A \cup B)-P(A \cap B)$
3. (a) The probability of simultaneous occurrence of the two events $A$ and $B$ is given by:

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B / A) \text { or }=P(B) \cdot P(A / B)
$$

(b) If A and B are independent $P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)$.

### 4.5 Keywords

Classical: If n is the number of equally likely, mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes of a random experiment out of which $m$ outcomes are favourable to the occurrence of an event $A$, then the probability that A occurs, denoted by $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$, is given by :

$$
P(A)=\frac{\text { Number of outcomes favourable to } A}{\text { Number of exhaustive outcomes }}=\frac{m}{n}
$$

Equally likely outcomes: The outcomes of random experiment are said to be equally likely or Notes equally probable if the occurrence of none of them is expected in preference to others. For example, if an unbiased coin is tossed, the two possible outcomes, a head or a tail are equally likely.

### 4.6 Self Assessment

Choose the appropriate answer:

1. If A and B are any two events of a sample space S , then $P(A \cup B)+P(A \cap B)$ equals
(a) $\quad P(A)+P(B)$
(b) $1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$
(c) $1-P(\bar{A} \cup \bar{B})$
(d) none of the above.
2. If $A$ and $B$ are independent and mutually exclusive events, then
(a) $\quad P(A)=P(A / B)$
(b) $\quad P(B)=P(B / A)$
(c) either $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ or $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$ or both must be zero.
(d) none of the above.
3. If A and B are independent events, then $P(A \cap B)$ equals
(a) $\quad P(A)+P(B)$
(b) $\quad P(A) \cdot P(B / A)$
(c) $\quad P(B) \cdot P(A / B)$
(d) $\quad P(A) \cdot P(B)$
4. If A and B are independent events, then $P(A \cup B)$ equals
(a) $\quad P(A) \cdot P(B)+P(B)$
(b) $\quad P(A) \cdot P(\bar{B})+P(B)$
(c) $\quad P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B})+P(A)$
(d) none of the above.
5. If A and B are two events such that $P(A \cup B)=\frac{5}{6}, P(A \cap B)=\frac{1}{3}, P(\bar{A})=\frac{1}{3}$, the events are
(a) dependent
(b) independent
(c) mutually exclusive
(d) none of the above.
6. Four dice and six coins are tossed simultaneously. The number of elements in the sample space are
(a) $4^{6} \times 6^{2}$ (b) $2^{6} \times 6^{2}$ (c) $6^{4} \times 2^{6}$ (d) none of these.

## Notes

### 4.7 Review Questions

1. Define the term 'probability' by (a) The Classical Approach, (b) The Statistical Approach. What are the main limitations of these approaches?
2. Discuss the axiomatic approach to probability. In what way it is an improvement over classical and statistical approaches?
3. Distinguish between objective probability and subjective probability. Give one example of each concept.
4. State and prove theorem of addition of probabilities for two events when (a) they are not independent, (b) they are independent.
5. Explain the meaning of conditional probability. State and prove the multiplication rule of probability of two events when (a) they are not independent, (b) they are independent.
6. Explain the concept of independence and mutually exclusiveness of two events A and B. If $A$ and $B$ are independent events, then prove that $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are also independent.
(b) For two events A and B it is given that

$$
P(A)=0.4, \quad P(B)=p, \quad P(A \cup B)=0.6
$$

(i) Find the value of p so that A and B are independent.
(ii) Find the value of p so that A and B are mutually exclusive.
7. Explain the meaning of a statistical experiment and corresponding sample space. Write down the sample space of an experiment of simultaneous toss of two coins and a die.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (a) 2. (c) 3. (d) 4. (b) 5. (b) 6. (c)

### 4.8 Further Readings

Books Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Explain Axiomatic or Modern Approach to Probability
- Describe Theorems on Probability
- Discuss Theorems on Probability (Contd.)


## Introduction

In last unit, you have studied about probability. A phenomenon or an experiment which can result into more than one possible outcome, is called a random phenomenon or random experiment or statistical experiment. Although, we may be aware of all the possible outcomes of a random experiment, it is not possible to predetermine the outcome associated with a particular experimentation or trial.
Consider, for example, the toss of a coin. The result of a toss can be a head or a tail, therefore, it is a random experiment. Here we know that either a head or a tail would occur as a result of the toss, however, it is not possible to predetermine the outcome. With the use of probability theory, it is possible to assign a quantitative measure, to express the extent of uncertainty, associated with the occurrence of each possible outcome of a random experiment.

### 5.1 Axiomatic or Modern Approach to Probability

This approach was introduced by the Russian mathematician, A. Kolmogorov in 1930s. In his book, 'Foundations of Probability' published in 1933, he introduced probability as a function of the outcomes of an experiment, under certain restrictions. These restrictions are known as Postulates or Axioms of probability theory. Before discussing the above approach to probability, we shall explain certain concepts that are necessary for its understanding.

## Notes Sample Space

It is the set of all possible outcomes of a random experiment. Each element of the set is called a sample point or a simple event or an elementary event. The sample space of a random experiment is denoted by S and its element are denoted by $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots .$. . n. Thus, a sample space having n elements can be written as :

$$
\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} .
$$

If a random experiment consists of rolling a six faced die, the corresponding sample space consists of 6 elementary events. Thus, $S=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.

Similarly, in the toss of a coin $S=\{H, T\}$.
The elements of $S$ can either be single elements or ordered pairs. For example, if two coins are tossed, each element of the sample space would consist of the set of ordered pairs, as shown below :

$$
\mathrm{S}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~T})\}
$$

## Finite and Infinite Sample Space

A sample space consisting of finite number of elements is called a finite sample space, while if the number of elements is infinite, it is called an infinite sample space. The sample spaces discussed so far are examples of finite sample spaces. As an example of infinite sample space, consider repeated toss of a coin till a head appears. Various elements of the sample space would be :

$$
\mathrm{S}=\{(\mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{H}), \ldots . . .\} .
$$

## Discrete and Continuous Sample Space

A discrete sample space consists of finite or countably infinite number of elements. The sample spaces, discussed so far, are some examples of discrete sample spaces. Contrary to this, a continuous sample space consists of an uncountable number of elements. This type of sample space is obtained when the result of an experiment is a measurement on continuous scale like measurements of weight, height, area, volume, time, etc.

## Event

An event is any subset of a sample space. In the experiment of roll of a die, the sample space is $S=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. It is possible to define various events on this sample space, as shown below :

Let $A$ be the event that an odd number appears on the die. Then $A=\{1,3,5\}$ is a subset of $S$. Further, let $B$ be the event of getting a number greater than 4 . Then $B=\{5,6\}$ is another subset of S. Similarly, if $C$ denotes an event of getting a number 3 on the die, then $C=\{3\}$.

It should be noted here that the events $A$ and $B$ are composite while $C$ is a simple or elementary event.

## Occurrence of an Event

An event is said to have occurred whenever the outcome of the experiment is an element of its set. For example, if we throw a die and obtain 5 , then both the events A and B, defined above, are said to have occurred.

It should be noted here that the sample space is certain to occur since the outcome of the experiment must always be one of its elements.

### 5.1.1 Definition of Probability (Modern Approach)

Let $S$ be a sample space of an experiment and $A$ be any event of this sample space. The probability of $A$, denoted by $P(A)$, is defined as a real value set function which associates a real value corresponding to a subset $A$ of the sample space $S$. In order that $P(A)$ denotes a probability function, the following rules, popularly known as axioms or postulates of probability, must be satisfied.

Axiom I : For any event A in sample space S , we have $0 \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$.
Axiom II : $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})=1$.
Axiom III : If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2^{\prime}} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are k mutually exclusive events (i.e., $A_{i} \bigcap_{i \neq j} A_{j}=\phi$, where $\phi$ denotes a null set) of the sample space $S$, then

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \ldots \ldots \cup A_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

The first axiom implies that the probability of an event is a non-negative number less than or equal to unity. The second axiom implies that the probability of an event that is certain to occur must be equal to unity. Axiom III gives a basic rule of addition of probabilities when events are mutually exclusive.

The above axioms provide a set of basic rules that can be used to find the probability of any event of a sample space.

## Probability of an Event

Let there be a sample space consisting of $n$ elements, i.e., $S=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$. Since the elementary events $\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are mutually exclusive, we have, according to axiom III, $P(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(e_{i}\right)$. Similarly, if $A=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots . . . e_{m}\right\}$ is any subset of $S$ consisting of $m$ elements, where $m £ n$, then $P(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} P\left(e_{i}\right)$. Thus, the probability of a sample space or an event is equal to the sum of probabilities of its elementary events.

It is obvious from the above that the probability of an event can be determined if the probabilities of elementary events, belonging to it, are known.

## The Assignment of Probabilities to various Elementary Events

The assignment of probabilities to various elementary events of a sample space can be done in any one of the following three ways :

## 1. Using Classical Definition

We know that various elementary events of a random experiment, under the classical definition, are equally likely and, therefore, can be assigned equal probabilities. Thus, if there are $n$ elementary events in the sample space of an experiment and in view of the fact that $P(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(e_{i}\right)=1$ (from axiom II), we can assign a probability equal to $\frac{1}{n}$ to every elementary event or, using symbols, we can write $P\left(e_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{n}$.

Further, if there are m elementary events in an event A, we have,

$$
P(A)=\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n}+\ldots \ldots .+\frac{1}{n}(m \text { times })=\frac{m}{n}=\frac{n(A) \text {, i.e., } n \text { number of elements in } A}{n(S), \text {,.e., } n \text { umber of elements in } S}
$$

We note that the above expression is similar to the formula obtained under classical definition.

## 2. Using Statistical Definition

Using this definition, the assignment of probabilities to various elementary events of a sample space can be done by repeating an experiment a large number of times or by using the past records.
3. Subjective Assignment

The assignment of probabilities on the basis of the statistical and the classical definitions is objective. Contrary to this, it is also possible to have subjective assignment of probabilities. Under the subjective assignment, the probabilities to various elementary events are assigned on the basis of the expectations or the degree of belief of the statistician. These probabilities, also known as personal probabilities, are very useful in the analysis of various business and economic problems where it is neither possible to repeat the experiment nor the outcomes are equally likely.

It is obvious from the above that the Modern Definition of probability is a general one which includes the classical and the statistical definitions as its particular cases. Besides this, it provides a set of mathematical rules that are useful for further mathematical treatment of the subject of probability.

### 5.2 Theorems on Probability

## Theorem 1.

$P(\phi)=0$, where $\phi$ is a null set.

## Proof.

For a sample space $S$ of an experiment, we can write $S \cup \phi=S$.
Taking probability of both sides, we have $P(S \cup \phi)=P(S)$.
Since $S$ and $\phi$ are mutually exclusive, using axiom III, we can write

$$
P(S)+P(f)=P(S) \text {. Hence, } P(f)=0
$$

## Theorem 2.

$P(\bar{A})=1-P(A)$, where $\bar{A}$ is compliment of A.
Proof.
Let $A$ be any event in the sample space $S$. We can write

$$
A \cup \bar{A}=S \text { or } \mathrm{P}(A \cup \bar{A})=P(S)
$$

Since A and $\bar{A}$ are mutually exclusive, we can write

$$
P(A)+P(\bar{A})=P(S)=1 . \text { Hence, } \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{~A}})=1-P(A)
$$

## Theorem 3.

Notes
For any two events A and B in a sample space $S$

$$
P(\bar{A} \cap B)=P(B)-P(A \cap B)
$$



## Proof.

From the Venn diagram, we can write
$B=(\bar{A} \cap B) \cup(A \cap B)$ or $P(B)=P[(\bar{A} \cap B) \cup(A \cap B)]$
Since $(\bar{A} \cap B)$ and $(A \cap B)$ are mutually exclusive, we have

$$
P(B)=P(\bar{A} \cap B)+P(A \cap B)
$$

or $P(\bar{A} \cap B)=P(B)-P(A \cap B)$.
Similarly, it can be shown that

$$
P(A \cap \bar{B})=P(A)-P(A \cap B)
$$

Additive Laws

$$
P(A \bigcup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)
$$

Proof.
From the Venn diagram, given above, we can write

$$
A \bigcup B=A \bigcup(\bar{A} \cap B) \text { or } P(A \bigcup B)=P[A \bigcup(\bar{A} \cap B)]
$$

Since A and $(\bar{A} \cap B)$ are mutually exclusive, we can write

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(\bar{A} \cap B)
$$

Substituting the value of $P(\bar{A} \cap B)$ from theorem 3, we get

$$
P(A \bigcup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)
$$

## Remarks:

1. If $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive, i.e., $A \cap B=\phi$, then according to theorem 1 , we have $P(A \cap B)=0$. The addition rule, in this case, becomes $P(A \bigcup B)=P(A)+P(B)$, which is in conformity with axiom III.
2. The event $A \bigcup B$ (i.e. A or B ) denotes the occurrence of either A or B or both. Alternatively, it implies the occurrence of at least one of the two events.
3. The event $A \bigcap B$ (i.e. A and B ) is a compound (or joint) event that denotes the simultaneous occurrence of the two events.
4. Alternatively, the event $A \cup B$ is also denoted by $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ and the event $A \cap B$ by AB .

## Corollaries:

1. From the Venn diagram, we can write $P(A \cup B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$, where $P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$ is the probability that none of the events A and B occur simultaneously.
2. $\quad P($ exactly one of $A$ and $B$ occurs $)=P[(A \cap \bar{B}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B)]$
$=P(A \cap \bar{B})+P(\bar{A} \cap B)$
$[$ Since $(A \cap \bar{B}) \cap(\bar{A} \cap B)=\phi]$
$=P(A)-P(A \cap B)+P(B)-P(A \cap B) \quad$ (using theorem 3)
$=P(A \bigcup B)-P(A \cap B) \quad$ (using theorem 4)
$=P($ at least one of the two events occur) -P (the two events occur jointly)
3. The addition theorem can be generalised for more than two events. If $A, B$ and $C$ are three events of a sample space $S$, then the probability of occurrence of at least one of them is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cup B \cup C) & =P[A \bigcup(B \cup C)]=P(A)+P(B \cup C)-P[A \cap(B \cup C)] \\
& =P(A)+P(B \cup C)-P[(A \cap B) \cup(A \cap C)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying theorem 4 on the second and third term, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
=P(A)+P(B)+P(C)-P(A \cap B)-P(A \cap C)-P(B \cap C)+P(A \cap B \cap C) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, the probability of occurrence of at least one of the three events can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \cup B \cup C)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \cap \bar{C}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If A, B and C are mutually exclusive, then equation (1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \bigcup B \cup C)=P(A)+P(B)+P(C) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2^{\prime}} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are n events of a sample space S , the respective equations (1), (2) and (3) can be modified as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \ldots \cup A_{n}\right)=\sum P\left(A_{i}\right)-\sum \sum P\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right)+\sum \sum \sum P\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j} \cap A_{k}\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n} P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{n}\right)(i \neq j \neq k, \text { etc. })  \tag{4}\\
& P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}\right)=1-P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{A}_{n}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

(if the events are mutually exclusive)
4. The probability of occurrence of at least two of the three events can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
P[(A \cap B) \cup(B \cap C) \cup(A \cap C)]=P(A \cap B)+P(B \cap C)+P(A \cap C)- \\
3 P(A \cap B \cap C)+P(A \cap B \cap C) \\
=P(A \cap B)+P(B \cap C)+P(A \cap C)-2 P(A \cap B \cap C)
\end{gathered}
$$

5. The probability of occurrence of exactly two of the three events can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
P[(A \cap B \cap \bar{C}) \cup(A \cap \bar{B} \cap C) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B \cap C)]=P[(A \cap B) \cup(B \cap C) \cup(A \cap C)] \\
-P(A \cap B \cap C) \text { (using corollary 2) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$=\mathrm{P}$ (occurrence of at least two events) -P (joint occurrence of three events)
$=P(A \cap B)+P(B \cap C)+P(A \cap C)-3 P(A \cap B \cap C)$ (using corollary 4)
6. The probability of occurrence of exactly one of the three events can be written as $P[(A \cap \bar{B} \cap \bar{C}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B \cap \bar{C}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \cap C)]=\mathrm{P}$ (at least one of the three events occur) $\mathrm{P}($ at least two of the three events occur).
$=P(A)+P(B)+P(C)-2 P(A \cap B)-3 P(B \cap C)-2 P(A \cap C)+3 P(A \cap B \cap C)$.

$=E$
Example 23: In a group of 1,000 persons, there are 650 who can speak Hindi, 400 can speak English and 150 can speak both Hindi and English. If a person is selected at random, what is the probability that he speaks (i) Hindi only, (ii) English only, (iii) only one of the two languages, (iv) at least one of the two languages?

## Solution.

Let A denote the event that a person selected at random speaks Hindi and B denotes the event that he speaks English.

Thus, we have $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{A})=650, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{B})=400, n(A \cap B)=150$ and $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{S})=1000$, where $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{A}), \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{B})$, etc. denote the number of persons belonging to the respective event.
(i) The probability that a person selected at random speaks Hindi only, is given by

$$
P(A \cap \bar{B})=\frac{n(A)}{n(S)}-\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(S)}=\frac{650}{1000}-\frac{150}{1000}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

(ii) The probability that a person selected at random speaks English only, is given by

$$
P(\bar{A} \cap B)=\frac{n(B)}{n(S)}-\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(S)}=\frac{400}{1000}-\frac{150}{1000}=\frac{1}{4}
$$

(iii) The probability that a person selected at random speaks only one of the languages, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P[(A \cap \bar{B}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B)]=P(A)+P(B)-2 P(A \cap B) \quad \text { (see corollary 2) } \\
& \quad=\frac{n(A)+n(B)-2 n(A \cap B)}{n(S)}=\frac{650+400-300}{1000}=\frac{3}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) The probability that a person selected at random speaks at least one of the languages, is given by

$$
P(A \cup B)=\frac{650+400-150}{1000}=\frac{9}{10}
$$

## Alternative Method

The above probabilities can easily be computed by the following nine-square table :

|  | $B$ | $\bar{B}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 150 | 500 | 650 |
| $\bar{A}$ | 250 | 100 | 350 |
| Total | 400 | 600 | 1000 |
|  |  |  |  |

Notes From the above table, we can write
(i) $\quad P(A \cap \bar{B})=\frac{500}{1000}=\frac{1}{2}$
(ii) $\quad P(\bar{A} \cap B)=\frac{250}{1000}=\frac{1}{4}$
(iii) $\quad P[(A \cap \bar{B}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B)]=\frac{500+250}{1000}=\frac{3}{4}$
(iv) $P(A \cup B)=\frac{150+500+250}{1000}=\frac{9}{10}$

This can, alternatively, be written as $P(A \cup B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})=1-\frac{100}{1000}=\frac{9}{10}$.
EF
Example 24: What is the probability of drawing a black card or a king from a wellshuffled pack of playing cards?

## Solution.

There are 52 cards in a pack, $\therefore \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{S})=52$.
Let $A$ be the event that the drawn card is black and $B$ be the event that it is a king. We have to find $P(A \bigcup B)$.
Since there are 26 black cards, 4 kings and two black kings in a pack, we have $n(A)=26, n(B)=4$ and $n(A \cap B)=2$ Thus, $P(A \cup B)=\frac{26+4-2}{52}=\frac{7}{13}$

## Alternative Method

The given information can be written in the form of the following table:

|  | $B$ | $\bar{B}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 2 | 24 | 26 |
| $\bar{A}$ | 2 | 24 | 26 |
| Total | 4 | 48 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above, we can write

$$
P(A \bigcup B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})=1-\frac{24}{52}=\frac{7}{13}
$$

E
Example 25: A pair of unbiased dice is thrown. Find the probability that (i) the sum of spots is either 5 or 10, (ii) either there is a doublet or a sum less than 6.

## Solution.

Since the first die can be thrown in 6 ways and the second also in 6 ways, therefore, both can be thrown in 36 ways (fundamental principle of counting). Since both the dice are given to be unbiased, 36 elementary outcomes are equally likely.
(i) Let $A$ be the event that the sum of spots is 5 and $B$ be the event that their sum is 10 . Thus,

Notes we can write
$\mathrm{A}=\{(1,4),(2,3),(3,2),(4,1)\}$ and $\mathrm{B}=\{(4,6),(5,5),(6,4)\}$
We note that $(A \cap B)=\phi$, i.e. A and B are mutually exclusive.
$\therefore$ By addition theorem, we have $P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)=\frac{4}{36}+\frac{3}{36}=\frac{7}{36}$.
(ii) Let C be the event that there is a doublet and D be the event that the sum is less than 6 . Thus, we can write
$C=\{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(4,4),(5,5),(6,6)\}$ and
$\mathrm{D}=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2),(4,1)\}$
Further, $(C \cap D)=\{(1,1),(2,2)\}$

By addition theorem, we have $P(C \cup D)=\frac{6}{36}+\frac{10}{36}-\frac{2}{36}=\frac{7}{18}$.
Alternative Methods:
(i) It is given that $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{A})=4, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{B})=3$ and $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{S})=36$. Also $n(A \cap B)=0$. Thus, the corresponding nine-square table can be written as follows:

|  | $B$ |  | $\bar{r}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $A$ | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| $\bar{A}$ | 3 | 29 | 32 |
| Total | 3 | 33 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above table, we have $P(A \cup B)=1-\frac{29}{36}=\frac{7}{36}$.
(ii) Here $n(C)=6, n(D)=10, n(C \cap D)=2$ and $n(S)=36$. Thus, we have

|  | $C$ |  | $\bar{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $D$ | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| $\bar{D}$ | 4 | 22 | 26 |
| Total | 6 | 30 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |

Thus, $P(C \cup D)=1-P(\bar{C} \cap \bar{D})=1-\frac{22}{36}=\frac{7}{18}$.
E =
Example 26: Two unbiased coins are tossed. Let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ be the event that the first coin shows a tail and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ be the event that the second coin shows a head. Are $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ mutually exclusive? Obtain $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)$ and $P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)$. Further, let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ be the event that both coins show heads and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ be the event that both show tails. Are $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ mutually exclusive? Find $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)$ and $P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)$.

## Notes

## Solution.

The sample space of the experiment is $\mathrm{S}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}$
(i) $\quad \mathrm{A}_{1}=\{(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H})\}$

Also $\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\{(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H})\}$, Since $A_{1} \cap A_{2} \neq \phi, \mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are not mutually exclusive. Further, the coins are given to be unbiased, therefore, all the elementary events are equally likely.
$\therefore P\left(A_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{4}=\frac{1}{2}, P\left(A_{2}\right)=\frac{2}{4}=\frac{1}{2}, P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}$
Thus, $P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{3}{4}$.
(ii) When both the coins show heads; $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\}$

When both the coins show tails; $\mathrm{A}_{2}=\{(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}$
Here $A_{1} \cap A_{2}=\phi, \quad \therefore A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are mutually exclusive.
Thus, $P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{2}$.
Alternatively, the problem can also be attempted by making the following nine-square tables for the two cases :

| (i) | $A_{2}$ | $\bar{A}$ | Total | (ii) | $A_{2}$ | $\bar{A}_{2}$ Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\bar{A}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |

## Theorem 5. Multiplication or Compound Probability Theorem

A compound event is the result of the simultaneous occurrence of two or more events. For convenience, we assume that there are two events, however, the results can be easily generalised. The probability of the compound event would depend upon whether the events are independent or not. Thus, we shall discuss two theorems; (a) Conditional Probability Theorem, and (b) Multiplicative Theorem for Independent Events.
(a) Conditional Probability Theorem

For any two events A and B in a sample space S, the probability of their simultaneous occurrence, is given by
or equivalently

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) P(B / A)
$$

Here, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A})$ is the conditional probability of B given that A has already occurred. Similar interpretation can be given to the term $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B})$.

## Proof.

Let all the outcomes of the random experiment be equally likely. Therefore,

$$
P(A \cap B)=\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(S)}=\frac{\text { no. of elements in }(A \cap B)}{\text { no. of elements in sample space }}
$$

For the event $B / A$, the sample space is the set of elements in $A$ and out of these the number of cases favourable to B is given by $n(A \cap B)$.
$\therefore \quad P(B / A)=\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(A)}$.
If we multiply the numerator and denominator of the above expression by $n(S)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(B / A)=\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(A)} \times \frac{n(S)}{n(S)}=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} \\
& \quad \text { or } \quad P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B / A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other result can also be shown in a similar way.

京
Notes
To avoid mathematical complications, we have assumed that the elementary events are equally likely. However, the above results will hold true even for the cases where the elementary events are not equally likely.
(b) Multiplicative Theorem for Independent Events

If A and B are independent, the probability of their simultaneous occurrence is given by

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)
$$

Proof.
We can write $A=(A \cap B) \bigcup(A \cap \bar{B})$.
Since $(A \cap B)$ and $(A \cap \bar{B})$ are mutually exclusive, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A)=P(A \cap B)+P(A \cap \bar{B}) \quad \text { (by axiom III) } \\
& \quad=P(B) \cdot P(A / B)+P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(A / \bar{B})
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A$ and $B$ are independent, then proportion of $A$ 's in $B$ is equal to proportion of $A$ 's in $\bar{B}$ 's, i.e., $P(A / B)=P(A / \bar{B})$.

Thus, the above equation can be written as

$$
P(A)=P(A / B)[P(B)+P(\bar{B})]=P(A / B)
$$

Substituting this value in the formula of conditional probability theorem, we get

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)
$$

## Remarks:

The addition theorem is used to find the probability of $A$ or $B$ i.e. $P(A \cup B)$, where as multiplicative theorem is used to find the probability of A and B i.e. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$.

## Corollaries:

1. (i) If $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive and $P(A) \cdot P(B)>0$, then they cannot be independent since $P(A \cap B)=0$.
(ii) If A and B are independent and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})>0$, then they cannot be mutually exclusive since $P(A \cap B)>0$.
2. Generalisation of Multiplicative Theorem :

If $A, B$ and $C$ are three events, then

$$
P(A \cap B \cap C)=P(A) \cdot P(B / A) \cdot P[C /(A \cap B)]
$$

Similarly, for n events $\mathrm{A}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{A}_{2^{\prime}}, \ldots . . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{n}\right)= & P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left[A_{3} /\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \ldots P\left[A_{n} /\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{n-1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, if $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are independent, we have

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{n}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

3. If A and B are independent, then A and $\bar{B}, \bar{A}$ and $\mathrm{B}, \bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are also independent.

We can write $P(A \cap \bar{B})=P(A)-P(A \cap B) \quad$ (by theorem 3)
$=P(A)-P(A) \cdot P(B)=P(A)[1-P(B)]=P(A) \cdot P(\bar{B})$, which shows that A and $\bar{B}$ are independent. The other results can also be shown in a similar way.
4. The probability of occurrence of at least one of the events $A_{1}, A_{2^{\prime}}, \ldots . . . A_{n^{\prime}}$ is given by

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}\right)=1-P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{A}_{n}\right)
$$

If $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are independent then their compliments will also be independent, therefore, the above result can be modified as

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{n}\right)=1-P\left(\bar{A}_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(\bar{A}_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(\bar{A}_{n}\right)
$$

## Pair-wise and Mutual Independence

Three events A, B and C are said to be mutually independent if the following conditions are simultaneously satisfied :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cap B) & =P(A) \cdot P(B), P(B \cap C)=P(B) \cdot P(C), P(A \cap C)=P(A) \cdot P(C) \\
& \text { and } P(A \cap B \cap C)=P(A) \cdot P(B) \cdot P(C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the last condition is not satisfied, the events are said to be pair-wise independent.
From the above we note that mutually independent events will always be pair-wise independent but not vice-versa.
$=\equiv$
Example 27: Among 1,000 applicants for admission to M.A. economics course in a University, 600 were economics graduates and 400 were non-economics graduates; $30 \%$ of economics graduate applicants and $5 \%$ of non-economics graduate applicants obtained admission. If an applicant selected at random is found to have been given admission, what is the probability that he/she is an economics graduate?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the applicant selected at random is an economics graduate and B be the event that he/she is given admission.

We are given $n(S)=1000, n(A)=600, n(\bar{A})=400$
Notes

Also, $n(B)=\frac{600 \times 30}{100}+\frac{400 \times 5}{100}=200$ and $n(A \cap B)=\frac{600 \times 30}{100}=180$
Thus, the required probability is given by $P(A / B)=\frac{n(A \cap B)}{n(B)}=\frac{180}{200}=\frac{9}{10}$
Alternative Method :
Writing the given information in a nine-square table, we have:

|  | B | $\bar{B}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 180 | 420 | 600 |
| $\bar{A}$ | 20 | 380 | 400 |
| Total | 200 | 800 | 1000 |

From the above table we can write $P(A / B)=\frac{180}{200}=\frac{9}{10}$


Example 28: A bag contains 2 black and 3 white balls. Two balls are drawn at random one after the other without replacement. Obtain the probability that (a) Second ball is black given that the first is white, (b) First ball is white given that the second is black.

## Solution.

First ball can be drawn in any one of the 5 ways and then a second ball can be drawn in any one of the 4 ways. Therefore, two balls can be drawn in $5 \times 4=20$ ways. Thus, $n(S)=20$.
(a) Let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ be the event that first ball is white and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ be the event that second is black. We want to find $P\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right)$.

First white ball can be drawn in any of the 3 ways and then a second ball can be drawn in any of the 4 ways, $\therefore \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)=3 \times 4=12$.

Further, first white ball can be drawn in any of the 3 ways and then a black ball can be drawn in any of the 2 ways, $\therefore n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=3 \times 2=6$.

Thus, $P\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right)=\frac{n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)}{n\left(A_{1}\right)}=\frac{6}{12}=\frac{1}{2}$.
(b) Here we have to find $P\left(A_{1} / A_{2}\right)$.

The second black ball can be drawn in the following two mutually exclusive ways :
(i) First ball is white and second is black or
(ii) both the balls are black.

Thus, $\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)=3 \times 2+2 \times 1=8, \therefore P\left(A_{1} / A_{2}\right)=\frac{n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)}{n\left(A_{2}\right)}=\frac{6}{8}=\frac{3}{4}$.

## Notes Alternative Method :

The given problem can be summarised into the following nine-square table:

|  | $A_{2}$ |  | $\overline{A_{2}}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| $\bar{A}_{1}$ | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Total | 8 | 12 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |

The required probabilities can be directly written from the above table.

$5=$
Example 29: Two unbiased dice are tossed. Let w denote the number on the first die and $r$ denote the number on the second die. Let $A$ be the event that $w+r \leq 4$ and $B$ be the event that $\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{r} \leq 3$. Are A and B independent?

## Solution.

The sample space of this experiment consists of 36 elements, i.e., $n(S)=36$. Also, $A=\{(1,1),(1,2)$, $(1,3),(2,1),(2,2),(3,1)\}$ and $B=\{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1)\}$.

From the above, we can write

$$
P(A)=\frac{6}{36}=\frac{1}{6}, P(B)=\frac{3}{36}=\frac{1}{12}
$$

Also $(A \cap B)=\{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1)\} \quad \therefore \quad P(A \cap B)=\frac{3}{36}=\frac{1}{12}$
Since $P(A \cap B) \neq P(A) P(B)$, A and B are not independent.
$=\equiv$
Example 30: It is known that $40 \%$ of the students in a certain college are girls and $50 \%$ of the students are above the median height. If $2 / 3$ of the boys are above median height, what is the probability that a randomly selected student who is below the median height is a girl?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that a randomly selected student is a girl and B be the event that he/she is above median height. The given information can be summarised into the following table :

|  | $B$ |  | $\bar{c}$ Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 10 | 30 | 40 |
| $\bar{A}$ | 40 | 20 | 60 |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above table, we can write $P(A / \bar{B})=\frac{30}{50}=0.6$.

EE
Example 31: A problem in statistics is given to three students A, B and C, whose chances of solving it independently are $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ respectively. Find the probability that
(a) the problem is solved.
(b) at least two of them are able to solve the problem.
(c) exactly two of them are able to solve the problem.
(d) exactly one of them is able to solve the problem.

## Solution.

Let A be the event that student A solves the problem. Similarly, we can define the events B and C. Further, A, B and C are given to be independent.
(a) The problem is solved if at least one of them is able to solve it. This probability is given by

$$
P(A \cup B \cup C)=1-P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(\bar{C})=1-\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{4}=\frac{3}{4}
$$

(b) Here we have to find $P[(A \cap B) \cup(B \cap C) \cup(A \cap C)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P[(A \cap B) \cup(B \cap C) \cup(A \cap C)]= P(A) P(B)+ \\
& P(B) P(C)+P(A) P(C) \\
&-2 P(A) P(B) P(C) \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4}-2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{4}=\frac{7}{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) The required probability is given by $P[(A \cap B \cap \bar{C}) \cup(A \cap \bar{B} \cap C) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B \cap C)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(A) \cdot P(B)+P(B) \cdot P(C)+P(A) \cdot P(C)-3 P(A) \cdot P(B) \cdot P(C) \\
& =\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{8}=\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) The required probability is given by $P[(A \cap \bar{B} \cap \bar{C}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B \cap \bar{C}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \cap C)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= P(A)+P(B)+ \\
& P(C)-2 P(A) \cdot P(B)-2 P(B) \cdot P(C) \\
&-2 P(A) \cdot P(C)+3 P(A) \cdot P(B) \cdot P(C) \\
&=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}=\frac{11}{24} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the formulae used in (a), (b), (c) and (d) above are the modified forms of corollaries (following theorem 4) 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

舀
Example 32: A bag contains 2 red and 1 black ball and another bag contains 2 red and 2 black balls. One ball is selected at random from each bag. Find the probability of drawing (a) at least a red ball, (b) a black ball from the second bag given that ball from the first is red; (c) show that the event of drawing a red ball from the first bag and the event of drawing a red ball from the second bag are independent.

## Solution.

Let $A_{1}$ be the event of drawing a red ball from the first bag and $A_{2}$ be the event of drawing a red ball from the second bag. Thus, we can write:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=2 \times 2=4, & n\left(A_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)=2 \times 2=4, \\
n\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=1 \times 2=2, & n\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)=1 \times 2=2
\end{array}
$$

Notes
Also, $n(S)=n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)+n\left(A_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)+n\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)+n\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)=12$
Writing the given information in the form of a nine-square table, we get

|  | $A_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{A}_{2}$ | Total |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $\bar{A}_{1}$ | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 |  |
| Total | 6 | 6 |

(a) The probability of drawing at least a red ball is given by

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=1-\frac{n\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)}{n(S)}=1-\frac{2}{12}=\frac{5}{6}
$$

(b) We have to find $P\left(\bar{A}_{2} / A_{1}\right)$

$$
P\left(\bar{A}_{2} / A_{1}\right)=\frac{n\left(A_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)}{n\left(A_{1}\right)}=\frac{4}{8}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

(c) $\quad \mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ will be independent if $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{2}\right)$

Now $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\frac{n\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)}{n(S)}=\frac{4}{12}=\frac{1}{3}$

$$
P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(A_{2}\right)=\frac{n\left(A_{1}\right)}{n(S)} \cdot \frac{n\left(A_{2}\right)}{n(S)}=\frac{8}{12} \times \frac{6}{12}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

Hence, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are independent.
E=E
Example 33: An urn contains 3 red and 2 white balls. 2 balls are drawn at random. Find the probability that either both of them are red or both are white.

## Solution.

Let A be the event that both the balls are red and B be the event that both the balls are white. Thus, we can write
$n(S)={ }^{5} C_{2}=10, n(A)={ }^{3} C_{2}=3, n(B)={ }^{2} C_{2}=1$, also $n(A \cap B)=0$
$\therefore$ The required probability is $P(A \cup B)=\frac{n(A)+n(B)}{n(S)}=\frac{3+1}{10}=\frac{2}{5}$
Example 34: A bag contains 10 red and 8 black balls. Two balls are drawn at random. Find the probability that (a) both of them are red, (b) one is red and the other is black.

## Solution.

Let A be the event that both the balls are red and B be the event that one is red and the other is black.
Two balls can be drawn from 18 balls in ${ }^{18} C_{2}$ equally likely ways.

$$
\therefore \quad n(S)={ }^{18} C_{2}=\frac{18!}{2!16!}=153
$$

(a) Two red balls can be drawn from 10 red balls in ${ }^{10} C_{2}$ ways.
$\therefore \quad n(A)={ }^{10} C_{2}=\frac{10!}{2!8!}=45$

Thus, $\quad P(A)=\frac{n(A)}{n(S)}=\frac{45}{153}=\frac{5}{17}$
(b) One red ball can be drawn in ${ }^{10} C_{1}$ ways and one black ball can be drawn in ${ }^{8} C_{1}$ ways.
$\therefore n(B)={ }^{10} C_{1} \times{ }^{8} C_{1}=10 \times 8=80$ Thus, $P(B)=\frac{80}{153}$
E
Example 35:
Five cards are drawn in succession and without replacement from an ordinary deck of 52 wellshuffled cards:
(a) What is the probability that there will be no ace among the five cards?
(b) What is the probability that first three cards are aces and the last two cards are kings?
(c) What is the probability that only first three cards are aces?
(d) What is the probability that an ace will appear only on the fifth draw?

## Solution.

(a) $\quad P($ there is no ace $)=\frac{48 \times 47 \times 46 \times 45 \times 44}{52 \times 51 \times 50 \times 49 \times 48}=0.66$
(b) $\quad P\binom{$ first three card are aces and }{ the last two are kings }$=\frac{4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 4 \times 3}{52 \times 51 \times 50 \times 49 \times 48}=0.0000009$
(c) $\quad P($ only first three card are aces $)=\frac{4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 48 \times 47}{52 \times 51 \times 50 \times 49 \times 48}=0.00017$
(d) $\quad P\binom{$ an ace appears only }{ on the fifth draw }$=\frac{48 \times 47 \times 46 \times 45 \times 4}{52 \times 51 \times 50 \times 49 \times 48}=0.059$

E

## Example 36:

Two cards are drawn in succession from a pack of 52 well-shuffled cards. Find the probability that:
(a) Only first card is a king.
(b) First card is jack of diamond or a king.
(c) At least one card is a picture card.
(d) Not more than one card is a picture card.
(e) Cards are not of the same suit.

Notes
(f) Second card is not a spade.
(g) Second card is not a spade given that first is a spade.
(h) The cards are aces or diamonds or both.

## Solution.

(a) $P($ only first card is a king $)=\frac{4 \times 48}{52 \times 51}=\frac{16}{221}$.
(b) $P\binom{$ first card is a jack of }{ diamond or a king }$=\frac{5 \times 51}{52 \times 51}=\frac{5}{52}$.
(c) $P\binom{$ at least one card is }{ a picture card }$=1-\frac{40 \times 39}{52 \times 51}=\frac{7}{17}$.
(d) $P\binom{$ not more than one card }{ is a picture card }$=\frac{40 \times 39}{52 \times 51}+\frac{12 \times 40}{52 \times 51}+\frac{40 \times 12}{52 \times 51}=\frac{210}{221}$.
(e) $P($ cards are not of the same suit $)=\frac{52 \times 39}{52 \times 51}=\frac{13}{17}$.
(f) $P($ second card is not a spade $)=\frac{13 \times 39}{52 \times 51}+\frac{39 \times 38}{52 \times 51}=\frac{3}{4}$.
(g) $P\binom{$ second card is not a spade }{ given that first is spade }$=\frac{39}{51}=\frac{13}{17}$.
(h) $P\binom{$ the cards are aces or }{ diamonds or both }$=\frac{16 \times 15}{52 \times 51}=\frac{20}{221}$.

Example 37: The odds are 9:7 against a person A, who is now 35 years of age, living till he is 65 and $3: 2$ against a person $B$, now 45 years of age, living till he is 75 . Find the chance that at least one of these persons will be alive 30 years hence.

## Solution.

Note: If a is the number of cases favourable to an event $A$ and a is the number of cases favourable to its compliment event $(a+\mathrm{a}=n)$, then odds in favour of $A$ are $a: \mathrm{a}$ and odds against $A$ are $\mathrm{a}: a$.

$$
\text { Obviously } P(A)=\frac{a}{a+\alpha} \text { and } P(\bar{A})=\frac{\alpha}{a+\alpha} .
$$

Let $A$ be the event that person $A$ will be alive 30 years hence and $B$ be the event that person $B$ will be alive 30 years hence.

$$
\therefore \quad P(A)=\frac{7}{9+7}=\frac{7}{16} \text { and } P(B)=\frac{2}{3+2}=\frac{2}{5}
$$

We have to find $P(A \cup B)$. Note that A and B are independent.

$$
\therefore \quad P(A \cup B)=\frac{7}{16}+\frac{2}{5}-\frac{7}{16} \times \frac{2}{5}=\frac{53}{80}
$$

Alternative Method:

$$
P(A \cup B)=1-\frac{9}{16} \times \frac{3}{5}=\frac{53}{80}
$$

E Example 38: If A and B are two events such that $P(A \cap B)=\frac{1}{3}$, find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}), P(A \cup B)$, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}), \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}), P(\bar{A} \cup B), P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$ and $P(\bar{B})$. Also examine whether the events A and B are : (a) Equally likely, (b) Exhaustive, (c) Mutually exclusive, and (d) Independent.

## Solution.

The probabilities of various events are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(B)=P(\bar{A} \cap B)+P(A \cap B)=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{2} \\
P(A \cup B)=\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{5}{6} \\
P(A / B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{2}{1}=\frac{2}{3} \\
P(B / A)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \\
P(\bar{A} \cup B)=P(\bar{A})+P(B)-P(\bar{A} \cap B)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}=\frac{2}{3} \\
P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})=1-P(A \bigcup B)=1-\frac{5}{6}=\frac{1}{6} \\
P(\bar{B})=1-P(B)=1-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Since $P(A) \neq P(B)$, $A$ and $B$ are not equally likely events.
(b) Since $P(A \cup B) \neq 1$, A and B are not exhaustive events.
(c) Since $P(A \cap B) \neq 0$, A and B are not mutually exclusive.
(d) Since $P(A) P(B)=P(A \cap B)$, A and B are independent events.

EF
Example 39: Two players A and B toss an unbiased die alternatively. He who first throws a six wins the game. If A begins, what is the probability that $B$ wins the game?

## Solution.

Let $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ be the respective events that $A$ and $B$ throw a six in $i$ th toss, $i=1,2, \ldots$. $B$ will win the game if any one of the following mutually exclusive events occur: $\bar{A}_{1} B_{1}$ or $\bar{A}_{1} \bar{B}_{1} \bar{A}_{2} B_{2}$ or $\bar{A}_{1} \bar{B}_{1} \bar{A}_{2} \bar{B}_{2} \bar{A}_{3} B_{3}$, etc.

Notes
Thus, $P(B$ wins $)=\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\ldots .$.

$$
=\frac{5}{36}\left[1+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{4}+\ldots \ldots\right]=\frac{5}{36} \times \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}}=\frac{5}{11}
$$

$=\equiv$Example 40: A bag contains 5 red and 3 black balls and second bag contains 4 red and 5 black balls.
(a) If one ball is selected at random from each bag, what is the probability that both of them are of same colour?
(b) If a bag is selected at random and two balls are drawn from it, what is the probability that they are of (i) same colour, (ii) different colours?

## Solution.

(a) Required Probability $=\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { Probability that ball } \\ \text { from both bags are red }\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { Probability that balls } \\ \text { from both bags are black }\end{array}\right]$

$$
=\frac{5}{8} \times \frac{4}{9}+\frac{3}{8} \times \frac{5}{9}=\frac{35}{72}
$$

(b) Let A be the event that first bag is drawn so that $\bar{A}$ denotes the event that second bag is drawn. Since the two events are equally likely, mutually exclusive and exhaustive, we have $P(A)=P(\bar{A})=\frac{1}{2}$.
(i) Let R be the event that two drawn balls are red and $B$ be the event that they are black. The required probability is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(A)[P(R / A)+P(B / A)]+P(\bar{A})[P(R / \bar{A})+P(B / \bar{A})] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{{ }^{5} C_{2}+{ }^{3} C_{2}}{{ }^{8} C_{2}}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{{ }^{4} C_{2}+{ }^{5} C_{2}}{{ }^{9} C_{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{10+3}{28}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{6+10}{36}\right]=\frac{229}{504}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Let C denote the event that the drawn balls are of different colours. The required probability is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(C) & =P(A) P(C / A)+P(\bar{A}) P(C / \bar{A}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{5 \times 3}{{ }^{8} C_{2}}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{4 \times 5}{{ }^{9} C_{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{15}{28}+\frac{20}{36}\right]=\frac{275}{504}
\end{aligned}
$$

E $=$
Example 41: There are two urns $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2} . \mathrm{U}_{1}$ contains 9 white and 4 red balls and $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ contains 3 white and 6 red balls. Two balls are transferred from $U_{1}$ to $U_{2}$ and then a ball is drawn from $U_{2}$. What is the probability that it is a white ball?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the two transferred balls are white, B be the event that they are red and C be the event that one is white and the other is red. Further, let $W$ be the event that a white ball
is drawn from $\mathrm{U}_{2}$. The event W can occur with any one of the mutually exclusive events $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C .

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W) & =P(A) \cdot P(W / A)+P(B) P(W / B)+P(C) P(W / C) \\
& =\frac{{ }^{9} C_{2}}{{ }^{13} C_{2}} \times \frac{5}{11}+\frac{{ }^{4} C_{2}}{{ }^{13} C_{2}} \times \frac{3}{11}+\frac{9 \times 4}{{ }^{13} C_{2}} \times \frac{4}{11}=\frac{57}{143}
\end{aligned}
$$

E
Example 42: A bag contains tickets numbered as 112, 121, 211 and 222. One ticket is drawn at random from the bag. Let $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ be the event that $i$ th digit on the ticket is 2 . Discuss the independence of $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$.

## Solution.

The event $E_{1}$ occurs if the number on the drawn ticket 211 or 222 , therefore, $P\left(E_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. Similarly
$P\left(E_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $P\left(E_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.
Now $P\left(E_{i} \cap E_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$ and $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j})$.
Since $P\left(E_{i} \cap E_{j}\right)=P\left(E_{i}\right) P\left(E_{j}\right)$ for $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$, therefore $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ are pair-wise independent.
Further, $P\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2} \cap E_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \neq P\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(E_{2}\right) \cdot P\left(E_{3}\right)$, therefore, $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ are not mutually
independent.
$E=E$
Example 43: Probability that an electric bulb will last for 150 days or more is 0.7 and that it will last at the most 160 days is 0.8 . Find the probability that it will last between 150 to 160 days.

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that the bulb will last for 150 days or more and $B$ be the event that it will last at the most 160 days. It is given that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.7$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=0.8$.

The event $A \bigcup B$ is a certain event because at least one of A or B is bound to occur. Thus, $P(A \bigcup B)=1$. We have to find $P(A \cap B)$. This probability is given by

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \bigcup B)=0.7+0.8-1.0=0.5
$$ are $4: 5$. In what percentage of cases they are likely to contradict each other on an identical point?

## Solution.

Let $A$ and $B$ denote the respective events that $A$ and $B$ speak truth. It is given that $P(A)=\frac{2}{5}$ and $P(B)=\frac{4}{9}$.

The event that they contradict each other on an identical point is given by $(A \cap \bar{B}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B)$, where $(A \cap \bar{B})$ and $(\bar{A} \cap B)$ are mutually exclusive. Also $A$ and $B$ are independent events. Thus, we have
$P[(A \cap \bar{B}) \cup(\bar{A} \cap B)]=P(A \cap \bar{B})+P(\bar{A} \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(\bar{B})+P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(B)$

Notes

$$
=\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{5}{9}+\frac{3}{5} \times \frac{4}{9}=\frac{22}{45}=0.49
$$

Hence, A and B are likely to contradict each other in $49 \%$ of the cases.


Example 45: The probability that a student A solves a mathematics problem is $\frac{2}{5}$ and the probability that a student B solves it is $\frac{2}{3}$. What is the probability that (a) the problem is not solved, (b) the problem is solved, (c) Both A and B, working independently of each other, solve the problem?

## Solution.

Let A and B be the respective events that students A and B solve the problem. We note that A and $B$ are independent events.
(a) $P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})=P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B})=\frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{5}$
(b) $P(A \cup B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})=1-\frac{1}{5}=\frac{4}{5}$
(c) $P(A \cap B)=P(A) P(B)=\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{2}{3}=\frac{4}{15}$

5
Example 46: A bag contains 8 red and 5 white balls. Two successive drawings of 3 balls each are made such that
(i) balls are replaced before the second trial, (ii) balls are not replaced before the second trial. Find the probability that the first drawing will give 3 white and the second 3 red balls.

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that all the 3 balls obtained at the first draw are white and $B$ be the event that all the 3 balls obtained at the second draw are red.
(a) When balls are replaced before the second draw, we have

$$
P(A)=\frac{{ }^{5} C_{3}}{{ }^{13} C_{3}}=\frac{5}{143} \text { and } P(B)=\frac{{ }^{8} C_{3}}{{ }^{13} C_{3}}=\frac{28}{143}
$$

The required probability is given by $P(A \cap B)$, where A and B are independent. Thus, we have

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)=\frac{5}{143} \times \frac{28}{143}=\frac{140}{20449}
$$

(b) When the balls are not replaced before the second draw

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { W e have } P(B / A)=\frac{{ }^{8} C_{3}}{{ }^{10} C_{3}}=\frac{7}{15} \text {. Thus, we have } \\
& P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B / A)=\frac{5}{143} \times \frac{7}{15}=\frac{7}{429}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notes

Example 47: Computers A and B are to be marketed. A salesman who is assigned the job of finding customers for them has $60 \%$ and $40 \%$ chances respectively of succeeding in case of computer A and B. The two computers can be sold independently. Given that the salesman is able to sell at least one computer, what is the probability that computer A has been sold?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the salesman is able to sell computer A and B be the event that he is able to sell computer $B$. It is given that $P(A)=0.6$ and $P(B)=0.4$. The probability that the salesman is able to sell at least one computer, is given by

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A) \cdot P(B)
$$

(note that A and B are given to be independent)

$$
=0.6+0.4-0.6 \times 0.4=0.76
$$

Now the required probability, the probability that computer A is sold given that the salesman is able to sell at least one computer, is given by

$$
P(A / A \cup B)=\frac{0.60}{0.76}=0.789
$$

汤
Example 48: Two men $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and three women $\mathrm{W}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{3}$, in a big industrial firm, are trying for promotion to a single post which falls vacant. Those of the same sex have equal probabilities of getting promotion but each man is twice as likely to get the promotion as any women.
(a) Find the probability that a woman gets the promotion.
(b) If $M_{2}$ and $W_{2}$ are husband and wife, find the probability that one of them gets the promotion.

## Solution.

Let p be the probability that a woman gets the promotion, therefore 2 p will be the probability that a man gets the promotion. Thus, we can write, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{3}\right)$ $=p$, where $P\left(M_{i}\right)$ denotes the probability that $i$ th man gets the promotion $(i=1,2)$ and $P\left(W_{j}\right)$ denotes the probability that $j$ th woman gets the promotion.

Since the post is to be given only to one of the five persons, the events $M_{1}, M_{2}, W_{1}, W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ are mutually exclusive and exhaustive.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\therefore P\left(M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup W_{1} \cup W_{2} \cup W_{3}\right)=P\left(M_{1}\right)+P\left(M_{2}\right)+P\left(W_{1}\right)+P\left(W_{2}\right)+P\left(W_{3}\right)=1 \\
\Rightarrow 2 p+2 p+p+p+p=1 \text { or } p=\frac{1}{7}
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) The probability that a woman gets the promotion

$$
P\left(W_{1} \cup W_{2} \cup W_{3}\right)=P\left(W_{1}\right)+P\left(W_{2}\right)+P\left(W_{3}\right)=\frac{3}{7}
$$

(b) The probability that $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ gets the promotion

$$
P\left(M_{2} \cup W_{2}\right)=P\left(M_{2}\right)+P\left(W_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{7}
$$

## Notes

Example 49: An unbiased die is thrown 8 times. What is the probability of getting a six in at least one of the throws?

## Solution.

Let $A_{i}$ be the event that a six is obtained in the ith throw $(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots 8)$. Therefore, $P\left(A_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{6}$.
The event that a six is obtained in at least one of the throws is represented by $\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots . \cup A_{8}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots . \cup A_{8}\right)=1-P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2} \cap \ldots . \cap \bar{A}_{8}\right)
$$

Since $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{A}_{8}$ are independent, we can write

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{8}\right)=1-P\left(\bar{A}_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(\bar{A}_{2}\right) . \ldots . P\left(\bar{A}_{8}\right)=1-\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{8} .
$$

E
Example 50: Two students X and Y are very weak students of mathematics and their chances of solving a problem correctly are 0.11 and 0.14 respectively. If the probability of their making a common mistake is 0.081 and they get the same answer, what is the chance that their answer is correct?

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that both the students get a correct answer, $B$ be the event that both get incorrect answer by making a common mistake and $C$ be the event that both get the same answer. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \cap C) & =P(X \text { gets correct answer }) P(Y \text { gets correct answer }) \\
& =0.11 \times 0.14=0.0154 \text { (note that the two events are independent) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,
$P(B \cap C)=P(X$ gets incorrect answer $) \times P(Y$ gets incorrect answer $)$

$$
\times \mathrm{P}(X \text { and } Y \text { make a common mistake })
$$

$$
=(1-0.11)(1-0.14) \times 0.081=0.062
$$

Further, $C=(A \cap C) \cup(B \cap C)$ or $P(C)=P(A \cap C)+P(B \cap C)$, since $(A \cap C)$ and $(B \cap C)$ are mutually exclusive. Thus, we have

$$
P(C)=0.0154+0.0620=0.0774
$$

We have to find the probability that the answers of both the students are correct given that they are same, i.e.,

$$
P(A / C)=\frac{P(A \cap C)}{P(C)}=\frac{0.0154}{0.0774}=0.199
$$

## Notes

Example 51: Given below are the daily wages (in rupees) of six workers of a factory :

$$
77,105,91,100,90,83
$$

If two of these workers are selected at random to serve as representatives, what is the probability that at least one will have a wage lower than the average?

## Solution.

The average wage $\bar{X}=\frac{77+105+91+100+90+83}{6}=91$
Let A be the event that two workers selected at random have their wages greater than or equal to average wage.

$$
\therefore \quad P(A)=\frac{{ }^{3} C_{2}}{{ }^{6} C_{2}}=\frac{1}{5}
$$

Thus, the probability that at least one of the workers has a wage less than the average $=1-\frac{1}{5}=\frac{4}{5}$


Example 52: There are two groups of subjects one of which consists of 5 science subjects and 3 engineering subjects and the other consists of 3 science subjects and 5 engineering subjects. An unbiased die is cast. If the number 3 or 5 turns up, a subject from the first group is selected at random otherwise a subject is randomly selected from the second group. Find the probability that an engineering subject is selected ultimately.

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that an engineering subject is selected and B be the event that 3 or 5 turns on the die. The given information can be summarised into symbols, as given below :

$$
P(B)=\frac{1}{3}, \quad P(A / B)=\frac{3}{8}, \quad \text { and } \quad P(A / \bar{B})=\frac{5}{8}
$$

To find $P(A)$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A) & =P(A \cap B)+P(A \cap \bar{B})=P(B) \cdot P(A / B)+P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(A / \bar{B}) \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{8}+\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{5}{8}=\frac{13}{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

E =
Example 53: Find the probability of obtaining two heads in the toss of two unbiased coins when (a) at least one of the coins shows a head, (b) second coin shows a head.

## Solution.

Let A be the event that both coins show heads, B be the event that at least one coin shows a head and $C$ be the event that second coin shows a head. The sample space and the three events can be written as:
$\mathrm{S}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}, \quad \mathrm{A}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\}$,
$\mathrm{B}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H})\}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H})\}$.
Further, $A \cap B=\{(H, H)\}$ and $A \cap C=\{(H, H)\}$

Notes Since the coins are given to be unbiased, the elementary events are equally likely, therefore

$$
P(A)=\frac{1}{4}, \quad P(B)=\frac{3}{4}, \quad P(C)=\frac{1}{2}, \quad P(A \cap B)=P(A \cap C)=\frac{1}{4}
$$

(a) We have to determine $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B})$

$$
P(A / B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}=\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{4}{3}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

(b) We have to determine $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C})$

$$
P(A / C)=\frac{P(A \cap C)}{P(C)}=\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{1}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

### 5.3 Theorems on Probability

## Theorem 6. Bayes Theorem or Inverse Probability Rule

The probabilities assigned to various events on the basis of the conditions of the experiment or by actual experimentation or past experience or on the basis of personal judgement are called prior probabilities. One may like to revise these probabilities in the light of certain additional or new information. This can be done with the help of Bayes Theorem, which is based on the concept of conditional probability. The revised probabilities, thus obtained, are known as posterior or inverse probabilities. Using this theorem it is possible to revise various business decisions in the light of additional information.

## Bayes Theorem

If an event D can occur only in combination with any of the n mutually exclusive and exhaustive events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots . . A_{n}$ and if, in an actual observation, $D$ is found to have occurred, then the probability that it was preceded by a particular event $A_{k}$ is given by

$$
P\left(A_{k} / D\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{k}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{k}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{i}\right)}
$$

## Proof.

Since $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are n exhaustive events, therefore,
$S=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \ldots . \cup \cup A_{n}$.
Since D is another event that can occur in combination with any of the mutually exclusive and exhaustive events $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ we can write

$$
D=\left(A_{1} \cap D\right) \cup\left(A_{2} \cap D\right) \cup \ldots \ldots \cup\left(A_{n} \cap D\right)
$$

Taking probability of both sides, we get

$$
P(D)=P\left(A_{1} \cap D\right)+P\left(A_{2} \cap D\right)+\ldots \ldots+P\left(A_{n} \cap D\right)
$$

We note that the events $\left(A_{1} \cap D\right),\left(A_{2} \cap D\right)$, etc. are mutually exclusive.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i} \cap D\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional probability of an event $A_{k}$ given that $D$ has already occurred, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{k} / D\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{k} \cap D\right)}{P(D)}=\frac{P\left(A_{k}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{k}\right)}{P(D)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$ from (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{k} / D\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{k}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{k}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{i}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

E\#
Example 54: A manufacturing firm purchases a certain component, for its manufacturing process, from three sub-contractors A, B and C. These supply $60 \%, 30 \%$ and $10 \%$ of the firm's requirements, respectively. It is known that $2 \%, 5 \%$ and $8 \%$ of the items supplied by the respective suppliers are defective. On a particular day, a normal shipment arrives from each of the three suppliers and the contents get mixed. A component is chosen at random from the day's shipment:
(a) What is the probability that it is defective?
(b) If this component is found to be defective, what is the probability that it was supplied by (i) A, (ii) B, (iii) C ?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the item is supplied by A. Similarly, B and C denote the events that the item is supplied by $B$ and $C$ respectively. Further, let $D$ be the event that the item is defective. It is given that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})=0.6, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=0.3, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=0.1, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})=0.02 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{B})=0.05, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{C})=0.08
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$

From equation (1), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(D) & =P(A \cap D)+P(B \cap D)+P(C \cap D) \\
& =P(A) P(D / A)+P(B) P(D / B)+P(C) P(D / C) \\
& =0.6 \times 0.02+0.3 \times 0.05+0.1 \times 0.08=0.035
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) (i) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D})$

$$
P(A / D)=\frac{P(A) P(D / A)}{P(D)}=\frac{0.6 \times 0.02}{0.035}=0.343
$$

Similarly, (ii) $\quad P(B / D)=\frac{P(B) P(D / B)}{P(D)}=\frac{0.3 \times 0.05}{0.035}=0.429$
and

$$
\text { (iii) } P(C / D)=\frac{P(C) P(D / C)}{P(D)}=\frac{0.1 \times 0.08}{0.035}=0.228
$$

The above problem can also be attempted by writing various probabilities in the form of following table :

|  | A | B | C | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | $\begin{gathered} P(A \cap D) \\ =0.012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P(B \cap D) \\ =0.015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P(C \cap D) \\ =0.008 \end{gathered}$ | 0.035 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{gathered} P(A \cap \bar{D}) \\ =0.588 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P(B \cap \bar{D}) \\ =0.285 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P(C \cap \bar{D}) \\ =0.092 \end{gathered}$ | 0.965 |
| Total | 0.600 | 0.300 | 0.100 | 1.000 |

Thus $P(A / D)=\frac{0.012}{0.035}$ etc.

Example 55: A box contains 4 identical dice out of which three are fair and the fourth is loaded in such a way that the face marked as 5 appears in $60 \%$ of the tosses. A die is selected at random from the box and tossed. If it shows 5 , what is the probability that it was a loaded die?

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that a fair die is selected and $B$ be the event that the loaded die is selected from the box.

Then, we have $P(A)=\frac{3}{4}$ and $P(B)=\frac{1}{4}$.
Further, let D be the event that 5 is obtained on the die, then

$$
P(D / A)=\frac{1}{6} \text { and } P(D / B)=\frac{6}{10}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{B})=\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{6}{10}=\frac{11}{40}$
We want to find $P(B / D)$, which is given by

$$
P(B / D)=\frac{P(B \cap D)}{P(D)}=\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{6}{10} \times \frac{40}{11}=\frac{6}{11}
$$

$5=5$ Example 56: A bag contains 6 red and 4 white balls. Another bag contains 3 red and 5 white balls. A fair die is tossed for the selection of bag. If the die shows 1 or 2 , the first bag is selected otherwise the second bag is selected. A ball is drawn from the selected bag and is found to be red. What is the probability that the first bag was selected?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that first bag is selected, B be the event that second bag is selected and D be the event of drawing a red ball.

$$
P(A)=\frac{1}{3}, P(B)=\frac{2}{3}, P(D / A)=\frac{6}{10}, P(D / B)=\frac{3}{8}
$$

Further, $P(D)=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{6}{10}+\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{8}=\frac{9}{20}$.
$\therefore \quad P(A / D)=\frac{P(A \cap D)}{P(D)}=\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{6}{10} \times \frac{20}{9}=\frac{4}{9}$

E $=$
Example 57: In a certain recruitment test there are multiple-choice questions. There are 4 possible answers to each question out of which only one is correct. An intelligent student knows $90 \%$ of the answers while a weak student knows only $20 \%$ of the answers.
(i) An intelligent student gets the correct answer, what is the probability that he was guessing?
(ii) A weak student gets the correct answer, what is the probability that he was guessing?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that an intelligent student knows the answer, B be the event that the weak student knows the answer and C be the event that the student gets a correct answer.
(i) We have to find $P(\bar{A} / C)$. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\bar{A} / C)=\frac{P(\bar{A} \cap C)}{P(C)}=\frac{P(\bar{A}) P(C / \bar{A})}{P(\bar{A}) P(C / \bar{A})+P(A) P(C / A)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is given that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.90, P(C / \bar{A})=\frac{1}{4}=0.25$ and $P(C / A)=1.0$
From the above, we can also write $P(\bar{A})=0.10$
Substituting these values, we get

$$
P(\bar{A} / C)=\frac{0.10 \times 0.25}{0.10 \times 0.25+0.90 \times 1.0}=\frac{0.025}{0.925}=0.027
$$

(ii) We have to find $P(\bar{B} / C)$. Replacing $\bar{A}$ by $\bar{B}$, in equation (1), we can get this probability.

It is given that $P(B)=0.20, P(C / \bar{B})=0.25$ and $P(C / B)=1.0$
From the above, we can also write $P(\bar{B})=0.80$
Thus, we get $P(\bar{B} / C)=\frac{0.80 \times 0.25}{0.80 \times 0.25+0.20 \times 1.0}=\frac{0.20}{0.40}=0.50$

EF
Example 58: An electronic manufacturer has two lines A and B assembling identical electronic units. $5 \%$ of the units assembled on line A and $10 \%$ of those assembled on line B are defective. All defective units must be reworked at a significant increase in cost. During the last eight-hour shift, line A produced 200 units while the line B produced 300 units. One unit is selected at random from the 500 units produced and is found to be defective. What is the probability that it was assembled (i) on line A, (ii) on line B?

Answer the above questions if the selected unit was found to be non-defective.

## Notes

## Solution.

Let A be the event that the unit is assembled on line $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ be the event that it is assembled on line $B$ and $D$ be the event that it is defective.

Thus, we can write

$$
P(A)=\frac{2}{5}, P(B)=\frac{3}{5}, P(D / A)=\frac{5}{100} \text { and } P(D / B)=\frac{10}{100}
$$

Further, we have

$$
P(A \cap D)=\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{5}{100}=\frac{1}{50} \text { and } P(B \cap D)=\frac{3}{5} \times \frac{10}{100}=\frac{3}{50}
$$

The required probabilities are computed form the following table:

|  | $A$ | $B$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D$ | $\frac{1}{50}$ | $\frac{3}{50}$ | $\frac{4}{50}$ |
| $\bar{D}$ | $\frac{19}{50}$ | $\frac{27}{50}$ | $\frac{46}{50}$ |
| Total | $\frac{20}{50}$ | $\frac{30}{50}$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above table, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A / D)=\frac{1}{50} \times \frac{50}{4}=\frac{1}{4}, P(B / D)=\frac{3}{50} \times \frac{50}{4}=\frac{3}{4} \\
& P(A / \bar{D})=\frac{19}{50} \times \frac{50}{46}=\frac{19}{46}, P(B / \bar{D})=\frac{27}{50} \times \frac{50}{46}=\frac{27}{46}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4 Summary of Formulae

1. (a) The number of permutations of n objects taking n at a time are n !
(b) The number of permutations of n objects taking r at a time, are ${ }^{n} P_{r}=\frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$
(c) The number of permutations of $n$ objects in a circular order are ( $n-1$ )!
(d) The number of permutations of $n$ objects out of which $n_{1}$ are alike, $n_{2}$ are alike, ...... $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are alike, are $\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots n_{k}!}$
(e) The number of combinations of n objects taking r at a time are ${ }^{n} C_{r}=\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$
2. (a) The probability of occurrence of at least one of the two events $A$ and $B$ is given by : $P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)=1-P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B})$.
(b) The probability of occurrence of exactly one of the events A or B is given by : $P(A \cap \bar{B})+P(\bar{A} \cap B)$ or $P(A \cup B)-P(A \cap B)$
3. (a) The probability of simultaneous occurrence of the two events $A$ and $B$ is given by:

$$
P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B / A) \text { or }=P(B) \cdot P(A / B)
$$

(b) If A and B are independent $P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)$.
4. Bayes Theorem:

$$
P\left(A_{k} / D\right)=\frac{P\left(A_{k}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{k}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(D / A_{i}\right)},(k=1,2, \ldots \ldots . n)
$$

Here $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \ldots . . . \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are n mutually exclusive and exhaustive events.

### 5.5 Keywords

Mutually exclusive outcomes: Two or more outcomes of an experiment are said to be mutually exclusive if the occurrence of one of them precludes the occurrence of all others in the same trial i.e. they cannot occur jointly. For example, the two possible outcomes of toss of a coin are mutually exclusive. Similarly, the occurrences of the numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6$ in the roll of a six faced die are mutually exclusive.

Exhaustive outcomes: It is the totality of all possible outcomes of a random experiment. The number of exhaustive outcomes in the roll of a die are six. Similarly, there are 52 exhaustive outcomes in the experiment of drawing a card from a pack of 52 cards.

### 5.6 Self Assessment

Choose the appropriate answer:

1. Two cards are drawn successively without replacement from a well-shuffled pack of 52 cards. The probability that one of them is king and the other is queen is
(a) $\frac{8}{13 \times 51}$
(b) $\frac{4}{13 \times 51}$
(c) $\frac{1}{13 \times 17}$
(d) none of these.
2. Two unbiased dice are rolled. The chance of obtaining an even sum is
(a) $\frac{1}{4}$ (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ (c) $\frac{1}{3}$ (d) none of these.
3. Two unbiased dice are rolled. The chance of obtaining a six only on the second die is
(a) $\frac{5}{6}$ (b) $\frac{1}{6}$ (c) $\frac{1}{4}$ (d) none of these.
4. If $P(A)=\frac{4}{5}$, then odds against $\bar{A}$ are
(a) $1: 4$ (b) $5: 4$ (c) $4: 5$ (d) none of these.
5. The probability of occurrence of an event $A$ is 0.60 and that of $B$ is 0.25 . If $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive events, then the probability of occurrence of neither of them is
(a) 0.35 (b) 0.75 (c) 0.15 (d) none of these.
6. The probability of getting at least one head in 3 throws of an unbiased coin is
(a) $\frac{1}{8}$ (b) $\frac{7}{8}$ (c) $\frac{3}{8}$ (d) none of these.

## Notes

### 5.7 Review Questions

1. What is the probability of getting exactly two heads in three throws of an unbiased coin?
2. What is the probability of getting a sum of 2 or 8 or 12 in single throw of two unbiased dice?
3. Two cards are drawn at random from a pack of 52 cards. What is the probability that the first is a king and second is a queen?
4. What is the probability of successive drawing of an ace, a king, a queen and a jack from a pack of 52 well shuffled cards? The drawn cards are not replaced.
5. 5 unbiased coins with faces marked as 2 and 3 are tossed. Find the probability of getting a sum of 12 .
6. If 15 chocolates are distributed at random among 5 children, what is the probability that a particular child receives 8 chocolates?
7. $A$ and $B$ stand in a ring with 10 other persons. If arrangement of 12 persons is at random, find the chance that there are exactly three persons between A and B.
8. Two different digits are chosen at random from the set $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$. Find the probability that sum of two digits exceeds 13.
9. From each of the four married couples one of the partner is selected at random. What is the probability that they are of the same sex?
10. A bag contains 5 red and 4 green balls. Two draws of three balls each are done with replacement of balls in the first draw. Find the probability that all the three balls are red in the first draw and green in the second draw.
11. Two dice are thrown two times. What is the probability of getting a sum 10 in the first and 11 in the second throw?
12. 4 cards are drawn successively one after the other without replacement. What is the probability of getting cards of the same denominations?

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (a) 2. (b) 3. (d) 4. (d) 5. (c) 6. (b)

### 5.8 Further Readings

Books
Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Define random variables
- Define probability distributions of random variable


## Introduction

In order to discuss the applications of probability to practical situations, it is necessary to associate some numerical characteristics with each possible outcome of the random experiment. This numerical characteristic is termed as random variable.

### 6.1 Definition of a Random Variable

A random variable $X$ is a real valued function of the elements of sample space S, i.e., different values of the random variable are obtained by associating a real number with each element of the sample space. A random variable is also known as a stochastic or chance variable.

Mathematically, we can write $X=F(e)$, where e ÎS and $X$ is a real number. We can note here that the domain of this function is the set $S$ and the range is a set or subset of real numbers.

EE
Example 1: Three coins are tossed simultaneously. Write down the sample space of the random experiment. What are the possible values of the random variable $X$, if it denotes the number of heads obtained?

## Solution.

## Notes

The sample space of the experiment can be written as
$\mathrm{S}=\{(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T})\}$
We note that the first element of the sample space denotes 3 heads, therefore, the corresponding value of the random variable will be 3 . Similarly, the value of the random variable corresponding to each of the second, third and fourth element will be 2 and it will be 1 for each of the fifth, sixth and seventh element and 0 for the last element. Thus, the random variable $X$, defined above can take four possible values, i.e., $0,1,2$ and 3 .

It may be pointed out here that it is possible to define another random variable on the above sample space.

### 6.2 Probability Distribution of a Random Variable

Given any random variable, corresponding to a sample space, it is possible to associate probabilities to each of its possible values. For example, in the toss of 3 coins, assuming that they are unbiased, the probabilities of various values of the random variable $X$, defined in example 1 above, can be written as :

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=0)=\frac{1}{8}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=1)=\frac{3}{8}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=2)=\frac{3}{8} \text { and } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=3)=\frac{1}{8} .
$$

The set of all possible values of the random variable $X$ along with their respective probabilities is termed as Probability Distribution of $X$. The probability distribution of $X$, defined in example 1 above, can be written in a tabular form as given below :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & : & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \text { Total } \\
p(X) & : & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & 1
\end{array}
$$

Note that the total probability is equal to unity.
In general, the set of $n$ possible values of a random variable $X$, i.e., $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots . X_{n}\right\}$ along with their respective probabilities $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p\left(X_{i}\right)=1$, is called a probability distribution of $X$. The expression $p(X)$ is called the probability function of $X$.

### 6.2.1 Discrete and Continuous Probability Distributions

Like any other variable, a random variable $X$ can be discrete or continuous. If $X$ can take only finite or countably infinite set of values, it is termed as a discrete random variable. On the other hand, if $X$ can take an uncountable set of infinite values, it is called a continuous random variable.

The random variable defined in example 1 is a discrete random variable. However, if $X$ denotes the measurement of heights of persons or the time interval of arrival of a specified number of calls at a telephone desk, etc., it would be termed as a continuous random variable.

The distribution of a discrete random variable is called the Discrete Probability Distribution and the corresponding probability function $p(X)$ is called a Probability Mass Function. In order that any discrete function $p(X)$ may serve as probability function of a discrete random variable $X$, the following conditions must be satisfied:
(i) $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \geq 0 \forall \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{n}$ and
(ii) $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} p\left(X_{i}\right)=1$

In a similar way, the distribution of a continuous random variable is called a Continuous Probability Distribution and the corresponding probability function $p(X)$ is termed as the Probability Density Function. The conditions for any function of a continuous variable to serve as a probability density function are:
(i) $p(X) \geq 0 \forall$ real values of $X$, and
(ii) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(X) d X=1$

## Remarks:

1. When $X$ is a continuous random variable, there are an infinite number of points in the sample space and thus, the probability that $X$ takes a particular value is always defined to be zero even though the event is not regarded as impossible. Hence, we always measure the probability of a continuous random variable lying in an interval.
2. The concept of a probability distribution is not new. In fact it is another way of representing a frequency distribution. Using statistical definition, we can treat the relative frequencies of various values of the random variable as the probabilities.

E $=$
Example 2: Two unbiased die are thrown. Let the random variable $X$ denote the sum of points obtained. Construct the probability distribution of X .

## Solution.

The possible values of the random variable are:

$$
2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12
$$

The probabilities of various values of $X$ are shown in the following table:
Probability Distribution of $X$

| $X$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p(X)$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{6}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | 1 |


Example 3: Three marbles are drawn at random from a bag containing 4 red and 2 white marbles. If the random variable $X$ denotes the number of red marbles drawn, construct the probability distribution of X .

## Solution.

The given random variable can take 3 possible values, i.e., 1,2 and 3 . Thus, we can compute the probabilities of various values of the random variable as given below:
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=1$, i.e., 1 R and 2 W marbles are drawn $)=\frac{{ }^{4} C_{1} \times{ }^{2} C_{2}}{{ }^{6} C_{3}}=\frac{4}{20}$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=2$, i.e., 2 R and 1 W marbles are drawn $)=\frac{{ }^{4} C_{2} \times{ }^{2} C_{1}}{{ }^{6} C_{3}}=\frac{12}{20}$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=\text { 3, i.e., 3R marbles are drawn }) \quad=\frac{{ }^{4} C_{3}}{{ }^{6} C_{3}}=\frac{4}{20}
$$

## Notes

三
Notes In the event of white balls being greater than 2, the possible values of the random variable would have been $0,1,2$ and 3 .

### 6.2.2 Cumulative Probability Function or Distribution Function

This concept is similar to the concept of cumulative frequency. The distribution function is denoted by $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$.

For a discrete random variable $X$, the distribution function or the cumulative probability function is given by $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} £ \mathrm{x})$.
If $X$ is a random variable that can take values, say $0,1,2, \ldots .$. , then

$$
F(1)=P(X=0)+P(X=1), F(2)=P(X=0)+P(X=1)+P(X=2) \text {, etc. }
$$

Similarly, if $X$ is a continuous random variable, the distribution function or cumulative probability density function is given by

$$
F(x)=P(X \leq x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} p(X) d X
$$

### 6.3 Summary

- A random variable $X$ is a real valued function of the elements of sample space $S$, i.e., different values of the random variable are obtained by associating a real number with each element of the sample space. A random variable is also known as a stochastic or chance variable.

Mathematically, we can write $X=F(e)$, where e $\hat{I} S$ and $X$ is a real number. We can note here that the domain of this function is the set $S$ and the range is a set or subset of real numbers.

- The random variable defined in example 1 is a discrete random variable. However, if $X$ denotes the measurement of heights of persons or the time interval of arrival of a specified number of calls at a telephone desk, etc., it would be termed as a continuous random variable.
- When $X$ is a continuous random variable, there are an infinite number of points in the sample space and thus, the probability that $X$ takes a particular value is always defined to be zero even though the event is not regarded as impossible. Hence, we always measure the probability of a continuous random variable lying in an interval.
- The concept of a probability distribution is not new. In fact it is another way of representing a frequency distribution. Using statistical definition, we can treat the relative frequencies of various values of the random variable as the probabilities.


### 6.4 Keywords

Random variable: A random variable $X$ is a real valued function of the elements of sample space S, i.e., different values of the random variable are obtained by associating a real number with each element of the sample space.

Notes Discrete Probability Distribution: The distribution of a discrete random variable is called the Discrete Probability Distribution.

Continuous Probability Distribution: The distribution of a continuous random variable is called a Continuous Probability Distribution and the corresponding probability function $p(X)$ is termed as the Probability Density Function.

### 6.5 Self Assessment

State whether the following statements are true or false:
(a) A random variable takes a value corresponding to every element of the sample space.
(b) The probability of a given value of the discrete random variable is obtained by its probability density function.
(c) Distribution function is another name of cumulative probability function.
(d) Any function of a random variable is also a random variable.

### 6.6 Review Questions

1. An urn contains 4 white and 3 black balls. 3 balls are drawn at random. Write down the probability distribution of the number of white balls. Find mean and variance of the distribution.
2. A consignment is offered to two firms A and B for Rs 50,000. The following table shows the probability at which the firm will be able to sell it at different prices :

| SellingPrice(in Rs) | 40,000 | 45,000 | 55,000 | 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prob. of $A$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Prob. of B | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 03 |

Which of the two firms will be more inclined towards the offer?
3. If the probability that the value of a certain stock will remain same is 0.46 , the probabilities that its value will increase by Re. 0.50 or Re. 1.00 per share are respectively 0.17 and 0.23 and the probability that its value will decrease by Re. 0.25 per share is 0.14 , what is the expected gain per share?
4. In a college fete a stall is run where on buying a ticket a person is allowed one throw of two dice. If this gives a double six, 10 times the ticket money is refunded and in other cases nothing is refunded. Will it be profitable to run such a stall? What is the expectation of the player? State clearly the assumptions if any, for your answer.
5. The proprietor of a food stall has introduced a new item of food. The cost of making it is Rs 4 per piece and because of its novelty, it would be sold for Rs 8 per piece. It is, however, perishable and pieces remaining unsold at the end of the day are a dead loss. He expects the daily demand to be variable and has drawn up the following probability distribution expressing his estimates:

| No. of pieces demanded | $:$ | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability | $:$ | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.08 |

Compute his expected profit or loss if he prepares 53 pieces on a particular day.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1.T 2. F 3.T $\quad$ 4. T

### 6.7 Further Readings

Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer<br>Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig<br>Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss the functions of random variables
- Describe the transformation approach


## Introduction

In the last unit, we have introduced bivariate distributions and multivariate distributions. Most of the times we would like to know the probabilistic behaviour of a function $g(X, Y)$ of the random vector $(X, Y)$. The function $g$ could be either the sum $X+Y$ or the max $(X, Y)$ or some other function depending on the phenomenon under study. We give two approaches for obtaining the distribution function of a function of two random variables. These distributions can be considered as distributions of functions of independent standard normal random variables. Properties of these distributions are investigated in detail.

### 7.1 Function of Two Random Variables

We shall talk about functions of two random variables and discuss methods for obtaining their distribution functions. Some of the important functions X which we shall consider are $\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{XY}$,
$\frac{X}{Y}, \max (X, Y),|X-Y|$.

Let us start with a random vector $(X, Y)$.B y definition $X$ and $Y$ are random variables defined on the sample space $S$ of some experiment and each of which assigns a real number to every $s \in S$. Let $g(x, y)$ be a real-valued function defined on $R \times R$. Then the composite function $Z=g(X, Y)$ defined by

$$
Z(s)=g[X(s), Y(s)], s \in S
$$

assignes to every outcome $s \in S$ a real number. $Z$ is called a function of the random vector $(X, Y)$.
For example, if $g(x, y)=x+y$, then we get $Z=X+Y$ and if $g(x, y)=x y$, then we get $Z=X Y$ and so on.

Now let us see how do we find the distribution function of $Z$. As in the univariate case, we shall restrict ourselves to the continuous case. Here we shall discuss two methods for obtaining distribution functions - Direct Method and Transformation Method. We shall first discuss Direct Method.

### 7.1.1 Direct Method

Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector with the joint density function $f x, y(x, y)$. Let $g(x, y)$ be a real-valued function defined on $R \times R$. For z E 2, define

$$
D_{z}=\{(x, Y): g(x, y) \leq z\}
$$

Then the distribution function of Z is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[Z \leq z]=\int_{D_{z}} \int_{X, Y}(X, Y) d x d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theoretically it is not difficult to write down the distribution function using (1). But in actual practise it is sometimes difficult to evaluate the double integral.

We shall now illustrate the computation of distribution functions in the following examples.

Example 1: Suppose $(X, Y)$ has the uniform distribution on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ the unit square. Then the joint density of $(X, Y)$ is

$$
\mathrm{fX}, \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1 & \text { if } 0<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}<1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us find the distribution function of $Z=g(X, Y)=X Y$.
From the definition of a distrihution function of $Z$, we have
$\mathrm{Fz}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{XY} \mathrm{Z}]$
$=\int_{D_{z}} \int_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{fX}, \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dxdy}$ if $0<\mathrm{z}<1$

Notes
Figure 7.1
where $\operatorname{Dz}=\{(x, y): x y \leq z\}$

$$
=\int_{D_{z}^{1}} \int d x d y \text { if } 0<z<1 .
$$

where $D_{z}^{1}=\{(x, y): x y \leq z, 0<x<1,0<y<1\}$
In order to evaluate the last integral, let us look at the set of all points ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) such that $\mathrm{x} y \leq \mathrm{z}$ when $0<x<1$ and $0<y<1$ (See Fig. 1).

If $0<x<z$, then for any $0<y<1$, the product $x y \leq z$ and if $x>z$, then $x y \leq z$ only when $0<y<$ $z / x$. This is the region shaded in Fig. 1. Hence for $0<z<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Fz}(z) & =P[Z \leq z] \\
& =\int_{0}^{z}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d y\right\} d x+\int_{z}^{1}\left\{\int_{0}^{z / x} d x\right\} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{z} d x+\int_{z}^{1} \frac{z}{x} d x \\
& =z+z[\ln x]_{z}^{1}=z-z \ln z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
F_{z}(z)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } z=0 \\ z-z \ln z & \text { if } 0<z<1 \\ 1 & \text { if } z \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

is the distribution function of Z . The density function $\mathrm{fz}(\mathrm{z})$ of Z is obtained by differentiating Fz $(z)$ with respect to $z$. Then you can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) & =0 \text { if } \mathrm{z} \leq 0 \text { or } \mathrm{z} \geq 1 \\
& =-\ln \mathrm{z} \quad \text { if } 0<\mathrm{z}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sqrt{5}$
Example 2: Suppose X and Y are independent exponential random variables with the density function

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\lambda e^{-\lambda x}, x>0 \text { and } f(y) \\
& =\lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \quad y>0 \\
& =0, y \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}$ and let us find the distribution function of Z .
From the definition of $Z$,

$$
\mathrm{Fz}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{Z} \leq \mathrm{z}]=0 \text { if } \mathrm{z}<0
$$

and, for $\mathrm{z}>0$


$$
\begin{aligned}
F z(z)= & P[Z \leq z] \\
= & \iint f_{x, y}(x, y) d x d y \\
& \{(x, y): x+y \leq z\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{x, y}(x, y)$ is the joint density of $(X, Y)$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables, the joint density of $(X, Y)$ is given by

$$
f_{x, y}(x, y)=f_{x}(x) f_{y}(Y)
$$

where $\mathrm{fx}(\mathrm{x})$ and fy ( y ) are the marginal density functions
i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) & =\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x} \times \lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda y}, \mathrm{x}>0, \mathrm{y}>0 \\
& =0, \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $z>0$, the set $\{(x, y): x+y \leq z, x>0, y>0\}$ is the region shaded in Fig. 2 .
Hence, for $\mathrm{z}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fz}(\mathrm{z}) & =\int_{0}^{z}\left[\int_{0}^{z-x} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda y} \mathrm{dy}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x} \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\int_{0}^{z}\left[-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}\right]_{0}^{2-x} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x} \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\int_{0}^{z}\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(z-x)}\right] \lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x} \mathrm{dx}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}}\left[\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}\right] \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\left[-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}\right]_{0}^{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{z} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{z}} \\
& =1-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda z}-\lambda \mathrm{ze}^{-\lambda z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we leave it to you to check that the density function of Z is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) & =\lambda^{2} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{e} \\
& =0 \text { otherwise } \text { for } \mathrm{z}>0 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

In this density function familiar to you? Recall that this function is the gamma density function you have studied in Unit 11. Hence Example 2 says that the sum of two independent exponential random variables has gamma distribution.

Let us consider another example.

Example 3: Suppose X and Y are independent random varihles with the same density function $I(x)$ and the distribution function $F(x)$. Define $Z=\max (X, Y)$. Let us determine the distribution function of 2 .

By definition, the distribution function $F_{z}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{Z} \leq \mathrm{z}] \\
& =\mathrm{P}[\max (\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \leq \mathrm{z}] \\
& =\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Y} \leq \mathrm{z}] \\
& =\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{z}] \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{Y} \leq \mathrm{Z}]=[\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z})]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the independence of X and Y and the fact that

$$
P[X \leq z]=P[Y \leq z]=F(z)
$$

Since F is diflerentiable almost everywhere and the density corresponding to F is fit follows that Z has a probability density function fz and

$$
\mathrm{fz}(\mathrm{z})=2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}),-\infty<\mathrm{z}<\infty .
$$

To get more practise why don't you try some exercises now.
The examples and exercises discussed above deal with the method of obtaining the distribution function of $Z=g(X, Y)$ directly. This method is applicable even when $(X, Y)$ does not have a density function.

Next we shall discuss another method for obtaining the distribution and density functions.

### 7.1.2 Transformation Approach

Suppose $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a bivariate random vector with the density function $f x_{1}, x_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and we would like to determine the distribution function of the density function of $Z_{1}=g_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. To determine this, let us suppose that we can find another function $Z_{2}=g_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ such that the transformation from $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ to $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is one-to-one. In other words to every point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $R^{2}$,
there corresponds a point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $R^{2}$ given by the above transformation and conversely to Notes every point $\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$ there corresponds a unique point $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{2}=g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For example suppose that $Z_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2}$. Then we can choose $Z_{2}=X_{1}-X_{2}$. You can easily see that the transformation $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ from $R^{2}$ to $R^{2}$ is one-one and in this case we have

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{1}-\mathrm{Z}_{2}}{2} \text { and } \mathrm{X}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{1}+\mathrm{Z}_{2}}{2}
$$

So, in general, one can assume that we can express $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ in terms of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ uniquely.
That means that there is exist real valued functions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=h_{1}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \\
& X_{2}=h_{2}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us further assume that $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have continuous partial derivatives with respect to $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$. Consider the Jacobian of the tranformation $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{1}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{2}}
$$

Recall that you. have seen 'Jacobians' in Unit 9, Block 3 of MTE - 07 we denote this Jacobian by $\mathrm{J}=\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)}$. Assume that J is not zero for all $\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$. Then, it can be shown, by using the change of variable formula for double integrals [see MTE-07, Unit 11, Block 41 we can show that the random vector $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ has a density and the density function $\phi\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{f}\left[\mathrm{~h}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right), \mathrm{h}_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)\right]|\mathrm{J}| \text { if }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{EB}  \tag{2}\\
& =0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right): \mathrm{z}_{1}=\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \mathrm{z}_{2}=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)\right.$ for some $\left.\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)\right\}$.
From the joint density of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ obtained above, the marginal density of $Z_{1}$ can be derived and it is given by

$$
\phi_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}_{2}
$$

Let us now compute the density function of $Z_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2}$ where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent and identically distributed standard normal variables. We have seen that in this cask $Z_{2}-X_{1}+X_{2}$ and we can write
$X_{1}=\frac{Z_{1}+Z_{2}}{2}$ and $X_{2}=\frac{Z_{1}-Z_{2}}{2}$. Let us now calculate the Jacobian of the transformation. It is given by

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial z_{1}} & \frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Notes Now since $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent, we have

$$
\mathrm{fx}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}},-\infty<\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}<\infty .
$$

Hence by (1) the joint probability density function of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is
$\phi\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left[\frac{\mathrm{z}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{2}}{2}, \frac{\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}}{2}\right]|\mathrm{J}|,-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}<\infty$
$=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{z}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{2}}{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}}{2}\right]^{2}\right\}$
$=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \exp \left\{-\left[\frac{\mathrm{z}_{1}{ }^{2}}{4}+\frac{\mathrm{z}_{2}{ }^{2}}{4}\right]\right\},-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}<\infty$
Then the marginal density of $Z_{1}$ is given by

$$
\phi z_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(z 1, z 2) \partial z_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} e^{\frac{z_{1}^{2}}{4}},-\infty<z_{2}<\infty .
$$

Note that we can calculate the marginal density of Z 2 also. It is given by

$$
\phi z_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \partial z_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} e^{\frac{z_{1}^{2}}{4}},-\infty<z_{2}<\infty .
$$

In other words $Z_{1}$ has $N(0,2)$ and $Z_{2}$ has $N(0,2)$ as their distribution functions. In fact $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are independent random variables since

$$
\phi\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\phi \mathrm{z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \phi \mathrm{z}_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)
$$

for all $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.
We shall illustrate this method with one more example.
Example 4: Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables with common density function

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-x / 2} \text { for } 0<x<\infty \\
& =0 \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us find the distribution function of $Z_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)$.
Here it is convenient to choose $Z_{2}=X_{2}$. Note that the transformation
$\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ gives a one-to-one mapping from the set $A=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): 0<x_{1}<w, 0<x_{2}<\infty\right\}$ onto the set
$B=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right): z_{2}>0,-\infty<x_{2}<\infty\right.$ and $\left.z_{2}>-2 z_{1}\right\}$. The inverse transformation is

$$
X_{1}=2 Z_{1}+Z_{2}
$$

and

$$
X_{2}=Z_{2}
$$

Since $x_{1}>0$, it follows that $2 z_{1}+z_{2}>0$, that is, $z_{2}>-2 z 1$.
Notes
Since $x_{2}>0$, it follows that $z_{2}>0$. Obviously, $-\infty<z_{1}<\infty$.
Further more you can check that the Jacobian of the transformation is equal to 2 .
Now the joint density function is

$$
\mathrm{fx}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})}{2}}
$$

Therefore from (2) the joint density function of $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left[\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right] & =\mathrm{fx}_{1_{1}}, \mathrm{x}_{2^{\prime}}\left[2 \mathrm{z}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{2^{\prime}} \mathrm{z}_{2}\right]|1| & & \text { provided }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{B} \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left[\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right] & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}} & & \text { if }\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{B} \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise ...* }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the marginal density of $Z_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi \mathrm{z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\int_{-2 \mathrm{z}_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}} \mathrm{dz} \text { if }-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}<0 \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{z}_{2} \text { if } 0 \leq \mathrm{z}_{1}<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

This shows that

$$
\phi \mathrm{z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|\mathrm{z}_{1}\right|},-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}<\infty
$$

The distribution with the density function given by * is known as double exponential distribution.
An important application of the transformation approach is to determine distribution of the sum of two independent random variables not necessarily identically distributed. Let us now look at this problem.
Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables with the density functions $f 1\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ respectively and we want to determine the distribution function of $X_{1}+X_{2}$. Let $Z_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2}$. We apply transformation method here. Set $Z_{2}=X_{2}$. Then the transformation $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is invertible and
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{Z}_{1}-\mathrm{Z}_{2}$
$X_{2}=Z_{2}$.
The Jacobian of the transformation is equal to unity. Since the joint density of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is $f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ $\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$, it follows from (2) that the joint density of $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is given by
$\phi\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right),-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}<\infty$.

Notes $\quad$ Hence the density of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ is given by 4

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi \mathrm{z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}_{2} \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}_{2},-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2},<\infty \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This formula giving the density function of Z 1 is known as the convolution formula. This is called the convolution formula because the density function is the convolution product of the density functions of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$.
Let us now dlculate the distribution function of $Z_{1}$. We denote the distribution function of $Z_{1}$ by $\phi z_{1}$. Then we have
$\phi \mathrm{z}_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\int_{-\infty}^{\mathrm{z}} \phi_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}_{1}$
$=\int_{-\infty}^{z}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) d z_{2}\right] d z_{1}$
$=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{1}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) d z_{1}\right] f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) d z_{2}$
$=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{z-z_{2}} f_{1}(u) d u\right] f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) d z_{2}$
(by the transformation $u=z_{1}-z_{2}$ )
$=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{1}\left(z-z_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) d z_{2}$
where $F_{1}$ is the distribution function of $X_{1}$.
Therefore the distribution function of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ is the convolution product of the distribution function of $X_{1}$ and the density function of $X_{2}$.
The above relation gives an explicit formula for the distribution function of $Z_{1}$.
Let us see an ,example.
$5=E$ Example 5: Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables with the gamma distributions having parameters $\left(\alpha_{1}, \lambda\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}, \lambda\right)$ respectively. Let us find the density function of the sum $Z=X_{1}+X_{2}$ using the convolution formula.

The density of $X_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fx}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) & =\frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha-1} e-^{1 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}, & & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}>0 \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$. We use Formula (3) to mmpute the density function of 2 . For $\mathrm{z}>0$, we have
$\phi z(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f x_{1}(z-u) f x_{2}(u) d u$
$=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{fx}_{1}(z-u) f x_{2}(u) d u$
$=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(z-u)}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}(z-u)^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{2}} e^{-\lambda u}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} u^{\alpha_{2}-1} d u$.
$=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda z}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{z}\left\{(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{u})^{\alpha_{1}-1} \mathbf{u}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \mathrm{du}\right\}$
$=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha 2}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda z} \mathrm{z}^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-1}\left\{\int_{0}^{1}(1-\mathrm{v})^{\alpha_{1}-1} \mathrm{v}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \mathrm{dv}\right\}$
(by the transformation $\mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{z}}$ )
$=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda z} \mathrm{z}^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-1} \mathrm{~B}\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right)$
$\backslash \quad \phi \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{z}^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-1}, 0<\mathrm{z}<\infty$
$=0, \quad \mathrm{z}<0$.
The last equality follows from the properties of beta function and gamma function.
This example shows that the convolution of gamma distributions with parameters $\left(\alpha_{1}, \lambda\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}, \lambda\right)$ is a gamma distribution with parameter $\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \lambda\right)$.

Next we shall consider another example in which we illustrate another method called Moment Generating Function approach. This method is useful for finding the distribution functions of sums or linear combinations of independent random variables.

E
Example 6: Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables with distributions $\mathrm{N}\left[\mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{N}\left[\mu_{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right]$ respectively. Define $Z=X_{1}+X_{2}$. Then the m.g.f. of $Z$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mz}(\mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\left.\mathrm{t} \mathrm{x}_{1}+x_{2}\right)}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tx} \mathrm{x}_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X}_{2}}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t} x_{1}}\right] \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X}_{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The last relation follows from the fact that $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tx} \mathrm{X}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{IX} 2}$ are independent random variables when XI and X2 are independent. But we have proved earlier that

Hence

$$
\mathrm{Mz}(\mathrm{t})=\exp \left\{\mathrm{t}\left[\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}\left[{\sigma_{1}^{2}}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right]\right\},-\infty<\mathrm{t}<\infty .
$$

But this function is the m.g.f. of $\mathrm{N}\left[\mu_{1}+\mu_{2^{\prime}} \sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right]$. From the uniqueness property (Theorem 1 of Unit 10), it follows that Z has

$$
\mathrm{N}\left[\mu_{1}+\mu_{2,} \sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right] .
$$

In the next section we shall talk about functions of more than two random variables.

### 7.2 Functions of More than Two Random Variables

Suppose we haven random variables $X_{1}, \ldots . . . ., X_{n}$ not necessarily independent and we are interested in finding the distribution function of a function $Z_{1}=g_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ or the joint distribution function of $Z_{j}=g_{i}\left(X_{1}\right.$, $\qquad$ ,$\left.X_{n}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r$, where $r$ is any positive integer $1 \leq r \leq n$. The methods described in the previous section can be extended to this general case. We will not go into detailed description or extension of the methods. We will illustrate by a few examples.
舀
Example 7: Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2} \ldots \ldots . . . X_{n^{\prime}}$ is a random sample of size $n$, from a certain population. We shall discuss this concept of random sampling in greater detail in Block 4 Unit 15. In the present context it will suffice to record that the above statement is a convenient alternative way of expressing the fact that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}$ are independent and identically distributed $n$ random variables with a common distribution function $F(x)$ which coincide with the population distribution function (see Unit 15, Block 4). Define $Z_{1}=\min \left(X_{1}, \ldots \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $Z_{n}=\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots \ldots, X_{n}\right)$. Let us find the joint distribution of $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.

We first note that $Z_{1} \leq Z_{n}$. Let us compute the distribution function $G_{z_{i}} z_{n}$ of $\left(Z_{1^{\prime}}, Z_{n}\right)$. Let $\left(z_{1^{\prime}}, z_{n}\right)$ be a fixed pair where $-\infty<z_{1} \leq z_{n}<m$. We first consider the case $z_{1}=z_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{z} 1^{\prime}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1^{\prime}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)= & \mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{Z}_{1} \leq \mathrm{z}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
= & \mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right], \text { since the event }\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
& \quad \text { implies the event }\left[\mathrm{Z}_{1} \leq \mathrm{z}_{1}\right], \\
= & \mathrm{P}\left[X_{i} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}} 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right] \mathrm{z}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { being equal. } \\
= & \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left[X_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right], \text { since } X_{i}^{\prime} \text { s are independent } \\
= & \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, suppose that $\mathrm{z}_{1}<\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{z_{1}} z_{n}\left(z_{1^{\prime}} z_{n}\right) & =P\left[Z_{1} \leq z_{1^{\prime}} Z_{n^{\prime}} \leq z_{n}\right] \\
& =P\left[Z_{n} \leq z_{n}\right]-P\left[Z_{n}<z_{n^{\prime}} Z_{1}>z_{1}\right] \\
& =P\left[Z_{n} \leq Z_{n}\right]-P\left[z_{1}<Z_{1} \leq Z_{n} \leq z_{n}\right] \\
& =P\left[Z_{n} \leq z_{n}\right]-P\left(z_{1}<X_{i} \leq z_{n} \text { for } 1 \leq i £ n\right) \\
& =P\left(Z_{n} \leq z_{n}\right)-\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[z_{1}<X_{i} \leq z_{n}\right], \text { since Xi's are independent }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P\left[X_{i} \leq z_{n} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n\right]-\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[z_{1}<X_{i} \leq z_{n}\right] \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[X_{i} \leq z_{n}\right]-\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[z_{1}<X_{i} \leq z_{n}\right] \\
& =\left[F\left(z_{n}\right)\right]^{n}-\left[F\left(z_{n}\right)-F\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore if $-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1} \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}<\infty$, we get the distribution function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1^{\prime}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}-\left[\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The joint probability density function of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{n}\right)$ is obtained by the relation

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1,}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} \partial \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}
$$

Then from (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Gz} 1, \mathrm{z} 2(\mathrm{z} 1, \mathrm{zn}) & =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)\left[\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \text { if }-\infty<\mathrm{z}_{1}<\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}<\infty \\
& =0 \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantity $Z_{n}-Z_{1}$ is called the range. Infact, Range is the difference between the largest and the smallest observations. We shall now find the distribution of the range $W_{1}=Z_{n}-Z_{1}$ for the observations given in Example 7

EE
Example 8: Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots ., \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are as given Example 7. Let us find the distribution of $\mathrm{WI}=\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Zi}$.

Here we make use of the transformation method.
Set $W_{2}=Z_{1}$
Now you can check that the transformation $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{W}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{2}\right)$ is one-to-one and the inverse transformation is given by $\mathrm{Z} 1=\mathrm{W}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}$. The Jacobian of this transformation is equal to -1 . Hence the joint density of $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}_{21^{\prime}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{1}\right), 0<\mathrm{w}_{1}<\infty,-\infty<\mathrm{w}_{2}<\infty
$$

where $g_{z_{1}} z_{n^{\prime}}$, is the joint density of $\left(Z_{1^{\prime}}, Z_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ which we have calculated in Example 7.
Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)\left[\left(\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{1}\right) \text { if } 0<\mathrm{w}_{1}<\infty \text { and }-\infty<\mathrm{w}_{2}<\infty\right. \\
& =0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the marginal density function of $W_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{w}_{2} \\
& =0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes Let us consider a special case of the above problem when $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent and identically distributed with uniform distribution on [0, I]. Then

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x<0 \\ x & \text { if } 0<x<1 \\ 1 & \text { if } x \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 0<x<1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{w}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right) & =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) \int_{0}^{1-\mathrm{w}_{1}} \mathrm{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathrm{dw}_{2}, \text { if } 0<\mathrm{w}_{1}<1 \\
& =\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}-2}\left(1-\mathrm{w}_{1}\right), \text { if } 0<\mathrm{w}_{1}<1 \\
& =0 \quad, \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for a short exercise
In the next three sections we shall discuss three standard distributions each of which appear as the distribution of a certain function of standard normal variable. We shall make use of the different approaches discussed in this unit to obtain their distribution functions. All these distributions play an important role in statistical inference which will be discussed in Block 4.

### 7.3 Summary

- Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector with the joint density function $f x, y(x, y)$. Let $g(x, y)$ be a realvalued function defined on $R \times R$. For $z E 2$, define

$$
D_{z}=\{(x, Y): g(x, y) \leq z\}
$$

Then the distribution function of Z is defined as

$$
P[Z \leq z]=\iint_{D_{z}} \int_{X, Y}(X, Y) d x d y
$$

Theoretically it is not difficult to write down the distribution function using (1). But in actual practise it is sometimes difficult to evaluate the double integral.

- $\quad$ Suppose $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a bivariate random vector with the density function $f x_{1}, x_{2}\left(X_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and we would like to determine the distribution function of the density function of $Z_{1}=g_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. To determine this, let us suppose that we can find another function $Z_{2}=g_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ such that the transformation from $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ to $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is one-to-one. In other words to every point $\left(x_{1}\right.$, $x_{2}$ ) in $R^{2}$, there corresponds a point ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) in $R^{2}$ given by the above transformation and conversely to every point $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ there corresponds a unique point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{2}=g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.4 Keywords

Double exponential distribution: The distribution with the density function given by * is known as double exponential distribution.

Range: It is the difference between the largest and the smallest observations.

### 7.5 Self Assessment

Fill in the blanks:

1. Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector with the joint $\qquad$ $f x, y(x, y)$. Let $g(x, y)$ be a real-valued function defined on $R \times R$. For z E 2, define

$$
D_{z}=\{(x, Y): g(x, y) \leq z\}
$$

2. The distribution with the density function given by * is known as $\qquad$
3. An important application of the $\qquad$ approach is to determine distribution of the sum of two independent random variables not necessarily identically distributed.
4. The $\qquad$ of the transformation is equal to unity.
5. $\qquad$ is the difference between the largest and the smallest observations.

### 7.6 Review Questions

1. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables, each having uniform distribution on $(0,1)$. Determine the density function of $Z=X+Y$.
2. Suppose $(X, Y)$ has the joint probability density function

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =x+y, \text { if } 0<x, y<1 \\
& =0, \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Find the density function of $Z=X Y$.
3. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are independent $r$. vs with density function $f(x)$ and distribution function $F(x)$. Find the density function of $Z=\min (X, Y)$.
4. Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent random variables with gamma densities $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha_{i}-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}, 0<\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}<\infty \\
& =0 \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathrm{i}=1$, 2. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{1}}{X_{1}+X_{2}}$. Show that $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are independent random variables. Find the distribution functions of $Z_{2}$ and $Z_{1}$.
5. (Box - Muller transformation) Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be independent random variables uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}=\left(-2 \log X_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cos \left(2 \pi X_{2}\right), \\
& Z_{2}=\left(-2 \log X_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \pi X_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Show that $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are independent standard normal random variables.

Notes 6. Suppose $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ have the joint density function

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) & =4 \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \text { if } 0<\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}<1 \\
& =0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

Define $Z_{1}=\frac{X_{1}}{X_{2}}$ and $Z_{2}=X_{1} X_{2}$. Determine the joint density function of $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$
7. Suppose $X_{1}, \ldots . ., X_{n}$ are $n$ independent random variables with the same distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Define

$$
\overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

$\bar{X}$ is called the sample mean. Extending the m.g.f. approach for more than two random variables, show that $\bar{X}$ has the distribution $N\left[\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right]$.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. density function 2 2. double exponential distribution 3. transformation
2. Jacobian 5. Range

### 7.7 Further Readings

## Unit 8: Expected Value with Perfect Information (EVPI)

CONTENTS<br>Objectives<br>Introduction<br>8.1 Expected Value with Perfect Information (EVPI)<br>8.1.1 Cost of Uncertainty<br>8.1.2 Marginal Analysis<br>8.2 Use of Subjective Probabilities in Decision Making<br>8.3 Use of Posterior Probabilities in Decision Making<br>8.4 Summary<br>8.5 Keywords<br>8.6 Self Assessment<br>8.7 Review Questions<br>8.8 Further Readings

## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Define expected value
- Describe expected value with perfect information


## Introduction

In last unit, you will studied about random variable. This unit will provide you information related to expected value with perfect information.

### 8.1 Expected Value with Perfect Information (EVPI)

The expected value with perfect information is the amount of profit foregone due to uncertain conditions affecting the selection of a course of action.

Given the perfect information, a decision maker is supposed to know which particular state of nature will be in effect. Thus, the procedure for the selection of an optimal course of action, for the decision problem given in example 18, will be as follows:
If the decision maker is certain that the state of nature $S_{1}$ will be in effect, he would select the course of action $\mathrm{A}_{3}$, having maximum payoff equal to Rs 200.
Similarly, if the decision maker is certain that the state of nature $S_{2}$ will be in effect, his course of action would be $A_{1}$ and if he is certain that the state of nature $S_{3}$ will be in effect, his course of action would be $A_{2}$. The maximum payoffs associated with the actions are Rs 200 and Rs 600 respectively.

Notes The weighted average of these payoffs with weights equal to the probabilities of respective states of nature is termed as Expected Payoff under Certainty (EPC).

Thus, $E P C=200 \times 0.3+200 \times 0.4+600 \times 0.3=320$
The difference between EPC and EMV of optimal action is the amount of profit foregone due to uncertainty and is equal to EVPI.

Thus, EVPI $=$ EPC - EMV of optimal action $=320-194=126$
It is interesting to note that EVPI is also equal to EOL of the optimal action.

### 8.1.1 Cost of Uncertainty

This concept is similar to the concept of EVPI. Cost of uncertainty is the difference between the EOL of optimal action and the EOL under perfect information.

Given the perfect information, the decision maker would select an action with minimum opportunity loss under each state of nature. Since minimum opportunity loss under each state of nature is zero, therefore,

EOL under certainty $=0 \times 0.3+0 \times 0.4+0 \times 0.3=0$.
Thus, the cost of uncertainty $=$ EOL of optimal action $=$ EVPI
Example 19: A group of students raise money each year by selling souvenirs outside the stadium of a cricket match between teams A and B. They can buy any of three different types of souvenirs from a supplier. Their sales are mostly dependent on which team wins the match. A conditional payoff (in Rs.) table is as under :

| Type of Souvenir $\rightarrow$ | I | II | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team A wins | 1200 | 800 | 300 |
| Team B wins | 250 | 700 | 1100 |

(i) Construct the opportunity loss table.
(ii) Which type of souvenir should the students buy if the probability of team A's winning is 0.6 ?
(iii) Compute the cost of uncertainty.

## Solution.

(i) The Opportunity Loss Table

| Actions $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Events $\downarrow$ | Type of Souvenir bought |  |  |  |
|  | I |  | II | III |
| Team A wins | 0 | 400 | 900 |  |
| Team B wins | 850 | 400 | 0 |  |

(ii) EOL of buying type I Souvenir $=0 \times 0.6+850 \times 0.4=340$

EOL of buying type II Souvenir $=400 \times 0.6+400 \times 0.4=400$.
EOL of buying type III Souvenir $=900 \times 0.6+0 \times 0.4=540$.

Since the EOL of buying Type I Souvenir is minimum, the optimal decision is to buy Type
Notes I Souvenir.
(iii) Cost of uncertainty $=$ EOL of optimal action $=$ Rs. 340
$==$
Example 20:
The following is the information concerning a product $X$ :
(i) Per unit profit is Rs 3 .
(ii) Salvage loss per unit is Rs 2 .
(iii) Demand recorded over 300 days is as under:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text { Units demanded } & : & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\text { No. of days } & : & 30 & 60 & 90 & 75 & 45
\end{array}
$$

Find: (i) EMV of optimal order.
(ii) Expected profit presuming certainty of demand.

## Solution.

(i) The given data can be rewritten in terms of relative frequencies, as shown below :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text { Units demanded } & : & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\text { No. of days } & : & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.25 & 0.15
\end{array}
$$

From the above probability distribution, it is obvious that the optimum order would lie between and including 5 to 9 .

Let A denote the number of units ordered and $D$ denote the number of units demanded per day.

If $D \geq A$, profit per day $=3 \mathrm{~A}$, and if $\mathrm{D}<\mathrm{A}$, profit per day $=3 \mathrm{D}-2(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{D})=5 \mathrm{D}-2 \mathrm{~A}$.
Thus, the profit matrix can be written as

| Units Demanded | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability $\rightarrow$ <br> Action (units ordered) $\downarrow$ | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.15 | EMV |
| 5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15.00 |
| 6 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17.50 |
| 7 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19.00 |
| 8 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 19.00 |
| 9 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 27 | 17.75 |

From the above table, we note that the maximum $E M V=19.00$, which corresponds to the order of 7 or 8 units. Since the order of the 8 th unit adds nothing to the EMV, i.e., marginal EMV is zero, therefore, order of 8 units per day is optimal.
(ii) Expected profit under certainty
$=(5 \times 0.10+6 \times 0.20+7 \times 0.30+8 \times 0.25+9 \times 0.15) \times 3=$ Rs 21.45

## Alternative Method

The work of computations of EMV's, in the above example, can be reduced considerably by the use of the concept of expected marginal profit. Let $\pi$ be the marginal profit and $l$ be the marginal loss of ordering an additional unit of the product. Then, the expected marginal profit of ordering the Ath unit, is given by
$=\pi \cdot P(D \geq A)-\lambda \cdot P(D<A)=\pi \cdot P(D \geq A)-\lambda \cdot[1-P(D \geq A)]$
$=(\pi+\lambda) \cdot P(D \geq A)-\lambda$
The computations of EMV, for alternative possible values of A, are shown in the following table:
In our example, $\pi=3$ and $\lambda=2$.
Thus, the expression for the expected marginal profit of the Ath unit
$=(3+2) P\left(D^{3} A\right)-2=5 P\left(D^{3} A\right)-2$
Table for computations

| Action $(A)$ | $P(D \geq A)^{*}$ | $E M P=5 P(D \geq A)-2$ | Total profit or <br> $E M V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.00 | $5 \times 1.00-2=3.00$ | $5 \times 3.00=15.00$ |
| 6 | 0.90 | $5 \times 0.90-2=2.50$ | $15.00+2.50=17.50$ |
| 7 | 0.70 | $5 \times 0.70-2=1.50$ | $17.50+1.50=19.00$ |
| 8 | 0.40 | $5 \times 0.40-2=0.00$ | $19.00+0.00=19.00$ |
| 9 | 0.15 | $5 \times 0.15-2=-1.25$ | $19.00-1.25=17.75$ |

* This column represents the 'more than type' cumulative probabilities.

Since the expected marginal profit (EMP) of the 8 th unit is zero, therefore, optimal order is 8 units.

### 8.1.2 Marginal Analysis

Marginal analysis is used when the number of states of nature is considerably large. Using this analysis, it is possible to locate the optimal course of action without the computation of EMV's of various actions.

An order of A units is said to be optimal if the expected marginal profit of the Ath unit is nonnegative and the expected marginal profit of the $(A+1)$ th unit is negative. Using equation (1), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\pi+\lambda) P(D \geq A)-\lambda \geq 0 \text { and }  \tag{2}\\
& (\pi+\lambda) P(D \geq A+1)-\lambda<0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(D \geq A) \geq \frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \text { or } 1-P(D<A) \geq \frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \\
& \text { or } P(D<A) \leq 1-\frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \text { or } P(D \leq A-1) \leq \frac{\pi}{\pi+\lambda} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$[P(D \leq A-1)=P(D<A)$, since $A$ is an integer $]$

Further, equation (3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(D \geq A+1)<\frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \text { or } 1-P(D<A+1)<\frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \\
& \text { or } P(D<A+1)>1-\frac{\lambda}{\pi+\lambda} \text { or } P(D \leq A)>\frac{\pi}{\pi+\lambda} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5), we get

$$
P(D \leq A-1) \leq \frac{\pi}{\pi+\lambda}<P(D \leq A) .
$$

Writing the probability distribution, given in example 20, in the form of less than type cumulative probabilities which is also known as the distribution function $F(D)$, we get

| Units demanded $(D)$ | $:$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F(D)$ | $:$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.85 | 1.00 |

We are given $\pi=3$ and $\lambda=2, \therefore \frac{\pi}{\pi+\lambda}=\frac{3}{5}=0.6$
Since the next cumulative probability, i.e., 0.85 , corresponds to 8 units, hence, the optimal order is 8 units.

### 8.2 Use of Subjective Probabilities in Decision Making

When the objective probabilities of the occurrence of various states of nature are not known, the same can be assigned on the basis of the expectations or the degree of belief of the decision maker. Such probabilities are known as subjective or personal probabilities. It may be pointed out that different individuals may assign different probability values to given states of nature.

This indicates that a decision problem under uncertainty can always be converted into a decision problem under risk by the use of subjective probabilities. Such an approach is also termed as Subjectivists's Approach.

Example 21:
The conditional payoff (in Rs) for each action-event combination are as under:

| Action $\rightarrow$ <br> Event $\downarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $A$ | 4 | -2 | 7 | 8 |
| $B$ | 0 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| $C$ | -5 | 9 | 2 | -3 |
| $D$ | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| $E$ | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 |

(i) Which is the best action in accordance with the Maximin Criterion?
(ii) Which is the best action in accordance with the EMV Criterion, assuming that all the events are equally likely?

Notes
Solution.
(i) The minimum payoffs for various actions are :

Action $1=-5$
Action $2=-2$
Action $3=2$
Action $4=-3$
Since the payoff for action 3 is maximum, therefore, $A_{3}$ is optimal on the basis of maximin criterion.
(ii) Since there are 5 equally likely events, the probability of each of them would be $\frac{1}{5}$.

Thus, the EMV of action 1, i.e., $E M V_{1}=\frac{4+0-5+3+6}{5}=\frac{8}{5}=1.6$

Similarly, $E M V_{2}=\frac{20}{5}=4.0, E M V_{3}=\frac{19}{5}=3.8$ and $E M V_{4}=\frac{17}{5}=3.4$
Thus, action 2 is optimal.

### 8.3 Use of Posterior Probabilities in Decision Making

The probability values of various states of nature, discussed so far, were prior probabilities. Such probabilities are either computed from the past data or assigned subjectively. It is possible to revise these probabilities in the light of current information available by using the Bayes' Theorem. The revised probabilities are known as posterior probabilities.

E=E
Example 22: A manufacturer of detergent soap must determine whether or not to expand his productive capacity. His profit per month, however, depends upon the potential demand for his product which may turn out to be high or low. His payoff matrix is given below :

|  | Do not Expand | Expand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Demand | Rs 5,000 | Rs 7,500 |
| Low Demand | Rs 5,000 | Rs 2,100 |

On the basis of past experience, he has estimated the probability that demand for his product being high in future is only 0.4

Before taking a decision, he also conducts a market survey. From the past experience he knows that when the demand has been high, such a survey had predicted it correctly only $60 \%$ of the times and when the demand has been low, the survey predicted it correctly only $80 \%$ of the times.

If the current survey predicts that the demand of his product is going to be high in future, determine whether the manufacturer should increase his production capacity or not? What would have been his decision in the absence of survey?

## Solution.

Let H be the event that the demand will be high. Therefore,

$$
P(H)=0.4 \text { and } P(\bar{H})=0.6
$$

Note that H and $\bar{H}$ are the only two states of nature.

Let D be the event that the survey predicts high demand. Therefore,
$P(D / H)=0.60$ and $P(\bar{D} / \bar{H})=0.80$
We have to find $P(H / D)$ and $P(\bar{H} / D)$. For this, we make the following table:

|  | $H$ | $\bar{H}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D$ | $0.4 \times 0.6$ <br> $=0.24$ | 0.12 | 0.36 |
| $\bar{D}$ | 0.16 | $0.6 \times 0.8$ <br> $=0.48$ | 0.64 |
| Total | 0.40 | 0.60 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |

From the above table, we can write

$$
P(H / D)=\frac{0.24}{0.36}=\frac{2}{3} \text { and } P(\bar{H} / D)=\frac{0.12}{0.36}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

The EMV of the act 'don't expand' $=5000 \times \frac{2}{3}+5000 \times \frac{1}{3}=$ Rs 5,000
and the EMV of the act 'expand' $=7500 \times \frac{2}{3}+2100 \times \frac{1}{3}=$ Rs 5,700
Since the EMV of the act 'expand' > the EMV of the act 'don't expand', the manufacturer should expand his production capacity.
It can be shown that, in the absence of survey the EMV of the act 'don't expand' is Rs 5,000 and the EMV of the act expand is Rs 4,260 . Hence, the optimal act is 'don't expand'.

## Decision Tree Approach

The decision tree diagrams are often used to understand and solve a decision problem. Using such diagrams, it is possible to describe the sequence of actions and chance events. A decision node is represented by a square and various action branches stem from it. Similarly, a chance node is represented by a circle and various event branches stem from it. Various steps in the construction of a decision tree can be summarised as follows:
(i) Show the appropriate action-event sequence beginning from left to right of the page.
(ii) Write the probabilities of various events along their respective branches stemming from each chance node.
(iii) Write the payoffs at the end of each of the right-most branch.
(iv) Moving backward, from right to left, compute EMV of each chance node, wherever encountered. Enter this EMV in the chance node. When a decision node is encountered, choose the action branch having the highest EMV. Enter this EMV in the decision node and cut-off the other action branches.

Following this approach, we can describe the decision problem of the above example as given below:


Thus, the optimal act to expand capacity.
Case II : In the absence of survey


Thus, the optimal act is not to expand capacity.

### 8.4 Summary

The expected value with perfect information is the amount of profit foregone due to uncertain conditions affecting the selection of a course of action.

Given the perfect information, a decision maker is supposed to know which particular state of nature will be in effect. Thus, the procedure for the selection of an optimal course of action, for the decision problem given in example 18, will be as follows:
If the decision maker is certain that the state of nature $S_{1}$ will be in effect, he would select the course of action $\mathrm{A}_{3}$, having maximum payoff equal to Rs 200 .

When the objective probabilities of the occurrence of various states of nature are not known, the same can be assigned on the basis of the expectations or the degree of belief of the decision maker. Such probabilities are known as subjective or personal probabilities. It may be pointed out that different individuals may assign different probability values to given states of nature.

The probability values of various states of nature, discussed so far, were prior probabilities. Such probabilities are either computed from the past data or assigned subjectively. It is possible to revise these probabilities in the light of current information available by using the Bayes' Theorem.

### 8.5 Keywords

Notes

Cost of Uncertainty: This concept is similar to the concept of EVPI. Cost of uncertainty is the difference between the EOL of optimal action and the EOL under perfect information.

Bayes' Theorem: It is possible to revise these probabilities in the light of current information available by using the Bayes' Theorem.

### 8.6 Self Assessment

1. .................... is used when the number of states of nature is considerably large. Using this analysis, it is possible to locate the optimal course of action without the computation of EMV's of various actions.
2. The $\qquad$ of various states of nature, discussed so far, were prior probabilities.
3. The $\qquad$ are often used to understand and solve a decision problem. Using such diagrams, it is possible to describe the sequence of actions and chance events.
4. A . $\qquad$ is represented by a circle and various event branches stem from it.

### 8.7 Review Questions

38. A newspaper distributor assigns probabilities to the demand for a magazine as follows:

| Copies Demanded | $:$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability | $:$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

A copy of magazine sells for Rs 7 and costs Rs 6 . What can be the maximum possible expected monetary value (EMV) if the distributor can return the unsold copies for Rs 5 each? Also find EVPI.
39. A management is faced with the problem of choosing one of the three products for manufacturing. The potential demand for each product may turn out to be good, fair or poor. The probabilities for each type of demand were estimated as follows :

| Demand $\rightarrow$ <br> Product $\downarrow$ | Good | Fair | Poor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| B | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| C | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 |

The estimated profit or loss (in Rs) under the three states of demand in respect of each product may be taken as :

$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
A & 35,000 & 15,000 & 5,000 \\
B & 50,000 & 20,000 & -3,000 \\
C & 60,000 & 30,000 & 20,000
\end{array}
$$

Prepare the expected value table and advise the management about the choice of the product.

Notes 40. The payoffs of three acts A, B and C and the states of nature P, Q and R are given as :

|  | Payoffs (in Rs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| States of Nature | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| $P$ | -35 | 120 | -100 |
| $Q$ | 250 | -350 | 200 |
| $R$ | 550 | 650 | 700 |

The probabilities of the states of nature are $0.5,0.1$ and 0.4 respectively. Tabulate the Expected Monetary Values for the above data and state which can be chosen as the best act? Calculate expected value of perfect information also.
41. A manufacturing company is faced with the problem of choosing from four products to manufacture. The potential demand for each product may turn out to be good, satisfactory or poor. The probabilities estimated of each type of demand are given below:

| Probabilities of type of demand |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
| A | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| B | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| C | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.15 |
| D | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.30 |

The estimated profit (in Rs) under different states of demand in respect of each product may be taken as:

| $A$ | 40,000 | 10,000 | 1,100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $B$ | 40,000 | 20,000 | $-7,000$ |
| $C$ | 50,000 | 15,000 | $-8,000$ |
| $D$ | 40,000 | 18,000 | 15,000 |

Prepare the expected value table and advise the company about the choice of product to manufacture.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. Marginal analysis
2. probability values
3. decision tree diagrams
4. chance node

### 8.8 Further Readings

Books
Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss variance of random variable
- Describe the properties random variable


## Introduction

In last unit you will studied about expected random variable. This unit will provide you variance of a random variable.

### 9.1 Mean and Variance of a Random Variable

The mean and variance of a random variable can be computed in a manner similar to the computation of mean and variance of the variable of a frequency distribution.

## Mean

If $X$ is a discrete random variable which can take values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{n^{\prime}}$, with respective probabilities as $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, then its mean, also known as the Mathematical Expectation or Expected Value of $X$, is given by:

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) .
$$

The mean of a random variable or its probability distribution is often denoted by $\mu$, i.e., $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mu$.

Notes Remarks: The mean of a frequency distribution can be written as
$X_{1} \cdot \frac{f_{1}}{N}+X_{2} \cdot \frac{f_{2}}{N}+\ldots \ldots+X_{n} \cdot \frac{f_{n}}{N}$, which is identical to the expression for expected value.

## Variance

The concept of variance of a random variable or its probability distribution is also similar to the concept of the variance of a frequency distribution.
The variance of a frequency distribution is given by
$\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum f_{i}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{f_{i}}{N}=$ Mean of $\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ values.
The expression for variance of a probability distribution with mean $\mu$ can be written in a similar way, as given below :
$\sigma^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} p\left(X_{i}\right)$, where $X$ is a discrete random variable.
Remarks: If $X$ is a continuous random variable with probability density function $p(X)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X \cdot p(X) d X \\
& \sigma^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-\mu)^{2} \cdot p(X) d X
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.1.1 Moments

The rth moment of a discrete random variable about its mean is defined as:

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{\mathrm{r}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu\right)^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

Similarly, the rth moment about any arbitrary value A, can be written as

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{A})^{\mathrm{r}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{A}\right)^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

The expressions for the central and the raw moments, when X is a continuous random variable, can be written as

$$
\mu_{r}=E(X-\mu)^{r}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-\mu)^{r} \cdot p(X) d X
$$

and $\mu_{r}^{\prime}=E(X-A)^{r}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-A)^{r} \cdot p(X) d X$ respectively.

### 9.2 Summary

- The mean and variance of a random variable can be computed in a manner similar to the computation of mean and variance of the variable of a frequency distribution.
- If $X$ is a discrete random variable which can take values $X_{1}, X_{2^{\prime}} \ldots . . X_{n^{\prime}}$, with respective probabilities as $p\left(X_{1}\right), p\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots . p\left(X_{n}\right)$, then its mean, also known as the Mathematical Expectation or Expected Value of $X$, is given by:
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=X_{1} \mathrm{p}\left(X_{1}\right)+X_{2} \mathrm{p}\left(X_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots+X_{n} p\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} p\left(X_{i}\right)$.
The mean of a random variable or its probability distribution is often denoted by $\mu$, i.e., $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mu$.

Remarks: The mean of a frequency distribution can be written as
$X_{1} \cdot \frac{f_{1}}{N}+X_{2} \cdot \frac{f_{2}}{N}+\ldots \ldots+X_{n} \cdot \frac{f_{n}}{N}$, which is identical to the expression for expected value.

- The concept of variance of a random variable or its probability distribution is also similar to the concept of the variance of a frequency distribution.

The variance of a frequency distribution is given by

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum f_{i}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{f_{i}}{N}=\text { Mean of }\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \text { values. }
$$

The expression for variance of a probability distribution with mean $\mu$ can be written in a similar way, as given below :

$$
\sigma^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} p\left(X_{i}\right) \text {, where } X \text { is a discrete random variable. }
$$

### 9.3 Keywords

Random variable: If $X$ is a discrete random variable which can take values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{n}$, with respective probabilities as $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, then its mean, also known as the Mathematical Expectation or Expected Value of $X$, is given by:

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

Variance: The concept of variance of a random variable or its probability distribution is also similar to the concept of the variance of a frequency distribution.
Continuous random: If $X$ is a continuous random variable with probability density function $p(X)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X \cdot p(X) d X \\
& \sigma^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-\mu)^{2} \cdot p(X) d X
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes Moment: The rth moment of a discrete random variable about its mean is defined as:

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{\mathrm{r}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu\right)^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

### 9.4 Self Assessment

1. If $X$ is a $\qquad$ variable which can take values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{n^{\prime}}$ with respective probabilities as $p\left(X_{1}\right), p\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots . p\left(X_{n}\right)$, then its mean, also known as the Mathematical Expectation or Expected Value of $X$, is given by:
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=X_{1} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
(a) discrete random
(b) variance
(c) continuous random
(d) moment
2. The concept of $\qquad$ . of a random variable or its probability distribution is also similar to the concept of the variance of a frequency distribution.
(a) discrete random
(b) variance
(c) continuous random
(d) moment
3. If $X$ is a $\qquad$ variable with probability density function $p(X)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X \cdot p(X) d X \\
& \sigma^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-\mu)^{2} \cdot p(X) d X
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) discrete random
(b) variance
(c) continuous random
(d) moment
4. The rth $\qquad$ of a discrete random variable about its mean is defined as:

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{\mathrm{r}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mu\right)^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

(a) discrete random
(b) variance
(c) continuous random
(d) moment

### 9.5 Review Questions

1. Obtain the probability distribution of the number of aces in simultaneous throws of two unbiased dice.
2. Explain the concept of a random variable and its probability distribution. Illustrate your answer using experiment of the toss of two unbiased coins. Find the mean and variance of the random variable defined by you for this experiment.
3. If $E(X)=1$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X)=5$, find
(i) $\mathrm{E}\left[(2+\mathrm{X})^{2}\right]$
(ii) $\operatorname{Var}(4+3 X)$
4. You are told that the time to service a car at a service station is uncertain with following

Notes probability density function:
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=3 \mathrm{x}-2 \mathrm{x}^{2}+1$ for $0 £ \mathrm{x} £ 2$
$=0$ otherwise.
Examine whether this is a valid probability density function?
5. Find mean and variance of the following probability distribution :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & : & -20 & -10 & 30 \\
p(X) & : & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (a) 2. (b) 3. (c) 4. (d)

## $\underline{\text { 9.6 Further Readings }}$

Notes
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss theorem on expectation
- Explain joint probability distribution


## Introduction

In last unit you have studied about variance of random variable. This unit will explain you joint probability distribution.

### 10.1 Theorems on Expectation

## Theorem 1.

Expected value of a constant is the constant itself, i.e., $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{b}$, where b is a constant.

## Proof.

The given situation can be regarded as a probability distribution in which the random variable takes a value $b$ with probability 1 and takes some other real value, say $a$, with probability 0 .
Thus, we can write $E(b)=b \times 1+a \times 0=b$

## Theorem 2.

Notes
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{aX})=\mathrm{aE}(\mathrm{X})$, where X is a random variable and a is constant.
Proof.
For a discrete random variable $X$ with probability function $p(X)$, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{aX}) & =\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots . \cdot \mathrm{aX}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =a \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \cdot p\left(X_{i}\right)=a E(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the results of theorems 1 and 2 , we can write

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{aX}+\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{aE}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{b}
$$

Remarks: Using the above result, we can write an alternative expression for the variance of X , as given below :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}-2 \mu \mathrm{X}+\mu^{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-2 \mu \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})+\mu^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-2 \mu^{2}+\mu^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-\mu^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-[\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})]^{2} \\
& =\text { Mean of Squares - Square of the Mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the above expression is identical to the expression for the variance of a frequency distribution.

### 10.1.1 Theorems on Variance

## Theorem 1.

The variance of a constant is zero.
Proof.
Let $b$ be the given constant. We can write the expression for the variance of $b$ as:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~b})]^{2}=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{b}]^{2}=0
$$

Theorem 2.

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X+b)=\operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

Proof.
We can write $\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b})]^{2}=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{b}]^{2}$

$$
=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})]^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})
$$

Similarly, it can be shown that $\operatorname{Var}(X-b)=\operatorname{Var}(X)$
Remarks: The above theorem shows that variance is independent of change of origin.
Theorem 3.

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{aX})=\mathrm{a}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})
$$

## Notes

## Proof.

We can write $\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{aX})=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{aX}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{aX})]^{2}=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{aX}-\mathrm{aE}(\mathrm{X})]^{2}$

$$
=a^{2} E[X-E(X)]^{2}=a^{2} \operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

Combining the results of theorems 2 and 3 , we can write

$$
\operatorname{Var}(a X+b)=a^{2} \operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

This result shows that the variance is independent of change origin but not of change of scale.

## Remarks:

1. On the basis of the theorems on expectation and variance, we can say that if $X$ is a random variable, then its linear combination, $a X+b$, is also a random variable with mean $\mathrm{aE}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{b}$ and Variance equal to $\mathrm{a}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})$.
2. The above theorems can also be proved for a continuous random variable.

E=E
Example 4: Compute mean and variance of the probability distributions obtained in examples 1, 2 and 3 .

## Solution.

(a) The probability distribution of X in example 1 was obtained as

| $X$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p(X)$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{3}{8}$ | $\frac{3}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ |

From the above distribution, we can write

$$
E(X)=0 \times \frac{1}{8}+1 \times \frac{3}{8}+2 \times \frac{3}{8}+3 \times \frac{1}{8}=1.5
$$

To find variance of $X$, we write
$\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-[\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})]^{2}$, where $E\left(X^{2}\right)=\sum X^{2} p(X)$.

Now, $E\left(X^{2}\right)=0 \times \frac{1}{8}+1 \times \frac{3}{8}+4 \times \frac{3}{8}+9 \times \frac{1}{8}=3$
Thus, $\operatorname{Var}(X)=3-(1.5)^{2}=0.75$
(b) The probability distribution of X in example 2 was obtained as

| $X$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p(X)$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{6}{36}$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{4}{36}$ | $\frac{3}{36}$ | $\frac{2}{36}$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | 1 |

$\therefore E(X)=2 \times \frac{1}{36}+3 \times \frac{2}{36}+4 \times \frac{3}{36}+5 \times \frac{4}{36}+6 \times \frac{5}{36}+7 \times \frac{6}{36}$

$$
+8 \times \frac{5}{36}+9 \times \frac{4}{36}+10 \times \frac{3}{36}+11 \times \frac{2}{36}+12 \times \frac{1}{36}=\frac{252}{36}=7
$$

Further, $E\left(X^{2}\right)=4 \times \frac{1}{36}+9 \times \frac{2}{36}+16 \times \frac{3}{36}+25 \times \frac{4}{36}+36 \times \frac{5}{36}+49 \times \frac{6}{36}$

$$
+64 \times \frac{5}{36}+81 \times \frac{4}{36}+100 \times \frac{3}{36}+121 \times \frac{2}{36}+144 \times \frac{1}{36}=\frac{1974}{36}=54.8
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Var}(X)=54.8-49=5.8$
(c) The probability distribution of $X$ in example 3 was obtained as

| $X$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p(X)$ | $\frac{4}{20}$ | $\frac{12}{20}$ | $\frac{4}{20}$ |

From the above, we can write

$$
E(X)=1 \times \frac{4}{20}+2 \times \frac{12}{20}+3 \times \frac{4}{20}=2
$$

and

$$
E\left(X^{2}\right)=1 \times \frac{4}{20}+4 \times \frac{12}{20}+9 \times \frac{4}{20}=4.4
$$

$$
\therefore \quad \operatorname{Var}(X)=4.4-4=0.4
$$

## Expected Monetary Value (EMV)

When a random variable is expressed in monetary units, its expected value is often termed as expected monetary value and symbolised by EMV.

E=E
Example 5: If it rains, an umbrella salesman earns Rs 100 per day. If it is fair, he loses Rs 15 per day. What is his expectation if the probability of rain is 0.3 ?

## Solution.

Here the random variable $X$ takes only two values, $X_{1}=100$ with probability 0.3 and $X_{2}=-15$ with probability 0.7 .
Thus, the expectation of the umbrella salesman

$$
=100 \times 0.3-15 \times 0.7=19.5
$$

The above result implies that his average earning in the long run would be Rs 19.5 per day.
$=\equiv$
Example 6: A person plays a game of throwing an unbiased die under the condition that he could get as many rupees as the number of points obtained on the die. Find the expectation and variance of his winning. How much should he pay to play in order that it is a fair game?

Solution.

Notes The probability distribution of the number of rupees won by the person is given below :

| $X(R s)$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p(X)$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |

Thus, $E(X)=1 \times \frac{1}{6}+2 \times \frac{1}{6}+3 \times \frac{1}{6}+4 \times \frac{1}{6}+5 \times \frac{1}{6}+6 \times \frac{1}{6}=\operatorname{Rs} \frac{7}{2}$
and

$$
E\left(X^{2}\right)=1 \times \frac{1}{6}+4 \times \frac{1}{6}+9 \times \frac{1}{6}+16 \times \frac{1}{6}+25 \times \frac{1}{6}+36 \times \frac{1}{6}=\frac{91}{6}
$$

$\therefore \quad \sigma^{2}=\frac{91}{6}-\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{35}{12}=2.82$. Note that the unit of $\sigma^{2}$ will be $(R s)^{2}$.
Since $E(X)$ is positive, the player would win Rs 3.5 per game in the long run. Such a game is said to be favourable to the player. In order that the game is fair, the expectation of the player should be zero. Thus, he should pay Rs 3.5 before the start of the game so that the possible values of the random variable become $1-3.5=-2.5,2-3.5=-1.5,3-3.5=-0.5,4-3.5=0.5$, etc. and their expected value is zero.
$\equiv \equiv$ Example 7: Two persons A and B throw, alternatively, a six faced die for a prize of Rs 55 which is to be won by the person who first throws 6 . If A has the first throw, what are their respective expectations?

## Solution.

Let A be the event that A gets a 6 and B be the event that B gets a 6. Thus, $P(A)=\frac{1}{6}$ and $P(B)=\frac{1}{6}$.
If A starts the game, the probability of his winning is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \text { wins }) & =P(A)+P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(A)+P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(A)+\ldots . \\
& =\frac{1}{6}+\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\ldots \ldots \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left[1+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{4}+\ldots \ldots .\right]=\frac{1}{6} \times\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{25}{36}}\right)=\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{36}{11}=\frac{6}{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $P(B$ wins $)=P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(B)+P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(\bar{B}) \cdot P(\bar{A}) \cdot P(B)+\ldots$.

$$
=\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}+\ldots \ldots
$$

$$
=\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6}\left[1+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{4}+\ldots \ldots .\right]=\frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{36}{11}=\frac{5}{11}
$$

## Expectation of $A$ and $B$ for the prize of Rs 55

Since the probability that A wins is $\frac{6}{11}$, therefore, the random variable takes a value 55 with probability $\frac{6}{11}$ and value 0 with probability $\frac{5}{11}$. Hence, $E(A)=55 \times \frac{6}{11}+0 \times \frac{5}{11}=\operatorname{Rs} 30$

Similarly, the expectation of B is given by $E(B)=55 \times \frac{5}{11}+0 \times \frac{6}{11}=$ Rs 25
5
Example 8: An unbiased die is thrown until a four is obtained. Find the expected value and variance of the number of throws.

## Solution.

Let $X$ denote the number of throws required to get a four. Thus, $X$ will take values $1,2,3,4, \ldots .$. . with respective probabilities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6},\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2} \times \frac{1}{6},\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1}{6} \ldots \ldots \text { etc. } \\
& \therefore \quad E(X)=1 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+2 \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6}+3 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{6}+4 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \ldots \ldots \\
&=\frac{1}{6}\left[1+2 \cdot \frac{5}{6}+3 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots .\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\quad S=1+2 \cdot \frac{5}{6}+3 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots$
Multiplying both sides by $\frac{5}{6}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=\frac{5}{6}+2 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+3 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+4 \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{4}+\ldots \ldots \\
& \therefore \quad S-\frac{5}{6} S=1+(2-1) \frac{5}{6}+(3-2)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+(4-3)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots \\
& \frac{1}{6} S=1+\frac{5}{6}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots .=\frac{1}{1-\frac{5}{6}}=6 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Notes Thus, $S=36$ and hence $E(X)=\frac{1}{6} \times 36=6$.
Further, to find variance, we first find $E\left(X^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
E\left(X^{2}\right) & =1 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+2^{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6}+3^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{6}+4^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \ldots \ldots \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left[1+2^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)+3^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+4^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots\right]
\end{aligned} \\
& S=1+2^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)+3^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+4^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

Multiply both sides by $\frac{5}{6}$ and subtract from S, to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{6} S & =1+\left(2^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)+\left(3^{2}-2^{2}\right)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(4^{2}-3^{2}\right)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots \\
& =1+3\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)+5\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+7\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, multiply both sides by $\frac{5}{6}$ and subtract

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{6} S-\frac{5}{36} S=1+(3-1)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)+(5-3)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+(7-5)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}+\ldots \ldots \\
& \frac{1}{36} S=1+2\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)\left\{1+\frac{5}{6}+\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}+\ldots \ldots\right\}=1+\frac{5}{3} \times 6=11  \tag{2}\\
& \therefore S=36 \times 11 \text { and } E\left(X^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{6} \times 36 \times 11=66
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, Variance $=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-[\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})]^{2}=66-36=30$

## Generalisation:

Let $p$ be the probability of getting 4 , then from equation (1) we can write

$$
p S=\frac{1}{1-q}=\frac{1}{p} \text { or } S=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \text { Therefore, } E(X)=p\left(\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{p}
$$

Similarly, equation (2) can be written as

$$
p^{2} S=1+\frac{2 q}{p} \text { or } S=\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\frac{2 q}{p^{3}}=\frac{p+2 q}{p^{3}}
$$

Therefore, $E\left(X^{2}\right)=p \cdot\left(\frac{p+2 q}{p^{3}}\right)=\frac{p+2 q}{p^{2}}$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})=\frac{p+2 q}{p^{2}}-\frac{1}{p^{2}}=\frac{q}{p^{2}}$

### 10.2 Joint Probability Distribution

When two or more random variables $X$ and $Y$ are studied simultaneously on a sample space, we get a joint probability distribution. Consider the experiment of throwing two unbiased dice. If $X$ denotes the number on the first and $Y$ denotes the number on the second die, then $X$ and $Y$ are random variables having a joint probability distribution. When the number of random variables is two, it is called a bi-variate probability distribution and if the number of random variables become more than two, the distribution is termed as a multivariate probability distribution.

Let the random variable $X$ take values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{m}$ and $Y$ take values $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots \ldots . . Y_{n}$. Further, let $p_{i j}$ be the joint probability that $X$ takes the value $X_{i}$ and $Y$ takes the value $Y_{j}$, i.e., $P\left[X=X_{i}\right.$ and $\left.Y=Y_{j}\right]=p_{i j}(i=1$ to $m$ and $j=1$ to $n)$. This bi-variate probability distribution can be written in a tabular form as follows:


### 10.2.1 Marginal Probability Distribution

In the above table, the probabilities given in each row are added and shown in the last column. Similarly, the sum of probabilities of each column are shown in the last row of the table. These probabilities are termed as marginal probabilities. The last column of the table gives the marginal probabilities for various values of random variable $X$. The set of all possible values of the random variable $X$ along with their respective marginal probabilities is termed as the marginal probability distribution of X . Similarly, the marginal probabilities of the random variable Y are given in the last row of the above table.

Remarks: If X and Y are independent random variables, by multiplication theorem of probability we have
$P\left(X=X_{i}\right.$ and $\left.Y=Y_{i}\right)=P\left(X=X_{i}\right) \cdot P\left(Y=Y_{i}\right)$ " $i$ and $j$
Using notations, we can write $p_{i j}=P_{i} \cdot P_{j}^{\prime}$
The above relation is similar to the relation between the relative frequencies of independent attributes.

### 10.2.2 Conditional Probability Distribution

Each column of the above table gives the probabilities for various values of the random variable $X$ for a given value of $Y$, represented by it. For example, column 1 of the table represents that $P\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=p_{11}, P\left(X_{2}, Y_{1}\right)=p_{21}, \ldots \ldots . . P\left(X_{m^{\prime}} Y_{1}\right)=p_{m 1}$, where $P\left(X_{i^{\prime}} Y_{1}\right)=p_{i 1}$ denote the probability of the event that $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1$ to m$)$ and $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}_{1}$. From the conditional probability theorem, we can write
$P\left(X=X_{i} / Y=Y_{1}\right)=\frac{\text { Joint probability of } X_{i} \text { and } Y_{1}}{\text { Marginal probability of } Y_{1}}=\frac{p_{i j}}{P_{j}^{\prime}}($ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{m})$.

Notes $\quad$ This gives us a conditional probability distribution of $X$ given that $Y=Y_{1}$. This distribution can be written in a tabular form as shown below :

| $X$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{m}$ | Total Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability | $\frac{p_{11}}{P_{1}^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{p_{21}}{P_{1}^{\prime}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\frac{p_{m 1}}{P_{1}^{\prime}}$ | 1 |

The conditional distribution of $X$ given some other value of $Y$ can be constructed in a similar way. Further, we can construct the conditional distributions of $Y$ for various given values of $X$.

## Remarks:

It can be shown that if the conditional distribution of a random variable is same as its marginal distribution, the two random variables are independent. Thus, if for the conditional distribution
of X given $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ we have $\frac{p_{i 1}}{P_{1}^{\prime}}=P_{i}$ for " i , then X and Y are independent. It should be noted here that if one conditional distribution satisfies the condition of independence of the random variables, then all the conditional distributions would also satisfy this condition.

E=E
Example 9: Let two unbiased dice be tossed. Let a random variable $X$ take the value 1 if first die shows 1 or 2 , value 2 if first die shows 3 or 4 and value 3 if first die shows 5 or 6 . Further, Let $Y$ be a random variable which denotes the number obtained on the second die. Construct a joint probability distribution of $X$ and $Y$. Also determine their marginal probability distributions and find $E(X)$ and $E(Y)$ respectively. Determine the conditional distribution of $X$ given $Y=5$ and of $Y$ given $X=2$. Find the expected values of these conditional distributions. Determine whether $X$ and $Y$ are independent?
Solution.
For the given random experiment, the random variable $X$ takes values 1,2 and 3 and the random variable $Y$ takes values $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 . Their joint probability distribution is shown in the following table:

| $X \downarrow \backslash Y \rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Marginal <br> Dist. of $X$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 2 | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 3 | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| Marginal <br> Dist. of $Y$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |

From the above table, we can write the marginal distribution of $X$ as given below :

| $X$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{i}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |

Thus, the expected value of $X$ is $E(X)=1 \cdot \frac{1}{3}+2 \cdot \frac{1}{3}+3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}=2$

Similarly, the probability distribution of Y is

| $Y$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{j}^{\prime}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |

and $E(Y)=1 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+2 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+3 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+4 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+5 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+6 \cdot \frac{1}{6}=\frac{21}{6}=3 \cdot 5$
The conditional distribution of $X$ when $Y=5$ is

| $X$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{i} / Y=5$ | $\frac{1}{18} \times \frac{6}{1}=\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{18} \times \frac{6}{1}=\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{18} \times \frac{6}{1}=\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |

$\therefore \quad E(X / Y=5)=\frac{1}{3}(1+2+3)=2$
The conditional distribution of Y when $\mathrm{X}=2$ is

| $Y$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{j}^{\prime} / X=2$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |

$\therefore E(Y / X=2)=\frac{1}{6}(1+2+3+4+5+6)=3.5$
Since the conditional distribution of $X$ is same as its marginal distribution (or equivalently the conditional distribution of Y is same as its marginal distribution), X and Y are independent random variables.
$=\equiv$
Example 10: Two unbiased coins are tossed. Let X be a random variable which denotes the total number of heads obtained on a toss and $Y$ be a random variable which takes a value 1 if head occurs on first coin and takes a value 0 if tail occurs on it. Construct the joint probability distribution of $X$ and $Y$. Find the conditional distribution of $X$ when $Y=0$. Are $X$ and $Y$ independent random variables?

## Solution.

There are 4 elements in the sample space of the random experiment. The possible values that $X$ can take are 0,1 and 2 and the possible values of Y are 0 and 1 . The joint probability distribution of $X$ and $Y$ can be written in a tabular form as follows:

## Notes

| $X \downarrow \backslash Y \rightarrow$ | 0 | 1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{4}$ |
| 2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Total | $\frac{2}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{4}$ | 1 |

The conditional distribution of $X$ when $Y=0$, is given by

| $X$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(X / Y=0)$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 |

Also, the marginal distribution of $X$, is given by

| $X$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{i}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |

Since the conditional and the marginal distributions are different, X and Y are not independent random variables.

### 10.2.3 Expectation of the Sum or Product of two Random Variables

## Theorem 1.

If X and Y are two random variables, then $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})$.
Proof.
Let the random variable X takes values $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and the random variable Y takes values $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$, $Y_{2}, \ldots \ldots . Y_{n}$ such that $P\left(X=X_{i}\right.$ and $\left.Y=Y_{j}\right)=p_{i j}(i=1$ to $m, j=1$ to $n)$.
By definition of expectation, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X+Y) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}+Y_{j}\right) p_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i} p_{i j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j} p_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{j} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} P_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j} P_{j}^{\prime}\left(\text { Here } \sum_{J=1}^{n} p_{i j}=P_{i} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i j}=P_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =E(X)+E(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above result can be generalised. If there are $k$ random variables $X_{1^{\prime}}, X_{2^{\prime}}, \ldots . . X_{k^{\prime}}$ then $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots . .+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots . . \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$.
Remarks: The above result holds irrespective of whether $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{k}$ are independent or not.

## Theorem 2.

Notes
If $X$ and $Y$ are two independent random variables, then

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})
$$

Proof.
Let the random variable $X$ takes values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots X_{m}$ and the random variable $Y$ takes values $Y_{1}$, $Y_{2^{\prime}} \ldots \ldots . . Y_{n}$ such that $P\left(X=X_{i}\right.$ and $\left.Y=Y_{j}\right)=p_{i j}(i=1$ to $m, j=1$ to $n)$.

By definition $E(X Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i} Y_{j} p_{i j}$
Since X and Y are independent, we have $p_{i j}=P_{i} . P \not{ }_{j} \not \subset$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore \quad E(X Y) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i} Y_{j} P_{i} \cdot P_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} P_{i} \times \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j} P_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above result can be generalised. If there are $k$ independent random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . . X_{k^{\prime}}$ then

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{2} \cdot \ldots \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right) \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)
$$

### 10.2.4 Expectation of a Function of Random Variables

Let $f(X, Y)$ be a function of two random variables $X$ and $Y$. Then we can write $E[\phi(X, Y)]=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(X_{i}, Y_{j}\right) p_{i j}$

## I. Expression for Covariance

As a particular case, assume that $\phi\left(X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y_{j}-\mu_{Y}\right)$, where $E(X)=\mu_{X}$ and $E(Y)=\mu_{\Upsilon}$

Thus, $E\left[\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y_{j}-\mu_{Y}\right) p_{i j}$
The above expression, which is the mean of the product of deviations of values from their respective means, is known as the Covariance of $X$ and $Y$ denoted as $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ or $\sigma_{X Y}$. Thus, we can write

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E\left[\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)\right]
$$

An alternative expression of $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) & =E[\{X-E(X)\}\{Y-E(Y)\}] \\
& =E[X .\{Y-E(Y)\}-E(X) \cdot\{Y-E(Y)\}] \\
& =E[X . Y-X . E(Y)]=E(X . Y)-E(X) \cdot E(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes Note that $\mathrm{E}[\{\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})\}]=0$, the sum of deviations of values from their arithmetic mean.

## Remarks:

1. If $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables, the right hand side of the above equation will be zero. Thus, covariance between independent variables is always equal to zero.
2. $\operatorname{COV}(a+b X, c+d Y)=b d \operatorname{COV}(X, Y)$
3. $\operatorname{COV}(X, X)=\operatorname{VAR}(X)$

## II. Mean and Variance of a Linear Combination

Let $Z=\phi(X, Y)=a X+b Y$ be a linear combination of the two random variables $X$ and $Y$, then using the theorem of addition of expectation, we can write

$$
\mu_{Z}=E(Z)=E(a X+b Y)=a E(X)+b E(Y)=a \mu_{X}+b \mu_{Y}
$$

Further, the variance of Z is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{Z}^{2}=E[Z-E(Z)]^{2}=E\left[a X+b Y-a \mu_{X}-b \mu_{Y}\right]^{2}=E\left[a\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)+b\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)\right]^{2} \\
= & a^{2} E\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}+b^{2} E\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}+2 a b E\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right) \\
= & a^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}+b^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}+2 a b \sigma_{X Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks:

1. The above results indicate that any function of random variables is also a random variable.
2. If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then $\sigma_{X Y}=0, \backslash \sigma_{Z}^{2}=a^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}+b^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}$
3. If $Z=a X-b Y$, then we can write $\sigma_{Z}^{2}=a^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}+b^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}-2 a b \sigma_{X Y}$. However, $\sigma_{Z}^{2}=a^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}+b^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}$, if $X$ and $Y$ are independent.
4. The above results can be generalised. If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots . X_{k}$ are $k$ independent random variables with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots \ldots . \mu_{\mathrm{k}}$ and variances $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \ldots . . . \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$ respectively, then

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \pm \mathrm{X}_{2} \pm \ldots \pm \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mu_{1} \pm \mu_{2} \pm \ldots \pm \mu_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

and $\quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \pm \mathrm{X}_{2} \pm \ldots \pm \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}+\ldots .+\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$

Notes

1. The general result on expectation of the sum or difference will hold even if the random variables are not independent.
2. The above result can also be proved for continuous random variables.

## Notes

Example 11:
A random variable X has the following probability distribution :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & : & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\text { Probability } & : & \frac{1}{6} & p & \frac{1}{4} & p & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}
$$

(i) Find the value of p .
(ii) Calculate $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}+2)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(2 \mathrm{X}^{2}+3 \mathrm{X}+5\right)$.

## Solution.

Since the total probability under a probability distribution is equal to unity, the value of $p$ should be such that $\frac{1}{6}+p+\frac{1}{4}+p+\frac{1}{6}=1$.

This condition gives $p=\frac{5}{24}$
Further, $\quad E(X)=-2 \cdot \frac{1}{6}-1 \cdot \frac{5}{24}+0 \cdot \frac{1}{4}+1 \cdot \frac{5}{24}+2 \cdot \frac{1}{6}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(X^{2}\right)=4 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+1 \cdot \frac{5}{24}+0 \cdot \frac{1}{4}+1 \cdot \frac{5}{24}+4 \cdot \frac{1}{6}=\frac{7}{4}, \\
& E(X+2)=E(X)+2=0+2=2
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E\left(2 X^{2}+3 X+5\right)=2 E\left(X^{2}\right)+3 E(X)+5=2 \cdot \frac{7}{4}+0+5=8.5
$$

$\equiv=$

## Example 12:

A dealer of ceiling fans has estimated the following probability distribution of the price of a ceiling fan in the next summer season:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text { Price }(P) & : & 800 & 825 & 850 & 875 & 900
\end{array}
$$

If the demand $(x)$ of his ceiling fans follows a linear relation $x=6000-4 P$, find expected demand of fans and expected total revenue of the dealer.

## Solution.

Since $P$ is a random variable, therefore, $x=6000-4 P$, is also a random variable. Further, Total Revenue TR $=$ P. $x=6000 \mathrm{P}-4 \mathrm{P}^{2}$ is also a random variable.
From the given probability distribution, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{P})=800 \times 0.15+825 \times 0.25+850 \times 0.30+875 \times 0.20+900 \times 0.10 \\
& \quad=\text { Rs } 846.25 \text { and } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}\right)=(800)^{2} & \times 0.15+(825)^{2} \times 0.25+(850)^{2} \times 0.30+(875)^{2} \times 0.20 \\
& \quad+(900)^{2} \times 0.10=717031.25
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes
Thus, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=6000-4 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{P})=6000-4 \times 846.25=2615$ fans.
And $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{TR})=6000 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{P})-4 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$

$$
=6000 \times 846.25-4 \times 717031.25=\text { Rs 22,09,375.00 }
$$

E
Example 13: A person applies for equity shares of Rs 10 each to be issued at a premium of Rs 6 per share; Rs 8 per share being payable along with the application and the balance at the time of allotment. The issuing company may issue 50 or 100 shares to those who apply for 200 shares, the probability of issuing 50 shares being 0.4 and that of issuing 100 shares is 0.6 . In either case, the probability of an application being selected for allotment of any shares is 0.2 The allotment usually takes three months and the market price per share is expected to be Rs 25 at the time of allotment. Find the expected rate of return of the person per month.

## Solution.

Let $A$ be the event that the application of the person is considered for allotment, $B_{1}$ be the event that he is allotted 50 shares and $B_{2}$ be the event that he is allotted 100 shares. Further, let $R_{1}$ denote the rate of return (per month) when 50 shares are allotted, $R_{2}$ be the rate of return when 100 shares are allotted and $R=R_{1}+R_{2}$ be the combined rate of return.
We are given that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=0.2, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{A}\right)=0.4$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} / \mathrm{A}\right)=0.6$.
(a) When 50 shares are allotted

The return on investment in 3 months $=(25-16) 50=450$
$\therefore$ Monthly rate of return $=\frac{450}{3}=150$
The probability that he is allotted 50 shares
$=P\left(A \bigcap B_{1}\right)=P(A) \cdot P\left(B_{1} / A\right)=0.2 \times 0.4=0.08$
Thus, the random variable $R_{1}$ takes a value 150 with probability 0.08 and it takes a value 0 with probability 1-0.08 $=0.92$
$\therefore \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)=150 \times 0.08+0=12.00$
(b) When 100 shares are allotted

The return on investment in 3 months $=(25-16) \cdot 100=900$
$\therefore$ Monthly rate of return $=\frac{900}{3}=300$
The probability that he is allotted 100 shares

$$
=P\left(A \cap B_{2}\right)=P(A) . P\left(B_{2} / A\right)=0.2 \times 0.6=0.12
$$

Thus, the random variable $R_{2}$ takes a value 300 with probability 0.12 and it takes a value 0 with probability 1-0.12 $=0.88$
$\therefore \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)=300 \times 0.12+0=36$
Hence, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R})=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R} 2)=12+36=48$

[^0]Solution.
Notes
Let $X_{i}$ denote the number obtained on the $i$ th die. Therefore, the sum of points on $n$ dice is $S=X_{1}$ $+X_{2}+\ldots \ldots+X_{n}$ and

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\ldots \ldots . .+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) .
$$

Further, the number on the $i$ th die, i.e., $X_{i}$ follows the following distribution :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
X_{i} & : & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5
\end{array} \\
& p\left(X_{i}\right): \\
& \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6} \\
& \frac{1}{6} \\
& \hline \frac{1}{6} \\
& \frac{1}{6} \\
& \frac{1}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $E(S)=\frac{7}{2}+\frac{7}{2}+\ldots .+\frac{7}{2}(n$ times $)=\frac{7 n}{2}$

$=E$
Example 15: If X and Y are two independent random variables with means 50 and 120 and variances 10 and 12 respectively, find the mean and variance of $Z=4 X+3 Y$.

## Solution.

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Z})=\mathrm{E}(4 \mathrm{X}+3 \mathrm{Y})=4 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})+3 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})=4 \times 50+3 \times 120=560$
Since $X$ and $Y$ are independent, we can write
$\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{Z})=\operatorname{Var}(4 \mathrm{X}+3 \mathrm{Y})=16 \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})+9 \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{Y})=16 \times 10+9 \times 12=268$
F
Example 16: It costs Rs 600 to test a machine. If a defective machine is installed, it costs Rs 12,000 to repair the damage resulting to the machine. Is it more profitable to install the machine without testing if it is known that $3 \%$ of all the machines produced are defective? Show by calculations.

## Solution.

Here $X$ is a random variable which takes a value 12,000 with probability 0.03 and a value 0 with probability 0.97 .
$\therefore \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=12000 \times 0.03+0 \times 0.97=$ Rs 360 .
Since $E(X)$ is less than Rs 600 , the cost of testing the machine, hence, it is more profitable to install the machine without testing.

### 10.6 Summary

- Expected value of a constant is the constant itself, i.e., $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{b}$, where b is a constant.
- Using the above result, we can write an alternative expression for the variance of $X$, as given below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}-2 \mu \mathrm{X}+\mu^{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-2 \mu \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})+\mu^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-2 \mu^{2}+\mu^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-\mu^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)-[\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})]^{2} \\
& =\text { Mean of Squares - Square of the Mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes - When two or more random variables $X$ and $Y$ are studied simultaneously on a sample space, we get a joint probability distribution. Consider the experiment of throwing two unbiased dice. If $X$ denotes the number on the first and $Y$ denotes the number on the second die, then $X$ and $Y$ are random variables having a joint probability distribution. When the number of random variables is two, it is called a bi-variate probability distribution and if the number of random variables become more than two, the distribution is termed as a multivariate probability distribution.

### 10.7 Keywords

Expected value: Expected value of a constant is the constant itself, i.e., $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{b}$, where b is a constant.

Variance: The variance of a constant is zero.

### 10.8 Self Assessment

1. When a random variable is expressed in monetary units, its expected value is often termed as expected monetary value and symbolised by
(a) probability distribution
(b) EMV
(c) Covariance
(d) random variables
2. The set of all possible values of the random variable $X$ along with their respective marginal probabilities is termed as the marginal $\qquad$ of $X$.
(a) probability distribution
(b) EMV
(c) Covariance
(d) random variables
3. If $X$ and $Y$ are two $\qquad$ , then $E(X+Y)=E(X)+E(Y)$.
(a) probability distribution
(b) EMV
(c) Covariance
(d) random variables
4. The mean of the product of deviations of values from their respective means, is known as the $\qquad$ of $X$ and $Y$ denoted as $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ or $\sigma_{X Y}$.
(a) probability distribution
(b) EMV
(c) Covariance
(d) random variables

### 10.9 Review Questions

1. ABC company estimates the net profit on a new product, that it is launching, to be Rs $30,00,000$ if it is successful, Rs $10,00,000$ if it is moderately successful and a loss of Rs $10,00,000$ if it is unsuccessful. The firm assigns the following probabilities to the different possibilities : Successful 0.15 , moderately successful 0.25 and unsuccessful 0.60 . Find the expected value and variance of the net profits.

Hint: See example 5.
2. There are 4 different choices available to a customer who wants to buy a transistor set. The first type costs Rs 800 , the second type Rs 680, the third type Rs 880 and the fourth type Rs 760. The probabilities that the customer will buy these types are $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ respectively.

The retailer of these sets gets a commission @ $20 \%, 12 \%, 25 \%$ and $15 \%$ on the respective Notes sets. What is the expected commission of the retailer?

Hint : Take commission as random variable.
3. Three cards are drawn at random successively, with replacement, from a well shuffled pack of cards. Getting a card of diamond is termed as a success. Tabulate the probability distribution of the number successes $(X)$. Find the mean and variance of $X$.
Hint : The random variable takes values $0,1,2$ and 3 .
4. A discrete random variable can take all possible integral values from 1 to k each with probability $\frac{1}{k}$. Find the mean and variance of the distribution.

Hint: $E\left(X^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{k}\left(1^{2}+2^{2}+\ldots .+k^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\frac{k(k+1)(2 k+1)}{6}\right]$.
5. An insurance company charges, from a man aged 50, an annual premium of Rs 15 on a policy of Rs 1,000 . If the death rate is 6 per thousand per year for this age group, what is the expected gain for the insurance company?
Hint : Random variable takes values 15 and - 985.
6. On buying a ticket, a player is allowed to toss three fair coins. He is paid number of rupees equal to the number of heads appearing. What is the maximum amount the player should be willing to pay for the ticket.

Hint : The maximum amount is equal to expected value.
7. The following is the probability distribution of the monthly demand of calculators :

| Demand $(x)$ | $:$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability $p(x)$ | $:$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.07 |

Calculate the expected demand for calculators. If the cost c of producing x calculators is given by the relation $c=4 x^{2}-15 x+200$, find expected cost.
Hint : See example 12.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (b) 2. (a) 3. (d) 4. (c)
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss moment generating function of a random variable
- Describe deriving moments with mgf


## Introduction

In the previous unit, we learned that the expected value of the sample mean $\bar{X}$ is the population mean $m$. We also learned that the variance of the sample mean $\bar{X}$ is $\sigma^{2} / n$, that is, the population variance divided by the sample size $n$. We have not yet determined the probability distribution of the sample mean when, say, the random sample comes from a normal distribution with mean m and variance $\sigma^{2}$. We are going to tackle that in the next lesson! Before we do that, though, we are going to want to put a few more tools into our tollbox. We already have learned a few techniques for finding the probability distribution of a function of random variables, namely the distribution function technique and the change-of-variable technique. In this unit, we'll learn yet another technique called the moment-generating function technique. We'll use the technique in this lesson to learn, among other things, the distribution of sums of chi-square random variables, then, in the next lesson, we'll use the technique to find (finally) the probability distribution of the sample mean when the random sample comes from a normal distribution with mean m and variance $\sigma^{2}$.

### 11.1 Moment Generating Function of a Random Variable

Notes

## Moment Generating Function - Defintion

We start this lecture by giving a definition of moment generating function.
Definition: Let $X$ be a random variable. If the expected value:

$$
\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X})]
$$

exists and is finite for all real numbers $t$ belonging to a closed interval $[-h, h] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with $h>0$, then we say that $X$ possesses a moment generating function and the function $M_{x}:[-h, h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$
\mathrm{Mx}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{X})]
$$

is called the moment generating function (or mgf) of $X$.

## Moment Generating Function - Example

The following example shows how the moment generating function of an exponential random variable is calculated.
$=E$
Example: Let X be an exponential random variable with parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$...its supposed $R x$ is the set of positive real numbers:

$$
R X=[0, \infty)
$$

and its probability density function $f_{x}(x)$ is:

$$
f x(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 \exp (-l x) & \text { if } x \in R x \\
0 & \text { if } x \notin R x
\end{array}\right.
$$

Its moment generating function is computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t} X)] & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp (\mathrm{tx}) \mathrm{fx}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (\mathrm{tx}) \lambda \exp (-\lambda x) \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp ((\mathrm{t}-\lambda) \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\lambda\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}-\lambda} \exp ((\mathrm{t}-\lambda) \mathrm{dx}]_{0}^{\infty}\right. \\
& =\lambda\left[0-\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}-\lambda}\right] \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\mathrm{t}-\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
M_{x}(t)=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t}
$$

### 11.2 Deriving Moments with the mgf

The moment generating function takes its name by the fact that it can be used to derive the moments of X , as stated in the following proposition.

Proposition If a random variable $X$ possesses a moment generating function $M_{x}(t)$, then, for any $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, the n -th moment of X (denote it by $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{n})$ ) exists and is finite.
Furthermore:

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Mx}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}^{\mathrm{n}}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}
$$

where $\left.\frac{d^{n} M x(t)}{d t^{n}}\right|_{t=0}$ is the $n$th derivative of $M_{x}(t)$ with respect to $t$, evaluated at the point $t=0$.
Providing the above proposition is quite complicated, because a lot of analytical details must be taken care of (see e.g. Pfeiffer, P.E. (1978) concepts of probability theory, Courier Dover Publications). The intuition, however, is straightforward: since the expected value is a linear operator and differentiation is a linear operation, under appropriate conditions one can differentiate through the expected value, as follows:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}^{\mathrm{n}}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{dt}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X})]=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{dt}^{\mathrm{n}}} \exp (\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X})\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp (\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X})\right]
$$

which, evaluated at the point $t=0$, yields

$$
\left.\frac{d^{n} M_{X}(t)}{d t^{n}}\right|_{t=0}=E\left[X^{n} \exp (0 . X)\right]=E\left[X^{n}\right]=\mu_{x}(n)
$$

5 Example: Continuing the example above, the moment generating function of an exponential random variable is

$$
\operatorname{MX}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mathrm{t}}
$$

The expected value of $X$ can be computed by taking the first derivative of the moment generating function.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{1}{(\lambda-\mathrm{t})^{2}}
$$

and evaluating it at $\mathrm{r}=0$.

$$
\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\frac{\lambda}{(\lambda-0)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\lambda}
$$

Notes

The second moment of $X$ can be computed by taking the second derivative of the moment generating function

$$
\frac{d^{2} M_{x}(t)}{d t^{2}}=\frac{2 \lambda}{(\lambda-t)^{3}}
$$

and evaluating it at $r=0$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{2}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\frac{2 \lambda}{(\lambda-\mathrm{t})^{3}}=\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}}
$$

And so on for the higher moments.

### 11.3 Characterization of a Distriution via the mgf

The most important property of the moment generating function is the following:
Proposition (Equality of distributions) Let $X$ and $Y$ be two random variables. Denote by $F_{x}(x)$ and $F_{Y}(y)$ their distribution functions and by $M_{X}(t)$ and $M_{Y}(t)$ their moment generating functions. $X$ and $Y$ have the same distribution (i.e., $F_{X}(x)=F_{Y}(x)$ for any $x$ ) if and only if they have the same moment generating functions (i.e. $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{t})$ for any t ).

While proving this proposition is beyond the scope of this introductory exposition, it must be stressed that this proposition is extremely important and relevant from a practical viewpoint in many cases where we need to prove that two distributions are equal, it is much easier to prove equality of the moment generating functions than to prove equality of the distribution functions. Also note that equality of the distribution functions can be replaced in the proposition above by equality of the probability mass function (if $X$ and $Y$ are discrete random variables) or by equality of the probability density functions (if X and Y are absolutely continuous random variables).

### 11.4 Moment Generating Function - More details

### 11.4.1 Moment Generating Function of a Linear Transformation

Let $X$ be a random variable possessing a moment generating function $M_{x}(t)$. Define:

$$
Y=a+b X
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants and $\mathrm{b} \neq 0$. Then, the random variable Y possesses a moment generating function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{t})$ and

## Proof

Using the definition of moment generation function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MY}(\mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{tY})] \\
& =\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{at}+\mathrm{bt} \mathrm{X})] \\
& =\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{at}) \exp (\mathrm{bt} \mathrm{X})]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\exp (a t) E[\exp (b t X)] \\
& =\exp (a t) M_{x}(b t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, if $M_{x}(t)$ is defined on a closed interval [-h,h], then $M_{\gamma}(t)$ is defined on the interval $\left[-\frac{h}{b}, \frac{h}{b}\right]$.

### 11.4.2 Moment Generating Function of a Sum of Mutually Independent Random Variable

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ mutually independent random variables. Let $Z$ be their sum

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

Then, the moment generating function of Z is the product of the moment generating functions of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{t})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}}(\mathrm{t})
$$

This is easily proved using the definition of moment generating function and the properties of mutually independent variables (mutual independence via expectations):

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
M Z(t) & =E[\exp (t Z)] \\
& =E\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t X_{1}\right)\right] & \\
& =E\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(t X_{i}\right)\right] & \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} E\left[\exp \left(t X_{i}\right)\right] \quad \text { (by mutual independence) } \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_{\mathrm{X}}}(t) \quad \text { (by the definition generation function) }
\end{array}
$$

$=\bar{z}$
Example 1: Let X be a discrete random variable having a Bernoulli distribution. Its support $R_{x}$ is

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}=\langle 0,1>
$$

and its probability mass function $p_{x}(x)$ is

$$
p_{x}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p & \text { if } x=1 \\
1-p & \text { if } x=0 \\
0 & \text { if } x \notin R_{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{p} \in(0,1)$ is a constant. Derive the moment generating function of $X$, if it exists.

Example 2: Let X be a random variable with moment generatinf function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2}(1+\exp (\mathrm{t}))
$$

Derive the variance of $X$.

## Solution

We can use the following formula for computing the variance

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\mathrm{X}]=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{2}\right]-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]^{2}
$$

The expected value of $X$ is computed by taking the first derivative of the moment generating function.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{1}{2} \exp (\mathrm{t})
$$

and evaluating it at $t=0$

$$
\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\frac{1}{2} \exp (0)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

The second moment of $X$ is computed by taking the second derivative of the moment generating function

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \exp (\mathrm{t})
$$

and evaluating it at $t=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{2}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{t})}{\left.\mathrm{dt}\right|^{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\frac{1}{2} \exp (0)=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}[\mathrm{X}] & =\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{2}\right]-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

5Example 3: A random variable X is said to have a Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom if its moment generating function is defined for any $t<\frac{1}{2}$ and it is equal to:

$$
M_{x}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n / 2}
$$

## Notes Define

$$
Y=X_{1}+X_{2}
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two independent random variables having Chi-square distributions with $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ degrees of freedom respectively. Prove that $Y$ has a Chi-square distribution with $n_{1}+n_{2}$ degrees of freedom.

## Solution

The moment generating functions of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x_{1}}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n_{1} / 2} \\
& M_{x_{2}}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n_{2} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The moment generating function of a sum of independent random variables is just the product of $A$ random variable $X$ is said to have a Chi-square distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom if its moment generating function is defined for any $\mathrm{t}<\frac{1}{2}$ and it is equal to:

$$
M_{x}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n / 2}
$$

Define

$$
Y=X_{1}+X_{2}
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two independent random variables having Chi-square distributions with $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ degrees of freedom respectively. Prove that $Y$ has a Chi-square distribution with $n_{1}+n_{2}$ degrees of freedom.

## Solution

The moment generating functions of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x_{1}}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n_{1} / 2} \\
& M_{x_{2}}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n_{2} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The moment generating function of a sum of independent random variables is just the product of their moment generating functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{t}) & =(1-2 \mathrm{t})^{-\mathrm{n}_{1} / 2}(1-2 \mathrm{t})^{-\mathrm{n}_{2} / 2} \\
& =(1-2 \mathrm{t})^{-\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+n_{2}\right) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{t})$ is the moment generating function of a Chi-square random variable with $\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}$ degrees of freedom. As a consequence, Y has a Chi-square distribution with $\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}$ degrees of freedom.

### 11.5 Summary

- Moment Generating Function - Defintion

We start this lecture by giving a definition of moment generating function.
Definition: Let $X$ be a random variable. If the expected value:
$\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t} \mathrm{X})]$
exists and is finite for all real numbers $t$ belonging to a closed interval $[-h, h] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with Notes $h>0$, then we say that $X$ possesses a moment generating function and the function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}:[-\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$
\mathrm{Mx}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}[\exp (\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{X})]
$$

is called the moment generating function (or mgf) of $X$.

- Let $X$ be a random variable possessing a moment generating function $M_{X}(t)$. Define:

$$
Y=a+b X
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants and $\mathrm{b} \neq 0$.

### 11.6 Keywords

Moment generating function of a linear transformation: Let X be a random variable possessing a moment generating function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})$. Define:

$$
Y=a+b X
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants and $\mathrm{b} \neq 0$.
Random variable: A random variable X is said to have a Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom if its moment generating function is defined for any $t<\frac{1}{2}$ and it is equal to:

$$
M_{x}(t)=(1-2 t)^{-n / 2}
$$

### 11.7 Self Assessment

1. If a random variable $X$ possesses a moment generating function $M_{x}(t)$, then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $n$-th moment of $X$ (denote it by $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{n})$ ) exists and is $\qquad$
(a) random variables
(b) same distribution
(c) $b \neq 0$
(d) finite
2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two $\qquad$ Denote by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{y})$ their distribution functions and by $M_{X}(t)$ and $M_{Y}(t)$ their moment generating functions.
(a) random variables
(b) same distribution
(c) $b \neq 0$
(d) finite
3. $X$ and $Y$ have the $\qquad$ (i.e., $F_{X}(x)=F_{Y}(x)$ for any $x$ ) if and only if they have the same moment generating functions (i.e. $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{t})$ for any t ).
(a) random variables
(b) same distribution
(c) $b \neq 0$
(d) finite
4. Let $X$ be a random variable possessing a moment generating function $M_{x}(t)$. Define:

$$
Y=a+b X
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants and $\qquad$
(a) random variables
(b) same distribution
(c) $\mathrm{b} \neq 0$
(d) finite

## Notes

### 11.8 Review Questions

1. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable having a Bernoulli distribution. Its support $R_{X}$ is

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}=\langle 0,1\rangle
$$

and its probability mass function $p_{x}(x)$ is

$$
p_{x}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p & \text { if } x=1 \\
1-p & \text { if } x=0 \\
0 & \text { if } x \notin R_{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{p} \in(0,1)$ is a constant. Derive the moment generating function of $X$, if it exists.
2. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable having a Bernoulli distribution. Its support $R_{X}$ is

$$
R_{x}=\langle 0,1\rangle
$$

and its probability mass function $p_{x}(x)$ is

$$
p_{x}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p & \text { if } x=0 \\
1-p & \text { if } x=1 \\
0 & \text { if } x \notin R_{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \in(0,1)$ is a constant. Derive the moment generating function of $X$, if it exists.
3. Let X be a random variable with moment generatinf function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{3}(1+\exp (\mathrm{t}))
$$

Derive the variance of $X$.
4. Let $X$ be a random variable with moment generatinf function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{4}{3}(1+\exp (\mathrm{t}))
$$

Derive the variance of $X$.
5. A random variable $X$ is said to have a Chi-square distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom if its moment generating function is defined for any $\mathrm{t}<\frac{1}{2}$ and it is equal to:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=(1-3 \mathrm{t})^{-\mathrm{n} / 2}
$$

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (d) 2. (a) 3. (b) 4. (c)

### 11.9 Further Readings

Notes

Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor

## Notes

## Unit 12: Moment Generating Function - Continue

## CONTENTS

Objectives
Introduction
12.1 Joint Moment Generating Function
12.2 Properties of Moment Generating Function
12.3 Summary
12.4 Keywords
12.5 Self Assessment
12.6 Review Questions
12.7 Further Readings

## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss the joint moment generating function
- Describe properties of moment generating function


## Introduction

In probability theory and statistics, the moment-generating function of any random variable is an alternative definition of its probability distribution. Thus, it provides the basis of an alternative route to analytical results compared with working directly with probability density functions or cumulative distribution functions. There are particularly simple results for the momentgenerating functions of distributions defined by the weighted sums of random variables.

In addition to univariate distributions, moment-generating functions can be defined for vectoror matrix-valued random variables, and can even be extended to more general cases.

The moment-generating function does not always exist even for real-valued arguments, unlike the characteristic function. There are relations between the behavior of the moment-generating function of a distribution and properties of the distribution, such as the existence of moments.

### 12.1 Joint Moment Generating Function

we start this lecture by defining the moment generating function of a random vector.
Definition Let $X$ be a $K \times 1$ random vector. If the expected value

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\right]\right.
$$

exists and is finite for all $k \times 1$ real vectors $t$ belonging to a closed rectangle $H$ :

$$
\mathrm{H}=\left[-\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{~h}_{1}\right] \times\left[-\mathrm{h}_{2}, \mathrm{~h}_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{K}}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{K}}
$$

with hi $>0$ for all $\mathrm{i}=, \ldots, \mathrm{K}$, then we say that X possesses a joint moment generating function (or Notes joint mgf) and the function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}\right)\right]
$$

is called the joint moment generating function of X .
The following example shows how the joint moment generating function of a standard multivariate normal random vector is calculated:

E
Example: Let X be a $\mathrm{K} \times 1$ standard multivariate normal random vector. Its support $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{K}}
$$

and its joint probability density function $f_{x}(x)$ is

$$
f_{x}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-K / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x^{T} x\right)
$$

Therefore, the joint moment generating function of $X$ can be derived as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\prod_{i=1}^{K} \exp \left(t_{i} X_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] \quad \text { (by mutual indepdence of the entries of } \mathrm{X} \text { ) } \\
& =\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad \text { (by the definition of moment generating function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that the entries of $X$ are mutually independent (see mutual independence via expectations) and the definition of the moment generating function of a random variable. Since the moment generating function of a standard normal random variable is
$M_{x_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} t_{i}^{2}\right)$
then the joint moment generating function of $X$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MX}(\mathrm{t}) & =\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notes

Notes
The moment generating function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of a standard normal random variable is defined for any $t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, the joint moment generating function of $X$ is defined for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$.

Example 2: Let

$$
\mathrm{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{1} & \mathrm{X}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

be a $2 \times 1$ random vector with joint moment generating function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}, x_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)
$$

Derive the expected value of $X_{1}$.

## Solution

The moment generating function of $X_{1}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} X_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+0 . \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{X}_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, 0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2.0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected value of $X_{1}$ is obtained by taking the first derivative of its moment generating function:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{1}}=\frac{2}{3} \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)
$$

and evaluating it at $t_{1}=0$ :

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{1}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}_{1}=0}=\frac{2}{3} \exp (0)=\frac{2}{3}
$$

E=E
Example 3: Let

$$
\mathrm{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{1} & \mathrm{X}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

be a $2 \times 1$ random vector with joint moment generating function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]
$$

Derive the covariance between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$.

## Solution

Notes
We can use the following covariance formula:

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right] \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{2}\right]
$$

The moment generating function of $X_{1}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{X_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) & =E\left[\exp \left(t_{1} X_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =E\left[\exp \left(t_{1} X_{1}+0 . X_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =M_{x_{1}{ }^{\prime} X_{2}}\left(t_{1}, 0\right) \\
& =E\left[\exp \left(t_{1} X_{1}+0 . X_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =M_{x_{1} \prime^{\prime} x_{2}}\left(t_{1}, 0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(t_{1}+2.0\right)+\exp \left(2 t_{1}+0\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(t_{1}\right)+\exp \left(2 t_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected value of $X_{1}$ is obtained by taking the first derivative of its moment generating function

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{1}}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)+2 \exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)\right]
$$

and evaluating it at $t_{1}=0$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{1}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}_{1}=0}=\frac{1}{3}[\exp (0)+2 \exp (0)]=1
$$

The moment generating function of $X_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(0 . \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(0, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(0+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(2.0+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute the expected value of $X_{2}$ we take the first derivative of its moment generating function

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{2}}=\frac{1}{3}\left[2 \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]
$$

and evaluating it at $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{2}\right]=\left.\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}_{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}_{2}=0}=\frac{1}{3}[2 \exp (0)+\exp (0)]=1
$$

Notes The second cross-moment of $X$ is computed by taking the second cross-partial derivative of the joint moment generation function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{t}_{1} \partial \mathrm{t}_{2}} & =\frac{\partial}{\delta t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\left[1+\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\delta t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{3}\left[2 \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[2 \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)+\exp \left(2 \mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and evaluating it at $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[X_{1} X_{2}\right] & =\left.\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} \prime \mathrm{X}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{t}_{1} \partial \mathrm{t}_{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{t}_{1}=0, \mathrm{t}_{2}=0} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}[2 \exp (0)+2 \exp (0)] \\
& =\frac{4}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] & =\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right] \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{4}{3}-1.1 \\
& =\frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 12.2 Properties of Moment Generating Function

(a) The most significant propertyof moment generating function is that "the moment generating function uniquely determines the distribution."
(b) Let $a$ and $b$ be constants, and let $M X(t)$ be the mgf of a random variable $X$. Then the mgf of the random variable $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$ can be given as follows

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tr}}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{at}} \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{bt}) \mathrm{X}]=\text { eatMX}(\mathrm{bt})
$$

(c) Let $X$ and $Y$ be independent random variables having the respective mgf's $M_{X}(t)$ and $M_{Y}(t)$. Recall that $E\left[g_{1}(X) g_{2}(Y)\right]=E\left[g_{1}(X)\right] E\left[g_{2}(Y)\right]$ for functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. We can obtain the mgf $\mathrm{Mz}(\mathrm{t})$ of the sum $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}$ of random variables as follows.
(d) When $t=0$, it clearly follows that $\mathrm{M}(0)=1$. Now by differentiating $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{r}$ times, we obtain $M^{(r)}(t)=\frac{d^{r}}{d t^{r}} E\left[e^{t \mathrm{x}}\right]=E\left[\frac{d^{t}}{d t^{r}} e^{t \mathrm{x}}\right]=E\left[X^{r} e^{t \mathrm{x}}\right]$

In particular when $t=0, M^{(r)}(0)$ generates the $r$-th moment of $X$ as follows.

$$
\mathrm{M}^{(r)(0)}=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{r}\right], \mathrm{r}=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

EF
Example 4: Find the $\operatorname{mgf} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{t})$ for a uniform random variable X on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$. And derive the derivative $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(0)$ at $\mathrm{t}=0$ by using the definition of derivative and L'Hospital's rule.

| Distribution | Moment-generating function MX(t) | Characteristic function $\varphi(\mathbf{t})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernoulli $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=1)=\mathrm{p}$ | $1-\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{pet}^{\text {t }}$ | $1-\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{pe}^{\text {it }}$ |
| Geometric ( $1-\mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{p}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{pe}^{\mathrm{t}}}{1-(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}}}$ for $\mathrm{t}<1-\ln (1-\mathrm{p})$ | $\frac{\mathrm{pe}^{\mathrm{it}}}{1-(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}}$ |
| Binomial B(n, p) | $(1-\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{pet})^{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\left(1-p+p^{\text {it }}\right)^{n}$ |
| Poisson Pois( $\lambda$ ) | $\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(e^{t}-1\right)}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}-1\right)}$ |
| Uniform U(a, b) | $\frac{e^{\mathrm{tb}}-e^{\mathrm{ta}}}{\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{itb}}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ita}}}{\mathrm{it}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})}$ |
| Normal N( $\mu$, o 2 ) | $e^{t \mu+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}}$ | $e^{\mathrm{itu}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{c}^{2} t^{2}}$ |
| Chi-square $\chi 2 \mathrm{k}$ | $(1-2 t)^{-k / 2}$ | $(1-2 i t)^{-k / 2}$ |
| Gamma $\Gamma(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$ | $(1-\mathrm{t} \theta)^{-\mathrm{k}}$ | ( $1-\mathrm{it} \theta)^{-\mathrm{k}}$ |
| Exponential $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ | $\left(1-t \lambda^{1}\right)^{-1}$ | $\left(1-\mathrm{i} \dagger \lambda^{1}\right)^{-1}$ |
| Multivariate normal $\mathrm{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mu+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}}} \mu+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma \mathrm{t}$ |
| Degenerate $\delta$ a | $\mathrm{e}^{\text {ta }}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\text {ita }}$ |
| Laplace L( $\mu$, b) | $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t} \mu}}{1-\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it} \mu}}{1+\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}}$ |
| Cauchy Cauchy ( $\mu, \theta$ ) | not defined | $\mathrm{e}^{\text {it }{ }^{\mu}-\theta\|t\|}$ |
| Negative Binomial NB(r, p) | $\frac{(1-p)^{r}}{\left(1-p e^{t}\right) r}$ | $\frac{(1-\mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{r}}}{\left(1-\mathrm{pe}^{\mathrm{it}}\right) \mathrm{r}}$ |

### 12.3 Summary

- Definition Let $X$ be a $K \times 1$ random vector. If the expected value

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\right]\right.
$$

exists and is finite for all $k \times 1$ real vectors $t$ belonging to a closed rectangle $H$ :

$$
\mathrm{H}=\left[-\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{~h}_{1}\right] \times\left[-\mathrm{h}_{2^{\prime}} \mathrm{h}_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{K}}
$$

with hi $>0$ for all $\mathrm{i}=, \ldots, \mathrm{K}$, then we say that X possesses a joint moment generating function (or joint mgf) and the function $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{E}\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}\right)\right]
$$

is called the joint moment generating function of X .

- Let $a$ and $b$ be constants, and let $M X(t)$ be the $m g f$ of a random variable $X$. Then the mgf of the random variable $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$ can be given as follows

$$
M_{Y}(t)=E\left[e^{\mathrm{tr}}\right]=E\left[e^{t(a+b x)}\right]=e^{\mathrm{at}} \mathrm{E}[e(b t) X]=\text { eatMX }(b t)
$$

- Let $X$ and $Y$ be independent random variables having the respective mgf's $M_{X}(t)$ and $M_{Y}(t)$. Recall that $E\left[g_{1}(X) g_{2}(Y)\right]=E\left[g_{1}(X)\right] E\left[g_{2}(Y)\right]$ for functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. We can obtain the mgf $\mathrm{Mz}(\mathrm{t})$ of the sum $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}$ of random variables as follows.

Notes - When $t=0$, it clearly follows that $M(0)=1$. Now by differentiating $M(t) r$ times, we obtain $M^{(r)}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tx}}\right]=E\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{dt} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{r}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tX}}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tx}}\right]$

In particular when $t=0, M^{(r)}(0)$ generates the $r$-th moment of $X$ as follows.

$$
\mathrm{M}^{(r)(0)}=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{X}^{r}\right], \mathrm{r}=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

### 12.4 Keywords

Moment-generating function: In probability theory and statistics, the moment-generating function of any random variable is an alternative definition of its probability distribution.
Standard normal random variable: The moment generating function $M_{x_{i}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of a standard normal random variable is defined for any $t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, the joint moment generating function of $X$ is defined for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$.

### 12.5 Self Assessment

1. In addition to $\qquad$ moment-generating functions can be defined for vector- or matrixvalued random variables, and can even be extended to more general cases.
(a) finite cross-moments
(b) uniquely determines
(c) univariate distributions,
(d) moment-generating
2. The $\qquad$ function does not always exist even for real-valued arguments, unlike the characteristic function. There are relations between the behavior of the moment-generating function of a distribution and properties of the distribution, such as the existence of moments.
(a) finite cross-moments
(b) uniquely determines
(c) univariate distributions,
(d) moment-generating
3. If a $K \times 1$ random vector $X$ possesses a joint moment generating function $M_{x}(t)$, then, for any $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{X}$ possesses $\qquad$ of order $n$.
(a) finite cross-moments
(b) uniquely determines
(c) univariate distributions,
(d) moment-generating
4. The most significant propertyof moment generating function is that "the moment generating function $\qquad$ the distribution."
(a) finite cross-moments
(b) uniquely determines
(c) univariate distributions,
(d) moment-generating

### 12.6 Review Questions

1. Let $X$ be a $K \times 1$ standard multivariate normal random vector. Its support $R_{X}$ is

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbb{R}^{K}
$$

and its joint probability density function $f_{x}(x)$ is

$$
f_{x}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-K / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} x^{T} x\right)
$$

2. Continuing the example above, the joint moment generating function of a Notes $2 \times 1$ standard normal random vector X is

$$
M_{x}(t)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{3} t^{T} t\right)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} t_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

3. Let $X$ be a $2 \times 1$ discrete random vector and denote its components by $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Let the support of $X$ be

$$
R X=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}},\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}},\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}
$$

and its joint probability mass function be

$$
p_{x}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{3} \quad \text { if } x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\frac{1}{3} \\
\text { if } x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\frac{1}{3} \\
\text { if } x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
0
\end{array}\right. \text { otherwise }
$$

Derive the joint moment generating function of $X$, if it exists.
4. Let

$$
\mathrm{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{1} & \mathrm{X}_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

be a $2 \times 1$ random vector with joint moment generating function

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3} \exp \left(\mathrm{t}_{1}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)
$$

Derive the expected value of $X_{1}$.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (c) 2. (d) 3. (a) 4. (b)

### 12.7 Further Readings

Books Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

## Notes

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss Binomial Distribution
- Describe Hypergeometric Distribution
- Explain Pascal Distribution
- Discuss Geometrical Distribution
- Describe Uniform Distribution (Discrete Random Variable)
- Explain Poisson Distribution


## Introduction

The study of a population can be done either by constructing an observed (or empirical) frequency distribution, often based on a sample from it, or by using a theoretical distribution. We have already studied the construction of an observed frequency distribution and its various summary measures. Now we shall learn a more scientific way to study a population through the use of theoretical probability distribution of a random variable. It may be mentioned that a theoretical probability distribution gives us a law according to which different values of the random variable are distributed with specified probabilities. It is possible to formulate such laws either on the basis of given conditions (a priori considerations) or on the basis of the results (a posteriori inferences) of an experiment.

If a random variable satisfies the conditions of a theoretical probability distribution, then this distribution can be fitted to the observed data.

### 13.1 Binomial Distribution

Binomial distribution is a theoretical probability distribution which was given by James Bernoulli. This distribution is applicable to situations with the following characteristics:

1. An experiment consists of a finite number of repeated trials.
2. Each trial has only two possible, mutually exclusive, outcomes which are termed as a 'success' or a 'failure'.
3. The probability of a success, denoted by $p$, is known and remains constant from trial to trial. The probability of a failure, denoted by $q$, is equal to $1-p$.
4. Different trials are independent, i.e., outcome of any trial or sequence of trials has no effect on the outcome of the subsequent trials.
The sequence of trials under the above assumptions is also termed as Bernoulli Trials.

### 13.1.1 Probability Function or Probability Mass Function

Let n be the total number of repeated trials, p be the probability of a success in a trial and q be the probability of its failure so that $\mathrm{q}=1-\mathrm{p}$.
Let $r$ be a random variable which denotes the number of successes in $n$ trials. The possible values of $r$ are $0,1,2, \ldots \ldots . n$. We are interested in finding the probability of $r$ successes out of $n$ trials, i.e., $P(r)$.

Notes To find this probability, we assume that the first $r$ trials are successes and remaining $n-r$ trials are failures. Since different trials are assumed to be independent, the probability of this sequence is

$$
\underbrace{p \cdot p \cdot \ldots \cdot p}_{r \text { times }} \underbrace{q \cdot q \cdot \ldots \cdot q}_{(n-r) \text { times }} \text { i.e. } \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}} .
$$

Since out of $n$ trials any $r$ trials can be success, the number of sequences showing any $r$ trials as success and remaining ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}$ ) trials as failure is ${ }^{n} C_{r}$, where the probability of r successes in each trial is $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r} q} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{nr} .}$. Hence, the required probability is $P(r)={ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}$, where $\mathrm{r}=0,1,2, \ldots . . \mathrm{n}$.

Writing this distribution in a tabular form, we have

| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\ldots \ldots$ | $n$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(r)$ | ${ }^{n} C_{0} p^{0} q^{n}$ | ${ }^{n} C_{1} p q^{n-1}$ | ${ }^{n} C_{2} p^{2} q^{n-2}$ | $\ldots \ldots$. | ${ }^{n} C_{n} p^{n} q^{0}$ | 1 |

It should be noted here that the probabilities obtained for various values of r are the terms in the binomial expansion of $(q+p)^{n}$ and thus, the distribution is termed as Binomial Distribution. $P(r)={ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}$ is termed as the probability function or probability mass function (p.m.f.) of the distribution.

### 13.1.2 Summary Measures of Binomial Distribution

(a) Mean

The mean of a binomial variate r , denoted by $\mu$, is equal to $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r})$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =E(r)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} r P(r)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} r \cdot{ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r} \text { (note that the term for } \mathrm{r}=0 \text { is } 0 \text { ) } \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{r \cdot n!}{r!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r} q^{n-r}=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{n \cdot(n-1)!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r} q^{n-r} \\
& =n p \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r-1} q^{n-r}=n p(q+p)^{n-1}=n p \quad[\because q+p=1]
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Variance

The variance of r , denoted by $\sigma^{2}$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} & =E[r-E(r)]^{2}=E[r-n p]^{2}=E\left[r^{2}-2 n p r+n^{2} p^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left(r^{2}\right)-2 n p E(r)+n^{2} p^{2}=E\left(r^{2}\right)-2 n^{2} p^{2}+n^{2} p^{2} \\
& =E\left(r^{2}\right)-n^{2} p^{2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, to find $\sigma^{2}$, we first determine $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$.

Now, $E\left(r^{2}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{2} .{ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}=[r(r-1)+r]{ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{r=2}^{n} r(r-1)^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}+\sum_{r=1}^{n} r \cdot{ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}=\sum_{r=2}^{n} \frac{r(r-1) n!}{r!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r} q^{n-r}+n p \\
& =\sum_{r=2}^{n} \frac{n!}{(r-2)!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r} q^{n-r}+n p=\sum_{r=2}^{n} \frac{n(n-1) \cdot(n-2)!}{(r-2)!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r} q^{n-r}+n p \\
& =n(n-1) p^{2} \sum_{r=2}^{n} \frac{(n-2)!}{(r-2)!(n-r)!} \cdot p^{r-2} q^{n-r}+n p \\
& =n(n-1) p^{2}(q+p)^{n-2}+n p=n(n-1) p^{2}+n p
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes

Substituting this value in equation (1), we get

$$
\sigma^{2}=n(n-1) p^{2}+n p-n^{2} p^{2}=n p(1-p)=n p q
$$

Or the standard deviation $=\sqrt{n p q}$


$$
\sigma^{2}=n p q=\text { mean } \times q, \text { which shows that } \sigma^{2}<\text { mean, since } 0<\mathrm{q}<1
$$

(c) The values of $m_{3}, m_{4}, b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$

Proceeding as above, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{3}=E(r-n p)^{3}=n p q(q-p) \\
& \mu_{4}=E(r-n p)^{4}=3 n^{2} p^{2} q^{2}+n p q(1-6 p q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $\quad \beta_{1}=\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{2}^{3}}=\frac{n^{2} p^{2} q^{2}(q-p)^{2}}{n^{3} p^{3} q^{3}}=\frac{(q-p)^{2}}{n p q}$
The above result shows that the distribution is symmetrical when $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}=\frac{1}{2}$, negatively skewed if $\mathrm{q}<\mathrm{p}$, and positively skewed if $\mathrm{q}>\mathrm{p}$

$$
\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu_{2}^{2}}=\frac{3 n^{2} p^{2} q^{2}+n p q(1-6 p q)}{n^{2} p^{2} q^{2}}=3+\frac{(1-6 p q)}{n p q}
$$

The above result shows that the distribution is leptokurtic if $6 \mathrm{pq}<1$, platykurtic if $6 \mathrm{pq}>$ 1 and mesokurtic if $6 \mathrm{pq}=1$.
(d) Mode

Notes
or
Mode is that value of the random variable for which probability is maximum.
If $r$ is mode of a binomial distribution, we have

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}-1) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}) \geq \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}+1)
$$

Consider the inequality $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})^{3} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}+1)$

$$
{ }^{n} C_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r} \geq{ }^{n} C_{r+1} p^{r+1} q^{n-r-1}
$$

or $\quad \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} p^{r} q^{n-r} \geq \frac{n!}{(r+1)!(n-r-1)!} p^{r+1} q^{n-r-1}$
or $\quad \frac{1}{(n-r)} \cdot q \geq \frac{1}{(r+1)} \cdot p$ or $q r+q \geq n p-p r$
Solving the above inequality for $r$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \geq(n+1) p-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, on solving the inequality $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}-1) £ \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})$ for r , we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq(n+1) p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (1) and (2), we get

$$
(n+1) p-1 \leq r \leq(n+1) p
$$

Case I. When $(n+1) p$ is not an integer
When $(n+1) p$ is not an integer, then $(n+1) p-1$ is also not an integer. Therefore, mode will be an integer between $(n+1) p-1$ and $(n+1) p$ or mode will be an integral part of $(n+1) p$.

Case II. When $(n+1) p$ is an integer
When $(\mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{p}$ is an integer, the distribution will be bimodal and the two modal values would be $(n+1) p-1$ and $(n+1) p$.

EE
Example 1: An unbiased die is tossed three times. Find the probability of obtaining (a) no six, (b) one six, (c) at least one six, (d) two sixes and (e) three sixes.

## Solution.

The three tosses of a die can be taken as three repeated trials which are independent. Let the occurrence of six be termed as a success. Therefore, $r$ will denote the number of six obtained.

Further, $\mathrm{n}=3$ and $p=\frac{1}{6}$.
(a) Probability of obtaining no six, i.e.,

$$
P(r=0)={ }^{3} C_{0} p^{0} q^{3}=1 .\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{0}\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{3}=\frac{125}{216}
$$

(b) $\quad P(r=1)={ }^{3} C_{1} p^{1} q^{2}=3 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{2}=\frac{25}{72}$

Notes
(c) Probability of getting at least one $\operatorname{six}=1-P(r=0)=1-\frac{125}{216}=\frac{91}{216}$
(d) $\quad P(r=2)={ }^{3} C_{2} p^{2} q^{1}=3 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)=\frac{5}{72}$
(e) $\quad P(r=3)={ }^{3} C_{3} p^{3} q^{0}=3 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3}=\frac{1}{216}$

## Example 2:

Assuming that it is true that 2 in 10 industrial accidents are due to fatigue, find the probability that:
(a) Exactly 2 of 8 industrial accidents will be due to fatigue.
(b) At least 2 of the 8 industrial accidents will be due to fatigue.

## Solution.

Eight industrial accidents can be regarded as Bernoulli trials each with probability of success $p=\frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{5}$. The random variable $r$ denotes the number of accidents due to fatigue.
(a) $\quad P(r=2)={ }^{8} C_{2}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{6}=0.294$
(b) We have to find $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} \geq 2)$. We can write $P(r \geq 2)=1-P(0)-P(1)$, thus, we first find $P(0)$ and $P(1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We have } \quad P(0)={ }^{8} C_{0}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{0}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{8}=0.168 \\
& \text { and } \quad P(1)={ }^{8} C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{1}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{7}=0.336 \\
& \therefore \quad
\end{aligned} \quad P(r \geq 2)=1-0.168-0.336=0.496
$$

EF
Example 3: The proportion of male and female students in a class is found to be $1: 2$. What is the probability that out of 4 students selected at random with replacement, 2 or more will be females?

Solution.

Notes Let the selection of a female student be termed as a success. Since the selection of a student is made with replacement, the selection of 4 students can be taken as 4 repeated trials each with probability of success $p=\frac{2}{3}$.

Thus, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} \geq 2)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=2)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=3)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=4)$

$$
={ }^{4} C_{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}+{ }^{4} C_{3}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+{ }^{4} C_{4}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{4}=\frac{8}{9}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} \geq 2)$ can alternatively be found as $1-\mathrm{P}(0)-\mathrm{P}(1)$

Example 4: The probability of a bomb hitting a target is $1 / 5$. Two bombs are enough to destroy a bridge. If six bombs are aimed at the bridge, find the probability that the bridge is destroyed.

## Solution.

Here $\mathrm{n}=6$ and $p=\frac{1}{5}$
The bridge will be destroyed if at least two bomb hit it. Thus, we have to find $P\left(r^{3} 2\right)$. This is given by

$$
P(r \geq 2)=1-P(0)-P(1)=1-{ }^{6} C_{0}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{6}-{ }^{6} C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{5}=\frac{1077}{3125}
$$

E=E
Example 5: An insurance salesman sells policies to 5 men all of identical age and good health. According to the actuarial tables, the probability that a man of this particular age will be alive 30 years hence is $2 / 3$. Find the probability that 30 years hence (i) at least 1 man will be alive, (ii) at least 3 men will be alive.

## Solution.

Let the event that a man will be alive 30 years hence be termed as a success. Therefore, $\mathrm{n}=5$ and $p=\frac{2}{3}$.
(i) $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{r}^{3} 1\right)=1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=0)=1-{ }^{5} C_{0}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{0}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{5}=\frac{242}{243}$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{r}^{3} 3\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=3)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=4)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=5)$

$$
={ }^{5} C_{3}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}+{ }^{5} C_{4}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{4}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+{ }^{5} C_{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{5}=\frac{64}{81}
$$

[^1]
## Solution.

Let the event that an item is found to be defective be termed as a success. Thus, we are given $\mathrm{n}=$
(i) $\quad P(r=0)={ }^{12} C_{0}(0.1)^{0}(0.9)^{12}=0.2824$
(ii) $\quad P(r=1)={ }^{12} C_{1}(0.1)^{1}(0.9)^{11}=0.3766$
(iii) $\quad P(r=2)={ }^{12} C_{2}(0.1)^{2}(0.9)^{10}=0.2301$
(iv) $P(r \leq 2)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=0)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=1)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=2)$

$$
=0.2824+0.3766+0.2301=0.8891
$$

(v) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} \geq 2)=1-\mathrm{P}(0)-\mathrm{P}(1)=1-0.2824-0.3766=0.3410$

Example 7: In a large group of students $80 \%$ have a recommended statistics book. Three students are selected at random. Find the probability distribution of the number of students having the book. Also compute the mean and variance of the distribution.

## Solution.

Let the event that 'a student selected at random has the book' be termed as a success. Since the group of students is large, 3 trials, i.e., the selection of 3 students, can be regarded as independent with probability of a success $p=0.8$. Thus, the conditions of the given experiment satisfies the conditions of binomial distribution.
The probability mass function $P(r)={ }^{3} C_{r}(0.8)^{r}(0.2)^{3-r}$,
where $r=0,1,2$ and 3
The mean is $n p=3 \times 0.8=2.4$ and Variance is $n p q=2.4 \times 0.2=0.48$

## Example 8:

(a) The mean and variance of a discrete random variable $X$ are 6 and 2 respectively. Assuming $X$ to be a binomial variate, find $P(5 \leq X \leq 7)$.
(b) In a binomial distribution consisting of 5 independent trials, the probability of 1 and 2 successes are 0.4096 and 0.2048 respectively. Calculate the mean, variance and mode of the distribution.

## Solution.

(a) It is given that $\mathrm{np}=6$ and $\mathrm{npq}=2$
$\therefore q=\frac{n p q}{n p}=\frac{2}{6}=\frac{1}{3}$ so that $p=1-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{2}{3}$ and $n=6 \times \frac{3}{2}=9$
Now $P(5 \leq X \leq 7)=P(X=5)+P(X=6)+P(X=7)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ={ }^{9} C_{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{4}+{ }^{9} C_{6}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{6}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}+{ }^{9} C_{7}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{7}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{2^{5}}{3^{9}}\left[{ }^{9} C_{5}+{ }^{9} C_{6} \times 2+{ }^{9} C_{7} \times 4\right]=\frac{2^{5}}{3^{9}} \times 438
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let p be the probability of a success. It is given that

Notes

$$
{ }^{5} C_{1} p(1-p)^{4}=0.4096 \text { and }{ }^{5} C_{2} p^{2}(1-p)^{3}=0.2048
$$

Using these conditions, we can write

$$
\frac{5 p(1-p)^{4}}{10 p^{2}(1-p)^{3}}=\frac{0.4096}{0.2048}=2 \text { or } \frac{(1-p)}{p}=4 . \text { This gives } p=\frac{1}{5}
$$

Thus, mean is $n p=5 \times \frac{1}{5}=1$ and $n p q=1 \times \frac{4}{5}=0.8$
Since $(\mathrm{n}+1)$ p, i.e., $6 \times \frac{1}{5}$ is not an integer, mode is its integral part, i.e., $=1$.

$=\equiv$Example 9: 5 unbiased coins are tossed simultaneously and the occurrence of a head is termed as a success. Write down various probabilities for the occurrence of $0,1,2,3,4,5$ successes. Find mean, variance and mode of the distribution.

## Solution.

Here $\mathrm{n}=5$ and $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$.
The probability mass function is $P(r)={ }^{5} C_{r}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{5}, \mathrm{r}=0,1,2,3,4,5$.
The probabilities of various values of r are tabulated below :

| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(r)$ | $\frac{1}{32}$ | $\frac{5}{32}$ | $\frac{10}{32}$ | $\frac{10}{32}$ | $\frac{5}{32}$ | $\frac{1}{32}$ | 1 |

Mean $=n p=5 \times \frac{1}{2}=2.5$ and variance $=2.5 \times \frac{1}{2}=1.25$
Since $(n+1) p=6 \times \frac{1}{2}=3$ is an integer, the distribution is bimodal and the two modes are 2 and 3 .

### 13.1.3 Fitting of Binomial Distribution

The fitting of a distribution to given data implies the determination of expected (or theoretical) frequencies for different values of the random variable on the basis of this data.

The purpose of fitting a distribution is to examine whether the observed frequency distribution can be regarded as a sample from a population with a known probability distribution.

To fit a binomial distribution to the given data, we find its mean. Given the value of $n$, we can compute the value of p and, using n and p , the probabilities of various values of the random variable. These probabilities are multiplied by total frequency to give the required expected frequencies. In certain cases, the value of p may be determined by the given conditions of the experiment.

Example 10: The following data give the number of seeds germinating $(X)$ out of 10 on damp filter for 80 sets of seed. Fit a binomial distribution to the data.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
X & : & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
f & : & 6 & 20 & 28 & 12 & 8 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

## Solution.

Here the random variable $X$ denotes the number of seeds germinating out of a set of 10 seeds. The total number of trials $\mathrm{n}=10$.

The mean of the given data

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{0 \times 6+1 \times 20+2 \times 28+3 \times 12+4 \times 8+5 \times 6}{80}=\frac{174}{80}=2.175
$$

Since mean of a binomial distribution is $n p, \therefore n p=2.175$. Thus, we get $p=\frac{2.175}{10}=0.22$ (approx.). Further, $q=1-0.22=0.78$.
Using these values, we can compute $P(X)={ }^{10} C_{X}(0.22)^{X}(0.78)^{10-X}$ and then expected frequency $[=\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ ] for $\mathrm{X}=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots .10$. The calculated probabilities and the respective expected frequencies are shown in the following table :

| $X$ | $P(X)$ | $N \times P(X)$ | Approximated <br> Frequency | $X$ | $P(X)$ | $N \times P(X)$ | Approximated <br> Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0834 | 6.67 | 6 | 6 | 0.0088 | 0.71 | 1 |
| 1 | 0.2351 | 18.81 | 19 | 7 | 0.0014 | 0.11 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.2984 | 23.87 | 24 | 8 | 0.0001 | 0.01 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.2244 | 17.96 | 18 | 9 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.1108 | 8.86 | 9 | 10 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.0375 | 3.00 | 3 | Total | 1.0000 |  | 80 |

### 13.1.4 Features of Binomial Distribution

1. It is a discrete probability distribution.
2. It depends upon two parameters $n$ and $p$. It may be pointed out that a distribution is known if the values of its parameters are known.
3. The total number of possible values of the random variable are $\mathrm{n}+1$. The successive binomial coefficients are ${ }^{n} C_{0},{ }^{n} C_{1},{ }^{n} C_{2}, \ldots .{ }^{n} C_{n}$. Further, since ${ }^{n} C_{r}={ }^{n} C_{n-r}$, these coefficients are symmetric.

The values of these coefficients, for various values of $n$, can be obtained directly by using Pascal's triangle.

## Pascal's Triangle



## Sum of Coefficients ( $2^{n}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{1}=2 \\
& 2^{2}=4 \\
& 2^{3}=8 \\
& 2^{4}=16 \\
& 2^{5}=32
\end{aligned}
$$

We can note that it is very easy to write this triangle. In the first row, both the coefficients will be unity because ${ }^{1} C_{0}={ }^{1} C_{1}$. To write the second row, we write 1 in the beginning and the end and the value of the middle coefficients is obtained by adding the coefficients of the first row. Other rows of the Pascal's triangle can be written in a similar way.
4. (a) The shape and location of binomial distribution changes as the value of p changes for a given value of $n$. It can be shown that for a given value of $n$, if $p$ is increased gradually in the interval $(0,0.5)$, the distribution changes from a positively skewed to a symmetrical shape. When $\mathrm{p}=0.5$, the distribution is perfectly symmetrical. Further, for larger values of $p$ the distribution tends to become more and more negatively skewed.
(b) For a given value of p , which is neither too small nor too large, the distribution becomes more and more symmetrical as n becomes larger and larger.

### 13.1.5 Uses of Binomial Distribution

Binomial distribution is often used in various decision making situations in business. Acceptance sampling plan, a technique of quality control, is based on this distribution. With the use of sampling plan, it is possible to accept or reject a lot of items either at the stage of its manufacture or at the stage of its purchase.

### 13.2 Hypergeometric Distribution

The binomial distribution is not applicable when the probability of a success $p$ does not remain constant from trial to trial. In such a situation the probabilities of the various values of $r$ are obtained by the use of Hypergeometric distribution.

Let there be a finite population of size N , where each item can be classified as either a success or a failure. Let there be k successes in the population. If a random sample of size n is taken from this population, then the probability of r successes is given by $P(r)=\frac{\left({ }^{k} C_{r}\right)\left({ }^{N-k} C_{n-r}\right)}{{ }^{N} C_{n}}$. Here $r$ is a discrete random variable which can take values $0,1,2, \ldots \ldots . n$. Also $n \leq k$.

It can be shown that the mean of $r$ is $n p$ and its variance is

$$
\left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \cdot n p q, \text { where } p=\frac{k}{N} \text { and } \mathrm{q}=1-\mathrm{p}
$$

$\sqrt{15}$ Example 11: A retailer has 10 identical television sets of a company out which 4 are defective. If 3 televisions are selected at random, construct the probability distribution of the number of defective television sets.

Solution.

Let the random variable r denote the number of defective televisions. In terms of notations, we can write $\mathrm{N}=10, \mathrm{k}=4$ and $\mathrm{n}=3$.

Thus, we can write $P(r)=\frac{{ }^{4} C_{r} \times{ }^{6} C_{3-r}}{{ }^{10} C_{3}}, \quad r=0,1,2,3$
The distribution of $r$ is hypergeometric. This distribution can also be written in a tabular form as given below :

| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(r)$ | $\frac{5}{30}$ | $\frac{15}{30}$ | $\frac{9}{30}$ | $\frac{1}{30}$ | 1 |

### 13.2.1 Binomial Approximation to Hypergeometric Distribution

In sampling problems, where sample size $n$ (total number of trials) is less than $5 \%$ of population size N , i.e., $\mathrm{n}<0.05 \mathrm{~N}$, the use of binomial distribution will also give satisfactory results. The reason for this is that the smaller the sample size relative to population size, the greater will be the validity of the requirements of independent trials and the constancy of $p$.

Example 12: There are 200 identical radios out of which 80 are defective. If 5 radios are selected at random, construct the probability distribution of the number of defective radios by using (i) hypergeometric distribution and (ii) binomial distribution.

## Solution.

(i) It is given that $\mathrm{N}=200, \mathrm{k}=80$ and $\mathrm{n}=5$.

Let $r$ be a hypergeometric random variable which denotes the number of defective radios, then

$$
P(r)=\frac{{ }^{80} C_{r} \times{ }^{120} C_{5-r}}{{ }^{200} C_{5}}, \quad r=0,1,2,3,4,5
$$

The probabilities for various values of $r$ are given in the following table :

| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(r)$ | 0.0752 | 0.2592 | 0.3500 | 0.2313 | 0.0748 | 0.0095 | 1 |

(ii) To use binomial distribution, we find $p=80 / 200=0.4$.

$$
P(r)={ }^{5} C_{r}(0.4)^{r}(0.6)^{5-r}, r=0,1,2,3,4,5
$$

The probabilities for various values of $r$ are given in the following table :

| $r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(r)$ | 0.0778 | 0.2592 | 0.3456 | 0.2304 | 0.0768 | 0.0102 | 1 |

We note that these probabilities are in close conformity with the hypergeometric probabilities.

### 13.3 Pascal Distribution

In binomial distribution, we derived the probability mass function of the number of successes in n (fixed) Bernoulli trials. We can also derive the probability mass function of the number of Bernoulli trials needed to get $r$ (fixed) successes. This distribution is known as Pascal distribution. Here r and p become parameters while n becomes a random variable.

We may note that $r$ successes can be obtained in $r$ or more trials i.e. possible values of the random variable are $r,(r+1),(r+2), \ldots \ldots$. etc. Further, if $n$ trials are required to get $r$ successes, the nth trial must be a success. Thus, we can write the probability mass function of Pascal distribution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(n) & =\binom{\text { Probability of }(r-1) \text { successes }}{\text { out of }(n-1) \text { trials }} \times\binom{\text { Probability of a success }}{\text { in } n \text {th trial }} \\
& ={ }^{n-1} C_{r-1} p^{r-1} q^{n-r} \times p={ }^{n-1} C_{r-1} p^{r} q^{n-r}, \text { where } \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{r},(\mathrm{r}+1),(\mathrm{r}+2), \ldots \text { etc. } .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown that the mean and variance of Pascal distribution are $\frac{r}{p}$ and $\frac{r q}{p^{2}}$ respectively.
This distribution is also known as Negative Binomial Distribution because various values of $P(n)$ are given by the terms of the binomial expansion of $p^{r}(1-q)^{-r}$.

### 13.4 Geometrical Distribution

When $\mathrm{r}=1$, the Pascal distribution can be written as

$$
P(n)={ }^{n-1} C_{0} p q^{n-1}=p q^{n-1}, \quad \text { where } n=1,2,3, \ldots . .
$$

Here n is a random variable which denotes the number of trials required to get a success. This distribution is known as geometrical distribution. The mean and variance of the distribution are
$\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{q}{p^{2}}$ respectively.

### 13.5 Uniform Distribution (Discrete Random Variable)

A discrete random variable is said to follow a uniform distribution if it takes various discrete values with equal probabilities.
If a random variable $X$ takes values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . X_{n}$ each with probability $\frac{1}{n}$, the distribution of $X$ is said to be uniform.

### 13.6 Poisson Distribution

This distribution was derived by a noted mathematician, Simon D. Poisson, in 1837. He derived this distribution as a limiting case of binomial distribution, when the number of trials n tends to become very large and the probability of success in a trial $p$ tends to become very small such that their product np remains a constant. This distribution is used as a model to describe the probability distribution of a random variable defined over a unit of time, length or space. For example, the number of telephone calls received per hour at a telephone exchange, the number of accidents in
a city per week, the number of defects per meter of cloth, the number of insurance claims per year, the number breakdowns of machines at a factory per day, the number of arrivals of customers at a shop per hour, the number of typing errors per page etc.

### 13.6.1 Poisson Process

Let us assume that on an average 3 telephone calls are received per 10 minutes at a telephone exchange desk and we want to find the probability of receiving a telephone call in the next 10 minutes. In an effort to apply binomial distribution, we can divide the interval of 10 minutes into 10 intervals of 1 minute each so that the probability of receiving a telephone call (i.e., a success) in each minute (i.e., trial) becomes $3 / 10$ ( note that $p=m / n$, where $m$ denotes mean). Thus, there are 10 trials which are independent, each with probability of success $=3 / 10$. However, the main difficulty with this formulation is that, strictly speaking, these trials are not Bernoulli trials. One essential requirement of such trials, that each trial must result into one of the two possible outcomes, is violated here. In the above example, a trial, i.e. an interval of one minute, may result into $0,1,2, \ldots .$. . successes depending upon whether the exchange desk receives none, one, two, ...... telephone calls respectively.

One possible way out is to divide the time interval of 10 minutes into a large number of small intervals so that the probability of receiving two or more telephone calls in an interval becomes almost zero. This is illustrated by the following table which shows that the probabilities of receiving two calls decreases sharply as the number of intervals are increased, keeping the average number of calls, 3 calls in 10 minutes in our example, as constant.


Using symbols, we may note that as $n$ increases then $p$ automatically declines in such a way that the mean $m(=n p)$ is always equal to a constant. Such a process is termed as a Poisson Process. The chief characteristics of Poisson process can be summarised as given below:

1. The number of occurrences in an interval is independent of the number of occurrences in another interval.
2. The expected number of occurrences in an interval is constant.
3. It is possible to identify a small interval so that the occurrence of more than one event, in any interval of this size, becomes extremely unlikely.

### 13.6.2 Probability Mass Function

The probability mass function (p.m.f.) of Poisson distribution can be derived as a limit of p.m.f. of binomial distribution when $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $m(=n p)$ remains constant. Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(r)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{n} C_{r}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{r}\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n-r}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{r}\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n-r} \\
= & \frac{m^{r}}{r!} \cdot \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots(n-r+1) \cdot \frac{1}{n^{r}} \cdot\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n-r}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes

$$
=\frac{m^{r}}{r!} . \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\frac{n}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{(r-1)}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n}}{\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{r}}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{m^{r}}{r!} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n} \text {, since each of the remaining terms will tend to unity as }
$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
=\frac{m^{r} \cdot e^{-m}}{r!} \text {, since } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{m}}\right\}^{m}=e^{-m} .
$$

Thus, the probability mass function of Poisson distribution is

$$
P(r)=\frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!}, \text { where } r=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots \infty
$$

Here e is a constant with value $=2.71828 \ldots$. Note that Poisson distribution is a discrete probability distribution with single parameter m .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Total probability } & =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!}=e^{-m}\left(1+\frac{m}{1!}+\frac{m^{2}}{2!}+\frac{m^{3}}{3!}+\ldots\right) \\
& =e^{-m} \cdot e^{m}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.6.3 Summary Measures of Poisson Distribution

(a) Mean

The mean of a Poisson variate $r$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(r) & =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r \cdot \frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!}=e^{-m} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{r}}{(r-1)!}=e^{-m}\left[m+m^{2}+\frac{m^{3}}{2!}+\frac{m^{4}}{3!}+\ldots\right] \\
& =m e^{-m}\left[1+m+\frac{m^{2}}{2!}+\frac{m^{3}}{3!}+\ldots\right]=m e^{-m} e^{m}=m
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Variance

The variance of a Poisson variate is defined as
$\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m})^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Now $E\left(r^{2}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r^{2} P(r)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}[r(r-1)+r] P(r)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}[r(r-1)] P(r)+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r P(r)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}[r(r-1)] \frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!}+m=e^{-m} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{r}}{(r-2)!}+m \\
& =m+e^{-m}\left(m^{2}+m^{3}+\frac{m^{4}}{2!}+\frac{m^{5}}{3!}+\ldots .\right) \\
& =m+m^{2} e^{-m}\left(1+m+\frac{m^{2}}{2!}+\frac{m^{3}}{3!}+\ldots .\right)=m+m^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{m}$.
Also standard deviation $\sigma=\sqrt{m}$.
(c) The values of $m_{3^{\prime}}, m_{4}, b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$

It can be shown that $m_{3}=\mathrm{m}$ and $m_{4}=\mathrm{m}+3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
$\therefore \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{2}^{3}}=\frac{m^{2}}{m^{3}}=\frac{1}{m}$
Since $m$ is a positive quantity, therefore, $\beta_{1}$ is always positive and hence the Poisson distribution is always positively skewed. We note that $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{m} \rightarrow \infty$, therefore the distribution tends to become more and more symmetrical for large values of m .

Further, $\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu_{2}^{2}}=\frac{m+3 m^{2}}{m^{2}}=3+\frac{1}{m} \rightarrow 3$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This result shows that the distribution becomes normal for large values of m .
(d) Mode

As in binomial distribution, a Poisson variate $r$ will be mode if

$$
P(r-1) \leq P(r) \geq P(r+1)
$$

The inequality $P(r-1) \leq P(r)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \leq \frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!} \Rightarrow 1 \leq \frac{m}{r} \Rightarrow r \leq m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the inequality $P(r) \geq P(r+1)$ can be shown to imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \geq m-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (2), we can write $\mathrm{m}-1 £ \mathrm{r} £ \mathrm{~m}$.
Case I. When $m$ is not an integer

Notes The integral part of $m$ will be mode.
Case II. When $m$ is an integer
The distribution is bimodal with values m and $\mathrm{m}-1$.
EE
Example 13: The average number of customer arrivals per minute at a super bazaar is 2 . Find the probability that during one particular minute (i) exactly 3 customers will arrive, (ii) at the most two customers will arrive, (iii) at least one customer will arrive.

## Solution.

It is given that $\mathrm{m}=2$. Let the number of arrivals per minute be denoted by the random variable $r$. The required probability is given by
(i) $\quad P(r=3)=\frac{e^{-2} \cdot 2^{3}}{3!}=\frac{0.13534 \times 8}{6}=0.18045$
(ii) $\quad P(r \leq 2)=\sum_{r=0}^{2} \frac{e^{-2} \cdot 2^{r}}{r!}=e^{-2}\left[1+2+\frac{4}{2}\right]=0.13534 \times 5=0.6767$.
(iii) $\quad P(r \geq 1)=1-P(r=0)=1-\frac{e^{-2} \cdot 2^{0}}{0!}=1-0.13534=0.86464$.

E
Example 14: An executive makes, on an average, 5 telephone calls per hour at a cost which may be taken as Rs 2 per call. Determine the probability that in any hour the telephone calls' cost (i) exceeds Rs 6, (ii) remains less than Rs 10.

## Solution.

The number of telephone calls per hour is a random variable with mean $=5$. The required probability is given by
(i) $\quad P(r>3)=1-P(r \leq 3)=1-\sum_{r=0}^{3} \frac{e^{-5} .5^{r}}{r!}$

$$
=1-e^{-5}\left[1+5+\frac{25}{2}+\frac{125}{6}\right]=1-0.00678 \times \frac{236}{6}=0.7349
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r \leq 4)=\sum_{r=0}^{4} \frac{e^{-5} .5^{r}}{r!}=e^{-5}\left[1+5+\frac{25}{2}+\frac{125}{6}+\frac{625}{24}\right]=0.00678 \times \frac{1569}{24}=0.44324 \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 15: A company makes electric toys. The probability that an electric toy is defective is 0.01 . What is the probability that a shipment of 300 toys will contain exactly 5 defectives?

Solution.
Notes
Since n is large and p is small, Poisson distribution is applicable. The random variable is the number of defective toys with mean $m=n p=300 \times 0.01=3$. The required probability is given by

$$
P(r=5)=\frac{e^{-3} .3^{5}}{5!}=\frac{0.04979 \times 243}{120}=0.10082
$$

E里
Example 16: In a town, on an average 10 accidents occur in a span of 50 days. Assuming that the number of accidents per day follow Poisson distribution, find the probability that there will be three or more accidents in a day.

## Solution.

The random variable denotes the number accidents per day. Thus, we have $m=\frac{10}{50}=0.2$. The required probability is given by

$$
P(r \geq 3)=1-P(r \leq 2)=1-e^{-0.2}\left[1+0.2+\frac{(0.2)^{2}}{2!}\right]=1-0.8187 \times 1.22=0.00119 .
$$

蒗
Example 17: A car hire firm has two cars which it hire out every day. The number of demands for a car on each day is distributed as a Poisson variate with mean 1.5. Calculate the proportion of days on which neither car is used and the proportion of days on which some demand is refused. [ $\mathrm{e}^{-1.5}=0.2231$ ]

## Solution.

When both car are not used, $\mathrm{r}=0$
$\therefore P(r=0)=e^{-1.5}=0.2231$. Hence the proportion of days on which neither car is used is $22.31 \%$.
Further, some demand is refused when more than 2 cars are demanded, i.e., r>2

$$
\therefore P(r>2)=1-P(r \leq 2)=1-\sum_{r=0}^{2} \frac{e^{-1.5}(1.5)^{r}}{r!}=1-0.2231\left[1+1.5+\frac{(1.5)^{2}}{2!}\right]=0.1913 \text {. }
$$

Hence the proportion of days is $19.13 \%$.

EE
Example 18: A firm produces articles of which 0.1 percent are usually defective. It packs them in cases each containing 500 articles. If a wholesaler purchases 100 such cases, how many cases are expected to be free of defective items and how many are expected to contain one defective item?

## Solution.

The Poisson variate is number of defective items with mean

$$
m=\frac{1}{1000} \times 500=0.5
$$

Probability that a case is free of defective items
$P(r=0)=e^{-0.5}=0.6065$. . Hence the number of cases having no defective items $=0.6065 \times 100=$ 60.65

Similarly, $P(r=1)=e^{-0.5} \times 0.5=0.6065 \times 0.5=0.3033$. Hence the number of cases having one defective item are 30.33.
$5=-5$ Example 19: A manager accepts the work submitted by his typist only when there is no mistake in the work. The typist has to type on an average 20 letters per day of about 200 words each. Find the chance of her making a mistake (i) if less than $1 \%$ of the letters submitted by her are rejected; (ii) if on $90 \%$ of days all the work submitted by her is accepted. [As the probability of making a mistake is small, you may use Poisson distribution. Take e = 2.72].

## Solution.

Let p be the probability of making a mistake in typing a word.
(i) Let the random variable $r$ denote the number of mistakes per letter. Since 20 letters are typed, $r$ will follow Poisson distribution with mean $=20 \times p$.

Since less than $1 \%$ of the letters are rejected, it implies that the probability of making at least one mistake is less than 0.01 , i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} \geq 1) \leq 0.01 \text { or } 1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=0) \leq 0.01 \\
& \Rightarrow \quad 1-\mathrm{e}^{-20 \mathrm{p}} \leq 0.01 \text { or } \mathrm{e}^{-20 \mathrm{p}} \geq 0.99
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\log$ of both sides

- 20p.log $2.72 \geq \log 0.99$
$-(20 \times 0.4346) p \geq \overline{1} .9956$
$-8.692 p \geq-0.0044$ or $p \leq \frac{0.0044}{8.692}=0.00051$.
(ii) In this case $r$ is a Poisson variate which denotes the number of mistakes per day. Since the typist has to type $20 \times 200=4000$ words per day, the mean number of mistakes $=4000 \mathrm{p}$.

It is given that there is no mistake on $90 \%$ of the days, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r}=0)=0.90 \text { or } \mathrm{e}^{-4000 \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}}}=0.90
$$

Taking log of both sides, we have
$-4000 \mathrm{p} \log 2.72=\log 0.90$ or $-4000 \times 0.4346 p=\overline{1} .9542=-0.0458$

$$
\therefore \quad p=\frac{0.0458}{4000 \times 0.4346}=0.000026
$$

Example 20: A manufacturer of pins knows that on an average $5 \%$ of his product is defective. He sells pins in boxes of 100 and guarantees that not more than 4 pins will be defective. What is the probability that the box will meet the guaranteed quality?

## Solution.

Notes
The number of defective pins in a box is a Poisson variate with mean equal to 5 . A box will meet the guaranteed quality if $\mathrm{r} £ 4$. Thus, the required probability is given by

$$
P(r \leq 4)=e^{-5} \sum_{r=0}^{4} \frac{5^{r}}{r!}=e^{-5}\left[1+5+\frac{25}{2}+\frac{125}{6}+\frac{625}{24}\right]=0.00678 \times \frac{1569}{24}=0.44324 .
$$

## Lot Acceptance using Poisson Distribution

$=\equiv=$
Example 21: Videocon company purchases heaters from Amar Electronics. Recently a shipment of 1000 heaters arrived out of which 60 were tested. The shipment will be accepted if not more than two heaters are defective. What is the probability that the shipment will be accepted? From past experience, it is known that 5\% of the heaters made by Amar Electronics are defective.

## Solution.

Mean number of defective items in a sample of $60=60 \times \frac{5}{100}=3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} £ 2) & =\sum_{r=0}^{2} \frac{e^{-3} \cdot 3^{r}}{r!} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-3}\left[1+3+\frac{3^{2}}{2!}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-3} \cdot 8.5=0.4232
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.6.4 Poisson Approximation to Binomial

When $n$, the number of trials become large, the computation of probabilities by using the binomial probability mass function becomes a cumbersome task. Usually, when $\mathrm{n}^{3} 20$ and $\mathrm{p} £$ 0.05 , Poisson distribution can be used as an approximation to binomial with parameter $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{np}$.

EF
Example 22: Find the probability of 4 successes in 30 trials by using (i) binomial distribution and (ii) Poisson distribution. The probability of success in each trial is given to be 0.02.

## Solution.

(i) Here $\mathrm{n}=30$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.02$

$$
\therefore P(r=4)={ }^{30} C_{4}(0.02)^{4}(0.98)^{26}=27405 \times 0.00000016 \times 0.59=0.00259 .
$$

(ii) Here $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{np}=30 \times 0.02=0.6$

$$
\therefore \quad P(r=4)=\frac{e^{-0.6}(0.6)^{4}}{4!}=\frac{0.5488 \times 0.1296}{24}=0.00296
$$

## Notes

### 13.6.5 Fitting of a Poisson Distribution

To fit a Poisson distribution to a given frequency distribution, we first compute its mean m . Then the probabilities of various values of the random variable r are computed by using the probability mass function $P(r)=\frac{e^{-m} \cdot m^{r}}{r!}$. These probabilities are then multiplied by N , the total frequency, to get expected frequencies.

EF
Example 23:
The following mistakes per page were observed in a book :
$\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { No. of mistakes per page } & : & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \text { Frequency } & : & 211 & 90 & 19 & 5\end{array}$
Fit a Poisson distribution to find the theoretical frequencies.

## Solution.

The mean of the given frequency distribution is

$$
m=\frac{0 \times 211+1 \times 90+2 \times 19+3 \times 5}{211+90+19+5}=\frac{143}{325}=0.44
$$

Calculation of theoretical (or expected) frequencies
We can write $P(r)=\frac{e^{-0.44}(0.44)^{r}}{r!}$. Substituting $r=0,1,2$ and 3 , we get the probabilities for various values of $r$, as shown in the following table.

| $r$ | $P(r)$ | $N \times P(r)$ | Expected Frequencies Approximated <br> to the nearest integer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.6440 | 209.30 | 210 |
| 1 | 0.2834 | 92.10 | 92 |
| 2 | 0.0623 | 20.25 | 20 |
| 3 | 0.0091 | 2.96 | 3 |
| Total |  |  | 325 |

### 13.6.6 Features of Poisson Distribution

(i) It is discrete probability distribution.
(ii) It has only one parameter m .
(iii) The range of the random variable is $0 \leq \mathrm{r}<\infty$.
(iv) The Poisson distribution is a positively skewed distribution. The skewness decreases as m increases.

### 13.6.7 Uses of Poisson Distribution

(i) This distribution is applicable to situations where the number of trials is large and the probability of a success in a trial is very small.
(ii) It serves as a reasonably good approximation to binomial distribution when $\mathrm{n} \geq 20$ and $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$.

### 13.7 Summary

- Binomial distribution is a theoretical probability distribution which was given by James Bernoulli.

Let n be the total number of repeated trials, p be the probability of a success in a trial and $q$ be the probability of its failure so that $q=1-p$.

- Let r be a random variable which denotes the number of successes in n trials. The possible values of $r$ are $0,1,2, \ldots \ldots . n$. We are interested in finding the probability of $r$ successes out of n trials, i.e., $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})$.
- Binomial distribution is often used in various decision making situations in business. Acceptance sampling plan, a technique of quality control, is based on this distribution. With the use of sampling plan, it is possible to accept or reject a lot of items either at the stage of its manufacture or at the stage of its purchase.
- The binomial distribution is not applicable when the probability of a success $p$ does not remain constant from trial to trial. In such a situation the probabilities of the various values of $r$ are obtained by the use of Hypergeometric distribution.
- When $n$, the number of trials become large, the computation of probabilities by using the binomial probability mass function becomes a cumbersome task. Usually, when $n^{3} 20$ and $p £ 0.05$, Poisson distribution can be used as an approximation to binomial with parameter $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{np}$.


### 13.8 Keywords

Binomial distribution is a theoretical probability distribution which was given by James Bernoulli.

Probability distribution: The purpose of fitting a distribution is to examine whether the observed frequency distribution can be regarded as a sample from a population with a known probability distribution.

Geometrical distribution: When $\mathrm{r}=1$, the Pascal distribution can be written as

$$
P(n)={ }^{n-1} C_{0} p q^{n-1}=p q^{n-1}, \quad \text { where } n=1,2,3, \ldots .
$$

Geometrical distribution: Here n is a random variable which denotes the number of trials required to get a success. This distribution is known as geometrical distribution.

## Notes

### 13.9 Self Assessment

1. $\qquad$ is a theoretical probability distribution which was given by James Bernoulli.
(a) expected
(b) Binomial distribution
(c) probability mass function
(d) discrete values
2. The fitting of a distribution to given data implies the determination of $\qquad$ (or theoretical) frequencies for different values of the random variable on the basis of this data.
(a) expected
(b) Binomial distribution
(c) probability mass function
(d) discrete values
3. A discrete random variable is said to follow a uniform distribution if it takes various
$\qquad$ with equal probabilities.
(a) expected
(b) Binomial distribution
(c) probability mass function
(d) discrete values
4. Poisson distribution was derived by a noted mathematician, Simon D. Poisson, in $\qquad$
(a) expected
(b) 1837
(c) probability mass function
(d) discrete values
5. The $\qquad$ (p.m.f.) of Poisson distribution can be derived as a limit of p.m.f. of binomial distribution when $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\mathrm{m}(=n \mathrm{p})$ remains constant.
(a) expected
(b) Binomial distribution
(c) probability mass function
(d) discrete values

### 13.10 Review Questions

1. (a) The probability of a man hitting a target is $\frac{1}{4}$. (i) If he fires 7 times, what is the probability of his hitting the target at least twice? (ii) How many times must he fire so that the probability of his hitting the target at least once is greater than $\frac{2}{3}$ ?
(b) How many dice must be thrown so that there is better than even chance of obtaining at least one six?

Hint : (a)(ii) Probability of hitting the target at least once in n trials is $1-\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{n}$. Find n such that this value is greater than $\frac{2}{3}$. (b) Find $n$ so that $1-\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{n}>\frac{1}{2}$.
2. A machine produces an average of $20 \%$ defective bolts. A batch is accepted if a sample of 5 bolts taken from the batch contains no defective and rejected if the sample contains 3 or more defectives. In other cases, a second sample is taken. What is the probability that the second sample is required?

Hint : A second sample is required if the first sample is neither rejected nor accepted.
3. A multiple choice test consists of 8 questions with 3 answers to each question (of which Notes only one is correct). A student answers each question by throwing a balanced die and checking the first answer if he gets 1 or 2 , the second answer if he gets 3 or 4 and the third answer if he gets 5 or 6 . To get a distinction, the student must secure at least $75 \%$ correct answers. If there is no negative marking, what is the probability that the student secures a distinction?

Hint : He should attempt at least 6 questions.
4. What is the most probable number of times an ace will appear if a die is tossed (i) 50 times, (ii) 53 times?

Hint : Find mode.
5. The number of arrivals of telephone calls at a switch board follows a Poisson process at an average rate of 8 calls per 10 minutes. The operator leaves for a 5 minutes tea break. Find the probability that (a) at the most two calls go unanswered and (b) 3 calls go unanswered, while the operator is away.

Hint : $\mathrm{m}=4$.
6. What probability model is appropriate to describe a situation where 100 misprints are distributed randomly throughout the 100 pages of a book? For this model, what is the probability that a page observed at random will contain (i) no misprint, (ii) at the most two misprints, (iii) at least three misprints?
Hint : The average number of misprint per page is unity.
7. If the probability of getting a defective transistor in a consignment is 0.01 , find the mean and standard deviation of the number of defective transistors in a large consignment of 900 transistors. What is the probability that there is at the most one defective transistor in the consignment?

Hint : The average number of transistors in a consignment is $900 \times 0.01$.
8. In a certain factory turning out blades, there is a small chance $1 / 500$ for any one blade to be defective. The blades are supplied in packets of 10. Use Poisson distribution to compute the approximate number of packets containing no defective, one defective, two defective, three defective blades respectively in a consignment of 10,000 packets.

Hint : The random variable is the number of defective blades in a packet of 10 blades.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (b) 2. (a) 3. (d) 4. (b) 5. (c)

### 13.11 Further Readings

Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss the exponential distribution
- Explain uniform distribution
- Describe normal distribution


## Introduction

The knowledge of the theoretical probability distribution is of great use in the understanding and analysis of a large number of business and economic situations. For example, with the use of probability distribution, it is possible to test a hypothesis about a population, to take decision in the face of uncertainty, to make forecast, etc.

Theoretical probability distributions can be divided into two broad categories, viz. discrete and
Notes continuous probability distributions, depending upon whether the random variable is discrete or continuous. Although, there are a large number of distributions in each category, we shall discuss only some of them having important business and economic applications.

### 14.1 Exponential Distribution

The random variable in case of Poisson distribution is of the type ; the number of arrivals of customers per unit of time or the number of defects per unit length of cloth, etc. Alternatively, it is possible to define a random variable, in the context of Poisson Process, as the length of time between the arrivals of two consecutive customers or the length of cloth between two consecutive defects, etc. The probability distribution of such a random variable is termed as Exponential Distribution.
Since the length of time or distance is a continuous random variable, therefore exponential distribution is a continuous probability distribution.

### 14.1.1 Probability Density Function

Let $t$ be a random variable which denotes the length of time or distance between the occurrence of two consecutive events or the occurrence of the first event and $m$ be the average number of times the event occurs per unit of time or length. Further, let A be the event that the time of occurrence between two consecutive events or the occurrence of the first event is less than or equal to $t$ and $f(t)$ and $F(t)$ denote the probability density function and the distribution (or cumulative density) function of $t$ respectively.

We can write $P(A)+P(\bar{A})=1$ or $F(t)+P(\bar{A})=1$. Note that, by definition, $F(t)=P(A)$. Further, $P(\bar{A})$ is the probability that the length of time between the occurrence of two consecutive events or the occurrence of first event is greater than $t$. This is also equal to the probability that no event occurs in the time interval $t$. Since the mean number of occurrence of events in time $t$ is mt , we have, by Poisson distribution,

$$
P(\bar{A})=P(r=0)=\frac{e^{-m t}(m t)^{0}}{0!}=e^{-m t}
$$

Thus, we get $F(t)+e^{-m t}=1$
or $\quad \mathrm{P}(0$ to t$)=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{emt}}$.
To get the probability density function, we differentiate equation (1) with respect to $t$.
Thus, $\begin{aligned} f(t)=F^{\prime}(t) & =m e^{-m t} \quad \text { when } t>0 \\ & =0 \quad \text { otherwise. }\end{aligned}$
It can be verified that the total probability is equal to unity
Total Probability $=\int_{0}^{\infty} m \cdot e^{-m t} d t=\left|m \cdot \frac{e^{-m t}}{-m}\right|_{0}^{\infty}=\left|-e^{-m t}\right|_{0}^{\infty}=0+1=1$.

Mean of $t$
The mean of t is defined as its expected value, given by
$E(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t . m \cdot e^{-m t} d t=\frac{1}{m}$, where $m$ denotes the average number of occurrence of events per unit of time or distance.

EF
Example 24: A telephone operator attends on an average 150 telephone calls per hour. Assuming that the distribution of time between consecutive calls follows an exponential distribution, find the probability that (i) the time between two consecutive calls is less than 2 minutes, (ii) the next call will be received only after 3 minutes.

## Solution.

Here $\mathrm{m}=$ the average number of calls per minute $=\frac{150}{60}=2.5$.
(i) $\quad P(t \leq 2)=\int_{0}^{2} 2.5 e^{-2.5 t} d t=F(2)$

We know that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{mt}}, \backslash \mathrm{F}(2)=1-\mathrm{e}^{-2.5 \times 2}=0.9933$
(ii) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}>3)=1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t} \leq 3)=1-\mathrm{F}(3)$

$$
=1-\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-2.5 \times 3}\right]=0.0006
$$

Example 25: The average number of accidents in an industry during a year is estimated to be 5. If the distribution of time between two consecutive accidents is known to be exponential, find the probability that there will be no accidents during the next two months.

## Solution.

Here $m$ denotes the average number of accidents per month $=\frac{5}{12}$.
$\therefore \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}>2)=1-\mathrm{F}(2)=e^{-\frac{5}{12} \times 2}=e^{-0.833}=0.4347$.

E
Example 26: The distribution of life, in hours, of a bulb is known to be exponential with mean life of 600 hours. What is the probability that (i) it will not last more than 500 hours, (ii) it will last more than 700 hours?

## Solution.

Since the random variable denote hours, therefore $m=\frac{1}{600}$
(i) $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t} £ 500)=\mathrm{F}(500)=1-e^{-\frac{1}{600} \times 500}=1-e^{-0.833}=0.5653$.
(ii) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}>700)=1-\mathrm{F}(700)=e^{-\frac{700}{600}}=e^{-1.1667}=0.3114$.

### 14.2 Uniform Distribution (Continuous Variable)

Notes

A continuous random variable $X$ is said to be uniformly distributed in a close interval $(a, b)$ with probability density function $p(X)$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(X) & =\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha \leq X \leq \beta \text { and } \\
& =0 \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The uniform distribution is alternatively known as rectangular distribution. The diagram of the probability density function is shown in the figure 15.1.


Note that the total area under the curve is unity, i.e.,

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} d X=\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}|X|_{\alpha}^{\beta}=1
$$

Further, $E(X)=\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} X . d X=\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}\left|\frac{X^{2}}{2}\right|_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$

$$
E\left(X^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} X^{2} . d X=\frac{\beta^{3}-\alpha^{3}}{3(\beta-\alpha)}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\beta^{2}+\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\therefore \quad \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\beta^{2}+\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2}\right)-\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}{4}=\frac{(\beta-\alpha)^{2}}{12}
$$

$=E$
Example 27: The buses on a certain route run after every 20 minutes. If a person arrives at the bus stop at random, what is the probability that
(a) he has to wait between 5 to 15 minutes,
(b) he gets a bus within 10 minutes,
(c) he has to wait at least 15 minutes.

## Solution.

Let the random variable $X$ denote the waiting time, which follows a uniform distribution with p.d.f.

$$
f(X)=\frac{1}{20} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq X \leq 20
$$

Notes
(a) $\quad P(5 \leq X \leq 15)=\frac{1}{20} \int_{5}^{15} d X=\frac{1}{20}(15-5)=\frac{1}{2}$
(b) $\quad P(0 \leq X \leq 10)=\frac{1}{20} \times 10=\frac{1}{2}$
(c) $\quad P(15 \leq X \leq 20)=\frac{20-15}{20}=\frac{1}{4}$.

### 14.3 Normal Distribution

The normal probability distribution occupies a place of central importance in Modern Statistical Theory. This distribution was first observed as the normal law of errors by the statisticians of the eighteenth century. They found that each observation $X$ involves an error term which is affected by a large number of small but independent chance factors. This implies that an observed value of $X$ is the sum of its true value and the net effect of a large number of independent errors which may be positive or negative each with equal probability. The observed distribution of such a random variable was found to be in close conformity with a continuous curve, which was termed as the normal curve of errors or simply the normal curve.

Since Gauss used this curve to describe the theory of accidental errors of measurements involved in the calculation of orbits of heavenly bodies, it is also called as Gaussian curve.

### 14.3.1 The Conditions of Normality

In order that the distribution of a random variable $X$ is normal, the factors affecting its observations must satisfy the following conditions :
(i) A large number of chance factors: The factors, affecting the observations of a random variable, should be numerous and equally probable so that the occurrence or nonoccurrence of any one of them is not predictable.
(ii) Condition of homogeneity: The factors must be similar over the relevant population although, their incidence may vary from observation to observation.
(iii) Condition of independence: The factors, affecting observations, must act independently of each other.
(iv) Condition of symmetry: Various factors operate in such a way that the deviations of observations above and below mean are balanced with regard to their magnitude as well as their number.

Random variables observed in many phenomena related to economics, business and other social as well as physical sciences are often found to be distributed normally. For example, observations relating to the life of an electrical component, weight of packages, height of persons, income of the inhabitants of certain area, diameter of wire, etc., are affected by a large number of factors and hence, tend to follow a pattern that is very similar to the normal curve. In addition to this, when the number of observations become large, a number of probability distributions like Binomial, Poisson, etc., can also be approximated by this distribution.

### 14.3.2 Probability Density Function

If X is a continuous random variable, distributed normally with mean $m$ and standard deviation $\sigma$, then its p.d.f. is given by

$$
p(X)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { where }-\infty<X<\infty
$$

Here $\pi$ and e are absolute constants with values $3.14159 \ldots$ and $2.71828 \ldots$. respectively.
It may be noted here that this distribution is completely known if the values of mean m and standard deviation s are known. Thus, the distribution has two parameters, viz. mean and standard deviation.

### 14.3.3 Shape of Normal Probability Curve

For given values of the parameters, $m$ and $s$, the shape of the curve corresponding to normal probability density function $p(X)$ is as shown in Figure 15.2

It should be noted here that although we seldom encounter variables that have a range from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, as shown by the normal curve, nevertheless the curves generated by the relative frequency histograms of various variables closely resembles the shape of normal curve.

Figure 15.2


### 14.3.4 Properties of Normal Probability Curve

A normal probability curve or normal curve has the following properties:

1. It is a bell shaped symmetrical curve about the ordinate at $X=\mu$. The ordinate is maximum at $X=\mu$.
2. It is unimodal curve and its tails extend infinitely in both directions, i.e., the curve is asymptotic to X axis in both directions.
3. All the three measures of central tendency coincide, i.e.,

$$
\text { mean }=\text { median }=\text { mode }
$$

4. The total area under the curve gives the total probability of the random variable taking values between $-\infty$ to $¥$. Mathematically, it can be shown that

$$
P(-\infty<X<\infty)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(X) d X=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} d X=1
$$

Notes 5. Since median $=m$, the ordinate at $X=\mu$ divides the area under the normal curve into two equal parts, i.e.,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\mu} p(X) d X=\int_{\mu}^{\infty} p(X) d X=0.5
$$

6. The value of $p(X)$ is always non-negative for all values of $X$, i.e., the whole curve lies above X axis.
7. The points of inflexion (the point at which curvature changes) of the curve are at $X=\mu \pm$ $\sigma$.
8. The quartiles are equidistant from median, i.e., $M_{d}-Q_{1}=Q_{3}-M_{d}$, by virtue of symmetry. Also $Q_{1}=\mu-0.6745 \sigma, Q_{3}=\mu+0.6745 \sigma$, quartile deviation $=0.6745 \sigma$ and mean deviation $=0.8 \mathrm{~s}$, approximately.
9. Since the distribution is symmetrical, all odd ordered central moments are zero.
10. The successive even ordered central moments are related according to the following recurrence formula

$$
\mu_{2 n}=(2 n-1) \sigma^{2} \mu_{2 n-2} \text { for } n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots .
$$

11. The value of moment coefficient of skewness $\beta_{1}$ is zero.
12. The coefficient of kurtosis $\beta_{2}=\frac{\mu_{4}}{\mu_{2}^{2}}=\frac{3 \sigma^{4}}{\sigma^{4}}=3$.

Note that the above expression makes use of property 10.
13. Additive or reproductive property

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots . X_{n}$ are $n$ independent normal variates with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots \ldots \mu_{\mathrm{n}}$ and variances $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \ldots \ldots \sigma_{n}^{2}$, respectively, then their linear combination $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is also a normal variate with mean $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \mu_{i}$ and variance $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}$.
In particular, if $\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{2}=\ldots \ldots=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}=1$, we have $\sum X_{i}$ is a normal variate with mean $\sum \mu_{i}$ and variance $\sum \sigma_{i}^{2}$. Thus the sum of independent normal variates is also a normal variate.
14. Area property

The area under the normal curve is distributed by its standard deviation in the following manner:

Figure 14.3

(i) The area between the ordinates at $\mu-\sigma$ and $\mu+\sigma$ is 0.6826 . This implies that for a normal distribution about $68 \%$ of the observations will lie between $\mu-\sigma$ and $\mu+\sigma$.
(ii) The area between the ordinates at $\mu-2 \sigma$ and $\mu+2 \sigma$ is 0.9544 . This implies that for a normal distribution about $95 \%$ of the observations will lie between $\mu-2 \sigma$ and $\mu+2 \sigma$.
(iii) The area between the ordinates at $\mu-3 \sigma$ and $\mu+3 \sigma$ is 0.9974 . This implies that for a normal distribution about $99 \%$ of the observations will lie between $\mu-3 \sigma$ and $\mu+3 \sigma$. This result shows that, practically, the range of the distribution is 6 s although, theoretically, the range is from $-\infty$ to $\infty$.

### 14.3.5 Probability of Normal Variate in an interval

Let $X$ be a normal variate distributed with mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$, also written in abbreviated form as $X-N(\mu, \sigma)$ The probability of $X$ lying in the interval $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
P\left(X_{1} \leq X \leq X_{2}\right)=\int_{X_{1}}^{X_{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} d X
$$

In terms of figure, this probability is equal to the area under the normal curve between the ordinates at $X=X_{1}$ and $X=X_{2}$ respectively.


It may be recalled that the probability that a continuous random variable takes a particular value is defined to be zero even though the event is not impossible.

It is obvious from the above that, to find $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \leq \mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, we have to evaluate an integral which might be cumbersome and time consuming task. Fortunately, an alternative procedure is available for performing this task. To devise this procedure, we define a new variable $z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$.

We note that $E(z)=E\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma}[E(X)-\mu]=0$
and $\operatorname{Var}(z)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \operatorname{Var}(X-\mu)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \operatorname{Var}(X)=1$.
Further, from the reproductive property, it follows that the distribution of z is also normal.
Thus, we conclude that if $X$ is a normal variate with mean $m$ and standard deviation $s$, then $z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$ is a normal variate with mean zero and standard deviation unity. Since the parameters

Notes of the distribution of z are fixed, it is a known distribution and is termed as standard normal distribution (s.n.d.). Further, z is termed as a standard normal variate (s.n.v.).

It is obvious from the above that the distribution of any normal variateX can always be transformed into the distribution of standard normal variate $z$. This fact can be utilised to evaluate the integral given above.


We can write $P\left(X_{1} \leq X \leq X_{2}\right)=P\left[\left(\frac{X_{1}-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \leq\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \leq\left(\frac{X_{2}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]$
$=P\left(z_{1} \leq z \leq z_{2}\right)$, where $z_{1}=\frac{X_{1}-\mu}{\sigma}$ and $z_{2}=\frac{X_{2}-\mu}{\sigma}$.
In terms of figure, this probability is equal to the area under the standard normal curve between the ord in ates at $z=z_{1}$ and $z=z_{2}$. Since the distribution of $z$ is fixed, the probabilities of $z$ lying in various intervals are tabulated. These tables can be used to write down the desired probability.

EF

## Example 28:

Using the table of areas under the standard normal curve, find the following probabilities :
(i) $\quad \mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1.3)$
(ii) $\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0)$ (iii)
$\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)$
(iv) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z} \geq 1.54)$
(v) $\quad \mathrm{P}(|\mathrm{z}|>2)$
(vi) $\quad \mathrm{P}(|\mathrm{z}|<2)$

## Solution.

The required probability, in each question, is indicated by the shaded are of the corresponding figure.
(i) From the table, we can write $\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1.3)=0.4032$.

(i)

(ii)
(ii) We can write $\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0)=\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1)$, because the distribution is symmetrical. From the table, we can write $\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0)=\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1)=0.3413$.
(iii) We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2) & =\mathrm{P}(-1 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0)+\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2) \\
& =\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1)+\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)=0.3413+0.4772=0.8185 .
\end{aligned}
$$


(iii)

(iv)
(iv) We can write
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z} \geq 1.54)=0.5000-\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1.54)=0.5000-0.4382=0.0618$.
(v) $\mathrm{P}(|\mathrm{z}|>2)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}>2)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}<-2)=2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}>2)=2[0.5000-\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)]$

$$
=1-2 P(0 \leq z \leq 2)=1-2 \times 0.4772=0.0456
$$


(v)

(vi)
(vi) $\mathrm{P}(|\mathrm{z}|<2)=\mathrm{P}(-2 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0)+\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)=2 \mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)=2 \times 0.4772=0.9544$.


Example 29:
Determine the value or values of z in each of the following situations:
(a) Area between 0 and z is 0.4495 .
(b) Area between $-\infty$ to z is 0.1401 .
(c) Area between $-\infty$ to z is 0.6103 .
(d) Area between -1.65 and z is 0.0173 .
(e) Area between -0.5 and z is 0.5376 .

## Solution.

(a) On locating the value of z corresponding to an entry of area 0.4495 in the table of areas under the normal curve, we have $z=1.64$. We note that the same situation may correspond to a negative value of z . Thus, z can be 1.64 or -1.64 .
(b) Since the area between $-\infty$ to $\mathrm{z}<0.5$, z will be negative. Further, the area between z and 0 $=0.5000-0.1401=0.3599$. On locating the value of z corresponding to this entry in the table, we get $\mathrm{z}=-1.08$.
(c) Since the area between $-\infty$ to $\mathrm{z}>0.5000$, z will be positive. Further, the area between 0 to $z=0.6103-0.5000=0.1103$. On locating the value of $z$ corresponding to this entry in the table, we get $\mathrm{z}=0.28$.
(d) Since the area between -1.65 and $z<$ the area between -1.65 and 0 (which, from table, is 0.4505 ), z is negative. Further z can be to the right or to the left of the value -1.65 . Thus, when $z$ lies to the right of -1.65 , its value, corresponds to an area $(0.4505-0.0173)=0.4332$, is given by $z=-1.5$ (from table). Further, when $z$ lies to the left of -1.65 , its value, corresponds to an area $(0.4505+0.0173)=0.4678$, is given by $\mathrm{z}=-1.85$ (from table).

Notes (e) Since the area between -0.5 to $\mathrm{z}>$ area between -0.5 to 0 (which, from table, is 0.1915 ), $z$ is positive. The value of $z$, located corresponding to an area $(0.5376-0.1915)=0.3461$, is given by 1.02 .

E=E Example 30:

If $X$ is a random variate which is distributed normally with mean 60 and standard deviation 5 , find the probabilities of the following events :
(i) $60 \leq X \leq 70$, (ii) $50 \leq X \leq 65$, (iii) $X>45$, (iv) $X \leq 50$.

## Solution.

It is given that $m=60$ and $s=5$
(i) Given $X_{1}=60$ and $X_{2}=70$, we can write

$$
z_{1}=\frac{X_{1}-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{60-60}{5}=0 \text { and } z_{2}=\frac{X_{2}-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{70-60}{5}=2 .
$$

$\therefore \quad \mathrm{P}(60 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 70)=\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)=0.4772$ (from table).
(ii) Here $X_{1}=50$ and $X_{2}=65$, therefore, we can write

$$
z_{1}=\frac{50-60}{5}=-2 \text { and } z_{2}=\frac{65-60}{5}=1 .
$$

Hence $\mathrm{P}(50 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 65)=\mathrm{P}(-2 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1)=\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 2)+\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 1)$

$$
=0.4772+0.3413=0.8185
$$


(i)

(ii)
(iii)

$$
P(X>45)=P\left(z \geq \frac{45-60}{5}\right)=P(z \geq-3)
$$

$$
=P(-3 \leq z \leq 0)+P(0 \leq z \leq \infty)=P(0 \leq z \leq 3)+P(0 \leq z \leq \infty)
$$

$$
=0.4987+0.5000=0.9987
$$

(iv) $\quad P(X \leq 50)=P\left(z \leq \frac{50-60}{5}\right)=P(z \leq-2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.5000-P(-2 \leq z \leq 0)=0.5000-P(0 \leq z \leq 2) \\
& =0.5000-0.4772=0.0228
\end{aligned}
$$



Notes

Example 31:
The average monthly sales of 5,000 firms are normally distributed with mean Rs 36,000 and standard deviation Rs 10,000. Find:
(i) The number of firms with sales of over Rs 40,000.
(ii) The percentage of firms with sales between Rs 38,500 and Rs 41,000 .
(iii) The number of firms with sales between Rs 30,000 and Rs 40,000 .

## Solution.

Let $X$ be the normal variate which represents the monthly sales of a firm. Thus $X \sim N(36,000$, 10,000 ).
(i) $\quad P(X>40000)=P\left(z>\frac{40000-36000}{10000}\right)=P(z>0.4)$

$$
=0.5000-P(0 \leq z \leq 0.4)=0.5000-0.1554=0.3446 .
$$

Thus, the number of firms having sales over Rs 40,000

$$
=0.3446 \times 5000=1723
$$

(ii) $P(38500 \leq X \leq 41000)=P\left(\frac{38500-36000}{10000} \leq z \leq \frac{41000-36000}{10000}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(0.25 \leq z \leq 0.5)=P(0 \leq z \leq 0.5)-P(0 \leq z \leq 0.25) \\
& =0.1915-0.0987=0.0987 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the required percentage of firms $=0.0987 \times 100=9.87 \%$.
(iii) $P(30000 \leq X \leq 40000)=P\left(\frac{30000-36000}{10000} \leq z \leq \frac{40000-36000}{10000}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(-0.6 \leq z \leq 0.4)=P(0 \leq z \leq 0.6)+P(0 \leq z \leq 0.4) \\
& =0.2258+0.1554=0.3812
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the required number of firms $=0.3812 \times 5000=1906$

Example 32: In a large institution, $2.28 \%$ of employees have income below Rs 4,500 and $15.87 \%$ of employees have income above Rs. 7,500 per month. Assuming the distribution of income to be normal, find its mean and standard deviation.

## Notes

## Solution.

Let the mean and standard deviation of the given distribution be $m$ and $s$ respectively.
It is given that $P(X<4500)=0.0228$ or $P\left(z<\frac{4500-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=0.0228$
On locating the value of z corresponding to an area $0.4772(0.5000-0.0228)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4500-\mu}{\sigma}=-2 \text { or } 4500-\mu=-2 \sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it is also given that

$$
P(X>7500)=0.1587 \text { or } P\left(z>\frac{7500-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=0.1587
$$

Locating the value of z corresponding to an area 0.3413 ( $0.5000-0.1587$ ), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{7500-\mu}{\sigma}=1 \text { or } 7500-\mu=\sigma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (1) and (2) simultaneously, we get

$$
m=\operatorname{Rs} 6,500 \text { and } s=\operatorname{Rs} 1,000 .
$$

$\sqrt{5}$ Example 33: Marks in an examination are approximately normally distributed with mean 75 and standard deviation 5. If the top $5 \%$ of the students get grade A and the bottom $25 \%$ get grade F, what mark is the lowest A and what mark is the highest F?

## Solution.

Let A be the lowest mark in grade A and F be the highest mark in grade F. From the given information, we can write

$$
P(X \geq A)=0.05 \text { or } P\left(z \geq \frac{A-75}{5}\right)=0.05
$$

On locating the value of z corresponding to an area 0.4500 ( $0.5000-0.0500$ ), we can write
$\frac{A-75}{5}=1.645 \Rightarrow A=83.225$
Further, it is given that

$$
P(X \leq F)=0.25 \text { or } P\left(z \leq \frac{F-75}{5}\right)=0.25
$$

On locating the value of z corresponding to an area $0.2500(0.5000-0.2500)$, we can write

$$
\frac{F-75}{5}=-0.675 \Rightarrow F=71.625
$$

EE
Example 34: The mean inside diameter of a sample of 200 washers produced by a machine is 5.02 mm and the standard deviation is 0.05 mm . The purpose for which these washers are intended allows a maximum tolerance in the diameter of 4.96 to 5.08 mm , otherwise the washers are considered as defective. Determine the percentage of defective washers produced by the machine on the assumption that diameters are normally distributed.

## Solution.

## Notes

Let $X$ denote the diameter of the washer. Thus, $X \sim N(5.02,0.05)$.
The probability that a washer is defective $=1-\mathrm{P}(4.96 £ \mathrm{X} £ 5.08)$
$=1-P\left[\left(\frac{4.96-5.02}{0.05}\right) \leq z \leq\left(\frac{5.08-5.02}{0.05}\right)\right]$
$=1-P(-1.2 \leq z \leq 1.2)=1-2 P(0 \leq z \leq 1.2)=1-2 \times 0.3849=0.2302$
Thus, the percentage of defective washers $=23.02$.

EF
Example 35: The average number of units produced by a manufacturing concern per day is 355 with a standard deviation of 50 . It makes a profit of Rs 1.50 per unit. Determine the percentage of days when its total profit per day is (i) between Rs 457.50 and Rs 645.00 , (ii) greater than Rs 682.50 (assume the distribution to be normal). The area between $\mathrm{z}=0$ to $\mathrm{z}=1$ is 0.34134 , the area between $\mathrm{z}=0$ to $\mathrm{z}=1.5$ is 0.43319 and the area between $\mathrm{z}=0$ to $\mathrm{z}=2$ is 0.47725 , where z is a standard normal variate.

## Solution.

Let $X$ denote the profit per day. The mean of $X$ is $355 \times 1.50=$ Rs 532.50 and its S.D. is $50 \times 1.50=$ Rs 75 . Thus, $X \sim N(532.50,75)$.
(i) The probability of profit per day lying between Rs 457.50 and Rs 645.00

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(457.50 \leq X \leq 645.00)=P\left(\frac{457.50-532.50}{75} \leq z \leq \frac{645.00-532.50}{75}\right) \\
& =P(-1 \leq z \leq 1.5)=P(0 \leq z \leq 1)+P(0 \leq z \leq 1.5)=0.34134+0.43319=0.77453
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the percentage of days $=77.453$
(ii) $\quad P(X \geq 682.50)=P\left(z \geq \frac{682.50-532.50}{75}\right)=P(z \geq 2)$

$$
=0.5000-P(0 \leq z \leq 2)=0.5000-0.47725=0.02275
$$

Thus, the percentage of days $=2.275$
$=E$
Example 36:
The distribution of 1,000 examinees according to marks percentage is given below :

| $\%$ Marks | less than 40 | $40-75$ | 75 or more | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of examinees | 430 | 420 | 150 | 1000 |

Assuming the marks percentage to follow a normal distribution, calculate the mean and standard deviation of marks. If not more than 300 examinees are to fail, what should be the passing marks?

## Notes

## Solution

Let $X$ denote the percentage of marks and its mean and S.D. be $\mu$ and $\sigma$ respectively. From the given table, we can write
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}<40)=0.43$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \geq 75)=0.15$, which can also be written as

$$
P\left(z<\frac{40-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=0.43 \text { and } P\left(z \geq \frac{75-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=0.15
$$

The above equations respectively imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{40-\mu}{\sigma}=-0.175 \text { or } 40-\mu=-0.175 \sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{75-\mu}{\sigma}=1.04 \text { or } 75-\mu=1.04 \sigma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the above equations simultaneously, we get $\mu=45.04$ and $\sigma=28.81$.
Let $X_{1}$ be the percentage of marks required to pass the examination.
Then we have $P\left(X<X_{1}\right)=0.3$ or $P\left(z<\frac{X_{1}-45.04}{28.81}\right)=0.3$
$\therefore \frac{X_{1}-45.04}{28.81}=-0.525 \Rightarrow X_{1}=29.91$ or $30 \%$ (approx.)

Example 37: In a certain book, the frequency distribution of the number of words per page may be taken as approximately normal with mean 800 and standard deviation 50 . If three pages are chosen at random, what is the probability that none of them has between 830 and 845 words each?

## Solution.

Let $X$ be a normal variate which denotes the number of words per page. It is given that $X \sim N(800,50)$.

The probability that a page, select at random, does not have number of words between 830 and 845 , is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-P(830<X & <845)=1-P\left(\frac{830-800}{50}<z<\frac{845-800}{50}\right) \\
& =1-P(0.6<z<0.9)=1-P(0<z<0.9)+P(0<z<0.6) \\
& =1-0.3159+0.2257=0.9098 » 0.91
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the probability that none of the three pages, selected at random, have number of words lying between 830 and $845=(0.91)^{3}=0.7536$.

EFE Example 38: At a petrol station, the mean quantity of petrol sold to a vehicle is 20 litres per day with a standard deviation of 10 litres. If on a particular day, 100 vehicles took 25 or more litres of petrol, estimate the total number of vehicles who took petrol from the station on that day. Assume that the quantity of petrol taken from the station by a vehicle is a normal variate.

Solution.
Notes
Let $X$ denote the quantity of petrol taken by a vehicle. It is given that $X \sim N(20,10)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore P(X \geq 25) & =P\left(z \geq \frac{25-20}{10}\right)=P(z \geq 0.5) \\
& =0.5000-P(0 \leq z \leq 0.5)=0.5000-0.1915=0.3085
\end{aligned}
$$

Let N be the total number of vehicles taking petrol on that day.
$\therefore 0.3085 \times \times \mathrm{N}=100$ or $\mathrm{N}=100 / 0.3085=324$ (approx.)

### 14.3.6 Normal Approximation to Binomial Distribution

Normal distribution can be used as an approximation to binomial distribution when n is large and neither $p$ nor $q$ is very small. If $X$ denotes the number of successes with probability $p$ of a success in each of the n trials, then X will be distributed approximately normally with mean np and standard deviation $\sqrt{n p q}$.

$$
\text { Further, } z=\frac{X-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}} \sim N(0,1)
$$

It may be noted here that as $X$ varies from 0 to $n$, the standard normal variate $z$ would vary from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ because
when $X=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{n p}{q}}\right)=-\infty$
and when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{n}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n-n p}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n q}{\sqrt{n p q}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n q}{p}}\right)=\infty$

## Correctionfor Continuity

Since the number of successes is a discrete variable, to use normal approximation, we have make corrections for continuity. For example,
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \leq \mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ is to be corrected as $P\left(X_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \leq X \leq X_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, while using normal approximation to binomial since the gap between successive values of a binomial variate is unity. Similarly, $P\left(X_{1}<X<X_{2}\right)$ is to be corrected as $P\left(X_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \leq X \leq X_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, since $X_{1}<X$ does not include $X_{1}$ and $X<X_{2}$ does not include $X_{2}$.

## CNO

Note The normal approximation to binomial probability mass function is good when $n \geq 50$ and neither $p$ nor $q$ is less than 0.1 .

Notes
Example 39: An unbiased die is tossed 600 times. Use normal approximation to binomial to find the probability obtaining
(i) more than 125 aces,
(ii) number of aces between 80 and 110,
(iii) exactly 150 aces.

## Solution.

Let $X$ denote the number of successes, i.e., the number of aces.
$\therefore \mu=n p=600 \times \frac{1}{6}=100$ and $\sigma=\sqrt{n p q}=\sqrt{600 \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{5}{6}}=9.1$
(i) To make correction for continuity, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}>125)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}>125+0.5) \\
& \text { Thus, } P(X \geq 125.5)=P\left(z \geq \frac{125.5-100}{9.1}\right)=P(z \geq 2.80) \\
& =0.5000-P(0 \leq z \leq 2.80)=0.5000-0.4974=0.0026 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) In a similar way, the probability of the number of aces between 80 and 110 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(79.5 & \leq X \leq 110.5)=P\left(\frac{79.5-100}{9.1} \leq z \leq \frac{110.5-100}{9.1}\right) \\
& =P(-2.25 \leq z \leq 1.15)=P(0 \leq z \leq 2.25)+P(0 \leq z \leq 1.15) \\
& =0.4878+0.3749=0.8627
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=120)=\mathrm{P}(119.5 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 120.5)=P\left(\frac{19.5}{9.1} \leq z \leq \frac{20.5}{9.1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(2.14 \leq z \leq 2.25)=P(0 \leq z \leq 2.25)-P(0 \leq z \leq 2.14) \\
& =0.4878-0.4838=0.0040
\end{aligned}
$$

### 14.3.7 Normal Approximation to Poisson Distribution

Normal distribution can also be used to approximate a Poisson distribution when its parameter $m \geq 10$. If $X$ is a Poisson variate with mean $m$, then, for $m^{3} 10$, the distribution of $X$ can be taken as approximately normal with mean $m$ and standard deviation $\sqrt{m}$ so that $z=\frac{X-m}{\sqrt{m}}$ is a standard normal variate.

E
Example 40: A random variable X follows Poisson distribution with parameter 25. Use normal approximation to Poisson distribution to find the probability that $X$ is greater than or equal to 30 .
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \geq 30)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \geq 29.5)$ (after making correction for continuity).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P\left(z \geq \frac{29.5-25}{5}\right)=P(z \geq 0.9) \\
& =0.5000-\mathrm{P}(0 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq 0.9)=0.5000-0.3159=0.1841
\end{aligned}
$$

### 14.3.8 Fitting a Normal Curve

A normal curve is fitted to the observed data with the following objectives :

1. To provide a visual device to judge whether it is a good fit or not.
2. Use to estimate the characteristics of the population.

The fitting of a normal curve can be done by
(a) The Method of Ordinates or
(b) The Method of Areas.

## (a) Method of Ordinates

In this method, the ordinate $f(X)$ of the normal curve, for various values of the random variate X are obtained by using the table of ordinates for a standard normal variate.

We can write $f(X)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi(z)$
where $z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$ and $\phi(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}$.
The expected frequency corresponding to a particular value of $X$ is given by $y=N . f(X)=\frac{N}{\sigma} \phi(z)$ and therefore, the expected frequency of a class $=y^{\prime} \mathrm{h}$, where h is the class interval.

EE
Example 41:
Fit a normal curve to the following data :

| Class Intervals | $:$ | $10-20$ | $20-30$ | $30-40$ | $40-50$ | $50-60$ | $60-70$ | $70-80$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | $:$ | 2 | 11 | 24 | 33 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 100 |

## Notes

## Solution.

First we compute mean and standard deviation of the given data.

| Class | Mid-values <br> $(X)$ | Frequency <br> $(f)$ | $d=\frac{X-45}{10}$ | $f d$ | $f d^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervals | 15 | 2 | -3 | -6 | 18 |
| $10-20$ | 15 | -2 | -22 | 44 |  |
| $20-30$ | 25 | 11 | -24 |  |  |
| $30-40$ | 35 | 24 | -1 | -24 | 24 |
| $40-50$ | 45 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $50-60$ | 55 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 20 |
| $60-70$ | 65 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 32 |
| $70-80$ | 75 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 18 |
| Total |  | 100 |  | -10 | 156 |

Note: If the class intervals are not continuous, they should first be made so.
$\therefore \mu=45-10 \times \frac{10}{100}=44$
and $\sigma=10 \sqrt{\frac{156}{100}-\left(\frac{10}{100}\right)^{2}}=10 \sqrt{1.55}=12.4$
Table for the fitting of Normal Curve

| Class <br> Intervals | Mid-values <br> $(X)$ | $z=\frac{X-m}{s}$ | $f(z)$ <br> $($ from table $)$ | $y=\frac{N}{s} f(z)$ | $f_{e}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-20$ | 15 | -2.34 | 0.0258 | 0.2081 | 2 |
| $20-30$ | 25 | -1.53 | 0.1238 | 0.9984 | 10 |
| $30-40$ | 35 | -0.73 | 0.3056 | 2.4645 | 25 |
| $40-50$ | 45 | 0.08 | 0.3977 | 3.2073 | 32 |
| $50-60$ | 55 | 0.89 | 0.2685 | 2.1653 | 22 |
| $60-70$ | 65 | 1.69 | 0.0957 | 0.7718 | 8 |
| $70-80$ | 75 | 2.50 | 0.0175 | 0.1411 | 1 |

(b) Method of Areas

Under this method, the probabilities or the areas of the random variable lying in various intervals are determined. These probabilities are then multiplied by N to get the expected frequencies. This procedure is explained below for the data of the above example.

| Class <br> Intervals | Lower Limit <br> $(X)$ | $z=\frac{X-44}{12.4}$ | Area from <br> 0 to $z$ | Area under <br> the class | $f_{e}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-20$ | 10 | -2.74 | 0.4969 | 0.0231 | 2 |
| $20-30$ | 20 | -1.94 | 0.4738 | 0.1030 | 10 |
| $30-40$ | 30 | -1.13 | 0.3708 | 0.2453 | 25 |
| $40-50$ | 40 | -0.32 | 0.1255 | 0.3099 | 31 |
| $50-60$ | 50 | 0.48 | 0.1844 | 0.2171 | 22 |
| $60-70$ | 60 | 1.29 | 0.4015 | 0.0806 | 8 |
| $70-80$ | 70 | 2.10 | 0.4821 | 0.0160 | 2 |
|  | 80 | 2.90 | 0.4981 |  |  |

*Expected frequency approximated to the nearest integer.

### 14.4 Summary

## Notes

- The random variable in case of Poisson distribution is of the type ; the number of arrivals of customers per unit of time or the number of defects per unit length of cloth, etc. Alternatively, it is possible to define a random variable, in the context of Poisson Process, as the length of time between the arrivals of two consecutive customers or the length of cloth between two consecutive defects, etc. The probability distribution of such a random variable is termed as Exponential Distribution.

Let $t$ be a random variable which denotes the length of time or distance between the occurrence of two consecutive events or the occurrence of the first event and $m$ be the average number of times the event occurs per unit of time or length. Further, let A be the event that the time of occurrence between two consecutive events or the occurrence of the first event is less than or equal to $t$ and $f(t)$ and $F(t)$ denote the probability density function and the distribution (or cumulative density) function of t respectively.

- We can write $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{A}})=1$ or $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{A}})=1$. Note that, by definition, $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$. Further, $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{A}})$ is the probability that the length of time between the occurrence of two consecutive events or the occurrence of first event is greater than $t$. This is also equal to the probability that no event occurs in the time interval $t$. Since the mean number of occurrence of events in time $t$ is mt , we have, by Poisson distribution.
- A large number of chance factors: The factors, affecting the observations of a random variable, should be numerous and equally probable so that the occurrence or nonoccurrence of any one of them is not predictable.
- Condition of homogeneity: The factors must be similar over the relevant population although, their incidence may vary from observation to observation.
- Condition of independence: The factors, affecting observations, must act independently of each other.
- Condition of symmetry: Various factors operate in such a way that the deviations of observations above and below mean are balanced with regard to their magnitude as well as their number.
- Normal distribution can also be used to approximate a Poisson distribution when its parameter $m \geq 10$. If $X$ is a Poisson variate with mean $m$, then, for $m^{3} 10$, the distribution of $X$ can be taken as approximately normal with mean $m$ and standard deviation $\sqrt{m}$ so that $z=\frac{X-m}{\sqrt{m}}$ is a standard normal variate.


### 14.5 Keywords

Continuous random variable: A continuous random variable $X$ is said to be uniformly distributed in a close interval $(a, b)$ with probability density function $p(X)$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(X) & =\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha \leq X \leq \beta \quad \text { and } \\
& =0 \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notes Normal probability distribution: The normal probability distribution occupies a place of central importance in Modern Statistical Theory.

Binomial distribution: Normal distribution can be used as an approximation to binomial distribution when n is large and neither p nor q is very small.

### 14.6 Self Assessment

1. ...................... distributions can be divided into two broad categories, viz. discrete and continuous probability distributions, depending upon whether the random variable is discrete or continuous.
(a) Theoretical probability
(b) uniform distribution
(c) continuous random variable
(d) normal probability distribution
2. A $\qquad$ $X$ is said to be uniformly distributed in a close interval $(a, b)$ with probability density function $p(X)$ if

$$
p(X)=\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha \leq X \leq \beta \quad \text { and }
$$

$=0 \quad$ otherwise.
(a) Theoretical probability
(b) uniform distribution
(c) continuous random variable
(d) normal probability distribution
3. The $\qquad$ is alternatively known as rectangular distribution.
(a) Theoretical probability
(b) uniform distribution
(c) continuous random variable
(d) normal probability distribution
4. The $\qquad$ occupies a place of central importance in Modern Statistical Theory.
(a) Theoretical probability
(b) uniform distribution
(c) continuous random variable
(d) normal probability distribution
5. Normal distribution can be used as an approximation to $\qquad$ when n is large and neither p nor q is very small.
(a) Theoretical probability
(b) uniform distribution
(c) continuous random variable
(d) normal probability distribution

### 14.7 Review Questions

1. In a metropolitan city, there are on the average 10 fatal road accidents in a month ( 30 days). What is the probability that (i) there will be no fatal accident tomorrow, (ii) next fatal accident will occur within a week?

Hint : Take $m=1 / 3$ and apply exponential distribution.
2. A counter at a super bazaar can entertain on the average 20 customers per hour. What is the probability that the time taken to serve a particular customer will be (i) less than 5 minutes, (ii) greater than 8 minutes?

Hint : Use exponential distribution.
3. The marks obtained in a certain examination follow normal distribution with mean 45

## Notes

 and standard deviation 10. If 1,000 students appeared at the examination, calculate the number of students scoring (i) less than 40 marks, (ii) more than 60 marks and (iii) between 40 and 50 marks.Hint: See example 30.
4. The ages of workers in a large plant, with a mean of 50 years and standard deviation of 5 years, are assumed to be normally distributed. If $20 \%$ of the workers are below a certain age, find that age.
Hint: Given $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=0.20$, find $\mathrm{X}_{1}$.
5. The mean and standard deviation of certain measurements computed from a large sample are 10 and 3 respectively. Use normal distribution approximation to answer the following:
(i) About what percentage of the measurements lie between 7 and 13 inclusive?
(ii) About what percentage of the measurements are greater than 16 ?

Hint : Apply correction for continuity.
6. There are 600 business students in the post graduate department of a university and the probability for any student to need a copy of a particular text book from the university library on any day is 0.05 . How many copies of the book should be kept in the library so that the probability that none of the students, needing a copy, has to come back disappointed is greater than 0.90 ? (Use normal approximation to binomial.)
Hint: If $X_{1}$ is the required number of copies, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \geq 0.90$.
7. The grades on a short quiz in biology were $0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots .10$ points, depending upon the number of correct answers out of 10 questions. The mean grade was 6.7 with standard deviation of 1.2. Assuming the grades to be normally distributed, determine (i) the percentage of students scoring 6 points, (ii) the maximum grade of the lowest $10 \%$ of the class.
Hint : Apply normal approximation to binomial.
8. The following rules are followed in a certain examination. "A candidate is awarded a first division if his aggregate marks are $60 \%$ or above, a second division if his aggregate marks are $45 \%$ or above but less than $60 \%$ and a third division if the aggregate marks are $30 \%$ or above but less than $45 \%$. A candidate is declared failed if his aggregate marks are below $30 \%$ and awarded a distinction if his aggregate marks are $80 \%$ or above. "
At such an examination, it is found that $10 \%$ of the candidates have failed, $5 \%$ have obtained distinction. Calculate the percentage of students who were placed in the second division. Assume that the distribution of marks is normal. The areas under the standard normal curve from 0 to z are:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
z & : & 1.28 & 1.64 & 0.41 & 0.47 \\
\text { Area } & : & 0.4000 & 0.4500 & 0.1591 & 0.1808
\end{array}
$$

Hint : First find parameters of the distribution on the basis of the given information.
9. For a certain normal distribution, the first moment about 10 is 40 and the fourth moment about 50 is 48 . What is the mean and standard deviation of the distribution?

Hint: Use the condition $\mathrm{b}_{2}=3$, for a normal distribution.
10. In a test of clerical ability, a recruiting agency found that the mean and standard deviation of scores for a group of fresh candidates were 55 and 10 respectively. For another experienced group, the mean and standard deviation of scores were found to be 62 and 8 respectively.

Notes Assuming a cut-off scores of 70, (i) what percentage of the experienced group is likely to be rejected, (ii) what percentage of the fresh group is likely to be selected, (iii) what will be the likely percentage of fresh candidates in the selected group? Assume that the scores are normally distributed.

Hint : See example 33.
11. 1,000 light bulbs with mean life of 120 days are installed in a new factory. Their length of life is normally distributed with standard deviation of 20 days. (i) How many bulbs will expire in less than 90 days? (ii) If it is decided to replace all the bulbs together, what interval should be allowed between replacements if not more than 10 percent bulbs should expire before replacement?

Hint : (ii) $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=0.9$.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (a) 2. (c) 3. (b) 4. (d) 5. (a)

### 14.8 Further Readings

Books
Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss classical theory of parallel tests
- Describe domain sampling theory


## Introduction

In last unit you have studied about realiability system. Ths unit will explain you theory related to realiability.

### 15.1 Classical Theory of Parallel Tests

Consider two tests ( $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ ) which both measure the same construct $(T)$. Assume that for every individual that $t_{1}=t_{2}=t$.


Notes
Even if $e_{1} \neq e_{2}$, we can assume that $V_{e_{1}}=V_{e_{2}}$ Then: $V_{x_{1}}=V_{t}+V_{e_{1}}=V_{t}+V_{e_{2}}=V_{x_{2}}=V_{x}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}}=\frac{\Sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{\Sigma\left[\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{e}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{e}_{2}\right)\right]}{\mathrm{N}} \Rightarrow$
$C_{x_{1} x_{2}}=V_{t}+\mathrm{Ct}_{\mathrm{e}_{1}}+\mathrm{Ct}_{\mathrm{e}_{2}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$
$r_{x_{1} x_{2}}=\frac{C_{x_{1} x_{2}}}{\sqrt{V_{x_{1}}{ }^{*} V_{x_{2}}}}=\frac{C_{x_{1} x_{2}}}{V_{x}}=\frac{V_{t}}{V_{x}}$
$r_{x_{1} x_{2}}=\frac{V_{t}}{V_{x}}=r x t^{2}$
The reliability is the correlation between two parallel tests and is equal to the squared correlation of the test with the construct.
$r_{x x}=\frac{V_{t}}{V_{x}}=$ percent of test variance which is construct variance. $r x t=\sqrt{r x x} \Rightarrow$ the validity of a test is bounded by the square root of the reliability.
How do we tell if one of the two "parallel" tests is not as good as the other? That is, what if the two tests are not parallel?

## Congeneric Measurement Theory



This matrix will have the following covariances:

|  | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Vx}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Vx}_{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{11}{ }_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}$ | Vx3 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{11}{ }_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cx}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{4}$ | Vx4 |

These covariances reflect the following parameters:


We need to estimate the following parameters:
$\mathrm{Vt}, \mathrm{Ve}_{1}, \mathrm{Ve}_{2}, \mathrm{Ve}_{3}, \mathrm{Ve}_{4}, \mathrm{Cx}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Cx} \mathrm{t}_{2}, \mathrm{Cx} \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{Cx}_{4} \mathrm{t}$
Parallel tests assume $\mathrm{Ve}_{1}=\mathrm{Ve}_{2}=\mathrm{Ve}_{3}=\mathrm{Ve}_{4^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Cx}_{1} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Cx}_{2} \mathrm{t}$
$=C x_{3} t=C x_{4} t$ and only need two tests.
Tau equivalent tests assume: $\mathrm{Cx}_{1} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Cx}_{2} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Cx}_{3} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Cx}_{4} \mathrm{t}$ and need at least three tests to estimate parameters.

Congeneric tests allow all parameters to vary but require at least four tests to estimate parameters.

### 15.2 Domain Sampling Theory-1

Consider a domain (D) of $k$ items relevant to a construct. (E.g., English vocabulary items, expresions of impulsivity). Let $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$ represent the number of items in D which the $i^{\text {th }}$ subject can pass (or endorse in the keyed direction) given all D items. Call this the domain score for subject $i$.

What is the correlation of scores on an itemj with domain scores?

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{Vj}+\sum_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}+(\mathrm{k}-1)^{*}(\text { average covariance of } \mathrm{j})
$$

Domain variance $=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Vl}+\sum_{\mathrm{j} \neq 1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{j}}=\Sigma($ variance $)+\Sigma($ covariances $)$
$\mathrm{Vd}=\mathrm{k}^{*}$ (average variance) $+\mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{k}-1)$ * (average covariance)
Let $\mathrm{Va}=$ average variance and $\mathrm{Ca}=$ average covariance then $\mathrm{Vd}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca})$.
Assume that $\mathrm{Vj}=\mathrm{Va}$ and that $\mathrm{Cj} \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{Ca}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{rjd}=\frac{\mathrm{Cjd}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vj}^{*} \mathrm{Vd}}}=\frac{\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Va}{ }^{*} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{K}-1) \mathrm{Ca})}} \\
& \mathrm{rjd}^{2}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}\right)}{\mathrm{Va}^{*} \mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, find the limit of rj d 2 as k becomes large:
$\lim _{\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty} r \mathrm{~d}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}}=$ average covariance/average variance i.e., the amount of domain variance in an item (the squared correlation of the item with the domain) is the averge intercorrelation in the domain.

## Notes

### 15.2.1 Domain Sampling Theory-2

What is the correlation of a test of n items with the domain score?
Domain variance $=\sum_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j} \neq 1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Clj}=\Sigma$ (variances $)+\Sigma$ (covariances)
Let $\mathrm{Va}=$ average variance and $\mathrm{Ca}=$ average covariance then $\mathrm{Vd}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca})$, $\mathrm{Cnd}=\mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{Va}$ $+\mathrm{n}^{*}(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}$
$\mathrm{Vn}=$ variance of an n -item test $=\Sigma \mathrm{Vj}+\Sigma \mathrm{Cjl}=\mathrm{Vn}=\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{n}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}$
$\mathrm{Vn}=\mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n}^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}$
$\mathrm{rnd}=\frac{\mathrm{Cnd}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vn}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Vd}}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{rnd}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{Cnd}^{2}}{\mathrm{Vn}{ }^{* V d}}$
$\mathrm{rnd}^{2}=\frac{\{\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}\}^{*}\{\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}\}}{\left\{\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n}^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}\right\}^{*}\{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca})\}}$
$\mathrm{rnd}^{2}=\frac{\{\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}\}^{*}\{\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}\}}{\left\{\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{n}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}\right\}^{*}\{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca})\}}$
$\mathrm{rnd}^{2}=\frac{\{\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{Va}+\mathrm{n} *(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{Ca}\}}{\left.\left\{\mathrm{Va}+(\mathrm{k}-1)^{*} \mathrm{Ca}\right)\right\} *\{\mathrm{k}\}}$
$\lim$ as $k \Rightarrow \infty$ of $\operatorname{rnd}^{2}=\frac{n * C a}{V a+(n-1) C a}$
i.e., the amount of domain variance in a n-item test (the squared correlation of the test with the domain) is a function of the number of items and the average covariance within the test.

## Coefficient Alpha-1

Consider a test made up of k items with an average intercorrelation r .

1) What is the correlation of this test with another test sampled from the same domain of items?
2) What is the correlation of this test with the domain?

|  | Test 1 | Test 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1 | V1 | C1 2 |
| Test 2 | C1 2 | V2 |

Let r 1 be the average correlation of items within test 1
Let r 2 be the average correlation of items within test 2

Let r12 be the average intercorrelation of items between the two tests.

$$
\mathrm{rx} 1 \times 2=\frac{\mathrm{C} 12}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~V} 1^{*} \mathrm{~V} 2}}
$$

| Test 1 |
| :---: |
| Test 1 |
|  |

But, since the two tests are composed of randomly equivalent items, $\mathrm{r} 1=\mathrm{r} 2=\mathrm{r}$ and

$$
\mathrm{rx} 1 \times 2=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{\star} \mathrm{r}}{1+(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{r}}=\text { alpha }=\mathrm{a}
$$

Note
That is the same as the squared correlation of a test with the domain. Alpha is the correlation of a test with a test just like it, and is the percentage of test variance which is domain variance.

## Internal Consistency and Coefficient alpha-2

Consider a test made up of $k$ items with average variance $v_{i}$. What is the correlation of this test with another test sampled from the same domain of items?

|  | Test 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1 | V1 | Test 2 |
| Test 2 | C12 | C12 |
|  |  |  |

What is the correlation of this test with the domain?
Let $V_{t}$ be the total test variance for Test $1=V_{1}=V_{2}$
Let $v_{i}$ be the average variance of an item within the test.

$$
\mathrm{rx} 1 \times 2=\frac{\mathrm{C} 12}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~V} 1 * \mathrm{~V} 2}}
$$

We need to estimate the covariance with the other test:

|  | Test 1 | Test 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1 | $\mathrm{~V} 1=\mathrm{k} *[\mathrm{vi}+(\mathrm{k}-1) * \mathrm{c} 1]$ | $\mathrm{C} 12=\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{k}$ r12 |
| Test 2 | $\mathrm{C} 12=\mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{c} 12$, | $\mathrm{V} 2=\mathrm{k} *\left[\mathrm{vi}+(\mathrm{k}-1){ }^{*} \mathrm{c} 2\right]$ |
|  |  |  |

$\mathrm{C} 12=\mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{c} 12$, but what is the average c 12 ?

Notes
$\mathrm{Vt}=\mathrm{V} 1=!\mathrm{V} 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{1}=\mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{c}_{12} \Rightarrow$
$\mathrm{c} 1=\frac{\mathrm{Vt}-\Sigma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{k}-1)}=$ average covariance
$\mathrm{C} 12=\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{c} 12==>\mathrm{C} 12=\mathrm{k}^{2} * \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}}-\sum \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{k}-1)}$
$r \times 1 \times 2=\frac{k^{2} * \frac{V_{t}-\sum v_{i}}{k^{*}(k-1)}}{V_{t}}=\frac{V_{t}-\sum v_{i}}{V_{t}} * \frac{k}{k-1}$
This allows us to find coefficient alpha without finding the average interitem correlation.
The effect of test length of internal consistency reliability.

| Number of items | Average r | Average r |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 2 | 0.33 | 0.18 |
| 4 | 0.50 | 0.31 |
| 8 | 0.67 | 0.47 |
| 16 | 0.80 | 0.64 |
| 32 | 0.89 | 0.78 |
| 64 | 0.94 | 0.88 |
| 128 | 0.97 | 0.93 |

Estimates of reliability reflect both the length of the test as well as the average inter-item correlation. To report the internal consistency of a domain (rather than a specific test with a specific length, it is possible to report the "alpha1" for the test.

Average interitem $\mathrm{r}=$ alpha $1=\frac{\text { alpha }}{\text { alpha }+\mathrm{k}^{*}(1-\mathrm{alpha})}$
This allows us to find the average internal consistency of a scale independent of test length.
because $\alpha=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}-\Sigma \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}} * \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}-1}$ is easy to estimate from the basic test statistics and is an estimate of the amount of test variance that is construct related, it should be reported whenever a particular inventory is used.

## Coefficients Alpha, Beta and Omega - 1

Components of variance associated with a test score include general test variance, group variance, specific item variance, and error variance.

| General | Group | Specific | Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reliable Variance |  |  |  |
| Common Shared Variance |  |  |  |

Coefficient alpha is the average of all possible splits, and over estimates the general and Notes underestimates the total common variance. It is a lower bound estimate of reliable variance.

Now, consider a test with general and group variance. Each Subtest has general variance but also has Group, Specific, and Error. The subtests share only general variance. How do we estimate the amount of General variance? What would be to correlation of this test with another test with the same general structure, but with different group structures?

Find the two most unrelated subtests within each test.

|  | Subtest <br> A-1 | Subtest <br> A-2 | Subtest <br> B-3 | Subtest B-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtest A-1 | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ | g | g | 8 |
| Subtest A-2 | g | g+G2+S+E | g | g |
| Subtest B-3 | g | g | g+G3+S+E |  |
| Subtest B-4 | g | g |  | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 4+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ |

ra $b=\frac{C a b}{\sqrt{V a^{*} V b}}=\frac{4 g}{\sqrt{2^{*}\left(g+G_{i}+S+E+g\right)^{*} 2^{*}\left(g+G_{i}+S+E+g\right)}}$
$\frac{2 g}{g+G_{1}+S+E+g}=\frac{2 r_{\text {ala2 }}}{1+r_{\text {ala2 }}}=$ "Coefficient Beta"
Coefficient beta is the worst split half reliability and is thus an estimate of the general saturation of the test.

## Coefficients Alpha, Beta and Omega - 2

Consider a test with two subtests which are maximally different (the worst split half). What is the predicted correlation with another test formed in the same way?

|  | Subtest <br> A-1 | Subtest <br> A-2 | Subtest <br> B-3 | Subtest <br> B-4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subtest A-1 | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ | g | g |
| Subtest A-2 | g | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 2+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ | g | g |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtest B-3 | g | g | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 3+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ |  |
| Subtest B-4 | g | g |  | $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{G} 4+\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{E}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\text { Test Size }=10 \text { items } \quad \text { Test Size }=20 \text { items }
$$

| General Factor | Group Factor | Alpha | Beta | Alpha | Beta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.83 |
| 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.78 |
| 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.53 | 0.82 | 0.69 |
| 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0.51 |
| 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.00 |

Although alpha is relatively insensitive to the relative contributions of group and general factor, beta is very sensitive. Alpha, however, can be found from item and test statistics, beta needs to be estimated by finding the worst split half. Such an estimate is computationally much more difficult.

Omega, a more general estimate, based upon the factor structure of the test, allows for bette estimate of the first factor saturation.

## Generalizabilty Theory Reliability across facets:

The consistency of Individual Differences across facets may be assessed by analysing variance components associated with each facet. i.e., what amount of variance is associated with a particular facet across which one wants to generalize?

Facets of reliability

| Across Items | Domain Sampling |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Internal Consistency |
| Across Time | Temporal Stability |
| Across Forms | Alternate Form Reliability |
| Across Raters | Inter-rater agreement |
| Across Situations | Situational Stability |
| Across "Tests" (facets unspecified) | Parallel Test reliability |

Generalizability theory is a decomposition of variance components to estimate sources of variance across which one wants to generalize.

All of these conventional approaches are concerned with generalizing about individual differences (in response to an item, time, form, rater, or situation) between people. Thus, the emphasis is upon consistency of rank orders. Classical reliability is a function of large between subject variability and small within subject variability. It is unable to estimate the within subject precision.

An alternative method (Latent Response Theory or Item Response Theory) is to determine the precision of the estimate of a particular person's position on a latent variable.

## Item Response Theory - 1

A model for item response as a function of increasing level of subject ability and increasing levels of item difficulty. This model estimates the probability of making a particular response (generally, correct or incorrect) as a joint function of the subject's value on a latent attribute dimension, and the difficulty (item endorsement rate) of a particular item.

Model 1: the Rasch model: Probability of endorsing an item given ability (ø) and difficulty (diff):

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{y} \mid \varnothing, \mathrm{diff})=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}(\text { diff }-\varnothing)}
$$



Notes

Attribute Value ->
This procedure is (theoretically) not concerned with rank orders of respondents, but rather with the error of estimate for a particular respondent. This technique allows for computerized adaptive testing.

## Item Response Theory - 2

A model for item response as a function of increasing level of subject ability and increasing levels of item difficulty.

Model 2: the 3 parameter model: Probability of endorsing an item given ability ( $\varnothing$ ) ,difficulty (diff), guessing (guessing), and item discrimination sensitivity:
$P(y \mid \varnothing$, diff,guess,sensitivity $)=$ guessing $+\frac{\text { guessing }}{1+\text { esensitivity* }(\text { diff- } \varnothing)}$


Note that with this model, even though the probability of item endorsement for a particular item may be a monotonic function of attribute value, item endorsement probabilities for different items may be a non-monotonic function of the attribute.

## Notes

### 15.3 Summary

- The reliability is the correlation between two parallel tests and is equal to the squared correlation of the test with the construct.
$r_{x x}=\frac{V_{t}}{V_{x}}=$ percent of test variance which is construct variance. $r x t=\sqrt{r x x} \Rightarrow$ the validity of a test is bounded by the square root of the reliability.

How do we tell if one of the two "parallel" tests is not as good as the other? That is, what if the two tests are not parallel?

- Although alpha is relatively insensitive to the relative contributions of group and general factor, beta is very sensitive. Alpha, however, can be found from item and test statistics, beta needs to be estimated by finding the worst split half. Such an estimate is computationally much more difficult.
- All of these conventional approaches are concerned with generalizing about individual differences (in response to an item, time, form, rater, or situation) between people. Thus, the emphasis is upon consistency of rank orders. Classical reliability is a function of large between subject variability and small within subject variability. It is unable to estimate the within subject precision.

An alternative method (Latent Response Theory or Item Response Theory) is to determine the precision of the estimate of a particular person's position on a latent variable.

### 15.4 Keywords

Reliability: The reliability is the correlation between two parallel tests and is equal to the squared correlation of the test with the construct.

Congeneric tests allow all parameters to vary but require at least four tests to estimate parameters.
Domain variance: Domain variance $=\sum_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j} \neq 1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Clj}=\Sigma$ (variances) $+\Sigma$ (covariances)
Components of variance: Components of variance associated with a test score include general test variance, group variance, specific item variance, and error variance.

Coefficient alpha is the average of all possible splits, and over estimates the general and underestimates the total common variance. It is a lower bound estimate of reliable variance.

### 15.5 Self Assessment

1. The $\qquad$ is the correlation between two parallel tests and is equal to the squared correlation of the test with the construct.
2. $\qquad$ tests allow all parameters to vary but require at least four tests to estimate parameters.
3. $\qquad$ associated with a test score include general test variance, group variance, specific item variance, and error variance.
4. $\qquad$ is the average of all possible splits, and over estimates the general and underestimates the total common variance. It is a lower bound estimate of reliable variance.
5. ...................., a more general estimate, based upon the factor structure of the test, allows for bette estimate of the first factor saturation.
6. The consistency of $\qquad$ across facets may be assessed by analysing variance components associated with each facet.
7. $\qquad$ is a decomposition of variance components to estimate sources of variance across which one wants to generalize.

### 15.6 Review Questions

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. reliability 2. Congeneric
2. Components of variance
3. Coefficient alpha
4. Omega, 6. Individual Differences
5. Generalizability theory

### 15.7 Further Readings

Sheldon M. Ross, Introduction to Probability Models, Ninth Edition, Elsevier Inc., 2007.

Jan Pukite, Paul Pukite, Modeling for Reliability Analysis, IEEE Press on Engineering of Complex Computing Systems, 1998.
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Discuss state vectors
- Describe order and monotonicity
- Explain bridge structure


## Introduction

Notes

The ability of a system or component to perform its required functions under stated conditions for a specified period of time
System reliability is a function of:

- the reliability of the components
- the interdependence of the components
- the topology of the components


### 16.1 State Vectors

Consider a system comprised on $n$ components, where each component is either functioning or has failed. Define

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { component is functioning } \\ 0, & \text { if the } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { component has failed }\end{cases}
$$

The vector $x=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is called the state vector.

### 16.1.1 Structure Functions

Assume that whether the system as a whole is functioning is completely determined by the state vector $x$. Define

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the system is functioning when the state vector is } \boldsymbol{x} \\ 0, & \text { if the system has failed when the state vector is } \boldsymbol{x}\end{cases}
$$

The function $\phi(x)$ is called the structure function of the system.

### 16.1.2 The Series Structure

A series system functions if and only if all of its $n$ components are functioning:


Its structure function is given by

$$
\phi(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} .
$$

### 16.1.3 The Parallel Structure

A parallel system functions if and only if at least one of its $n$ components are functioning:

Notes Its structure function is given by

$$
\phi(x)=\max _{\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} .
$$

### 16.1.4 The $k$-out-of- $n$ Structure

A $k$-out-of- $n$ system functions if and only if at least $k$ of its $n$ components are functioning: Its structure function is given by

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{x})= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq \mathrm{k} \\ 0, & \text { if } \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{k}\end{cases}
$$

### 16.2 Order and Monotonicity

A partial order is defined on the set of state vectors as follows. Let $x$ and $y$ be two state vectors. We define

$$
x \leq y \text { if } x_{i} \leq y_{i^{\prime}} i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Furthermore,

$$
x<y \text { if } x \leq y \text { and } x_{i}<y_{i} \text { for some } i .
$$

We assume that if $x \leq y$ then $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$. In this case we say that the system is monotone.

### 16.2.1 Minimal Path Sets

- A state vector $x$ is call a path vector if $\phi(x)=1$.
- If $\phi(y)=0$ for all $y<x$, then $x$ is a minimal path vector.
- If $x$ is a minimal path vector, then the set $A=\left\{i: x_{i}=1\right\}$ is a minimal path set.

Examples:

1. The Series System: There is only one minimal path set, namely the entire system.
2. The Parallel System: There are $n$ minimal path sets, namely the sets consisting of one component.
3. The $k$-out-of-n System: There are $\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}$ minimal path sets, namely all of the sets consisting of exactly $k$ components.

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}$ be the minimal path sets of a system. A system will function if and only if all the components of at least one minimal path set are functioning, so that

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=\max _{\mathrm{j}} \prod_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{j}}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} .
$$

This expresses the system as a parallel arrangement of series systems.

### 16.3 The Bridge Structure

The system whose structure is shown below is called the bridge system. Its minimal path sets are: $\{1,4\},\{1,3,5\},\{2,5\},\{2,3,4\}$.


For example, the system will work if only 1 and 4 are working, but will not work if only 1 is working.

Its structure function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\max \left\{\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{4}, \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{5}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{5}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{4}\right\} \\
& =1-\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3} x_{5}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{5}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3} \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 16.3.1 Minimal Cut Sets

- A state vector $x$ is call a cut vector if $\phi(x)=0$.
- If $\phi(y)=1$ for all $y>x$, then $x$ is a minimal cut vector.
- If $x$ is a minimal cut vector, then the set $C=\left\{i: x_{i}=0\right\}$ is a minimal cut set.


## Examples:

1. The Series System: There are $n$ minimal cut sets, namely, the sets consisting of all but one component.
2. The Parallel System: There is one minimal cut set, namely, the empty set.
3. The $k$-out-of-n System: There are $\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1}$ minimal cut sets, namely all of the sets consisting of exactly $n-k+1$ components.
Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ be the minimal cut sets of a system. A system will not function if and only if all the components of at least one minimal cut set are not functioning, so that

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=\prod_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \max _{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}} x_{\mathrm{i}} .
$$

This expresses the system as a series arrangement of parallel systems.

## The Bridge Structure

The system whose structure is shown below is called the bridge system. Its minimal cut sets are: $\{1,2\},\{1,3,5\},\{4,5\},\{2,3,4\}$.


Notes For example, the system will work if 1 and 2 are not working, but it can work if either 1 or 2 are working.

Its structure function is given by

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=\max \left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right\} \max \left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{5}\right\} \max \left\{\mathrm{x}_{4}, \mathrm{x}_{5}\right\} \max \left\{\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right\} .
$$

E

## Example:



What are the minimal path sets for this system?
What are the minimal cut sets?

## System Reliability

Component Reliability:

$$
p_{i}=P\left\{x_{i}=1\right\} .
$$

System Reliability:

$$
r=P\{\phi(x)=1\}=E[\phi(x)] .
$$

When the components are independent, then $r$ can be expressed as a function of the component reliabilities:

$$
r=r(p) \text {, where } p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)
$$

The function $r(\mathbf{p})$ is called the reliability function.
Example:

1. The Series System

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =P\{\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=1\} \\
& =P\left\{x_{i}=1 \text { for all } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots \mathrm{n}\right\} \\
& =\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The Parallel System

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =P\{\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=1\} \\
& =P\left\{x_{i}=1 \text { for some } i=1, \ldots n\right\} \\
& =1-\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-p_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The $k$-out-of- $n$ System. If $p_{i}=p$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, then

Notes

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =P\{\phi(\boldsymbol{x})=1\} \\
& =P\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \geq k\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=k}^{n}\binom{n}{i} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Bridge Structure

Assume that all components have the same reliability $p$.

| p | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{p})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.8 | 0.91136 |
| 0.9 | 0.97848 |
| 0.95 | 0.99478 |
| 0.99 | 0.99980 |



## System Reliability

Theorem 1. If $r(p)$ is the reliability function of a system of independent components, then $r(p)$ is an increasing function of $p$.
$=E$
Example: Communications System


Suppose we are concerned about the reliability of the controller, server and transformer. Assume that these components are independent, and that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{\text {controller }} & =.95 \\
p_{\text {server }} & =.96 \\
p_{\text {transformer }} & =.99
\end{array}
$$

Notes Since these three components connect in series, the system A consisting of these components has reliability
$r_{\text {system_A }}$
$=p_{\text {controller }} \cdot p_{\text {server }} \cdot p_{\text {transformer }}$
$=.90$
Suppose that we want to increase the reliability of system A. What are our options?
Suppose that we have two controllers, two servers, and two transformers.
Theorem 2. For any reliability function $r$ and vectors,

$$
\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2^{\prime}} \mathrm{r}\left[1-\left(1-\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)\right] \geq 1-\left[1-\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)\right]\left[1-\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Note

$$
1\left(1-p_{1}\right)\left(1-p_{2}\right)=\left(1-\left(1-p_{11}\right)\left(1-p_{21}\right), \ldots, 1-\left(1-p_{1 n}\right)\left(1-p_{2 n}\right)\right)
$$

### 16.4 Bounds on Reliability

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}$ be the minimal path sets of a system. Since the system will function if and only if all the components of at least one minimal path set are functioning, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{s}\left\{\text { all components } i \in A_{j} \text { function }\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} P\left\{\text { all components } i \in A_{j} \text { function }\right\} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{s} \prod_{i \in A_{\mathrm{j}}} p_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This bound works well only if $p_{i}$ is small $(<0.2)$ for each component.
Similarly, let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ be the minimal cut sets of a system. Since the system will not function if and only if all the components of at least one minimal cut set are not functioning, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =1-P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k}\left\{\text { all components } i \in C_{j} \text { are not functioning }\right\}\right) \\
& \geq 1-\sum_{j=1}^{k} P\left\{\text { all components } i \in C_{j} \text { are not functioning }\right\} \\
& =1-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{i \in C_{j}}\left(1-p_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This bound works well only if $p_{i}$ is large $(>0.8)$ for each component.
$\equiv \equiv$
Example: The Bridge Structure
The minimal cut sets are:
$\{1,2\},\{1,3,5\},\{4,5\},\{2,3,4\}$.


Notes

If each component has reliability $p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathbf{p}) & =1-P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{4}\left\{\text { all components } i \in C_{j} \text { are not functioning }\right\}\right) \\
& \geq 1-\sum_{j=1}^{4} P\left\{\text { all components } \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { are not functioning }\right\} \\
& =1-2(1-\mathrm{p})^{2}-2(1-\mathrm{p})^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p})$ | lower bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.8 | 0.91136 | 0.90400 |
| 0.9 | 0.97848 | 0.97800 |
| 0.95 | 0.99478 | 0.99475 |
| 0.99 | 0.99980 | 0.99980 |


$\equiv \equiv$
Example:

1. Calculate a lower bound on the reliability of this system. Assume that all components have the same reliability $p$.

2. Them in im alcutsets are $\{1\},\{2,3\},\{3,4,5\}$, and $\{7\}$. H ence $r \geq 1-2(1-p)-(1-p)^{2}-(1-p)^{3}$.


### 16.5 System Life in Systems Without Repair

Suppose that the $i^{\text {th }}$ component in an $n$-component system functions for a random lifetime having distribution function $F_{i}$ and then fails.

Notes Let $P_{i}(t)$ be the probability that component $i$ is functioning at time $t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{P}\{\text { component } \mathrm{i} \text { is functioning at time } \mathrm{t}\} \\
& =\mathrm{P}\{\text { lifetime of } \mathrm{i}>\mathrm{t}\} \\
& =1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \equiv \overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $F$ be the distribution function for the lifetime of the system. How does $F$ relate to the $F_{i}$ ? Let $r(\mathrm{p})$ be the reliability function for the system, then
$\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t}) \equiv 1-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})$
$=P\{$ lifetime of system $>t\}$
$=P\{$ system is functioning at time $t\}$
$=r\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{t}), \ldots . \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})\right)$
$=r\left(\bar{F}_{1}(t), \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})\right)$.
5
Example 1: The Series System

$$
\mathrm{r}(\mathbf{p})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

so that

$$
\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{~F}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) .
$$

$=\equiv$
Example 2: The Parallel System

$$
\mathrm{r}(\mathbf{p})=1-\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t}) & =1-\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1-\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})\right. \\
& =1-\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Failture Rate

- For a continuous distribution $F$ with density $f$, the failure (or hazard) rate function of $F, l(t)$, is given by

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})}{\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t})}
$$

- If the lifetime of a component has distribution function $F$, then $l(t)$ is the conditional probability that the component of age $t$ will fail.
- $\quad F$ is an increasing failure rate (IFR) distribution if $l(t)$ is an increasing function of $t$.

Notes
This is analogous to "wearing out".

- $\quad F$ is a decreasing failure rate $(D F R)$ distribution if $l(t)$ is a decreasing function of $t$.

This is analogous to "burning in".

### 16.6 Distribution Functions for Modeling Component Lifetimes

- Exponential Distribution
- Weibull Distribution
- Gamma Distribution
- Log-Normal Distribution

The exponential distribution with parameters $l>0$ has distribution function

$$
G(t)=1-e^{-(\lambda t)}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}}=\lambda .
$$

It is considered both IFR and DFR.
The Weibull distribution with parameters $\lambda>0, \alpha>0$ has distribution function

$$
G(t)=1-e^{-(\lambda t)^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\lambda(t)=\alpha \lambda(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}
$$

It is IFR if $\mathrm{a} \geq 1$ and DFR if $0<\mathrm{a} \leq 1$.
The gamma distribution with parameters $\lambda>0, \alpha>0$ has density function

$$
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}(\lambda \mathrm{t})^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}(\lambda \mathrm{t})^{\alpha-1}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{dx}}, \quad \mathrm{t} \geq 0
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda(t)}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x}\left(1+\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} d x
$$

It is IFR if $\mathrm{a} \geq 1$ and DFR if $0<\mathrm{a} \leq 1$.

## Notes



The log-normal distribution with parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma>0$ has density function

$$
g(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma t} e^{-\frac{(\ln (t)-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}, t>0
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{\sigma \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Z}\left(\frac{\log \mathrm{t}-\mu}{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $Z$ is the standard normal hazard function, which is IFR.
The behavior of the failure rate function for the log-normal distribution depends on $s$ :
"For $s \approx 1.0, \lambda(t)$ is roughly constant. For $\sigma \leq 0.4, \lambda(t)$ increases.... For $\sigma \geq 1.5,1(t)$ decreases. This flexibility makes the lognormal distribution popular and suitable for many products."

from William Grant Ireson, Clyde F. Coombs, Richard Y., Handbook of Reliability Engineering and Management.

### 16.7 The Bathtub Curve and Failure Rate



Theorem 3. Consider a monotone system in which each component has the same IFR lifetime distribution. Define

$$
r(p)=r(p, \ldots, p)
$$

Then the distribution of system lifetime is IFR if

$$
p r=(p) / r(p)
$$

is a decreasing function of $p$.
$=E$
Example 1: A $k$-out-of- $n$ system with identical components is IFR if the individual components are IFR.

## Notes

Example 2: A parallel system with two independent components with different exponential lifetime distributions is not IFR; in fact, $\lambda(\mathrm{t})$ is initially strictly increasing, and then strictly decreasing.

### 16.8 Expected System Life

Since system lifetime is non-negative, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\text { system life }] & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}\{\text { system life }>\mathrm{t}\} \mathrm{dt} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{r}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}(\mathrm{t})) \mathrm{dt},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\overline{\mathbf{F}}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{1}(\mathrm{t}), \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})\right) .
$$

EF
Example 3: $k$-out-of- $n$ System. If each component has the same distribution function $G$, then

$$
\mathrm{E}[\text { system life }]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n}\binom{n}{i}[\overline{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{t})]^{\mathrm{i}}[\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})]^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{dt} .
$$

E
Example 4: $k$-out-of- $n$ System. Assume the expected component lifetime has mean $\theta$. Uniformly distributed component lifetimes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { E[system life }] & =\int_{0}^{2 \theta} \sum_{i=k}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\left[1-\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2 \theta}\right]^{\mathrm{i}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2 \theta}\right]^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{dt} \\
& =\frac{2 \theta(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1)}{\mathrm{n}+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5
Example 5: $k$-out-of- $n$ System (uniformly distributed component lifetimes).
Parallel system (1-out-of-n):

$$
\mathrm{E}[\text { system life }]=\frac{2 \theta \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Serial system ( $n$-out-of- $n$ ):

$$
\mathrm{E}[\text { system life }]=\frac{2 \theta}{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Example 6: $k$-out-of- $n$ System $(n=100, \theta=1)$.

|  | Uniform | Exponential |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k=10$ | 1.80 | 2.36 |
| $k=50$ | 1.01 | 0.71 |

E =
Example 7: $k$-out-of- $n$ System $(n=100, \theta=1)$ :


### 16.9 Systems with Repair

Consider a $n$-component system with reliability function $r(p)$. Suppose that:

- each component $i$ functions for an exponentially distributed time with rate $\lambda_{i}$ and then fails;
- once failed, component i takes an exponential time with rate $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}$ to be repaired;
- all components are functioning at time 0 ;
- all components act independently.

The state of component $i$ (on or off) can be modeled as a two-state Markov process:


Let $A_{i}(t)$ be the availability of component $i$ at time $t$, i.e., the probability that component $i$ is functioning at time $t . A_{i}(t)$ is given by (see Ross example 6.11):

$$
A_{i}(t)=P_{o o}(t)=\frac{\mu_{i}}{\mu_{i}+\lambda_{i}}+\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}+\lambda_{i}} e^{-\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}\right) t}
$$

Notes
The availability of system at time $t, A(t)$, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}), \ldots, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})\right) \\
& =\mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\lambda}+\frac{\lambda}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda+\mu) \mathrm{t}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The limiting availability $A$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{A}=\lim _{\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)
$$

$=E$

## Example 1: The Series System

The availability of system at time $t, A(t)$, is given by

$$
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}+\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}\right) \mathrm{t}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{A}=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

$=\bar{y}$
Example 2: The Parallel System
The availability of system at time $t, A(t)$, is given by

$$
A(t)=1-\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}+\lambda_{i}}\left(1-e^{-\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}\right) t}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{A}=1-\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{i}}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

The average uptime $U$ and downtime $D$ are given respectively by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{U}=\frac{\mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}+\mu_{\mathrm{i}}}\left[\mathrm{r}\left(1_{\mathrm{i}}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)-\mathrm{r}\left(0_{\mathrm{i}}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)\right]} \\
\mathrm{D}=\frac{\left[1-\mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)\right] \mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 16.9.1 System with Standby Components and Repair

Notes

Consider a $n$-component system with reliability function $r(\mathbf{p})$. Suppose that

- each component $i$ functions for an exponentially distributed time with rate $\lambda_{i}$ and then fails;
- once failed, component $i$ takes an exponential time to be repaired;
- component $i$ has a standby component that begins functioning if the primary component fails;
- if the standby component fails, it is also repaired;
- the repair rate is $\mu_{i}$ regardless of the number of failed type $i$ components; the repair rate of type $i$ components is independent of the number of other failed components;
- all components act independently.

The state of component $i$ can be modeled as a three-state Markov process:


Note This is the same model we used for an $M / M / 1 / 2$ queueing system. In equilibrium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{1 \text { standby }}=\frac{\left(1-\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{1-\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{3}} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{0 \text { standbys }}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{1-\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{3}} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {failed }}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{1-\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} / \mu_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equilibrium availability $A$ of the system is given by

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{r}\left(1-\frac{(\lambda / \mu)^{2}(1-\lambda / \mu)}{1-(\lambda / \mu)^{3}}\right) .
$$

### 16.9.2 System with Interrelated Repair

Consider an s-component parallel system with one repairman.

- All components have the same exponential lifetime and repair distributions.
- The repair rate is independent of the number of failed components.


## Notes

Let

- the state of the system be the number of failed components
- $\quad \mu=$ the failure rate of each component
- $\quad \lambda=$ the repair rate


This looks like an $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{s}$ queue (Erlang Loss system).

$$
A=1-P_{s}=1-\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{j}\right)^{-1} .
$$



### 16.10 Summary

- The ability of a system or component to perform its required functions under stated conditions for a specified period of time

System reliability is a function of:

* the reliability of the components
$* \quad$ the interdependence of the components
* the topology of the components
- Consider a system comprised on $n$ components, where each component is either functioning or has failed. Define

$$
x_{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the } i^{\text {th }} \text { component isfunctioning } \\ 0, & \text { if the } i^{\text {th }} \text { component hasfailed }\end{cases}
$$

The vector $x=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is called the state vector.

- Assume that whether the system as a whole is functioning is completely determined by the state vector $x$. Define

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the system is functioning when the state vector is } x \\ 0, & \text { if the system has failed when the state vector is } x\end{cases}
$$

The function $\mathrm{f}(x)$ is called the structure function of the system.

- A state vector $x$ is call a path vector if $\phi(x)=1$.

Notes

- If $\phi(y)=0$ for all $y<x$, then $x$ is a minimal path vector.
- If $x$ is a minimal path vector, then the set $A=\left\{i: x_{i}=1\right\}$ is a minimal path set.
- For a continuous distribution $F$ with density $f$, the failure (or hazard) rate function of $F, l(t)$, is given by

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})}{\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t})}
$$

- If the lifetime of a component has distribution function $F$, then $l(t)$ is the conditional probability that the component of age $t$ will fail.
- The exponential distribution with parameters $l>0$ has distribution function

$$
G(t)=1-e^{-(\lambda t)}, \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}}=\lambda
$$

It is considered both IFR and DFR.
The Weibull distribution with parameters $\lambda>0, \alpha>0$ has distribution function

$$
G(t)=1-e^{-(\lambda t)^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\lambda(t)=\alpha \lambda(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}
$$

It is IFR if $\mathrm{a} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{DFR}$ if $0<\mathrm{a} \leq 1$.
The gamma distribution with parameters $\lambda>0, \alpha>0$ has density function

$$
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}(\lambda \mathrm{t})^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}} x^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{dx}}, \quad \mathrm{t} \geq 0 .
$$

Its failure rate function is given by

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda(t)}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x}\left(1+\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} d x .
$$

It is IFR if $\mathrm{a} \geq 1$ and DFR if $0<\mathrm{a} \leq 1$.

### 16.11 Keywords

State vector: Consider a system comprised on $n$ components, where each component is either functioning or has failed. Define

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { component is functioning } \\ 0, & \text { if the } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { component has failed }\end{cases}
$$

The vector $x=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is called the state vector.

Notes Structure function: Assume that whether the system as a whole is functioning is completely determined by the state vector $x$. Define

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if the system is functioning when the state vector is } \boldsymbol{x} \\ 0, & \text { if the system has failed when the state vector is } \boldsymbol{x}\end{cases}
$$

The function $f(x)$ is called the structure function of the system.
The Series System: There is only one minimal path set, namely the entire system.
The Parallel System: There are $n$ minimal path sets, namely the sets consisting of one component.
The $k$-out-of-n System: There are $\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}$ minimal path sets, namely all of the sets consisting of exactly $k$ components.

### 16.12 Self Assessment

1. A series system functions if and only if all of its $n$ components are functioning:


Its structure function is given by $\qquad$
(a) k-out-of-n system
(b) stated conditions
(c) $\quad \phi(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$
(d) partial order
2. A $\qquad$ functions if and only if at least $k$ of its $n$ components are functioning: Its structure function is given by

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \geq k \\ 0, & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}<k\end{cases}
$$

(a) k-out-of-n system
(b) stated conditions
(c) $\phi(x)=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$
(d) partial order
3. A $\qquad$ is defined on the set of state vectors as follows. Let $x$ and $y$ be two state vectors. We define

$$
x \leq y \text { if } x_{i} \leq y_{i^{\prime}} i=1, \ldots, n
$$

(a) k-out-of-n system
(b) stated conditions
(c) $\phi(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$
(d) partial order
4. The ability of a system or component to perform its required functions under

Notes for a specified period of time.
(a) k-out-of-n system
(b) stated conditions
(c) $\quad \phi(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$
(d) partial order

### 16.13 Review Questions

1. The Bridge Structure

The minimal cut sets are:
$\{2\},\{1,4,5\},\{4,6\},\{2,4,5\}$.
2. Calculate a lower bound on the reliability of this system. Assume that all components have the same reliability $p$.

3. The minimal cut sets are $\{1\},\{2,3\},\{3,4,5\}$, and $\{7\}$. Hence $r \geq 1-2(1-p)-(1-p)^{2}-(1-p)^{3}$.


Answers: Self Assessment

1. (a) 2. (a) 3. (d) 4. (d) 5. (b)

### 16.14 Further Readings

Books Sheldon M. Ross, Introduction to Probability Models, Ninth Edition, Elsevier Inc., 2007.
Jan Pukite, Paul Pukite, Modeling for Reliability Analysis, IEEE Press on Engineering of Complex Computing Systems, 1998.
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## Objectives

After studying this unit, you will be able to:

- Apply chebyshev's inequality
- Give example of chebyshev's inequality


## Introduction

We have discussed different methods for obtaining distribution functions of random variables or random vectors. Even though it is possible to derive these distributions explicity in closed form in some special situations, in general, this is not the case. Computation of the probabilities, even when the probability distribution functions are known, is cumbersome at times. For instance, it is easy to write down the exact probabilities for a binomial distribution with parameters $\mathrm{n}=1000$ and $\mathrm{p}=\frac{1}{50}$. However computing the individual probabilities involve factorials for integers of large order which are impossible to handle even with speed computing facilities.

In this unit, we discuss limit theorems which describe the behaviour of some distributions when the sample size n is large. The limiting distributions can be used for computation of the probabilities approximately.
Chebyshev's inequality is discussed, as an application, weak law of large numbers is derived (which describes the behaviour of the sample mean as n increases).

### 17.1 Chebyshev's Inequality

We prove in this section an important result known as Chebyshev's inequality. This inequality is due to the nineteenth century Russian mathematician P.L. Chebyshev.

We shall begin with a theorem.

Theorem 1: Suppose $X$ is a random variable with mean $\mu$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof: We shall prove the theorem for continuous r.vs. The proof in the discrete case is very similar.

Suppose $X$ is a random variable with probability density function f. From the definition of the variance of $X$, we have

$$
\sigma=\mathrm{E}\left[(x-\mu)^{2}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x
$$

Suppose $\varepsilon>0$ is given. Put $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma}$. Now we divide the integral into three parts as shown in Fig. 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x+\int_{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x+\int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 11.1


Since the integrand $(x-m) 2 f(x)$ is non-negative, from (2) we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2} \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x+\int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $x \in]-\infty, \mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma$ ], we have $x \leq \mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma$ which implies that $(x-\mu)^{2} \geq \varepsilon^{2} \sigma^{2}$. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma} \varepsilon^{2} \sigma^{2} f(x) d x \\
=\varepsilon^{2} \sigma^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma} f(x) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly for $\mathrm{x} \in] \mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma, \infty\left[\right.$ also we have $(\mathrm{x}-\mu)^{2} \geq \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2}$ and therefore

$$
\int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f(x) d x \geq \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2} \int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty} f(x) d x
$$

Notes
Then by (3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{2} \geq \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma} f(x) d x+\int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty} f(x) d x\right] \\
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}} \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma} f(x) d x+\int_{\mu+\varepsilon_{1} \sigma}^{\infty} f(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $\sigma^{2} \neq 0$.
Now, by applying Property (iii) of the density function given in Sec. 11.3, unit 10, we get
$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}} \geq \mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{X} \leq \mu-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma\right]+\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{X} \geq \mu+\varepsilon \sigma]$
$=P\left[X-\mu \leq-\varepsilon_{1} \sigma\right]+\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{X}-\mu \geq \varepsilon 1 \sigma]$
$=P\left[|X-m| \geq \varepsilon_{1} \sigma\right]$
That is, $\mathrm{P}\left[|\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{m}| \geq \varepsilon_{1} \sigma\right] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}}$

Substituting $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma}$ in (4), we gt the inequality
$\left[P[|X-\mu| \geq \varepsilon] \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right.$
Chebyshev's inequality also holds when the distribution of X is neither (absolutely) continuous nor discrete. We will not discuss this general case here. Now we shall make a remark.

Remark 1: The above result is very general indeed. We need to know nothing about the probability distribution of the random variable X. It could be binomial, normal, beta or gamma or any other distribution. The only restriction is that it should have finite variance. In other words the upper bound is universal in nature. The price we pay for such generality is that the upper bound is not sharp in general. If we know more about the distribution of $X$, then it might be possible to get a better bound. We shall illustrate this point in the following example.

Example 1: Suppose X is $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})=\sigma^{2}$. Let us compute $\mathrm{P}[\mid \mathrm{X}-$ $\mu \mid \geq 2 \sigma]$.

Here $\varepsilon=2 \sigma$. By applying Chebychev's inequality we get

$$
\mathrm{P}[|\mathrm{X}-\mu| \geq 2 \sigma] \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4 \sigma^{2}}=\frac{1}{4}=.25
$$

Since we know that the distribution of $X$ is normal, we can directly compute the probability. Then we have

$$
P(|X-\mu| \geq 2 \sigma)=P\left[\left|\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right| \geq 2\right]
$$

Since $\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$ has $N(0,1)$ as its distribution, from the normal distribution table given in the appendix of Unit 11, we get

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\left|\frac{\mathrm{X}-\mu}{\sigma}\right| \geq 2\right)=0.456
$$

which is substantially small as compared to the exact value 0.25 . Thus in this case we could get a better upperbound by directly using the distribution.

Let us consider another example.
$=\equiv$
Example 2: Suppose $X$ is a random variable such that $P[X=1]=1 / 2=P[X=-1]$. Let us compute an upper bound for $\mathrm{P}[|\mathrm{X}-\mu|>\sigma]$.
You can check that $E(X)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X)=1$. Hence, by Chebyshev's inequality, we get that

$$
\mathrm{P}(|X-\mu|>\sigma) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=1 .
$$

on the other hand, direct calculations show that

$$
P(|X-\mu|>\sigma)=P[|X| \geq 1]=1
$$

In this example, the upper bound obtained from Chebyshev's inequality as well as the one obtained from using the distribution of $X$ are one and the same.

In the first example you can see an application of Chebyshev's inequality.


Example 3: Suppose a person makes 100 check transactions during a certain period. In balancing his or her check book transactions, suppose he or she rounds off the check entries to the nearest rupee instead of subtracting the exact amount he or she has used. Let us find an upper bound to the probability that the total error he or she has committed exceeds Rs. 5 after 100 transactions.

Let $X_{i}$ denote the round off error in rupees made for the ith transaction. Then the total error is $\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots . .+\mathrm{X}_{100}$. We can assume that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}} 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq 100$ are independent and idelltically distributed random variables and that each $X_{i}$ has uniform distribution on $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. We are interested in finding an upper bound for the $\mathrm{P}\left[\left|\mathrm{S}_{100}\right|>5\right]$ where $\mathrm{S}_{100}=\mathrm{X}_{1}+\ldots \ldots+\mathrm{X}_{100}$.

In general, it is difficult and computationally complex to find the exact distribution. However, we can use Chebyshev's inequality to get an upper hound. It is clear that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{100}\right)=100 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{S}_{100}\right)=100 \operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{100}{12}
$$

since $E\left(X_{1}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{12}$. Therefore by Chebyshev's inequality,

Notes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathrm{P}\left(\mid \mathrm{S}_{100}-0\right) \mid>5\right) & \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{S}_{100}\right)}{25} \\
& =\frac{100}{12 \times 25} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here are some exercises for you.
The above examples and exercises must have given you enough practise to apply Chebyshev's inequality. Now we shall use this inequality to establish an important result.

Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . ., X_{n}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables having mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. We define

$$
\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

Then $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ has mean $\mu$ and variance $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mathrm{n}}$. Hence, by the Chebyshev's inequality, we get

$$
\mathrm{P}\left[\left|\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mathrm{n} \varepsilon^{2}}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$. If $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mathrm{n} \varepsilon^{2}} \rightarrow 0$ and therefore

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

In other words, as n grows large, the probability that $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ differs from $\mu$ by more than any given positive number E , becomes small. An alternate way of stating this result is as follows :

For any $\varepsilon>0$, given any positive number $\delta$, we a n choose sufficiently large n such that

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \delta
$$

This result is known as the weak law of large numbers. We now state it as a theorem.
Theorem 2 (Weak law of large nombers) : Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . ., X_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables with mean $\mu$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$.
Let

$$
\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{P}\left[\left|\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

for any $\mathrm{E}>0$.

The above theorem is true even when the variance is infinite but the mean $p$ is finite However
this result does not follow as an application of the Chebyshev's inequality in this general set up. The proof in the general case is beyond the scope of this course.

We make a remark here.
Remark 2: The above theorem only says that the probability that the value of the difference $\left|\bar{X}_{n}-X\right|$ exceeds any fixed number $\varepsilon$, gets smaller and smaller for successively large values of $n$. The theorem does not say anything about the limiting case of the actual difference. In fact there is another strong result which talks about the limiting case of the actual values of the differences. This is the reason why Theorem 2 is called 'weak law'. We hove not included the stronger result here since it is beyond the level of this course.

Let us see an example.

5
Example 4: Suppose a random experiment has two possihle outcomes called success (S) and Failure ( F ). Let p he the probability of a success. Suppose the experiment is repeated independently $n$ times. Let $X_{i}$ take the value 1 or 0 according as the outcome in the i-th trial of the experiments is success or a failure. Let us apply Theorem 2 to the set $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$.

We first note that

$$
P\left[X_{i}=1\right]=p \text { and } P\left[X_{i}=0\right]=1-p=q,
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also you can check that $E\left(X_{i}\right)=p$ ond $\operatorname{var}\left(X_{i}\right)=p q$ for $i=1, \ldots \ldots n$.
Since the mean and the variance are finite, we can apply the weak law of large numbers for the sequence $\left\{X_{1}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\mathrm{P}\left[\left|\frac{S_{n}}{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{p}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{b} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Sn for every $\varepsilon>0$ where $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots . .+X_{n}$. Now, what is $\frac{S_{n}}{n}$ ? $S_{n}$ is the number of successes observed in $n$ trials and therefore $\frac{S_{n}}{n}$ is the proportinn of successes in $n$ trials. Then the above result says that as the number of trials increases, the proportion of successes tends stabilize to the probability of a success. Of course, one of the basic assumptions behind this interpretation is that the random experiment can be repeated.

In the next section we shall discuss another limit theorem which gives an approximation to the binomial distrihution.

### 17.2 Summary

- Suppose $X$ is a random variable with mean $\mu$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$.
- The above theorem only says that the probability that the value of the difference $\left|\bar{X}_{n}-X\right|$ exceeds any fixed number $\varepsilon$, gets smaller and smaller for successively large values of $n$. The theorem does not say anything about the limiting case of the actual difference. In fact there is another strong result which talks about the limiting case of the actual values of the differences. This is the reason why Theorem 2 is called 'weak law'. We hove not included the stronger result here since it is beyond the level of this course.

Notes - Since the mean and the variance are finite, we can apply the weak law of large numbers for the sequence $\left\{X_{1}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then we have

$$
P\left[\left|\frac{S_{n}}{n}-p\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0 \text { as } b \rightarrow \infty
$$

$S_{n}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ where $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots . .+X_{n}$. Now, what is $\frac{S_{n}}{n}$ ? $S_{n}$ is the number of successes observed in n trials and therefore $\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}}$ is the proportinn of successes in n trials. Then the above result says that as the number of trials increases, the proportion of successes tends stabilize to the probability of a success. Of course, one of the basic assumptions behind this interpretation is that the random experiment can be repeated.

### 17.3 Keywords

Chebyshev's inequality is discussed, as an application, weak law of large numbers is derived.
Weak law of large nombers: Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . ., X_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables with mean $m$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$.

### 17.4 Self Assessment

1. Computation of the probabilities, even when the $\qquad$ functions are known, is cumbersome at times.
(a) Chebyshev's inequality
(b) limiting distributions
(c) (absolutely) continuous
(d) probability distribution
2. The $\qquad$ can be used for computation of the probabilities approximately.
(a) Chebyshev's inequality
(b) limiting distributions
(c) (absolutely) continuous
(d) probability distribution
3. ................. is discussed, as an application, weak law of large numbers is derived.
(a) Chebyshev's inequality
(b) limiting distributions
(c) (absolutely) continuous
(d) probability distribution
4. Chebyshev's inequality also holds when the distribution of $X$ is neither $\qquad$ nor discrete.
(a) Chebyshev's inequality
(b) limiting distributions
(c) (absolutely) continuous
(d) probability distribution

## 17..5 Review Questions

1. Suppose $X$ is $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Then $E(X)=\mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma^{2}$. Let us compute $P[|X-\mu| \geq 3 \sigma]$.
2. Suppose $X$ is a random variable such that $P[X=1]=1 / 2=P[X=-1]$. Let us compute an upper bound for $P[|X-\mu|>1 / 2 \sigma]$.
3. Suppose a person makes 100 check transactions during a certain period. In balancing his or her check book transactions, suppose he or she rounds off the check entries to the nearest
rupee instead of subtracting the exact amount he or she has used. Let us find an upper Notes bound to the probability that the total error he or she has committed exceeds Rs. 5 after 100 transactions.

## Answers: Self Assessment

1. (d) 2. (b) 3. (a) 4. (c)

### 17.6 Further Readings

Books Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications by P.L. Meyer
Introduction to Mathematical Statistics by Hogg and Craig
Fundamentals of Mathematical Statistics by S.C. Gupta and V.K. Kapoor


[^0]:    Example 14: What is the mathematical expectation of the sum of points on n unbiased dice?

[^1]:    Example 6: Ten percent of items produced on a machine are usually found to be defective. What is the probability that in a random sample of 12 items (i) none, (ii) one, (iii) two, (iv) at the most two, (v) at least two items are found to be defective?

